

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1227 of 2019</p> <p>H. S. KhudeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note, the matter is adjourned to 03.08.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.244 of 2022</p> <p>D.K. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Satyavrat Joshi, learned Advocate instructed by Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri Ajay S. Pazasnodkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 - MSEDCL and Ms. Pragathi B Malle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has tendered documents as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 05.07.2022. The documents are taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.649 of 2022</p> <p>I.M. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.P. Hakepatil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 18.07.2019 rejecting his claim for appointment on compassionate ground. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.08.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 03.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.399 of 2022 in O.A. No.649 of 2022</p> <p>I.M. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.P. Hakepatil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in challenging order dated 18.07.2019 whereby the claim of the Applicant for compassionate appointment is rejected. M.A. along with O.A. is filed on 05.07.2022.</p> <p>3. It be noted as per the order of ^{Hon'ble} Supreme Court in Suo Moto Writ Petition (C) No.03/2020 passed on 10.01.2022 in view of Pandemic situation and lockdown Hon'ble Supreme Court extended the period of limitation. As per this order were limitation expires during the period from 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.</p> <p>4. In present case impugned order passed on 18.07.2019, and therefore O.A. ought to have been filed within period of one year of limitation on or before 18.07.2020. However, there was COVID-19 Pandemic situation when limitation period expired. Therefore, in view of order of Hon'ble Supreme Court the Applicant would get 90 days period from 01.03.2022 meaning thereby O.A. ought to have been filed on or before 31.05.2022 whereas the O.A. is filed on 05.07.2022. As such, delay comes near about two months and five days, Learned P.O. did not dispute this position. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. In view of above, even if in M.A. delay is stated 716 days it actually comes to two months seven days as discussed above. It being ~~challenged to the~~ claim for compassionate appointment, I am inclined to condone delay in the interest of justice and to decide the matter on merit.

6. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 08.07.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.876 of 2021</p> <p>H.A. RedekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate is directed to inform the date of recognition of the University, copy of the letter of University and also name of the authority who gave recognition to this University.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.07.2022. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 08.07.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.659 of 2022 with M.A.No.401 of 2022</p> <p>R.N. Chore & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 12.08.2022. To be shown under the caption of 'For Urgent Admisison'.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.400 of 2022 in O.A.No.383 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. Kadam & Ors. (O.A.400/2022) A.G. Tainak & Ors. (O.A.383/2022)Applicants</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.400/2022, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.383/2022, and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking directions for impleading the Applicants in M.A. as Party Respondents in O.A. as they are going to be affected.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar prays for directions to pass the order directing to implead all the Applicants who are bound to be affected by the outcome of the O.A., Hence, M.A. for intervention be allowed.</p> <p>4. Hence, M.A. seeking intervention in the O.A. is allowed.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar is directed to make Applicants in M.A. as party Respondents in O.A. and they be served Notice within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply in the O.A. Taken on record. Copy to be served on learned Advocate and proposed Respondents.</p> <p>7. In view of above M.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>8. O.A. Adjourned to 22.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.533 of 2021 in O.A.No.948 of 2021 with M.A.No.534 of 2021 in O.A.No.949 of 2021</p> <p>K.V. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer along with Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate appearing for Zilla Parishad is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar is directed argue on the point of jurisdiction on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>08.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 804/2021</u></p> <p>S.P Kale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Time granted to the learned C.P.O to file sur-rejoinder with liberty to the learned counsel for the applicant to mention the matter if the interview is scheduled for the post of Assistant Commissioner, B.M.C before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1083 of 2016</p> <p>A.D. LikharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. K.R. Jagdale submits the learned Advocate Mr. A.R. Joshi who is appearing for the Applicant has sent No Objection Certificate to the Applicant through whatsapp. Applicant has entailed Mr. Jagdale to appear in the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale seeks permission to file vakalatnama. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale has tendered the copy of NOC. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale is allowed to file vakalatnama,</p> <p>5. Matter is already adjourned to 27.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.398 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. B. M. DahiphaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. be kept with connected matters on 19.07.2022 since common issue seems to be involved.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 19.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1076 of 2019 with O.A.1077/2019 with O.A.1078/2019 with O.A.134/2019 with O.A.261/2019 with O.A.266/2019</p> <p>T.R.Wagh & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chogule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all these Original Applications, some of the Respondents have filed reply. Learned P.O. sought time to file reply on behalf of remaining Respondents.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to file reply on behalf of the Respondents who have not filed reply earlier</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.30 of 2022 in O.A.No.1335 of 2009 with C.A.No.31 of 2022 in O.A.No.1335 of 2009</p> <p>K.R. Patil (C.A.30/2022 IN O.A.1335/2009) M.G. Dhapate & Ors (C.A.31/2022 IN O.A.1335/2009)Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Government has not yet challenged the order. Order dated 08.12.2020 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1355 of 2009 is also complied. In the said order</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Respondent No.1, Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Respondent No.2, The Deputy Director of Health Services, Pune Circle and Respondent No.3, The Deputy Director of Health Services, Kolhapur Circle, Kolhapur.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">were directed to complete the entire exercise within six months i.e. approximately upto 07.06.2021. However, thereafter one year is over and till today exercise is not completed.</p> <p>3. One week time granted to learned P.O. to make detail written statement of the stage of compliance of the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.07.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil/ Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 08.07.2022

