

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.392 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. MugalikarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant produces copy of the Government Order dated 03.07.2024 of Home Department in respect of transfers of '68 Police Officers' of rank of 'DySP/ACP' which includes some who have been transferred on request even though they had not completed 'Normal Tenure' of '2 Years' under Section 22N(1) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasizes that Applicant is similarly placed like those 'DySP/ACP' whose requests have been considered although they had not completed 'Normal Tenure' of 2 Years under Section 22N(1) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. The Applicant has also requested that he be reposted to establishment of 'Police Commissioner Pimpri-Chinchwad' from where he was transferred by Government Order dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department.</p> <p>4. The learned PO states that no specific information is as yet available about date of meeting of 'PEB-I' which had recommended the transfers of 68 'Police Officers' of rank of 'DySP/ACP' which have been effected by Government Order dated 03.07.2024 of Home Department.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' was specifically informed on last occasion about case of Applicant and that time it was mentioned that case of Applicant would be placed for due consideration in the next meeting of 'PEB-I'. Hence, learned PO to obtain information from 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' when the last meeting of 'PEB-I' was held on the basis of which Government Order dated 03.07.2024 of Home Department was issued for 68 Police Officers of rank of 'DySP/ACP'.

6. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant had already submitted representation to DGP, Maharashtra State on 16.06.2024. Thus, as directed earlier by Order dated 01.07.2024, representation of Applicant dated 16.06.2024 be immediately obtained from 'DGP Maharashtra State' and placed in next meeting of 'PEB-I'. However, in the meanwhile 'One' Post of rank of 'DySP/ACP' be kept available in any 'Functional Department' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'.

7. The learned P.O. further submits that because of ongoing monsoon session of 'Maharashtra Legislative today 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' dealing with 'PEB-1' was not able to attend personally to submit copy of 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB-1' held on 28.06.2024.

8. The (a) 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' and (b) 'Legal Officer' in office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' are thus informed to personally remain present on next date along with copies of approved 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB-1' held on 28.06.2024 together with information about of all 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Officers' rank of (a) DySP/ACP (b) PI (c) PSI & (d) API in all 'Police Establishments' which are 'Respondents' in these 'Group of OAs' to confirm implementation of 'Interim Order' prior to issue of (1) Government Order dated 30.06.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' and (b) Government Order dated 03.07.2024 of 'Home Department'.

9. S.O. to 16.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakraborty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.824 of 2024</p> <p>Ramesh B. TadviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant states that Applicant who was serving on post of 'Incharge Police Inspector' at 'Mahad Police Station' was deployed in 'Control Room' and 'Respondent No.2' who was serving in 'Control Room' was deployed in place of Applicant on post of 'Incharge Police Inspector' at 'Mahad Police Station' by Order dated 02.07.2024 of 'SP Raigad'.</p> <p>3. The learned PO states that Order dated 02.07.2024 of 'SP Raigad' is passed upon due recommendation in meeting of 'PEB' held on 02.07.2024. which duly considered the 'Default Report' against Applicant submitted by S.D.P.O. Mahad on 23.06.2024.</p> <p>4. The Order dated 02.07.2024 of 'SP, Raigad' does not mention anything about recommendations made in meeting of 'PEB' held on 02.07.2024. The Order dated 02.07.2024 of 'SP, Raigad' also does not use word 'Transfer' in respect of either Applicant or Respondent No.2.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The Order dated 02.07.2024 of 'SP Raigad' only uses word 'Deployment' which cannot be done in respect of regular duties assigned to 'Incharge Police Inspector' at Mahad Police Station; as in parlance of 'Police Administration' deployment is understood to be immediate movement of additional 'Police Personnel' for 'Special Bandobast Duties' relating to 'Law and Order' situations or exigencies' of 'Natural Calamities' etc. The Order dated 02.07.2024 of 'SP, Raigad' is not about assignment full time responsibility of the post of 'Incharge Police Inspector' at 'Mahad Police Station' as it does not even mention of the word 'Additional Charge'.

