

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015).

ISpl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 8/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 & 2. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> for RAG. <u>324.</u> O.A. is formally admitted. S.O. to 20/6/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(vsm)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.419 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri R. H. Jadhav ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Shri A. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Reply of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 is taken on record.</p> <p>The OA is formally admitted and appointed for final hearing on 20.06.2017, making it clear that if the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 want to file reply, they must file it on that day and not thereafter. The same direction holds for Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>S.O. to 20.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>RB</u> (R.B. Malik) 8.6.17 Member (J) 08.06.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. In <u>13/6/2017</u> Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p align="right"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>08.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 465/2015</u></p> <p>Shri G.R Karpe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants states that the controversy is extremely limited and it shall suffice if Respondents no 1 & 2 consider the Applicant's representation dated 31.5.2017, Exh. 'X', page 117. 3. In view of the limited request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the Respondents are directed to respond to the aspect referred to in the foregoing paragraph. 4. For enabling the Respondents to make statement, adjourned to 13.6.2017. 5. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed. <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H JOSHI, J.) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.06.2017.

O.A.No.1160 of 2016

I.M.S. Shaikh

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 6 has tendered affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.4 to 6 which is affirmed by Shri Prashant S. Kuchekar, residing at Pune. It is taken on record.

3. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 prays for two week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. Time as prayed for is granted.

5. Adjourned to 21.06.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

DATE: 8/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

holding for Shri S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents 1 & 2

Adv. M.D. Lonkar for R. 4 to 6.

Adj. To 21/6/2017.

prk

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.06.2017

R.A 3/2017 in O.A No 546/2016

Shri A.L Jadhav ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate
for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Panchal stated that
during the pendency of the O.A, this Tribunal
noted contention of the learned P.O that there
was no possibility of forcible eviction of the
Applicant and the same order was continued till
final disposal of the O.A.

Now the Applicant is apprehending that on
the basis of order dated 4.5.2017 forcible eviction
proceedings may be started against the
Applicant. He, therefore, prays that the same
may not be resorted till the final disposal of the
Review Application.

The matter is now fixed for final hearing
tomorrow, i.e. on 9.6.2017 and till the final
disposal of the Review Application, the
Respondents may not action to forcibly evict the
Applicant from the premises he is occupying.

S.O to 9.6.2017 at 3.00 pm.

Rajiv Agarwal
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 8/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri K. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri ~~.....~~ R.G. Panchal

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri ~~.....~~ A.J. Chougule

CEO/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 9/6/17

at 3.00 PM

[Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.474 of 2017

B.K. Kadam ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Reserving the liberty to renew the request for interim relief, I direct notice to issue returnable on 15.06.2017 along that day Affidavit-in-Reply must be filed and the relevant record be kept for perusal, if need be. Hamdast.

Issue notice returnable on 15.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 15.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 8/6/17
Member (J)
08.06.2017

DATE: 8/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

CFO/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To. S.O. to 15/6/17

[Signature]

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.155 of 2017
In
O.A. No.118 of 2017

Shri P.A. Shinde ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri Ulhas Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos 1 & 5 and Shri S.S. Mundhe, the learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 to 4.

Hearing the submission at the bar, I am of the opinion that the application for amendment survives test of the law and the same is, therefore, allowed.

The amendment be incorporated within two weeks from today and consolidated copy of the OA after amendment be filed and copies be furnished to the other side post amendment.

The OA stands adjourned for Affidavit-in-Reply to 10.07.2017.

DATE: 8/6/17

~~CORAM:~~

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ulhas Shinde

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

~~PRO/P.O. for the Respondent No. 1 & 5.~~

S.S. Mundhe for R. Nos. 2 to 4.

Adj. To: O.A. Adj. to 10/7/17.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 8-6-17
Member (J)
08.06.2017

(vsm)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.475 of 2017

G.K. More ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Reserving the liberty to renew the request for interim relief, I direct notice to issue returnable on 15.06.2017 along that day Affidavit-in-Reply must be filed and the relevant record be kept for perusal, if need be. Hamdast.

Issue notice returnable on 15.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 15.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 8/6/17
Member (J)
08.06.2017

DATE: 8/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RANVIL KAPWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 15/6/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.471 of 2017

Shri S.L. Pathare ... Applicant
V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Reserving the liberty to renew the request for interim relief, I direct notice to issue returnable on 15.06.2017 along that day Affidavit-in-Reply must be filed and the relevant record be kept for perusal if need be. Hamdast.

Issue notice returnable on 15.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 15.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
08.06.2017

DATE: 8/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri E. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

CPO/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 15/6/17.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.06.2017

O.A 488/2017

Shri K.A Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent no. 1 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for Respondents no 24, 26, 42 & 90.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants Shri Bandiwadkar states that he would furnish address of the officers giving address of present posting of each officers. If this is done, then it will be convenient for the Applicants to serve them.

3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 21.7.2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Promotion orders issued, will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.

9. S.O 21.7.2017. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agastwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 8/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasubramanian (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s No. 1.

Adv. Mrs. Punam Mahajan for

Ad. To R.No. 24, 26, 42 & 90.

S.O. to 21/7/2017.

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.A. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ad. To <u>MA is allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B/E</u></p>	<p>08.06.2017</p> <p><u>M.A 228/2017 in O.A 488/2017</u></p> <p>Shri K.A Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent no. 1 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for Respondents no 24, 26, 42 & 90.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly was heard.</p> <p>3. Considering the facts and concurrence of the case that cause is common and concurrent to all applicants, leave to sue jointly is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 8/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise C.P.O./P.Q. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 24/7/17.</p>	<p><u>08.06.2017</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 215/2017</u></p> <p>Shri Anil Ramsing Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Taken on Board. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 24.7.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O 24.7.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, V) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To. 2/7/2017</p> <p align="right">BTE</p>	<p align="center"><u>08.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 316/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.N Zagade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 21.7.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O 21.7.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>3/6/2017</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) <i>Rajiv Aggarwal 2/16</i></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>MA is allowed.</u> <i>BTE</i></p>	<p><u>08.06.2017</u></p> <p><u>M.A 159/2017 in O.A 316/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.N Zagade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>8/6/2017</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>CA is disposed of.</u></p> <p align="right"><u>BE</u></p>	<p align="center"><u>08.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 101/2016 in O.A 1264/2013</u></p> <p>Shri R.M Kashelkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states that the revision of pay in terms of the order passed in O.A no 1264/2013 is done and arrears of Rs. 8,35,886/- is paid to the Applicant in April, 2017.</p> <p>3. In view of the compliance, we disposed of the Contempt Application.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, P.O.) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 8/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To. OA is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>08.06.2017</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 732/2015</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Samudre ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant has instructed that the Original Application be withdrawn.</p> <p>3. In view of the request of the Applicant, learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for permission to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>4. Original Application is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sri/Smt.: <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Sri-/Smt.: <u>A.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>12/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.A.</u></p>	<p>08.06.2017</p> <p><u>C.A 79/2016 in O.A 677/2012</u></p> <p>Dr V.T Tidke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer adjourned to 12.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H Joshi/J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 8/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 12/6/2017</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 08.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1174 of 2016</p> <p>A.R. KadamApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Affidavit-in-reply is ready. However, in view of the subsequent developments, Government will have to reconsider to modify it.</p> <p>(b) Time may be granted for the same.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>12/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>Date : 08.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.42 of 2017</p> <p>P.J. PawarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time to :-</p> <p>(a) Consider the order passed by this Tribunal on 30.03.2017.</p> <p>(b) Consider the stance to be taken by the Government for discussing with the officers concerned.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To <u>14/6/2017</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1105 of 2016 with O.A.No.1114 of 2016</p> <p>A.C. Rane & Ors. (O.A.No.1105/2016) B.M. Sonawane (O.A.No.1114/2016)Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for time to prepare and address.</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 14.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>A.H. Joshi</u>) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJY AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> <u>Reply filed by R.No. 122.</u> <u>S.O. to 15/6/17</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (vsm)</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.145 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.S. Jog ... Applicant</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. is being instructed by Smt Vrinda S. Mahabale, Assistant Commissioner of Sales Tax (Est. 3), O/of Special Commissioner of Sales Tax. MS, Mumbai. Affidavit-in-Reply is taken on record.</p> <p>Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant does not want to file rejoinder.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 15.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (R.B. Malik) 8.6.17 Member (J) 08.06.2017</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 15/6/17.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.472 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S. C. Pawar ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Reserving the liberty to renew the request for interim relief, I direct notice to issue returnable on 15.06.2017 along that day Affidavit-in-Reply must be filed and the relevant record be kept for perusal if need be. Hamdast.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 15.06.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 15.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik) <u>8/6/17</u> Member (J) 08.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.130/2017

Mr. S.P. Kumavat ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The arguments are heard substantially in this suspension related OA. Therefore, it has now become a Part Heard OA. Even as I heard the submissions, it does appear that the words to the effect, "Amchi adjustment kara" is of momentous significance and there is nothing on record to help elaborate thereon. Further, the suspension was made effective from 25.1.2017 and granting all latitude to all concerned, the Respondents may have to strive ~~hard~~ to make good their case for suspension. It appears that, so far as the review of the suspension has not been made, even though the suspension was more than four months back. I, therefore, direct the Respondents to take a review of the order of suspension of the Applicant within two weeks from today and convey its outcome to the Applicant within three working days. The OA stands adjourned to 28th June, 2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 8.6.17
Member (J)
08.06.2017

(skw)

DATE: 8/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi~~

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To. 28/6/17

PH
@/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.473 of 2017

S.J. Jorvekar ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Reserving the liberty to renew the request for interim relief, I direct notice to issue returnable on 15.06.2017 along that day Affidavit-in-Reply must be filed and the relevant record be kept for perusal, if need be. Hamdast.

Issue notice returnable on 15.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 15.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 8/6/17
Member (J)
08.06.2017

DATE: 8/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 15/6/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.422 of 2017

Shri A.D. Sawant ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 06.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 06.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 8/6/17
Member (J)
08.06.2017

DATE:

8/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougale

Chartered P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. to 5.0.10 6/7/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 8/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/s <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Respondents Reply filed by R. 100-3 Adj. To 5.0 to 29/6/17.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.107 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S. A. Nalawade ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. informs that the Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. On this statement having been made, the OA stands adjourned for rejoinder to 29.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 08.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>