MUMBAI			
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		Applicant/s	
(Advocate)		
	ve	ersus	
The S	tate of Mal	harashtra and others	
		Respondent/s	
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	ım,	Tribunal's orders Date: 08.05.2024	
		O.A. No.276 of 2024	
		V.G. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
		1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. Shri D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 5 & Respondent No. 6.	
		2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presented copy of Department of Personal and Training Office Memorandum No. 36035/44/2023 – Estt (Res- II) dated 02.02.2024 to emphasize that updated guidelines have been recently issued by Government of India regarding transfers of 'Government Servants' who themselves are 'Disabled Persons' or 'Care Givers' to 'Disabled Persons under provisions of 'The Right of Persons Disability Act 2016'.	
		3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon referred to decision taken by Home Department as per directions in 'Para 9' of Order dated 29.02.2024 to review Order dated 28.02.2024 by which Applicant has been transferred from post of 'DCP (Traffic); Pimpr Chinchwad' to post of 'SP' Maharashtra Police	

Academy; Nasik'. She emphasized that case of Applicant was not sympathetically reviewed even though his 'Child' is 'Mentally Retarded' to extent of 75%, which is 'Benchmark Disability' under 'The Right of Persons with

Disabilities Act 2016'.

Tribunal's orders

- Learned Advocate then 4. for **Applicant** emphasized that as Home Department has not given commiserate re-consideration to case of Applicant and as there are no 'Specific Guidelines' of Home Department with respect to transfers of 'Police Personnel' who have legal entitlements either as 'Disabled Persons' or 'Care Givers' to 'Disabled Persons' as per provisions of 'The Right of Persons with Disabilities Act 2016'; therefore case of Applicant be heard on points of law and merit after 'General Elections: Lok Sabha 2024'.
- 5. Learned PO to forward copy of Department of Personal and Training Office Memorandum No. 36035/44/2023 Estt (Res- II) dated 02.02.2024 to Home Department; so as to expeditiously ascertain their views about how they intend to concomitantly observe provisions of 'Maharashtra Police Act 1951' relating to transfers of 'Police Personnel' and protect legal entitlements of 'Police Personnel' who are either 'Disabled Persons' or 'Care Giver' to 'Disabled Persons' as per provisions 'The Right of Persons with Disabilities Act 2016'.
- 6. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
- 7. S.O. to 10.06.2024.

Sd/ish Chakrabart

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

. M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.592 of 2024

S.D. Turbadkar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant was working on the post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology) Group B, Grant Government Medical College & J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' when she came to be transferred to post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology), Government Medical College, Alibag' on 30.06.2023.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that consequent to her transfer to post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology), Government Medical College, Alibag' she had sought relief by filing O.A. No.941/2023 in which 'Interim Relief' was granted to her on 31.07.2023.

Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that having been granted 'Interim Relief' on 31.07.2023 she went to join back on post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology) Group B, Grant Government Medical College & J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' on 09.11.2023 but she was informed that orders would be required from 'Medical Education & Drugs Department'. Hence, Applicant was left with no choice and continued to serve on post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology), Government Medical College, Alibag' out was nonetheless entitled to retain 'Government Quarters' in Mumbai having been granted 'Interim Relief' on 31.0, 2023.

Tribunal's orders

- 5. Learned P.O. states that 'Dean' of 'Grant Government Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' had subsequently submitted proposal to 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' on 29.11.2023. However as no reply has yet been received; it is true that Applicant was not allowed to join back on post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology) Group B, Grant Government Medical College & J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' inspite of having been granted 'Interim Relief' on 31.07.2023.
- 6. Learned P.O. further states that Applicant having been transferred from post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology) Group B, Grant Government Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' on 30.06.2023, thereafter could not have continued to stay in 'Government Quarter' in Mumbai. Therefore; 'Dean' of 'Grant Government Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' was justified in initiating recovery from Applicant of Rs.3,46,695/- towards arrears of 'Penal Rent' and 'Penal Rent' of Rs.71,730/- 'Per Month' for non-permitted occupation of 'Government Quarter' in Mumbai.
- 7. The 'Dean' of 'Grant Government Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' was required to immediately allow the Applicant to join back on post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology) Group B, Grant Government Medical College & J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' as she had been granted 'Interim Relief' on 31.07.2023.
- 8. The 'Dean of Grant Govt. Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' has thus grossly erred in not obeying order of 'Interim Relief' granted on 31.07.2023 to Applicant. The action taken by 'Dean of Grant Govt. Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' therefore 'prima facie' appears to be discriminatory against Applicant as several other 'Professor, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor' who were granted 'Interim Relief' have been permitted to join back but it appears only in case of Applicant that proposal was sent to 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' who also have chosen not to reply to proposal of the 'Dean' of 'Grant Government Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' dated 29.11.2023 but instead have now conveyed their decision to cancel 'Transfer Order'

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

dated 30.06.2023 of Applicant to post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology), Government Medical College, Alibag'. Hence, in the facts and circumstances mentioned above the recovery of 'Penal Rent' which has been conveyed to Applicant by 'Dean' of 'Grant Government Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' letter dated 01.04.2024 shall not be effected till further directions in this O.A. No.592/2024.