O.A.No.333 of 2017

Col. P.N. Dhole & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter was kept under the caption of 'For Dismissal' on last two occasions and learned Advocate Mr. J.M. Puranaik was also contacted telephonically by the Registry. However, none present, hence matter is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 08.07.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.391 of 2018 in O.A.No.483 of 2018 with O.A.No.483 of 2018</p> <p>V.K. WashikarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that M.A. is filed to reinstate the Applicant in service for a period of 11 months. He submits that the Applicant has already been reinstated on supernumerary post.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>4. O.A. adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.07.2022

O.A.No.867 of 2021 with M.A.No.331 of 2022

S.R. PednekarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.08.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Learned Advocate is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to the office of C.P.O.
9. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.8 of 2021 in O.A.No.848 of 2018 with M.A.No.344 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. Salunkhe & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We are informed that matter is not traceable by the office. Registry is directed to find the matter till next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 679/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.P Kamalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anant Vadgaonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance within two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">/</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.07.2022</u></p> <p>M.A 637/2019 in M.A 638/2019 with O.A 713/2016 with M.A 639/2019 in M.A 640/2019 in O.A 714/2016.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>V.M Thakur ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard A.S Golegaonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant, who is present in the Court submits that the applicants are given appointment. Hence, the cause of action does not survive.</p> <p>3. In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicants, all the Misc Applications and the Original Applications stand disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1060/2019</u></p> <p>Smt R.M Gaikwad & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the services of the applicants were terminated earlier and now they have been reinstated in service with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. As the cause of action does not survive, the Original Application is disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>08.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 292/2022</u></p> <p>Miss Aarti R. Kende ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y.B Lengare, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 & 4.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that as per the revised merit list the applicant is above the benchmark and therefore he is qualified to be appointed to the post of Auditor and Accountant, Group-C in the Directorate of Municipal Administration.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the Respondents no 3 & 4 states that they are already in service for the last 3 ½ years as they were selected in view of the earlier merit list where they have crossed the bench mark. Further, learned counsel states that the Respondents no 3 & 4 have also passed the departmental examination.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O states that the Respondents no 3 & 4 are continued in service as per decision of this Tribunal dated 24.6.2022 in O.A 207/2022 and their services are not going to be disturbed.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, we direct the Respondents to take positive steps and issue the appointment order in respect of the applicant within two weeks. If the order is not implemented within two weeks, it will be taken as a suo moto contempt.</p> <p>6. Original Application accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 156/2014 in O.A 818/2011</u></p> <p>Shri A.V Ghume ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that R.A 39/2016 in O.A 818/2011 was filed and it was disposed of on 4.5.2018. The Review Application was allowed and the order dated 25.11.2013 in O.A 811/2011 was recalled.</p> <p>3. In view of the order dated 4.5.2018 in Review Application No. 39/2016 and also the order dated 6.12.2016, passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P 2807/2015, Contempt Application is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders Date : 08.07.2022</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.591 of 2021 in O.A.No.1016 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KasarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 14.02.2022 passed by this Tribunal wherein at paragraph 3 reads as under :</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"3. We need the affidavit-in-reply of the respondents to consider the interim relief as prayed by the Applicant in O.A. and also to decide the MA which is preferred for interim relief."</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">this matter is to be removed under the caption 'For Misc. Application' and to be shown under the caption of 'Due Admission'. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i>(Medha Gadgil)</i> Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i> </i> <i>/</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.867 of 2021 with M.A.No.331 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. PednekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.08.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Learned Advocate is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to the office of C.P.O.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.465 of 2020</p> <p>G.Y. DeshpandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate matter adjourned to 22.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.396 of 2022 in O.A.No.186 of 2020 WITH O.A.No.186 of 2020</p> <p>A.H. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Sundas Ansari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking amendment in O.A. as per schedule as mentioned in 6.k.</p> <p>3. Amendment allowed. Amendment to be carried out within two weeks from today and amended copy to be served upon learned P.O. Thereafter four weeks' time granted to learned P.O. for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. M.A. stands disposed of. O.A. adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.147 of 2016</p> <p>N.N. RamrajeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6.</p> <p>2. Matter to be kept under the caption of 'For Dismissal on 18.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- " _____</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) // Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 08.07.2022
	<p align="center">C.A.No.10 of 2020 in O.A.No.434 of 2014</p> <p>M.C. GosaviApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Godbole, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that that Respondent-State has filed W.P.No.3822 of 2022 in the Hon'ble High Court and the same has appeared on board on 07.07.2022, wherein Hon'ble High Court has passed the order that Contempt should not be proceeded.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 909 2021 with O.A 141/2022</u></p> <p>A.M Chandanshiv V.S Tengale ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 22.7.2022. First on Board.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.55 of 2019 in O.A.No.805 of 2016 with O.A.No.806 of 2016 with O.A.No.807 of 2016</p> <p>R.V. Dube & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the order of the Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>3. Hence nothing remains in the C.A., hence disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 08.07.2022
	<p align="center">C.A.No.16 of 2018 in O.A.No.670 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. C.G. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the matter is under the active consideration of the Department in respect of the compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.623 of 2022 with M.A.No.381 of 2022</p> <p>Subramaniyan KanakkanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.08.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="779 824 1039 862">Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p data-bbox="828 888 1429 927">M.A.No.517 of 2017 in O.A.No.969 of 2017</p> <p data-bbox="779 953 1331 991">S.K. BhojneApplicant</p> <p data-bbox="876 991 925 1017">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="779 1017 1445 1056">The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="779 1081 1477 1210">1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="779 1249 1477 1378">2. O.A. is placed under the caption of 'For Dismissal, in view that none present for the Applicant, O.A. dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p data-bbox="876 1442 941 1481">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="795 1494 1006 1571">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p data-bbox="1250 1403 1323 1442">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1169 1481 1477 1558">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p data-bbox="787 1571 828 1610">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.07.2022

