

**M.A.NO.112/2021 IN O.A.NO.386/2020**  
(Ganga Suryavanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.C.Bhosle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned CPO seeks time for filing reply in this M.A. Affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent no.3 in the main O.A.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant presses for interim relief. In earlier order dated 07-05-2021, it is already observed that the applicant has been relieved from her post on 07-05-2021.

4. In view thereof, we are of the considered view that this M.A. would be considered by giving an opportunity to the respondents to file reply. Time is granted.

5. S.O. to 18-06-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.389/2020**

**(Radhika Sudarshan Khare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.390/2020**

**(Aashatai Pandurang Metkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**AND**

**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.M.Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Deepali Deshpande and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents.

2. Reply has been already filed by the respondent no.3.
3. S.O. to 18-06-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.142/2021**  
**(Santosh D. Chatupale [Zalte] Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavits in reply filed on behalf of respondent nos.1, 2 & 4 and respondent no.3 are taken on record. Copies thereof have been served on the other side.
3. S.O. to 07-07-2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.63/2020**  
**(Ganesh V. Polas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Case is reserved for order.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 45 OF 2019  
(Sitaram M. Bhokare Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sandeep G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 02.07.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 8.6.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 833/2019  
(Komal R. Rathod Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prashant M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Ujwal S. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. The applicant is challenging the list of selected candidates dated 09.09.2019 to the extent of posts of Forest Guard (Open Female Category) declared by respondent No. 2. She is also seeking direction to the respondent No. 2 to add her name in the select list of the post of Forest Guard from the category of Open Female Category.

3. The record shows that the affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 has already been filed on record. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 29.6.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A. NO. 2/2021 (W.P.NO. 261/2021)**  
**(Smt. Samiksha R. Chandrakar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri Ujwal S. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 7 to 9. Learned Advocate Shri Ujwal S. Patil submits that he is also appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 5, 6 & 10. Today, he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 5 and the same is taken on record.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 7.6.2021 received by him from the respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record.

3. The record shows that affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 has already been filed on record. The record further shows that rejoinder affidavit of the applicant has also already been filed on record.

4. It is the contention of the respondents that hearing of matter of similar nature is going on before the Hon'ble

:: - 2 - ::      **T.A. NO. 2/2021**  
**(W.P.NO. 261/2021)**

Principal Seat at Mumbai and the said matter is fixed tomorrow i.e. on 09.06.2021.

5.     Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4. Learned Advocates for respondent Nos. 5 to 10 also seek time for filing affidavit in reply on their behalf. Time granted.

6.     In the above circumstances, S.O. to 17.06.2021. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 8.6.2021-HDD



**T.A. NO. 1/2021 (W.P. NO. 4908/2021)  
(Shivaji T. Shinde & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri Ujwal S. Patil, learned Advocate appeared for respondent Nos. 3 to 5 and Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 6 to 8.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 7.6.2021 received by him from the respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record. In view of the same he seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

3. Respective learned Advocates for the private respondents submit that they will be in the position to file affidavit in reply on behalf of private respondents only after reply filed by respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

4. It is the contention of the respondents that hearing of matter of similar nature is going on before the Hon'ble

**:: - 2 - :: T.A. NO. 1/2021  
(W.P.NO. 4908/2021)**

Principal Seat at Mumbai and the said matter is fixed tomorrow i.e. on 09.06.2021.

5. In this case pleadings are yet to be complete. In view of the same, S.O. to 17.06.2021 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 8.6.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 117/2019  
(Sainath K. Matkar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prasad D. Jarare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The record shows that the pleadings are complete. Considering the nature of the proceeding, this matter is kept for hearing at the stage of admission itself.

3. S.O. to 07.07.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. NO. 23/2020 IN O.A.NO. 769/2018  
(Pralhad C. Shelke Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief presenting Officer, S.O. to 06.07.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 8.6.2021-HDD

**C.P.NO. 54/2019 IN O.A.NO. 338/2017  
(Dr. Govind K. Reddy Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri P.R. Tandle, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 has already been filed on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 submits that the State has filed Writ Petition No. 1556/2021 and bunch challenging the order passed in O.A. No. 338/2017 before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Aurangabad.

4. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on his behalf stating that draft reply is ready and it is to be settled and affirmed.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that though the respondents have filed aforesaid Writ Petitions,

**:: - 2 - :: C.P.NO. 54/2019 IN  
O.A.NO. 338/2017**

no stay has been granted by the Hon'ble High Court for proceeding in the present contempt petition.

6. In the facts and circumstances, time is granted to the respondent No. 5 to file affidavit in reply.

7. S.O. to 13.07.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 8.6.2021-HDD

**C.P.NO. 56/2019 IN O.A.NO. 421/2017  
(Dr. Shyamrao L. Sawant Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer appearing on behalf of respondents submits that the State has filed Writ Petition No. 1556/2021 and bunch challenging the order passed in O.A. No. 421/2017 before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Aurangabad.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that though the respondents have filed aforesaid Writ Petitions, no stay has been granted by the Hon'ble High Court for proceeding in the present contempt petition.

6. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time is granted.

7. S.O. to 13.07.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO. 60/2019 IN O.A.NO. 422/2017  
(Dr. Sheshrao M. Narwade Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer appearing on behalf of respondents submits that the State has filed Writ Petition No. 1556/2021 and bunch challenging the order passed in O.A. No. 422/2017 before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Aurangabad.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that though the respondents have filed aforesaid Writ Petitions, no stay has been granted by the Hon'ble High Court for proceeding in the present contempt petition.

6. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time is granted.

7. S.O. to 13.07.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**C.P.NO. 15/2014 IN O.A.NO. 1128/1999  
(Revannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.P. Runwal, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.V. Adwant, learned Special Counsel for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the matter has been listed on or after about two years. He has lost touch with the applicant. In view of the same, he seeks time for seeking further instructions in the matter. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ORAL ORDERS 8.6.2021-HDD

**MEMBER (J)**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 01 OF 2021**  
**(Rakesh S. Kapdne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : V.D. DONGRE, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 08.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Santosh B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 07.12.2020 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 29.10.2020 the office has raised the following office objections:-

- 1) This matter comes within the jurisdiction of Mumbai Bench;
- 2) Word Annexure not used uniformly on documents filed with memo;
- 3) O.A. appears to be barred by limitation in view of applicant's first application dated 20.07.2017; and
- 4) In prayer clause 'E' year is wrong.

3. Vide office note dated 07.12.2020 Registrar of this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench noted that nobody appeared for the applicant and office objections are not removed.

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 01 OF 2021**

4. It appears from the record that the applicant has removed the office objection Nos. 2 & 4 on 04.11.2020. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objection Nos. 1 & 3 within a reasonable period.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed pursis today stating therein that he would file application for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. St. No. 1096/2020. Learned Advocate for the applicant also prays for condonation of delay of about 6 days caused in filing Chamber Appeal.

6. Technically, the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The fact that the O.A. is filed challenging G.R. of compassionate appointment (Lad-Page Samiti) and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal by condoning the delay of about 6 days caused in filing Chamber Appeal, as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate. Hence, the following order:

**:: - 3 - ::**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 01 OF 2021**

**ORDER**

(i) Delay of 6 days caused in filing Chamber Appeal is hereby condoned. The Chamber Appeal No. 01/2021 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objection Nos. 1 & 3 within a reasonable period.

(iii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to register the O.A. St. No. 1096/2020 after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant and place the same before the appropriate bench for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 08.06.2021-HDD