9. Learned P.O. is informed to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Dean' of 'Grant Government Medical College, J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' as to for what compelling reasons Applicant could not be permitted to join back on post of 'Assistant Professor (Microbiology) Group B, Grant Government Medical College & J.J. Group of Hospital Mumbai' when she reported on 09.11.2023 and why orders had been sought only in case of Applicant from 'Medical Education & Drugs Department' inspite of her been granted 'Interim Relief' on 31.07.2023.

10. S.O. to 21.06.2024.

Sd/(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

MN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.466 of 2024 (Speaking to Minutes)

G.S. Kendre Versus ...Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that in Order dated 02.05.2024 in 'Para No.4 Seventh Line' it has been wrongly mentioned as 'Special IGP, Nashik Range, Nashik'; whereas it should be corrected as 'Special IGP, Nagpur Range, Nagpur'.
- 3. The 'Para No. 4 Seventh Line' of Order dated 02.05.2024 in O.A. No.466/2024 to be corrected accordingly and read as 'Special IGP, Nagpur Range, Nagpur' upon approval of 'Speaking to the Minutes' in O.A. No. 466/2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

'GROUP - I' (C.P., Pimpri - Chinchwad)

O.A. No.124 of 2024 with O.A. No.125 of 2024 with O.A. No.150 of 2024 to O.A. No.153 of 2024 with O.A. No.318 of 2024 with O.A. No.319 of 2024

K.D. Patil

R.P. Bobade

R.N. Ghadge

S.B. Deshmukh

P.V. Jadhav

S.N. Tambe

J.P. Gaikwad

P.A. Kshirsagar

.....Applicants

'GROUP - II' (C.P., Pune & Thane)

O.A. No.298 of 2024 to O.A. No.301 of 2024

S.B. Mane

M.N. Jagtap

S.D. Jadhav

D.B. Chudappa

.....Applicants

'GROUP - III' (C.P., Nashik & S.P., Solapur, & Satara)

O.A. No.127 of 2024

S.B. Godase

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicants. Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant is Not Present. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officer for the Respondents in 'Group – I'; 'Group - II' & 'Group - III'. 'Law Officer' on behalf of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'

Tribunal's orders

A) O.A. No.124/2024, O.A. No.125/2024 & O.A. No.150/2024

- 2. Learned C.P.O. states that as per directions given in 'Para 8' of Order dated 04.04.2024, the 'Additional D.G.P (Establishment)' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' after giving 'Personal Hearing' to Applicants has been decided against accepting claims made for change of 'Home Districts'.
- 3. Learned C.P.O. then states that 'Date of Poll' for 'Pune Parliamentary Constituency' is 13.05.2024 and continuation of Applicants on their present posts as per 'Interim Relief' granted on 02.02.2024 would be thus in contravention of guidelines in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' because claims made for change of 'Home Districts' have been rejected by 'Additional D.G.P (Establishment)' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'. Subsequently; therefore Applicants have now come under preview of guidelines in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' regarding transfer on grounds of 'Home District'.
- 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant contended that claims made by Applicants for change of their 'Home District' have not been decided by the 'Additional D.G.P (Establishment)' in the office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' by taking into due consideration all the evidence in totality and therefore to ascertain how these decision have been arrived at it is necessary that Applicants are informed about the specific reasons for rejection of their claims of 'Home District'.
- 5. 'The Additional D.G.P (Establishment)' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' has not communicated the specific reasons as to why claims made by Applicant for change of 'Home District' were not accepted and thus 'Reasoned Orders' be passed within 'One Week' and communicated to the Applicants.
- 7. The Applicants are yet to complete their 'Normal Tenures' under the 'Section 22(N)(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' but yet had to be transferred on grounds of 'Home District' as per directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023'. The restrictive criteria of 'Home District' is not included as grounds for transfer under 'Maharashtra Police Act,

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

1951'. Hence, as cases of Applicants will have to be adjudicated as per provisions of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' being 'State Legislation' therefore orders of 'Interim Relief' granted on 02.02.2024 are modified to the extent that Applicants are to be attached to 'Control Room' or other such 'Functional Department' under the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' till decision of O.A. No.124/2024, O.A. No.125/2024 & O.A. No.150/2024.

