

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.455 of 2021</p> <p>M. R. KasaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is directed to remove the office objections since impugned orders are not legible.</p> <p>3. It be listed after removing objection.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.411 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. ShendkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is indeed seeking exemption from the applicability of the provisions 4 and 6 of Revenue Division Allotment for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts of Group 'A' and Group 'B' (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) of the Government of Maharashtra Rules, 2015. The Applicant claims to be single parent and claim exemption under Rules 7 of Rules.</p> <p>3. In first round of litigation in 239/2019 directions were given to decide representation of the Applicant dated 31.10.2016 within six weeks in accordance to Rule, however it came to be rejected and hence this O.A. is filed.</p> <p>4. Subsequent important development is that cabinet has taken decision on 24.03.2021 to exempt single parent from Applicability of Rules, 2015. Thus in view of that decision there will be necessary amendment in Rules 2015. However, fact remains that cabinet has already taken decision and there will be necessary amendment to that effect in due course.</p> <p>5. In view of this subsequent development the Applicant needs to file a fresh representation before Respondent No.1 to consider her claim for exemption on the basis of decision taken by cabinet.^[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Shri M.D. Lonkar fairly states that his client would make representation within a week and O.A. to be disposed of with direction to decide same within stipulated period.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. also fairly concedes that O.A. be disposed of by giving direction to decide his representation.</p> <p>8. In view of above, the Applicant is allowed to withdraw the present O.A.</p> <p>9. The Applicant is directed to make representation to Respondent No.1 within a week from today and if such representation is made, Respondent No.1 shall decide the same within six weeks from the date of receipt of representation in accordance to Rules taking into consideration the decision of cabinet, and it shall be communicated to the Applicant two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>11. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, she may avail further remedy in accordance to law. All the contentions raised in O.A. are kept open.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.280 of 2020</p> <p>R.T. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.08.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.792 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. D.B. BamaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. short time is granted to file Reply of Director Health Services, Mumbai to appraise the Tribunal what action is taken against the concerned responsible Officer in the terms of order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for compliance as well as hearing of R.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. 15.07.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

08.07.2021

O.A 294/2020

Shri Mahesh Arjun Kute ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O on instructions from Mr Phondke, Under Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, submits that the file of this matter is sent to G.A.D for opinion as period of one year has lapsed and there are other matters also where the Government will face the same situation. In the present case the period of wait list of one year is from the date of recommendation of the name of the candidates sent by M.P.S.C to the Government on 17.3.2020.

3. We make it clear that the 2018 batch of PSI went for training on 24.6.2021, where Mr Savle's name is included. However, Mr Savle was sent for training in the earlier batch on 7.1.2020. It is necessary for the learned P.O to take instructions as per Rules calling the name from the waiting list when the Government had knowledge that Mr Savle is not going to join the 2018 PSI batch.

4. At the request of learned P.O, matter stands adjourned to 20.7.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

08.07.2021

O.A 340/2011

Shri K.P Shah & Ors ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr U.V Bhosle, holding for Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Mr A. Khandekar, learned counsel with Ms Archana B.K and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. It is confirmed that the pleadings of both the parties is complete. Learned counsel Mr Bhosle informs that the applicants are in the process of appointing counsel in place of Mr M.R. Patil, learned counsel as he is physically unfit to come and argue the matter.

