

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ND.1014 OF 2017

(Subject:- Interest on Gratuity)

DISTRICT- Mumbai.

Shri Sharad Raghunath Wadekar)
Aged : 60 years, Occ Nil)
(Ex. Deputy Chief Executive Officer),)
Zilla Parishad, Raigad, Alibag, Dist. Raigad)
R/o. 11, Sankalp Siddhi C.H.S. Ltd.)
Subhash Road, Kumbharkhanpada,)
Dombivli (West),)
Dist. Thane, Pin 421 202) Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra,)
Through Principal Secretary,)
Rural Development Department,)
Having office at Mantralaya,)
Mumbai 400 032.)
(Time being sitting at Bandhkam Bhavan,)
25, Marzban Path,)
Fort, Mumbai 400 001) Respondents

Shri S.R. Wadekar, Applicant in person.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri P.N. DIXIT, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 08.08.2018

O R D E R

1. Heard Shri S.R. Wadekar, Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has retired on superannuation on 30.11.2014. He received the impugned Departmental Enquiry charge-sheet on 01.12.2014. He sought certain information under R.T.I. and replied to the same on 21.01.2015.
3. After considering his reply, the department decided to appoint enquiry officer on 10.2.2015. Meanwhile, Applicant filed O.A.No.993 of 2015 before this Tribunal on 20.11.2015
4. While O.A. was pending, department sent proposal to Water Resources Department for taking opinion regarding continuation of Departmental Enquiry or otherwise. Reply to the same was received on 26.02.2015.
5. On 03.05.2016, the department again submitted the proposal before the Hon'ble Minister for decision. On 4.06.2016 department issued an order cancelling Departmental Enquiry.
6. The Applicant claims that even though he submitted his reply on 21.01.2015 there were no further development for the period of 10 months. He therefore filed Original Application before this Tribunal. The Original Application was decided on 13.06.2016. The Applicant had submitted that he filed reply to the charge-sheet on 21.01.2015.
7. As per the G.R. dated 21.02.2015 issued by the G.A.D., it was expected that the decision regarding the Departmental Enquiry would be reached within six months particularly when the person has retired. (page no.89 written arguments of Applicant).
8. The notification issued by the Finance Department, dated 1.11.2008 (page no.15) states as under:-
 2. In Rule 129 A of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 (hereinafter referred to as "the principal Rules") for sub-rules (1) to (4), the following sub-rules shall be substituted and shall be deemed to have been substituted with effect from 24th April, 1995, namely:-
 - (1) Where the payment of retirement gratuity or death gratuity, as the case may be has been delayed beyond the period of three months from the date of retirement or death, and it is clearly established that the delay in payment was attributable to administrative lapse, an interest at the rate applicable to General Provident Fund deposits shall be paid on the amount of gratuity, in respect of the period beyond three months:

Provided that, no interest shall be payable if the delay in payment of such gratuity was attributable to the failure on the part of the Government servant to comply with the procedure laid down in this Chapter:

Provided further that no interest shall be payable in the case where a provisional gratuity is paid.”

(quoted from Exh. 'A', page no.15 of the O.A.)

9. As per the submission by the Respondents, the decision to hold the Departmental Enquiry against him was dropped. The Applicant requests for releasing interest on delayed payment of gratuity.

10. As seen from the above, the Applicant had submitted the reply on 21.01.2015. As stipulated, the decision required to be taken within six months from 21.01.2015 i.e. on 21.07.2015. However, for departmental reasons and to complete administrative proceedings, the Respondent has taken the decision on 04.06.2016.

11. Thus there is a delay of almost one year. The Applicant claims that he received the payment on 19.11.2016. The delay for administrative proceedings should not come in the way in making payment of the interest due to the Applicant for the period. Hence for the period from 22.07.2015 to 19.11.2016, the Respondent is directed to make payment of interest as due on account of gratuity. Respondent should comply with the order within the period of three months.

12. In view of the above, Original Application is disposed of.

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2018

M.A.No.327 of 2018 in O.A.No.602 of 2016

D.S. KandekarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for restoration of the O.A.

3. Accepting the reasons and grounds contained in M.A. to be good and sufficient, Misc. Application No. 327 of 2018 is allowed.

4. O.A.No.602 of 2016 is restored to its original number.

DATE

8/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri N.Y. Chavan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Archana B.K.

~~Presenting Officer~~ for the Respondent/s

Adv. S.O. to MA 327/18 is allowed. DA 602/16 is restored.

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2018

O.A.No.602 of 2016

D.S. KandekarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent the matter is taken up for final hearing.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant contends that the documents obtained by him prove that the person selected as Police Patil i.e. Respondent No.5 was resident of some other place than which is mentioned in the documents.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further mentions that he has not produced these documents before the Competent Authority namely S.D.O. which is the Respondent No.3.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the Respondent No.3 has filed affidavit-in-reply which mentions that the Respondent No.5 is not resident of Hanamantgaov as contended.

6. The Respondent No.3 is directed to consider all the documents produced by the Applicant and take decision as per the merits.

7. The matter is therefore disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N.'DIXIT')
Member (A)

gba

DATE

8/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. N.Y. Chavan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to O.A. is disposed

of

(Signature)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2018

O.A.No.126 of 2018

D.A. GavadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 06.09.2018.

DATE 8/8/18

CORAM

Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri C.T. Chandratre

for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

for the Respondent/s)

ADJ/S.O. to 6/9/18

[Signature] sba

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2018

O.A.No.203 of 2018

S.K. Devkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.3.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks time to file reply.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 30.08.2018 as a last chance.