- 8. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' thus would be at liberty to transfer other 'Police Inspectors' in place of the Applicants but such orders would subject to outcome of O.A. No.124/2024, O.A. No.125/2024 & O.A. No.150/2024.
- B) O.A No.151/2024, O.A. No.152/2024, O.A No.153/2024 & O.A No.127/2024
- 9. Learned C.P.O. presented copy of order passed by the 'Additional D.G.P (Establishment)' in the office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' rejecting the requests of Applicants in O.A No.151/2024, O.A. No.152/2024, O.A No.153/2024 & O.A No.127/2024 for consideration of 'Medical Grounds' of next of kin to seek modification of their transfers to establishments of (a) 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' and (b) 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'.
- 10. Learned C.P.O. stated that as 'Date of Poll' for 'Pune Parliamentary Constituency' is 13.05.2024 the continuation of Applicants on the their present posts at 'Police Stations' would be in contravention of directions of 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023'. Hence it was necessary to vacate orders of 'Interim Relief' granted on 02.02.2024 and direct Applicants to forthwith join in establishments of (a) 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' and

Tribunal's orders

- (b) 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' as per 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 31.01.2024 which were issued as per directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' especially because these Applicants have not only completed tenures of '3 Years' out of '4 Years' in 'Pune District' but even their tenures in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' are more than '3 Years'.
- 11. 'The Additional D.G.P (Establishment)' in the office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' by Order dated 26.04.2024 for reasons cited therein has not considered the requests of Applicants for modification of transfers by Order dated 30.01.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' which were to post them closer to 'Pune District'. Hence, upon completion of 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024' the requests of Applicants be reconsidered at the time of 'General Transfers 2024' when more options would be available to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' for taking into account the cited 'Personal Hardships' of Applicants.
- 12. The 'Interim Relief' granted on 02.02.2024 is thus vacated and 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' would be at liberty to transfer other 'Police Inspectors' in place of the Applicants but such orders would be subject to outcome of O.A No.151/2024, O.A. No.152/2024, O.A. No.153/2024 & O.A No.127/2024.
- 12. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

NMN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.277 of 2024 with O.A. 278 of 2024

S.C. Shinde

S.M. Kasbe

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer; Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents along with 'Law Officer' from office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.
- Learned C.P.O. states that Applicants in O.A. No.277/2024 with O.A. No.278/2024 were permitted to continue on their posts of (a) 'Police Inspector, Marketyard Police Station, Pune' and (b) 'Police Inspector, MIDC Mahalunge, Pimpri-Chinchwad' by 'Interim Relief' granted on 29.02.2024 only on grounds of parity as few 'Police Inspectors' who had earlier served in 'C.I.D. Headquarters, Pune' were sought to be retained in 'Pune District' by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' letter dated 26.02.2024 written to 'Principal Secretary & Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' seeking clarifications about Election Commission of India letter dated 24.01.2024 in respect of earlier directions in 'Para 6(1)' of Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 regarding those serving at 'State Level Headquarters'.
- 3. Learned C.P.O. then stated that 'Principal Secretary & Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' has since permitted few 'Police Inspectors' who had earlier served in 'C.I.D. Headquarters, Pune' to be retained in 'Pune District' although they were serving in 'Police Stations' on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' at the time of issue of directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 P.T.O.

Tribunal's orders

Learned C.P.O. emphasized that the claim of parity by Applicant no longer holds good as specific permission has not been sought from 'Principal Secretary & Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' to exempt the earlier tenures of Applicants at 'Police Training Centre, Khandala' to make them eligible to be retained in 'Pune District'.