3. It is to be noted that this is last chance for the applicants to engage Advocate of their choice.

4. S.O to 27.7.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N(Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.453 of 2021</p> <p>N.A. BordeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 08.06.2021 rejecting her claim to treat the period from 02.09.2020 to 18.01.2021 as duty period. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.08.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 05.08.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.792 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. D.B. BamaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. short time is granted to file Reply of Director Health Services, Mumbai to appraise the Tribunal what action is taken against the concerned responsible Officer in the terms of order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for compliance as well as hearing of R.A.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.90 of 2021 in O.A. No.164 of 2021</p> <p>R.B. PingleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Respondents No.2 & 3 have only filed Reply to M.A. and remaining Respondents have not filed Reply though enough time is granted, hence M.A. to proceed in absence of their Reply. 3. On request of learned P.O., adjourned for tomorrow for hearing of M.A. 4. S.O. to 09.07.2021. <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.524 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. Sapkal & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.166 of 2020</p> <p>U.S. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and her Advocate both are absent. 2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record. 4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is lastly granted for filing of Reply on behalf of remaining Respondents, if any. 5. S.O to 23.07.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.314 of 2021</p> <p>S.N. TembeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.4, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and Shri Aniket Ransubhe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request on learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.309 of 2021 with M.A. No.211 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.08.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 05.08.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.211 of 2021 in O.A. No.309 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.102 of 2021</p> <p>A.K.N.A.K. PathanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Pleading is complete. 3. O.A. is admitted for Final Hearing. 4. S.O. to 06.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.40 of 2021</p> <p>S.K. PatoleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed, hence I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. Hence adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.422 of 2020</p> <p>D.R. BhosleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar submits that Rejoinder will be filed if possible during the course of the day or before next date.</p> <p>3. Matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder in mean time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.486 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. TejaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.110 of 2020</p> <p>A.S.A.S. NadeemApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and her Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Today matter is for filing Reply but the same is not filed though enough time is granted. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 06.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.459 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is serving as Laboratory Assistant at Regional Referral Health Services Hospital, Nashik. She has already completed six years tenure on that post and is due for transfer. She has requested for transfer at Rural Hospital, Girnare, Dist. Nashik. Civil Service Board (C.S.B.) has already recommended her name however, till date no orders are issued. 3. The Applicant has therefore filed this O.A. for direction to consider her representation since she apprehend that Respondent No.5 may succeed in getting posting at Girnare which is sought by her. 4. Learned P.O. fairly concedes that direction be given to consider her representation and O.A. be disposed of. 5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.4 to consider the representation of the Applicant and to pass appropriate order in accordance to law and Rules therein within two weeks time from today. 6. No order as to costs. 7. Hamdast granted. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.912 of 2015</p> <p>S.G. Deshpande & OrsApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1,2 &3, Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38 .</p> <p>2. Respondents No.19,27,31 and 44 seems to be represented by Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate who is absent today.</p> <p>3. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate made statement that Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate will take necessary steps since he wants to discharge from the matter. He needs to send notices to the concerned Respondents for discharge.</p> <p>4. Apart the perusal of record reveals that there is no appearance on behalf of remaining Respondents nor there is any record of service of notices on them.</p> <p>5. Unless all Respondents are served matter cannot be taken up for Final Hearing.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is further directed to take necessary steps for the services of the remaining Respondents, if not already served, within two weeks.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 23.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.267 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. S.M. Nilange & EtcApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1064, 1065, 1066 with 1067 of 2019</p> <p>E.J. Barshinge ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder in O.A.No.1064/2016 and adopted the same in O.A.Nos.1065, 1066 and 1067 of 2016. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that in these Original Applications challenge is to the appointment of Enquiry Officer but subsequently Departmental Enquires are already completed and subjected to various punishments against which the Applicant has already filed O.A.Nos.1122/2019, 1123/2019, 1124/2019, 1128/2019 and O.A.1127 of 2019. Learned P.O. therefore submits that these O.A.s has become infructuous since DEs are already completed and punishment imposed therein is also under challenged in O.A.s filed in the year 2019.