6. The Order dated 02.07.2024 of 'SP Raigad' is therefore *prima-facie* not in accordance with provisions of Section 22N(1) of Maharashtra Police Act, 1951. Hence, till 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB' held on 02.07.2024 are produced to understand the justifiable reasons why such an order of 'Deployment' came to be passed by 'SP Raigad' and if procedure laid down in 'DGP, Maharashtra State' Circular dated 08.11.2017 was observed or not, it is directed that 'Status Quo' be maintained by 'SP Raigad' and no formal orders be issued to fill up 'Vacant Post' of 'Incharge Police Inspector' at 'Mahad Police Station'

7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.07.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>vsm</p>	<p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 08.07.2024
	<p align="center">O. A. Nos.705/2024 with O.A.No.706/2024 and O.A.No.724 of 2024</p> <p>B. H. Ahilwale S. G. Ubale & Ors. S. S. KhadeApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri P. M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, Shri D.P. Patil and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicants on instructions submits that in O.A.No.706/2024 there are 13 Applicants out of which Applicant – Shri S. G. Ubale now wants to withdraw and other 12 Applicants want to continue with O.A. No.706/2024. 3. Learned Counsel for Applicants prays for 'Interim Relief' to keep 1 post vacant of API/PSI in O.No.705/2024, O.A.706/2024 and O.A.724/2024 except Applicant Shri S.G. Ubale in O.A.706/2024. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till Next Date. 5. S.O. to 16.07.2024. <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.07.2024

O.A. No.260 of 2024 with O.A. No.264 of 2024 with
O.A. No.265 of 2024 with O.A. No.266 of 2024 with
O.A. No.269 of 2024 with O.A. No.270 of 2024 with
O.A. No.283 of 2024 with O.A. No.284 of 2024 with
O.A. No.285 of 2024 with O.A. No.287 of 2024 with
O.A. No.288 of 2024 to O.A. No.292 of 2024 with
O.A. No.310 of 2024 with O.A. No.311 of 2024 with
O.A. No.313 of 2024 with O.A. No.314 of 2024 with
O.A. No.323 of 2024 with O.A. No.324 of 2024 with
O.A. No.329 of 2024 with O.A. No.354 of 2024 with
O.A. No.367 of 2024 with O.A. No.385 of 2024 with
O.A. No.545 of 2024 with

O.A. No.555 of 2024, O.A.No.556 of 2024 to O.A. No.557
of 2024 with

O.A. No.328 of 2024 with O.A. No.331 of 2024 with
O.A. No.325 of 2024 with O.A. No.663 of 2024 with
O.A. No.701 of 2024 with

O.A. No.330 of 2024 with M.A. No.160 of 2024 with
O.A. No.703 of 2024 to O.A. No.595 of 2024 with
other connected matters.

A.K. Padekar & Ors. Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.....Respondents

1. Heard Shri Om Lonkar, Shri S.S. Dere & Shri K.R. Jagdale learned Advocates for Applicants, Shri P.M. Nagaroje, learned Advocate for Applicant No.1 in O.A.283/2024 and Applicant No.5 in O.A. No. 287/2024 and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt.K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K., Shri A.D. Gugale and D.R. Patil learned Presenting Officers for Respondents.

2. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A.No.556/2024 states that there are 6 Applicants in O.A.No.556/2024, out of which Applicant Shri S.T. Malkar now wants to withdraw and other 5 Applicants want to continue with the O.A.No.556/2024.

3. 'Interim Relief, if granted earlier in any 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above to be continued till 'Next Date i.e. 16.07.2024'.

4. S.O. to 16.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.07.2024

'GROUP -1' (C.P., Pimpri - Chinchwad)
O.A. No.124 of 2024 with O.A. No.125 of 2024 with
O.A. No.150 of 2024 to O.A. No.153 of 2024 with O.A.
No.318 of 2024 with O.A. No.319 of 2024

K.D. Patil
R.P. Bobade
R.N. Ghadge
S.B. Deshmukh
P.V. Jadhav
S.N. Tambe
J.P. Gaikwad
P.A. KshirsagarApplicants

'GROUP- II' (C.P., Pune & Thane)
O.A. No.298 of 2024 to O.A. No.301 of 2024

S.B. Mane
M.N. Jagtap
S.D. Jadhav
D.B.ChudappaApplicants

'GROUP - III' (C.P.Nashik & S.P., Solapur, & Satara)

O.A. No.127 of 2024

S.B. Godase Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

'GROUP-IV'

O.A. No.279 of 2024 with O.A. No.603 of 2024 with
O.A. No.277 of 2024 with O.A. No.278 of 2024 with
O.A.No.464 of 2024

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri R.G. Panchal and Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officers for the Respondents - Shri A.D.Gugale, Smt Archana B.K. & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad in 'Group -1'; 'Group -11', 'Group - III' & 'Group IV'.

2. Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant in O.A. No.301/2024 does not want to pursue further and want to withdraw his OA No.301/2024.

3. 'Interim Relief, if granted earlier in any 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above to be continued till 'Next Date i.e. 16.07.2024.

4. The O.A. No.301/2024 is thus disposed off as withdrawn on request of Applicant. No Order as to Costs.

5. S.O. to 16.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024 with O.A. No.446 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. Adagale S.S. Suryawanshi S.H. Rokade M.K. Kadam B.S. Mulla D.A. Pawar M.B. Taware H.N. Kakandki A.S. MordeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P.G. Kotkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt Archana B.K., Shri D.R, Patii and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief, if granted earlier in any 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above to be continued till 'Next Date i.e. 16.07.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.07.2024

O.A.No.276 of 2024

V. G. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D. B. Thoke, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.5 to 6.

2. The learned PO based on instructions received from 'Home Department' states that meeting of 'PEB-I' is proposed to be held today with respect to directions given in 'Para 9' to 'Para 11' of Order dated 24.06.224 read with Para 2 of Order dated 01.07.2024.

3. The learned Counsel for Applicant thereupon states that decision which is likely to be taken in meeting in 'PEB-I' today be made known prior to further course of action that may then be taken by Home Department.

4. S.O. to 11.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.629/2024 with O.A.635/2024, O.A.645/2024, O.A.646/2024 & O.A.731/2024</u></p> <p>A.R. Shinde V.R. Nagargoje V.S. Korvi & Anr. N.B. Kshirsagar K.S. Karande ...Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri T.A. Quadri, learned Advocate Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate & Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO & Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants in OA 645/2024, OA 646/2024, & OA 731/2024 were selected from Sports Category Quota. However, when their Sports Certificates were forwarded for re-verification, the authority concerned invalidated these Sports Certificates and that is the reason that they were not given appointment. Aggrieved by the said invalidation, the Applicants have approached this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. When the present matter was taken-up for consideration, the learned Advocate for Applicant tendered across the Bar the GR dated 24th June, 2024 and that in view of said GR, now each one of the present Applicants has to be held entitled for his selection and appointment from the Sports Category.</p> <p>4. The learned PO brought to our notice that the required procedure has not been followed by these Applicants of filing an appeal before the Appellate Authority. She further submitted that unless that remedy is availed by these Applicants, it may not be possible for them to get any further relief.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Thereupon the learned Advocate for Applicants submitted that Applicants are ready to appear before the appropriate authority. The learned Advocate further submitted that it is also one of the grievance of the Applicants that without giving an opportunity of hearing, the authority concerned has invalidated their 'Sports Certificate'.

6. The learned Advocate for the Applicants submitted that the appointing authority has now started inviting the candidates from the wait list for their documents verification. The learned Advocate for Applicants in the circumstances prayed for passing an 'Interim Order' thereby protecting the interest of the present Applicants.

7. There is prima-facie reason to believe that the GR dated 24.06.2024 would certainly be applicable as well as helpful to the cases of the present Applicants. The Applicants have now decided to appear before the appropriate authority so that no procedural lacuna remains.


8. In the above circumstances, we deem it appropriate to pass the following order.


ORDER

(a) The Applicants shall appear before the authority concerned on or 23rd July, 2024 with prior intimation to the said Office.

(b) The authority concerned is directed to hear these Applicants and to decide the validity of their Sports Certificates in the light of GR dated 20th June, 2024 within two weeks thereafter and forward the report to the appointing authority.