- 4. Learned C.P.O. stated that as 'Date of Poll' for 'Pune Parliamentary Constituency' is 13.05.2024 hence the 'Interim Relief' granted to Applicants on 29.02.2024 was required to be vacated; so that orders of transfer of other 'Police Inspectors' in place of Applicants can be issued by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.
- Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon contended that there was discrimination against Applicants as they had earlier worked in 'Police Training Centre, Khandala' and thus could have also been exempted not only because they had not done tenure of '3 Years' out of last '4 Years' at level of 'Police Stations' in 'Pune District' but by considering them to be at par with few 'Police Inspectors' who had served in 'C.I.D., Headquarter Pune' especially because earlier directions about 'State Level Headquarters' had been substantially changed by 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 24.01.2024 by which cumulative period of all posting had been counted including the tenures of Other Branches and no exception could have been made for few 'Police Inspectors' just because they had earlier served in 'C.I.D. Headquarter, Pune'.
- 6. The cases of Applicants nonetheless will have to be strictly considered as per revised directions by 'Election Commission of India letter dated 24.01.2024' as they have evidently completed '3 Years' out of last '4 Years' in 'Pune District' although not on their present posts of (a) 'Police Inspector, Marketyard Police Station, Pune' and (b) 'Police Inspector, MIDC Mahalunge, Pimpri-Chinchwad' but by counting their earlier tenures at 'Police Training Centre, Khandala'.
- 7. The Applicants had been permitted by 'Interim Relief' on 29.02.2024 to continue to serve on post of (a) 'Police Inspector, Marketyard Police Station, Pune' and (b) 'Police Inspector, MIDC Mahalunge, Pimpri-Chinchwad' because exemption had been sought for

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

few 'Police Inspectors' who had earlier served in 'C.I.D. Headquarter, Pune' and as they had not completed even 'One Year' after tenures at 'Police Training Centre, Khandala'.

- The 'Normal Tenure' is of '6 Years' for 'Police Inspectors' under 'Section 22N(1)(d) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Hence, legal entitlement of Applicants will have to be protected even while considering vacation of 'Interim Relief' granted on 29.02.2021. Hence, the interregnum Applicants are permitted to be relieved from their posts (a) 'Police Inspector, Marketyard Police Station, Pune' and (b) Inspector, MIDC Mahalunge, Pimpri-Chinchwad' but they should be attached to respective 'Control Rooms' under establishments of (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'.
- 9. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' would be at liberty to transfer other 'Police Inspectors' in place of Applicants. The transfers such 'Police Inspectors' on posts held by Applicant nonetheless will be subject to outcome of O.A. No.277/2024 & O.A. No.278/2024.
- 10. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

MMN

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate) .		
	versus		
The	State of Maharash	ntra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's order		Tribunal's orders	

O.A.216/2024

Dr. S.D. Wagh ... Applicant Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
- 2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that the result of the Examination was declared on 27.03.2024. However, the result of present Applicant is withheld.
- 3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Para 3 of order dated 30.04.2024, certain corrections are required.
- 4. The learned CPO submits that Applicant has filed 2 OAs i.e. present OA 216/2024 and OA 160/2024. She submits that though the posts are same and the issue is same, there are two different Advertisements and therefore, 2 OAs are filed. She furher submits that the result is not declared which is the subject matter of this OA. The result mentioned in Para 3 of order dated 30.04.2024 is of OA No.160/2024. Hence, she wants to verify the position, as today nobody came to instruct her.
- 5. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that the result of Advertisement No.153/2023 was issued on 5th December, 2023 and prays that the result of Examination qua Applicant be declared.
- 6. S.O. to 9th May, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.05.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of	20	DISTRICT	
				Applicant/s
(Advocate)		er had to an and a soul
	i de la companya de l	versus		
	The State of	of Maharashtra and othe	rs	
		*	I	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoral Appearance, Tribunal's directions and Registra	orders or	Trib	unal's orders	

O.A.104/2024

M.R. Navale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavitin-Reply. Time Granted.
- The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks leave to add 3 persons who have been promoted and within one year, they have been promoted also.
- S.O. to 12th June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A 08.05.2024

Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRIC	T
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
Т	he State of Maharasl	ntra and others	
- Marie 1997			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	a)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	rs or	Tribunal's orders	

O.A.420/2024 with O.A.478/2024

B.K. Redekar V.D. Landage Vs.

... Applicants

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate and Shri B. Mangale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
- 2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that despite order of 'Status Quo' of this Tribunal, the Collector, Chandrapur has started the process of verification of documents of candidates selected for the post of Talathi and has displaced on 'Public Notice Board'. The Newspaper Advertisement given by Collector, Chandrapur gives reference to letter of Joint Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department dated 06.05.2024.
- 3. The learned CPO submits that since the order of 'Status Quo' is passed, nobody is appointed on the post of 'Talathi'. She submits that she may adopt Affidavit-in-Reply in OA 478/2024,
- 4. The learned Advocate for Applicant in OA 420/2024 files Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
- 5. The learned Advocate for Applicant in OA 478/2024 submits that she will file Rejoinder.
- 6. S.O. to 14th June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.05.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.335 of 2024

A.S. Patil Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Applicant

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.
- 2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
- 3. S.O. to 11.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.1081 of 2023

R.D. Ghunkikar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 2.
- 3. S.O. to 10.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.342 of 2024

S.S. Shaikh

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....ApplicantRespondents.

- Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate 1. for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1.
- 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 2.
- 3. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.

		200
1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(O.A.1037/2019)	
2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(O.A.1039/2019)	
3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(O.A.1040/2019)	
4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(O.A.1041/2019)	
5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(O.A.1042/2019)	
6. C.G. Patil	(O.A.1237/2019)	
7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(O.A.1238/2019)	
8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(O.A.1239/2019)	
9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(O.A.1240/2019)	
10.Dr. A.B. Pandav	(O.A.1241/2019)	

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant in Sr. No. 1 to 5, Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicant has sent 'Leave Note'. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.1037/2019, O.A. No.1039/2019, O.A. No.1040/2019, O.A. No.1041/2019 & O.A. No.1042/2019.
- 3. S.O. to 14.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)	· ·	
	在1997年		
	versus		
'n	The State of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes Office Man	20		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's o	rs or	Tribunal's orders	

O.A.459/2024

W.P. Mundhe ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. We direct Respondents to give provisional pension and other permissible retiral dues to the Applicant which is permissible as per Rules within 3 weeks from the date of uploading of this order.
- 3. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavitin-Reply. Time Granted.
- 4. S.O. to 19th June, 2024 for 'Interim Relief'.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
T. T	he State of Maharashtra a	nd others	
			D
		*****	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda o	f Coram,		
Appearance, Tribunal's order		Tribunal's orders	
directions and Registrar's or	rders		
		0 4 247/2024	
		O.A.247/2024	
	B.R. Khair	e	Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

- 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. Learned PO submits that she has received instructions and therefore she seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
- 3. S.O. to 11th June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.05.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

... Respondents

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
The Stat	versus e of Maharashtra and others	
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	's orders



O.A.243/2024

G.M. Kanade ... Applicant Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
- 2. The learned CPO seeks time to take instructions. Time Granted.
- 3. S.O. to 3rd July, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of 20	Di	STRICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
9	The State of Maharas	shtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's o	rs or	Tribunal's o	rders

O.A.520/2024

P.S. Thool ... Applicant Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Ms. P.S. Pradhan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. The learned PO submits that she has received instructions that Department is ready to appoint the Applicant subject to decision rendered by this Tribunal in OA 209/2024.
- 3. S.O. to 11th June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.05.2024

S∮((Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of 20	Distric	T Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	υ	ersus	
Th		harashtra and others	
(Presenting Officer			Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal's order	S .
		O.A.451/2024	L
	1000	S.V. Dudhabhate & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
		The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
		1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhos for Applicants and Smt. A.B.	
	1.2024	for Respondents.	
		2. The learned PO seeks in-Reply. Time Granted.	time to file Affidavit-
		3. S.O. to 26 th June, 202 ⁴	1.
		54/-	.541-
		(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024	(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024
		(skw)	

Original Application No.	of	20	DISTRI	CT
(Advocate		(skw)	08.05.2024	ö8.05.2024
		versus		
	The State of	of Maharashtr	a and others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	••••••)	
Office Notes, Office Memora Appearance, Tribunal's directions and Registra	orders or		Tribunal's order	·s

O.A.353/2024

M.S. Shinde ... Applicant Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Smt. Ayodhya S. Patki, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
- Affidavit-in-Reply is filed.
- 3. The leanred Advocate for Applicant files Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
- 4. Admit.
- 5. S.O. to 21st June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.05.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTR	ICT ,
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	·····)		
	versu	8	
$\operatorname{Th}\epsilon$	State of Mahara	shtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's order	ór	Tribunal's orde	rs

O.A.600/2024

Dr. A.S. Kulkarni ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 MPSC.
- 2. The Respondents issued Advertisement dated 18.02.2022 for the post of Assistant Commissioner Animal Husbundry, Maharashtra Animal Husbandry, Group-A. Total 6 posts were advertised for EWS Category. The Applicant applied in EWS Category and after Written Test and Oral Interview, the Applicant had placed at Serial No.178 in Merit List published on 24.11.2023. The Applicant has secured total 94 marks. The Respondents issued 'Publicity Note' thereafter on the same day i.e. on 24.11.2023.
- 3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that MPSC verified EWS Certificates and after verification, the Respondents recommended the list of eligible candidates on 27.12.2023. The Applicant was not selected. Respondent No.6 Dr. Sayali S. Tagare was selected and recommended under EWS Female Category who has secured 89.50 marks.
- 4. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for MPSC wants to demonstrate how other candidates are not eligible and wants to distinguish on facts the validity of EWS Certificates of 6 candidates. He further submits that MPSC has not served the copy of entire OA. He needs to take instructions from MPSC.