</p> <p>3. Whereas learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that in these O.A.s , challenge is the appointment of Enquiry Officer itself since it is contrary to law and therefore, those are maintainable and heard on merit. <i>needs to be heard</i></p> <p>4. In view of above, it would be appropriate to decide all these O.A.s along with O.A.s of 2019 together.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply in O.A.s 2019 so that all these O.A.s can be heard together. <i>of</i></p> <p>6. S.O. to 27.07.2021. Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I) P.O.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.194 of 2021 in O.A.No.594 of 2015</p> <p>M. V. GawasApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By present M. A. the Applicant sought to add one more ground to challenge the impugned action <i>inter-alia</i> contending that there is a breach of the provisions of Rule 8 (20) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979.</p> <p>3. As such, by proposed amendment, the Applicant wants to add one more legal ground to challenge the impugned action.</p> <p>4. It is the question of law, it will not change the nature of O.A.</p> <p>5. In view of above, amendment is allowed. It be incorporated within a week</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. is at liberty to file the reply to the amended O.A.</p> <p>7. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 22.07.2021 for O.A. No.594/2015.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)^{P.T.O.}</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.225 of 2021 in O.A.No.338 of 2021</p> <p>L. T. GulveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant in person is absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Original Applicant.</p> <p>2. This M.A. registered as M.A.No.225/2021 seems to have been filed by intervener namely Lakshman Gulve and has taken circulation from Registrar for hearing on today. However, he is absent on call.</p> <p>3. Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicant appearing in O.A. 338/2021 submitted that he is taking this M.A. by surprise since he is not served with the copy of M.A.. He, therefore, submits that it be dismissed in default.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. also submits that there is no service of M.A.</p> <p>5. Since, the M.A. is coming before the Tribunal today for the first time and the Applicant is absent, in the interest of justice we are inclined to give one opportunity to the intervener to remain present for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.07.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.304 of 2016</p> <p>P. N. DalalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client has already applied under RTI to get information about the DPC meeting in the year 1996-1997 from the office of Special Inspector General, Kokan Range and requested for two weeks time to produce the same on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.293 of 2015</p> <p>S. T. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri P. V. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has instructions from Advocate Shri P. V. Patil to seek withdrawal of O.A. since the Applicant is already selected to the post of Naib Tahsildar. 3. Thus, grievance of the Applicant seems to have been redressed. 4. Indeed, O.A. was filed for selection on the post of Talathi whereas as per information of learned Counsel for the Applicant the Applicant is selected on the post of Naib Tahsildar in subsequent process. 5. Thus, O.A. has become infructuous and accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 123 of 2016 With O.A. No.124 of 2016</p> <p>A. P. Bhoje M. W. GhanteApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals hat the Applicants and their Counsel both are continuously absent and the matters were adjourned from time to time to give reasonable opportunity to them but in vain.</p> <p>3. Thus, the Applicants and their Counsel appear not interested in matters, both O.A. are, therefore, dismissed in default.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.315 of 2016 with O.A. No.425 of 2016 with O.A. No.426 of 2016</p> <p>S. J. Wayachal & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. submits that Original Applications are being conducted by Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer but she is unable to remain present today and requested to adjourn the matters on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.862 of 2016</p> <p>A. J. Telvekar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel submits that he is appearing in place of Counsel Shri M. R. Patil and ^{will} argue the matter on next date. He, therefore, requested to adjourn the matter to next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.544 of 2015</p> <p>A.A. YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A. the Applicant has challenged order dated 28.10.2014 whereby, sports certificate of the Applicant was invalidated as well as the order dated 08.12.2014 whereby appointment of the Applicant for the post of Police Constable from sports category stands cancelled.</p> <p>3. During the pendency of hearing learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that subsequently Government had issued G.R. dated 30.12.2013 as well as 19.01.2017 to remove doubts and anomaly crept in earlier G.R.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has further referred decision rendered by this Tribunal in 699/2016 and 818/2016 decided by common judgment dated 23.02.2017 where in similar situation directions were given to Respondents to consider the claim of the Applicant in view of G.R. dated 19.01.2017 and to take decision within a month.</p> <p>5. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that now Respondents are required to consider the Applicant's case afresh in terms of G.R. dated 19.01.2017.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.452 of 2021</p> <p>V.E. JogApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 28.06.2021 without exhausting other remedies and without filing any representation before the Department. 3. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that in view of serious mis-conduct the Applicant seems to be suspended under contemplation of D.E. 4. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief at this stage. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.07.2021. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.


11. S.O. to 29.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.438 of 2021</u></p> <p>Sunil P. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is working on the post of Band Major since 2015. He further submits that after death of earlier occupant the applicant had submitted representation (Exhibit E page 19). He further submits that his representation has not been considered till date.</p> <p>3. We direct the respondents to examine his representation (Exhibit E page 19) and decide the same, if not yet decided, on merits as per the prevailing rules within a period of two months and communicate decision to the applicant within ten days thereafter. OA disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 8.7.2021 8.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.07.2021.

O.A.No.370 of 2021

R.A.R. Shaikh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. H.S. Chitale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks four weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. Respondents are directed to ensure that the affidavit-in-reply is filed within a period of four weeks so that the matter for interim relief can be examined.

4. Adjourned to 06.08.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.07.2021.

O.A.No.144 of 2021

S.S. Khaladkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks three weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. Adjourned to 03.08.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk


FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021.</p> <p>O.A.No.317 of 2021</p> <p>V.D. Rajigare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks three weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.08.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>^{04/11/21} (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.07.2021.</p> <p>O.A.No.133 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. N.K. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. seeks adjournment as she has received no instructions.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to ensure that there affidavit-in-reply is filed within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 22.07.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA.35/2017 in RA.7/2016 in OA.1235/2009</u></p> <p>S.T. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, Ld. Counsel with Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.1 is prepared but still to be affirmed. As Ld. CPO would be available on the next date, she seeks adjournment till 13.7.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel submits that applicant is entitled for pensionary benefits and respondents should consider their request accordingly without waiting for decision of the Tribunal and prays that matter may be adjourned to 23.7.2021.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO is directed to serve copy of affidavit in reply to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant by 15.7.2021.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 8.7.2021 8.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.7 of 2021 in O.A. No.891 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces order dated 30.6.2021 passed by Divisional Commissioner, Koknan. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification and copy given to Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he proposes to examine the same for further necessary action.</p> <p>4. In view of the same nothing remains in the CA and the same is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 8.7.2021 8.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.15 of 2021 in O.A. No.83 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.C. Lilani & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that this CA is filed due to non compliance of the order dated 19.11.2020 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA. He further submits that contemnor has been served on 19.3.2021 and 23.3.2021. However, he has not produced copy of service. He is directed to do so.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants further submits that in case of his colleague Shri Anant Bhosale in OA No.1049 of 2016 decided on 12.1.2017 this Tribunal has given similar relief and it is implemented.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO on instructions submits that he may be given three weeks time for communicating the progress in the matter.</p> <p>5. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 29.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 8.7.2021 8.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.73 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.R. Manaware & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply dated 25.6.2021 of Shri Deepak B. More, Under Secretary, GAD, Mantralaya, Mumbai on behalf of respondent no.2. The same is taken on record and copy given to Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place the above matter for final hearing on 31.8.2021 with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 8.7.2021 8.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.178 of 2020</u></p> <p>C.T. Chaudhari & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 8.7.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.770 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.R. Kalaskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply would be filed during the course of the day and copy will be provided to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks three weeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 8.7.2021 8.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.439 of 2021</u></p> <p>Urmila Dipak More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant is widow and legal representative of deceased Dipak Madhukar More, who was compulsorily retired on 11.3.2019 by way of punishment. She had submitted appeal dated 22.12.2020 against the same to respondent no.1. However, by impugned order dated 22.2.2021 (Exhibit A page 21) respondent no.1 declined to forward the appeal to respondent no.2. She therefore pleads that her appeal should be heard by respondent no.2 and directions should be issued to the concerned accordingly.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.8.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
8.7.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
8.7.2021

(sgj)