9. S.O. to 12th August, 2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.07.2024


(P.R. Bora, J)
Vice-Chairperson
08.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.618 OF 2024

Shri S.T. Motkari & Ors.)...**Applicants**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)...**Respondents**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

**CORAM : Shri Justice P.R. Bora, Vice-Chairman
Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member-A**

DATE : 08.07.2024

ORDER

1. The Applicants were working in Forest Department as per their contention for more than 10 years. After their termination, they have approached this Tribunal. It is the case of Applicants that without following the due procedure and violating the principles of natural justice, their services have been abruptly terminated.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicants brought to our notice the facts which were existing in previous litigation and orders passed therein. It appears that the principle prayer of the Applicants is for regularization of their services since they have worked continuously for more than 10 years.

3. When the present matter was initially heard by this Tribunal, after hearing learned Advocate for Applicants, Respondents were directed to file



'Short Affidavit' and issue of 'Interim Relief' was kept open. The Respondents have accordingly filed 'Short Affidavit' justifying their action.

4. It appears from the submissions made by learned PO based on the 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by the Respondents that since the present Applicants filed the Contempt Petition against the Officers concerned and since the orders were passed by this Tribunal to hear them and decide their representations by giving them opportunity of hearing that the impugned order has been passed.

5. From the documents on record as well as the averments in the 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' submitted by Respondents, it is transpired that the grievance which the present 2 Applicants have raised is not the grievance of only these two, but of the Group of 140 such employees. It is further revealed that in view of orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court in one Writ Petition filed in this regard and in some of the previous OAs passed by this Tribunal, the grievance of group of 140 employees comprising of present Applicants is under consideration before the State Government.

6. It is also brought to our notice that some positive recommendations have been made by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur in regard to said group of employees to the State Government for regularization of the services of the said employees.

7. The learned PO on our query informed that the proposal in respect of other employees in the group of 140 employees is still under consideration. The learned PO, however, has repeatedly submitted that since the present Applicants approached this Tribunal and filed OA and thereafter the Contempt Petition and since in the said Petition, an order was passed by this Tribunal to decide the representations of Applicants that the Respondents have decided the said representations and based on the said decision have terminated the services of the Applicants.

8. The learned PO further submitted that these 2 Applicants without any good cause and more particularly when they were knowing that the proposal for their regularization is pending for consideration have indulged

in the present litigation with the only intention to cause harassment to the Respondents and were intending to obtain some orders under the threat of Contempt Petition.

9. The learned PO further submitted that the Respondents therefore independently considered the representations of these Applicants and have on merit rejected their representations. The learned PO then submitted that the Respondents will file their detailed 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. No case is made out for grant of any 'Interim Order'.

10. We noticed from the submissions made by the learned PO that only because these Applicants preferred the Contempt Petition that their services have been terminated. From the documents placed on record, it appears that the Respondents were to consider the request of these employees for their regularization and as mentioned hereinabove, not only the Applicants but the other similarly situated employees total 140 in number were agitating same grievance.

11. It is discernable that the Respondents singled out the Applicants from the said group of 140 employees and have decided their representations without giving them any hearing opportunity for the reason that the Applicants filed a Contempt Petition against the Respondents. The action taken against the Applicants appears vindictive.

12. The learned PO repeatedly submitted that when the proposal in respect of regularization of the Applicants was under consideration, they have in undue haste filed the Contempt Petition and that is the reason that the representations of these two employees have been decided by the Respondents which has entailed in the action of termination of their services.

13. Prima-facie, we find that the decision of terminating the services of the Applicants seems to have been taken for teaching them a lesson only for the reason that the Applicants filed the Contempt Petition requiring the Officers concerned to face the said Petition. Such a vindictive action cannot be sustained. The Applicants were insisting for consideration and decision



on their applications. Though proposal of 140 employees was under consideration in which the Applicants are also included, only because they insisted Respondents to take earlier decision, we did not find anything wrong therein. Moreover, the request to be considered of these Applicants was for their regularization. The orders of the Tribunal were not for allowing these applications but for consideration of the said applications.

14. Under the circumstances, the Respondents at the most could have rejected the said request of the Applicants, but there was absolutely no reason for terminating their services. Such an action has to be termed as 'Vindictive Action', which is impermissible.

15. On our query, we are informed that excluding the Applicants, other 138 employees are continued and are still working with the Respondents. On our query, the learned PO has further submitted that the services of the Applicants have not been terminated on the ground that no work is available. Therefore, there remains no doubt that had the Applicants not filed the Contempt Petition, their services would have been continued by the Respondents, as in the case of other employees in the group of 140 employees.

16. We reiterate that it was quite within the powers and authority of the Respondents to decide the representations and reject the request of the Applicants for their regularization of service, however, in no case, Respondents could have terminated the services of the Applicants, when work is available for them and that too, without giving any notice or opportunity of hearing.

17. Though learned PO submitted that within a week, the Affidavit-in-Reply can be filed and matter can be disposed off, we do not agree with the said suggestion. When the aspect of 'Interim Relief' was kept open and when prima-facie we find that the case of 'Interim Relief' is made out, the order needs to be passed. Hence, the following order is passed.

ORDER

(i) The Respondents are directed to continue to provide work to the present Applicants treating them at par with the group of 140 employees till the decision is taken on their representations or till disposal of the present OA whichever may occur earlier.

(ii) Time Granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply till next date.

19. S.O. to 22nd July, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.07.2024

Sd/-

(P.R. Bora, J)
Vice-Chairperson
08.07.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.753/2024

A.S. Dhage

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 29.07.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 29th July, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.07.2024

Sd/-
(P.R. Bora, J)
Vice-Chairperson
08.07.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.820/2024

S.D. Nagargoje

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Having regard to the issues raised in the matter, the learned PO shall endeavor to positively file Affidavit-in-Reply on the given date.
3. Issue notice returnable on 05.08.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 5th August, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.07.2024

sd/-
(P.R. Bora, J)
Vice-Chairperson
08.07.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.805/2024

N.N. Mukhyadal


...Applicant


Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. It is informed that learned Advocate for Applicant is not feeling well.
3. Issue notice returnable on 22.07.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 22nd July, 2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.07.2024


(P.R. Bora, J)
Vice-Chairperson
08.07.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders

O.A.684/2024

H.J. Yelgatte **...Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. **...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 05.08.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 5th August, 2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.07.2024


(P.R. Bora, J)
Vice-Chairperson
08.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.653/2024</u></p> <p>G.S. Wade ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22nd July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.07.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (P.R. Bora, J) Vice-Chairperson 08.07.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.652/2024</u></p> <p>S.R. Kamble ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Waghmare, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that she has not yet received instructions and therefore seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5th August, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue till then.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.07.2024 </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (P.R. Bora, J) Vice-Chairperson 08.07.2024 </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.352/2024 with O.A.368/2024, O.A.370/2024 & O.A.566/2024</u></p> <p>V.V. Teli & Ors. R.Y. Bhosale P.D. Rathod S.B. Sable ...Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply in OA 566/2024. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15th July, 2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.07.2024 </p> <p align="center"> (P.R. Bora, J) Vice-Chairperson 08.07.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.214/2024</u></p> <p>R.B. Sankhe ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22nd July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.07.2024 </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (P.R. Bora, J) Vice-Chairperson 08.07.2024 </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.354/2024 with O.A.104/2024</u></p> <p>M.R. Navale ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant is absent. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply in MA. The same is taken on record. The copy of Affidavit-in-Reply be provided to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22nd July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.07.2024 </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (P.R. Bora, J) Vice-Chairperson 08.07.2024 </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.771/2024

V.D. Ugale & Ors.

...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 29.07.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 29th July, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.07.2024

SPJ
(P.R. Bora, J)
Vice-Chairperson
08.07.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.735/2024</u></p> <p>S.K. Sahane ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that in the present matter, having regard to the fact that some policies are involved, the authentic stand of GAD requires to be there on record. In view of this submission, we expect that Respondents will file their Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29th July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.07.2024 </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (P.R. Bora, J) Vice-Chairperson 08.07.2024 </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.642/2024</u>
	<p>A.P. Yamgar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S.Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant brought to our notice that the selection process is in progress and now Respondents are likely to avail the Waiting List also. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that if so happens, the purpose of filing OA may get frustrated. The factual position is not disputed by other side.</p> <p>3. Therefore, following order is passed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>(i) We direct Respondents to file their Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>(ii) Till then, one post be kept vacant for Open General Category.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22th July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.07.2024  (P.R. Bora, J) Vice-Chairperson 08.07.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.983 of 2017</u></p> <p>K.J. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., Ld. PO for the Respondents has filed Leave Note.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 15.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1039 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.A.R. Pathan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19.8.2024. Interim relief to continue. De-part heard.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1239 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.D. Khobragade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that the above matter is wrongly listed today as it is already adjourned to 31.7.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1026 of 2021 with M.A. No.114 of 2021 in O.A. No.982 of 2019 And OA No.753 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.K. Bhosle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 15.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.42 of 2021 in OAs.678, 679 & 680 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.S. Mujawar & 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that stay is granted by the Hon'ble High Court to the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.50 of 2022 in O.A. No.493 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Parab ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that stay is granted by the Hon'ble High Court to the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.52 of 2022 in O.A. No.52 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.D. Nagane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that stay is granted by the Hon'ble High Court to the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.43/2023 in O.A. No.32/2023</u></p> <p>A.D. Nargude & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents <u>With</u> <u>M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A. No.619/2023</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. R.D. Pardhi & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in CA.43/2023 in OA.32/2023 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Applicants in MA.364/2023 in OA No.619/2023 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions submits that today excess persons are working on the post of Awal Karkun.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.7.2024. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.12 of 2024 in O.A. No.321 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that State has filed W.P. No.2573/2024 in the Hon'ble High Court and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.56 of 2024 in O.A. No.43 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Mosamkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that Review Application is filed by the State in this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. State to pursue the MA at the earliest.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.226 of 2024 in O.A. No.340 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.R. Gample ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.742 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.V. Sawalakhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is present in the Court and seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.463, 464, 465 & 866 of 2023</u></p> <p>Gangadhar D. Awad & 24 Ors. Namdev S. Sabale & 25 Ors. Rahul S. Kapade & 30 Ors. S.B. Padwal & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Madhani Ayappan, Ld. Advocate states that OA No.219/2022 be tagged with this group.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 16.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No.1113 of 2023 with O.A. No.1245 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.M. Borate Dr. B.S. Nagargoje Dr. N.V. Suryawanshi S.D. Turbadkar Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. D.R. Katke Dr. S.A. Rokade Dr. V.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale, Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri P.S. Nagargoje, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate also holding for Smt Shrutika Tilak; learned Advocates for the Applicant. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondents and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondent in O.A. No.1245/2023.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.253 of 2024 with O.A. No. 254 of 2024 with O.A. No. 255 of 2024 with O.A. No. 281 of 2024 with O.A. No. 356 of 2024 to O. A. No. 358 of 2024</p> <p>A.R. Jadhav Dr. S.B.A. Mokashi A.R. Waghmare K.P. Mane A.M. Kumbhar LG. Gawade M.S. MadguikarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri S.S. Thorat, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 4 is not present in O.A. No. 281/2024.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief to continue till Next Date in O.A.No.253/2024, O.A. No. 254/2024, O.A. No. 255/2024 with O.A. No. 356/2024 to O. A. No. 358/2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.484 of 2024 with O.A. No. 485 of 2024</p> <p>V.H. Bhoi R.S. DhepeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both the matters the Applicants prays that the Respondents be directed to pay retirement dues in the term of Gratuity and Commutation of pension and so also difference of any between provisional pension and regular pension though Criminal case is filed against the Applicant no charge sheet is filed.</p> <p>3. Learned PO to make statement within 'Three Weeks' whether any one has been paid to the Applicant and also file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>4. The Respondents are directed to start paying provisional pension to the Applicant as entitled within 15 days.</p> <p>5. S.O. 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Borà) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.273 of 2024 in O.A. No.579 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.L. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule h/f Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that copy of MA & OA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. Applicant to serve the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.815 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.S. Bhalerao ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.04 of 2024</p> <p>R.S. WarekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Om Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for Respondents has sent her 'Leave Note'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.640 of 2024</p> <p>M.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf Respondents. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.534 of 2024</p> <p>D.G. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for Applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.515 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. M.A. JamkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.498 of 2024</p> <p>I.B. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that parwise comments are received, and requested for 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.495 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. TamhankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar learned Advocate holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">s Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.643 of 2024</p> <p>H.T. Pansare & 18 Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.461 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. AsanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Dube, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>3. S. O. to 29.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.238 of 2024</p> <p>P.S. JoshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.304 of 2024</p> <p>S.R. Gawale & 3 OrsApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.R. Bora) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>AGR</p>