Tribunal's orders

- 5. We need the assistance of MPSC. MPSC to verify and come with an information.
- 6. Therefore, at this stage, no 'Interim Relief' can be granted unless we hear MPSC.
- 7. The Applicant is directed to remove objections on Page No.95 on which he is relying for Interim Relief' which is not legible.
- 8. Issue notice returnable on 10.06.2024.
- 9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
- 13. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 14. S.O. to 10th June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.05.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.282 of 2024

Dr. R.S. Satpute

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 -Intervener.
- 2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
- S.O. to 09.05.2024. 3.

Sd/-

(Debashish Charrabarty) Member (A)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A. No.1130 of 2023

S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2.
- 2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
- 3. S.O. to 09.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)	190	
	versus		tell from the second of the se
T	he State of Maharasht	tra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orders	

O.A.618/2024

S.T. Motkari & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. The Applicants have challenged the orders dated 08.04.2024 and 15.04.2024 passed by Respondent No.1 and Respondent No.2 respectively whereby they are abruptly terminated without following the principles of natural justice.
- 3. The learned Advocate for Applicants seeks 'Interim Relief'.
- 4. S.O. to 10th May, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
Т	he State of Maharash	tra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda o Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	rs or	Tribunal's orders

M.A.296/2024 in O.A.618/2024

S.T. Motkari & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate			
	versus		
The	State of Maharash	itra and others	
			Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	•
		O.A.100/2024	
	A.M.	Chavan & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The S	State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri S.S. Dere, locants and Ms. S.P. M. Presenting Officer for Re	lanchekar, learne
	2.	Affidavit-in-Reply is filed	d.
	3.	S.O. to 10th May, 2024 f	for 'Interim Relief'.
	(skw)	3 d/ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024	Sd/,— (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT	
(Advogato	Applicant/s	
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State o	f Maharashtra and others	
	Respondent/s	
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A.104/2024	
	M.R. Navale Applicant Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents	
	 Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 	
	2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit- in-Reply. Time Granted.	
	3. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks leave to add 3 persons who have been promoted and within one year, they have been promoted	
	also. 4. S.O. to 12 th June, 2024.	

08.05.2024

Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Tribunal's orders

O.A.629/2024

A.R. Shinde Vs. ... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri T.A. Quadri, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice returnable on 10.05.2024.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 10th May, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Tribunal's orders

O.A.627/2024

S.S. Warkhade Vs. ... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Vaidehi Pradeep, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant challenges dismissal order dated 16.04.2024 and seeks reinstatement.
- 3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant is dismissed in exercise of powers under Article 311(2)(b) of Constitution of India.
- 4. Issue notice returnable on 11.06.2024.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
- 9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 10. S.O. to 11th June, 2024.

591-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 541-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Tribunal's orders

O.A.626/2024

A.P. Alhat Vs. ... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Vaidehi Pradeep, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant challenges dismissal order dated 22.04.2024 and seeks reinstatement.
- 3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant is dismissed in exercise of powers under Article 311(2)(b) of Constitution of India.
- 4. Issue notice returnable on 11.06.2024.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
- 9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 10. S.O. to 11th June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

Tribunal's orders

O.A.621/2024

A.B. Sonawane

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugle, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- Issue notice returnable on 03.07.2024.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 03rd July, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

(skw)

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
T	he State of Maharashtra	and others	
		·	. Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orders	

O.A.569/2024

R.B. Zendage & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.A. Ambulkar, learned Advocate for Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1-MPSC.
- 2. S.O. to 9th May, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
08.05.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

(skw)

Tribunal's orders

O.A.614/2024

J.H. Patil

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice returnable on 19.06.2024.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
 - 7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
 - 8. S.O. to 19th June, 2024.

54/-

Sd/lula Bhatkar,

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRIC	T
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
T	he State of Maharash	tra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orders	· ·

O.A.520/2024

P.S. Thool ... Applicant Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Ms. P.S. Pradhan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
- 2. The learned PO submits that she has received instructions that Department is ready to appoint the Applicant subject to decision rendered by this Tribunal in OA 209/2024.
- 3. S.O. to 11th June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

(skw)

Tribunal's orders

O.A.471/2024

Dr. N.S. Chavan

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Smt. Daksha Pungera, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice returnable on 26.06.2024.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 26th June, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.05.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.05.2024

(skw)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A.No.865/2021 (D.B. I)

N.M. Ransing & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- Heard Mr. Darshan Sahuji holding for Mr.
 S.G. Talhar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms.
 S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
- 2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.11 and Mr. Ashish Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Respondents No.2 to 5 & 7 to 10 were not available when the matter was called out.
- 3. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Sahuji adjourned to 18.07.2024. HOB

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.05.2024

O.A.No.452/2017 (D.B. I)

C.J. Gudewar & Ors. . . . Vs.

....Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- Heard Mr. S.S. Dere holding for Mr. A.S.
 Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicants and
 Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting
 Officer for the Respondents
- 2. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that he has been instructed by learned Counsel Mr. Deshpande that the O.A.No.452/2017 is to be adjourned to 04.07.2024 and be tagged along with O.A.No.551/2021 as the issued involved in both matters is similar.
- 3. Adjourned to 04.07.2024. To be tagged along with O.A.No.551/2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prl

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1096 of 2017

S.S. Kerle

.. Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. The applicant challenges dismissal order dated 5.2.2016 from the post of Talathi and also the appellate order dated 22.11.2016 and prays for reinstatement.
- Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant 3. was transferred from Karveer to Salshi, Taluka Shahuwadi, Kolhapur by order dated 4.9.2008 and joined on 16.10.2008. The applicant was on medical leave from 16.10.2008 to 15.3.2009 as he was suffering from Lumbar Spondylitis. The applicant was suspended on 4.3.2009 while he was on leave. On 20.11.2014 enquiry was initiated and charge sheet was served on the applicant. He was not paid the subsistence allowance from 4.3.2009 till 5.2.2016. The applicant asked for subsistence allowance by letters dated 12.8.2009 & 15.1.2010. The Enquiry Officer (EO) has held that all the charges are proved and that the applicant did not participate in the DE. The applicant did not receive the notice of the proceedings before the EO. He came to know about the notice dated 26.8.2015 and he personally collected the notice from the office. On 9.9.2015 the applicant sent a letter to the EO and sought all the documents. This OA is filed on 20.11.2017. Earlier the applicant had filed OA No.679/2015 which was withdrawn on 20.9.2016.
- 4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that in the enquiry report dated 28.11.2015 there is no reference of the evidence on which the applicant is punished. She refers to Rule 8 of the MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. She relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2000) 7 SCC 90 Jagdamba Prasad Shukla Vs. State of U.P. & Ors.
- 5. Ld. Advocate to produce the copy of OA No.679/2015.
- S.O. to 9.5.2024.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.5.2024

8.5.2024

1 1

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

C.A 43/2023 in O.A 32/2023 with M.A 364/2023 in O.A 619/2023 with O.A 619/2023

A.D Nargude & Ors

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

R.D Pardhi

... Applicant in O.A 619/2023

- 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant in C.A 43/2023, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O with Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 619/2023.
- Learned P.O seeks time.
- 3. S.O to 21.6.2024. Interim relief to continue till then.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

C.A 17/2024 in O.A 641/2023

S.S Rawle

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Learned P.O submitted that file is pending with the office of the Hon'ble Chief Minister and therefore seeks time.
- S.O to 12.6.2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Member (A) Akn

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

C.A 47/2024 in O.A 1395/2023

D.M Bhoir & Ors

... Applicants

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- 1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O submits that copy is not served on the Respondents.
- 3. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to serve copy to the office of the learned C.P.O.
- 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.6.2024.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption **'for Dismissal'** and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
- 9. S.O to 19.6.2024.

Sd/

(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Member (A) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

M.A 109/2024 in O.A 1210/2019

A.K Shaikh

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard Ms Anjali Shaw holding for Shri S.V Sutar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
- Learned C.P.O submits that copy of M.A is not served on the Respondents.
- Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the M.A on the Respondents.
- Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.6.2024.
- Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
- S.O to 26.6.2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

M.A 276/2024 in O.A 1268/2022

B.D Kamble & Ors

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the Original Applicants
- 2. Learned counsel Smt Punam Mahajan, submits that she is filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O to 10.6.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

O.A 848 with 997/2022

V.B Saravade A.T Joseph

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.
- 3. S.O to 19.6.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

O.A 1185/2023

G.D Jadhav

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Admit with liberty to file affidavit in rejoinder.
- Place for final hearing on 3.7.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

O.A 1280/2023

M.M Khadtare

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- 1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 & 2 and none for Respondent No. 3.
- 2. Admit with liberty to file affidavit in rejoinder.
- 3. Place for final hearing on 10.7.2023