DATE

8/8/18

CORAM

Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE

By Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar.

By Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

S.O. to 30/8/18 as a last chance.

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>8/8/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dole</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>10/8/18</u> by consent.</p>	<p>Date : 08.08.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.385 of 2018 with M.A.No.228 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. RawleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.S. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 10.08.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 08.08.2018 O.A No 673/2018
<p>DATE : <u>8/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>M.B. Kadam for C.O.</u> <u>Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.O./S.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Admitted to <u>Head</u> <u>order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal Column</u> <u>S.O to 8/10/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p>Shri V.K Kothmire & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 8.10.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 8.10.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Sr. : <u>B. A. Bauli</u> Advoc. for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Sr. : <u>Archana B. K.</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Advs. to <u>Head.</u> <u>M.A is allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>e</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">08.08.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 366/2018 in O.A 673/2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.K Kothmire & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar learned for the applicants and Ms Archana, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

Alm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20

DISTRICT _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer _____)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> <p>DATE : <u>8/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. B. Kulkarni for Savita</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manojkumar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u> <u>order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal 04/4/18</u> <u>S.O to 19/9/18</u></p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p> <p>08.08.2018</p> <p><u>O.A No 728/2018</u></p> <p>Smt Savita N.Salve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 19.9.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 19.9.2018.
--	---

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, (J.)
Chairman [PTO]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2018

R.A.No.10 of 2017 in O.A.No.941 of 2016

S.S. MaliApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. The matter be kept before Hon'ble Chairman to decide the appropriate bench.

DATE 8/8/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

sba

~~ADVISE~~ The Matter be kept before Hon'ble Chairman to decide the appropriate bench.


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2018

O.A.No.727 of 2018

A.P. AhireApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 7.09.2018.

DATE 8/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

~~C.P.O.~~ O. for the Respondent/s

Adj.S.O. to 7/9/18.

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

sba

[Signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2018.

O.A.No.138 of 2018
(Subject : D.E.)

R.B. Jaid

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

DATE : 8/8/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./O. for the Respondents

Ad./S.O. to Hence

is disposed.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar states that he has instructions to withdraw the O.A. and pursue the claim departmentally.

3. Hence, O.A. is disposed.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

ork

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.08.2018.

O.A.No.621 of 2018

V.K. Patil

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

DATE : 8/8/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : N.Y. Chavan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad

C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 19/9/18

Head
order passed in
Tribunal Column.
S.O. 19/9/18

1. Heard N.Y. Chavan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.09.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 19.09.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

o' 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ad/S.O. to <u>30/8/18</u></p> <p>Heard order passed in Tribunal Column 4 SOLO 30/8/18</p>	<p>08.08.2018</p> <p>C.A 17/2017 in O.A No 586/2016</p> <p>Dr S.S Chappalwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to substitute present Secretary, Public Health Department in place of Mr Satbirsingh, as well as substitute the name of Respondent no. 3 with name of incumbent holding the post of present Chief Secretary and also to implead Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department as Respondent no. 4. 3. Leave as prayed for is granted. 4. Issue notice of show cause as to Respondent nos 2, 3 & 4 why action of contempt should not be initiated against the contemnors for the act of willful disobedience as complained by the applicant, returnable on 30.8.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2018

O.A.No.291 of 2017

Dr. P.D. Dalvi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that this matter pertains to appointment which is subject of the Division Bench. Therefore, the matter may be kept before the Hon'ble Division Bench.

3. The matter, therefore, be placed before the appropriate Division Bench.

DATE 8/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Smt/Smt: A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt Archana B.K.

P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Ad/so The matter therefore, be place before the appropriate Division Bench.

[Signature]
sba

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2018

O.A.No.268 of 2018

Dr. H.A. DeshpandeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that he proposes to file Misc. Application in the matter as far as the issue of jurisdiction is concerned and therefore he seeks adjournment by three weeks.

3. In view of the above, adjourned to 31.08.2018.

DATE 8/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to _____

S.O. to 31/8/18

sba

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.08.2018

O.A.No.943 of 2017

P.R. ShahApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that this matter pertains to Division Bench and hence to be placed before the appropriate Division Bench.

3. The matter, therefore, be placed before the appropriate Division Bench.

DATE 8/8/18

CORAM

Honble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
holding for N.K. Rajpurohit
Adv. for

The matter, therefore,
be placed before the
appropriate Division
Bench.

[Signature]

sba

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>8/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> P.N. DIXIT (Member A)</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> K.R. Jagdale</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> Archana B.K.</p> <p>Reply filed Gg R. No. 192.</p> <p><u>23/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 08.08.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.560 of 2018</p> <p>P.S. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 23.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A.No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.08.2018

O.A.No.420 of 2018

L.S. MohanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that she will file reply tomorrow.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant request for adjournment on 27.08.2018 when similar matter is placed.

4. S.O. to 27.08.2018.

DATE 8/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S. Suryawanshi

~~G.P.O.~~ for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 27/8/18

sb

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
Member (A)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>8/8/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>None for the applicant.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>16/8/18</u></p> 	<p>Date : 08.08.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.1116 of 2016</p> <p>U.S. SalunkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that copy of the praecipe of speaking to minutes is not provided to C.P.O.s office by the Applicant. Applicant is directed to comply with the requirement. In view of the above, adjourned to 16.08.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>gba</p>