O.A.No.650 of 2022

R.B. KambleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.07.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Learned P.O. is directed to find out how much time will be required to issue the order of the Applicant for the post of 'Multi Purpose Health Worker'.

9. Adjourned to 15.07.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.07.2022

O.A.No.643 of 2022

P.B. DurseApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.07.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Tribunal as to how much time will be required to complete both the enquiries in respect of Applicant.
9. Adjourned to 15.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.651 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. Pawar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Learned P.O. is directed to find out how much time will be required to issue the order of the Applicant for the post of 'Multi Purpose Health Worker'. 9. Adjourned to 15.07.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.372 of 2022 in O.A. No.519 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A. as well as O.A. 5. S.O. to 22.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.367 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. UmraniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.B. Umrani, Applicant-in-Person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for direction to the Respondent to release retiral benefits which are withheld for long time. Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2020. Gratuity is still not paid apart regular pension is not sanctioned, other benefits and provisional pension is paid.</p> <p>3. Admittedly, at the time of retirement there was no initiation of D.E. against the Applicant nor there was any initiation of criminal prosecution against the Applicant so as to withheld his gratuity as contemplated under Rule 130 of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982. This being the admitted position there seems to be no difficulty or hurdle for grant of gratuity.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that there were some compliant against the Applicant in respect of some fire incident occurred in 2017 and that was the reason for not giving NOC for gratuity. Be that as it may, since admittedly there was no such D.E. or criminal prosecution on the date of retirement the act of withholding gratuity <i>ex-facie</i> is incorrect.</p> <p>5. Three days time is granted to file short Affidavit-in-Reply so that O.A. can be disposed of expeditiously on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 13.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1179 of 2019</p> <p>C.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit of the Applicant to clarify certain aspects. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned P.O. short time is granted to take instructions on the Additional Affidavit filed today and for hearing. 4. S.O. to 13.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.380 of 2021 with O.A. No.392 of 2021</p> <p>B.M. Chodankar & 7 Ors. S.S. Sali & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. one week time is granted for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.864 of 2021</p> <p>J.R. SonkawadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. Smt. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. In view of leave note adjourned to 15.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.644 of 2021 with M.A. No.325 of 2022</p> <p>A.J. SayyedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.2 along with Annexure in respect of competency of the Collector/ S.D.O. to transfer Talathi. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file counter Affidavit, if any. 4. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 13.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.351 of 2022 in O.A. No.504 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for interim relief in O.A. challenging transfer order dated 25.05.2022. While considering interim relief Tribunal by order dated 01.06.2022 held that the Applicant is already relieved from his present post and secondly he is transferred in same district, therefore interim relief was rejected.</p> <p>3. In view of above, now question^{of} considering interim relief does not survives, O.A. be expedited so as to decide on merit.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to file Affidavit-in-Reply within two weeks.</p> <p>5. M.A. No.351/2022 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. O.A. is adjourned to 21.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.125 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. N.S. BambaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present & Shri S. Gawade, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is also present. 3. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. M.A. be kept for hearing. 5. S.O. to 27.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.472 of 2021</p> <p>P.B.ChawdhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>