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

M.A 760/2023 in O.A 1521/2023

S.D Rajguru

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- 2. Misc Application is filed by the applicant seeking condonation of delay of 9 months and 18 days.
- 3. The applicant is aggrieved by the punishment order dated 14.8.2018. The appeal is rejected by the Respondent No. 3 by order dated 14.2.2022. Learned counsel submits that by mistake the applicant has filed second Appeal before the Divisional Commissioner which is not permissible and the same was rejected on 20.9.2022. Thereafter, the Original Application was filed on 2.12.2023 and therefore the period was consumed. If at all there is a delay, the delay was not intentional.
- 4. Learned P.O opposes the delay and submits that even if at all the second appeal was rejected on 20.9.2022, the Original Application should have been filed before 20.9.2023. However, it was filed on 2.12.2023. Hence, there is a delay of 2 ½ months.
- 5. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel and relief claimed by the applicant, we condone the delay by imposing cost of Rs. 1000/- on the applicant which should be paid to People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA).
- Misc Application stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

O.A 1521/2023

S.D Rajguru

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.7.2024.
- Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall dismissed."
- S.O to 3.7.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

..Respondents

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	s orders	
	O.A. No.937 of 2017	7 with O.A. No.295 of 2020	
	A.H. Gawale & Ors.		
	A.G. Shinde Vs.	Applicants	

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Shri Rajaashok Ghate h/f Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.937/2017, Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.295/2020 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. The applicants who are Class-III and Class-IV employees pray for regularization of their services who are working on temporary basis for last more than 25 years.
- 3. The concerned officers from the office of respondents are directed to remain present on the next date as this Tribunal would like to know what is the stand taken by the government or rationale behind policy of not regularizing the services of such employees who are working on ad hoc basis.
- 4. Concerned officer from the office of Revenue & Forest Department is directed to remain present on the next date.
- 5. S.O. to 18.6.2024.

Sd/(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
8.5.2024

Sd/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
8.5.2024

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

M.A 20/2024 in O.A 1228/2023 Nagpur With M.A 21/2024 in M.A 664/2023 in O.A 1242/2023 (Nagpur)

M.M Tate

... Applicant

(Ori Res. No. 4)

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

And

N.S Bhogle & Ors

...Ori Applicants

WITH

A.N Telang

...Applicant/

Intervenor

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

...Respondents

And

K.V Jadhav & Ors

...Ori Applicants

- 1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- 2. The present Misc Application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunal's Act, 1985 for transfer of the Original Application from Nagpur to the Principal Bench at Mumbai.
- Dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

M.A 116/2024 in O.A St No 1657/2023 (Aurangabad)

G.S Rathod & Ors

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Darshan Sahuji holding for Shri A.G Talhar, learned counsel for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- 2. The present Misc Application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 for seeking transfer of the Original Application from Mumbai to Aurangabad Bench.
- 3. Learned counsel submits that O.A Stamp No. 1657/2023 is already filed at Aurangabad and out of 5 applicants, 3 applicants are residing within the territorial jurisdiction of Aurangabad Bench and 2 applicants from Sangli have joined the 3 applicants in the said Original Application pending at Aurangabad as the issue is same.
- 4. If any applicant out of the territorial jurisdiction had joined the applicants within the territorial jurisdiction of the concerned Bench, it is upto the Judicial Officer to allow or reject the same on the ground of territorial jurisdiction. Further no matter is filed at Mumbai Bench and the Original Application Stamp No. 1657/2023 is filed at Aurangabad Bench.
- 5. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to approach the Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench and seek permission to appear and argue the matter and the said power vest with the concerned Judicial Officer.
- 6. In view of the above, Misc Application stands dismissed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.05.2024

M.A 227/2024 in O.A 788/2023

A.S Manjare

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Ms Reena Prajapati holding for Shri S.S. Deokar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- 2. The present Misc Application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 for seeking transfer of the Original Application from Mumbai to Nagpur.
- 3. Learned counsel submits that the present Original Application is filed at the Principal Bench, Mumbai and as the applicant is now transferred to Nagpur, the said matter be transferred to M.A.T, Nagpur.
- 4. Learned P.O submits that pleadings are complete in this matter and matter can be finally heard at Mumbai bench.
- 5. Learned counsel for the applicant can argue the matter finally at M.A.T, Mumbai bench and the applicant can appear through Video Conference and his presence is not required.
- 6. Hence, the Misc Application seeking transfer of the O.A from Mumbai Bench to Nagpur Bench is rejected.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson