

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>08.04.2024</b>
	<b><u>C.A 83/2023 in O.A 166/2018</u></b>
	S.P Jedhe ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel submits that on 5.3.2024, the Hon'ble Bombay High Court has dismissed the Writ Petition No. 3083/2024 preferred by the Respondents and the order was uploaded on 7.3.2024. However, till today the order of this Tribunal is not complied with.
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the Contempt Application by replacing the names of the Contemnors as they are transferred from the present posts. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.
	4. Issue notice to the Contemnors as to why action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 should not be initiated against them.
	5. S.O to 29.4.2024.
	Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)
	Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p align="center">(GROUP NO.1)</p> <p>O.A. No.880 of 2023 with O.A. No.882 of 2023 with O.A. No.883 of 2023 with O.A. No.884 of 2023 with O.A. No.888 of 2023 with O.A. No.1033 of 2023 with O.A. No.1034 of 2023 with O.A. No.1131 of 2023 with O.A. No. 983 of 2023 with O.A. No.887 of 2023 with O.A. No. 958 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023</p> <p align="center">(GROUP NO.2)</p> <p>O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No.1113 of 2023</p> <p align="center">(GROUP NO.3)</p> <p>O.A. No.936 of 2023 with O.A. No.1051 of 2023 to O.A. No.1055 of 2023 with O.A. No.1136 of 2023 with O.A. No.1137 of 2023 with O.A. No.1142 of 2023 with O.A. No.1122 of 2023 with O.A. No.1123 of 2023 with O.A. No.1199 of 2023 with O.A. No.1273 of 2023 with O.A. No.1022 of 2023 with O.A. No.1222 of 2023 with O.A. No.1245 of 2023 with O.A. No.1102 of 2023 with O.A. No.1082 of 2023 with O.A. No.1170 of 2023 with O.A. No.1171 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.G. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. (GROUP NO.1) Dr. S.M.K. Borate &amp; Ors. (GROUP NO.2) M.A. Kanwar &amp; Ors. (GROUP NO.3).....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Shri M.R. Kulkarni and Smt. Punam Mahajan through 'Video-Conference', learned Advocates for the Applicants. Shri P.S. Nagargoje, learned Advocate is not present on behalf of his Applicant. Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Smt. Archana B.K., Shri D.R. Patil and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondents.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>2. The O.A. No.1022/2023, O.A. No.1037/2023, O.A. No. 1051/2023, O.A. No.1034/2023, O.A. No.1033/2023, O.A. No.1055/2023, O.A. No.1122/2023, O.A. No. 1054/2023, O.A. No.1053/2023, O.A. No.1052/2023, O.A. No.1222/2023, O.A. No.880/2023, O.A. No.880/2023, O.A. No.871/2023, O.A. No.882/2023, O.A. No.883/2024, O.A. No.884/2023, O.A. No.888/2023, O.A. No.958/2023, O.A. No.983/2023, O.A. No.1102/2023, O.A. No.1142/2023, O.A. No.1170/2023, O.A. No.1273/2023, O.A. No. 1199/2023, O.A. No.936/2023, O.A. No.887/2023, O.A. No.1131/2023, O.A. No.1123/2023, O.A. No. 1171/2023 out of 'Group I'; 'Group II' &amp; 'Group III' are hereby disposed off as grievances of respective Applicants stand redressed. Further; O.A. No.1136/2023 &amp; O.A. No.1082/2023 are also disposed off as the grievance of Applicants are redressed on account of acceptance of their requests and subsequent issue of fresh 'Transfer Order' dated 04.12.2023 of 'Medical Education &amp; Drugs Department'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that information is still not received from 'Deans' of (i) B.J. Government Medical College, Pune (ii) Medical College, Miraj and (iii) Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Government Medical Colleges, Mumbai who were required to submit '2 - Tabular Charts' through their 'Chief Administrative Officers' to indicate status of payment of 'Salary and Allowances' to respective 'Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors'</p> <p>4. The orders of 'Interim Relief' are continued in respect of those 'Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors' who have filled O.A No.1017/2023, O.A No.1113/2023, O.A No.885/2023, O.A No.881/2023, O.A No.941/2023, O.A No.931/2023, O.A No.1245/2023 as their earlier 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.06.2023; 13.07.2023 &amp; 10.08.2023 of 'Medical Education &amp; Drugs Department' are to be contested by 'Medical Education &amp; Drugs Department'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.164 of 2024</p> <p>J.G. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' of 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli' enclosing copy of Order dated 07.03.2024.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. states that earlier Order dated 31.01.2024 of 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli' by which Applicant was attached to 'Control Room' and 'Respondent No.2' was posted in place of Applicant as 'In Charge Inspector' of 'Kavathemahankal Police Station, District Sangli' has been revoked in compliance of directions in 'Para 10' of Order dated 15.02.2024.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that as per 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli' Order dated 07.03.2024, the Applicant has now been allowed to join back on earlier post of 'In Charge Inspector' of 'Kavathemahankal, Police Station, District Sangli'.</li> <li>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that grievance of Applicant is thus completely redressed as Applicant has also joined back on post of 'In Charge Inspector' of 'Kavathemahankal, Police Station, District Sangli'. Hence O.A. No.164/2024 can be disposed off.</li> <li>6. Learned P.O. agrees with this proposition of learned Advocate, that O.A. No.164/2024 can be disposed off on basis of 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on behalf of 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli' on 03.04.2024.</li> <li>7. The O.A. No.164/2024 is accordingly disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 08.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.230 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>Y.K. Bangar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.3' files 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 04.04.2024. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned PO seeks more time to file 'Additional Documents' from office of 'District Collector &amp; DEO, Thane' and 'Correspondence/Letter' of 'MMRDA' with respect to post of 'Tahsildar, Thane'; as per observation made in 'Para 3 &amp; Para 4' of Order dated 04.04.2024.</li> <li>4. Learned PO is accordingly granted 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</li> <li>5. 'Interim Relief' had not been granted on earlier occasions; so issue of 'Interim Relief' would be heard on 'Next Date'.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 15.04.2024.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 08.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.165 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>V.V. Salvi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the directions in 'Para 4' of Order dated 19.04.2023 are not yet implemented by 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' regarding regularization of the period from when 'Applicant' could not serve on post of 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O., Kalyan; District Thane' viz from time Applicant stood relieved on 20.02.2024 when his services came to attached to office of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and up to joining back by Applicant on post of 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O' Kalyan; District Thane' as per 'Home Department' letter dated. 15.03.2024.</p> <p>3. The 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is accordingly directed to implement the directions in 'Para 4' of Order dated 19.03.2024 within a period of 'Four Weeks'.</p> <p>4. The grievance of Applicant now stands redressed by 'Home Department' letter dated. 15.03.2024 addressed to of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>5. The O.A. No.165/2024 is accordingly disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 08.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.326 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Tambe</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant produces copy of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police - Zone 3; Greater Mumbai' letter dated 29.03.2024 addressed to 'Special IGP, Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar' wherein it is mentioned that Applicant who is 'Senior Clerk' has been relieved on 22.03.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that grievance of Applicant is completely redressed and thus O.A. No. 326 of 2023 can be disposed off.</p> <p>4. Learned PO states that they would be filing 'Affidavit-in-Reply' during course of day to place on record, copy of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police - Zone 3, Greater Mumbai' letter dated 29.03.2023.</p> <p>5. The O.A. No. 326 of 2023 is accordingly disposed off. No. Order as to Cost.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024 with O.A. No.446 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. Adagale S.S. Suryawanshi S.H. Rokade M.K. Kadam B.S. Mulla D.A. Pawar M.B. Taware H.N. Kakandki A.S. Morde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P.G. Kotkar, learned Advocate along with Shri Rahul Raut, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt. Archana B.K, Shri D.R. Patil, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he has served copies of O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024 with O.A. No.446 of 2024 to 'Spl I.G.P Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that request of Applicant in O.A. No. No.375/2024 needs due consideration as he has needs to undergo every week atleast 'Two Dialysis Sessions'. Therefore, implementation of 'Transfer Order' dated 24.02.2024 of 'Spl I.G.P Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' may lead of 'Medical Emergency' for Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that request Applicant in O.A. No.371/2024 needs due consideration on 'Compassionate Grounds' because his 'Son' is afflicted by 'Autisum' and 'Daughter' suffers from 'Abdinoplasty Hernia Disease'. 'Interim Relief' has already been granted to Applicant in O.A. No.371/2024. <span style="float: right;">P.T.O.</span></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. to expedite seeking information about the cases of Applicants in O.A. No.371/2024 and O.A. No.375/2024 from 'Spl I.G.P Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' with respect to observations in 'Para 6' of Order dated 14.03.2024.</p> <p>6. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.371/2024.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of ' 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 08.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.173 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>P.S. Bhosale</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No. 5' does not want to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' and seeks adoption of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on behalf of 'Respondent No.1'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant files 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.271 of 2024</p> <p>P.D. Bhandare &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that despite of continuous efforts to seek information from the 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' as per directions in 'Para 5' &amp; 'Para 6' of Order dated 04.03.2024, no response has been received from the 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai'</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to therefore inform 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' by way of last chance, to provide immediate information about directions in 'Para 5' &amp; 'Para 6' of Order dated 04.03.2024 failing which personal presence of 'Joint Commissioner of Police' Or 'Additional Commissioner of Police' will have to be sought for expeditious consideration of the grievances of Applicants for observance of provisions of 'Section 22(2)' of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.04.2024.</p> <p>5. Hamdast copy granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

Date: 08.04.2024

O.A. No.1518 of 2023

N.K. Patil .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. presents copy of letter of 'Urban Development Department' dated 05.04.2024 to state that Applicant has been permitted to continue on post of 'Chief Officer, Talegaon – Dabhade Municipal Council, District Pune' subsequent to 'Transfer Order' dated 26.02.2024 of 'Urban Development Department' by which 'Respondent No.2' had been posted as 'Chief Officer, Yavatmal, Municipal Council, District Yavatmal.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as the grievance of Applicant is completely redressed; this O.A. No.1518 of 2023 can be disposed off.
4. Learned P.O. agrees with the proposition that O.A. No.1518/2023 can be disposed off based on contents of letter of 'Urban Development Department' dated 05.04.2024.
5. The O.A. No.1518/2023 is accordingly disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.189 of 2024</p> <p>N.S. Saindane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that no action has been taken in respect of directions in 'Para 4' of Order dated 09.02.2024 with respect to the proposal for posting of Applicant which had been submitted by 'Deputy Director, Health Services' of 03.07.2023 to 'Joint Director, Health Service' in office of 'Commissioner of Health Services, Maharashtra State, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. by way of last chance to seek information from 'Commissioner of Health Service, Maharashtra State, Mumbai, by when the order of posting of Applicant is expected to be issued and whether they are willing to consider request made by Applicant to post him as 'Medical Officer - Group A' in 'Nashik District' as post of 'Orthopedic Surgeon' are available at i) 'Sub-District Hospital, Trymbakeshwar, District Nashik and (ii) 'Sub District Hospital, Chandwad, District Nashik'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>08.04.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>C.A 84/2023 in O.A 168/2018</u></b></p> <p>A.V Patil <span style="float: right;">... Applicant</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors <span style="float: right;">... Respondents</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Patil, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant appearing in person has submitted that he should be given one post of Mr Kale, who was disqualified in the year 2019 as the Original Application was filed in the year 2018.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O relies on the affidavit in reply dated 10.10.2023 filed by Suvarna S. Kharat, Secretary, M.P.S.C, Navi Mumbai, submits that there were earlier three posts vacant. However, one Mr Avhad was appointed due to the order dated 5.4.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A 969/2019 against the post of Mr Kale in open category as he secured 88 marks. Learned C.P.O further submitted that the applicant has secured 81 marks and the candidates at Sr. Nos 1 &amp; 2 have secured 82 marks and therefore they were given appointment. and the applicant cannot be accommodated. Learned C.P.O submitted that at the time of passing final order by this Tribunal, this fact was not brought on record by the Respondents and therefore the order was passed with a view that three posts are vacant and available.</p> <p>4. We cannot go beyond the order passed by this Tribunal in the Original Application in the Contempt Application filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. C.A accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="font-size: small; margin-top: 5px;">Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>08.04.2024</b></p>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 994/2022</u></b></p> <p>P.B Bagal ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits the case of the applicant is squarely covered by the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P 1672/2022 A.M Nand Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors dated 5.10.2023 and gave copy of the judgment to the learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to go through the same.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.4.2024.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>
	<p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.95 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.P. Bharad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of letter dated 5.4.2024 received from the applicant for withdrawal of the above OA as his grievance is redressed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed an application dated 8.4.2024 along with letter dated 5.4.2024 and prays for withdrawal of the above OA. The same are taken on record and marked Court Exhibit-1 Collectively for identification.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            8.4.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            8.4.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.31 of 2024 in O.A. No.394 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.K. Kokane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. By order dated 3.4.2024 the above CA was disposed off. Though there was partial compliance of the order but the Court was under the impression that it is fully complied with. Today Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the order is partly complied with.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to take instructions with regard to deemed date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            8.4.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            8.4.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



O.A.483/2024**B.K. Gangawane****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant who appeared for State Services Examination prays that Respondents be directed to enquire and assess genuineness of 'Disability Certificate' of Respondents Nos.8, 9 & 10 and to call record.

3. Issue notice returnable on 18.04.2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)

Member-A

Chairperson

08.04.2024

08.04.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.474/2024**

**R.S. Roundhal**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This OA No.474/2024 be kept along with OA No.388/2024.

3. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024

(skw)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### O.A.119/2023

**S.V. Harmalkar & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.109/2023**

**A.V. Lengare** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2024.

Sd/-	Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.04.2024	(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 08.04.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.160/2023****Dr. S.D. Wagh****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri V. Shelatkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. The learned CPO submits that MPSC has informed that today morning they are going to declare the result.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that result is declared and Applicant has secured 58 marks and hence, she is meritorious. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant is MD and therefore she should get preference in the post of 'Assistant Professor'. She further submits that the issue of eligibility of Applicant insofar as experience as 'Tutor' was not considered as equivalence to experience of 'Senior Resident Doctor'.

5. The learned Advocate for Applicant then submits that 'NMC' has submitted in writing that experience of 'Tutor' can be considered as an experience equivalent to the experience of 'Senior Residential Doctor'. This letter was given to Tribunal on 21.03.2024 by the Lawyer appearing for 'NMC'. State to take decision.

6. S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)

Member-A

Chairperson

08.04.2024

08.04.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.186/2024**

**S.S. Deshmukh** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**M.A.233/2024 in O.A.1025/2021****S.G. Raut****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 18.04.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024

(skw)

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.787/2023**

**A.R. Deokar** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.305/2024 with O.A.437/2024**

**Dr. R.J. Thorat & Anr.**  
**Dr. Y.O. Shirshetty & Ors.** ... Applicants  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Not on Board. Taken on Board.

3. It is necessary to note that OA No.305/2024 was filed on 01.03.2024 and notice is issued made returnable on 05<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 and OA No.437/2024 was filed on 26.03.2024 and notice is issued made returnable on 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

4. In OA 305/2024, there are 2 Doctors. The Applicant No.1 in OA 305/2024 is 57 years old and is retiring on 31.05.2024. The Applicant No.2 is retiring on 31.10.2024.

5. In OA 437/2024, there are 7 Doctor Applicants. Applicant No.1 - Dr. Y.O. Shirshetty is 57 years old and is retiring on 30.06.2024, Applicant No.2 - Dr. V.V. Patil is retiring on 30.04.2024, Applicant No.3 - Dr. S.D. Suryawanshi is retiring on 30.05.2024, Applicant No.4 - Dr. R.J. Kumbhare is retiring on 30.06.2024, Applicant No.5 - Dr. J.G. Dabhole is retiring on 30.06.2024, Applicant No.6 -Dr. M.V. Chinchole is retiring on 31.05.2024 and Applicant No.7 - Dr. B.K. Kamble is retiring on 31.01.2024.

6. The Government has not filed Affidavit-in-Reply in these matters. As 'Fnal Hearing' having identical issue of extension of age of retirement of Doctors is being heard, the Respondent State wants time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' and depending on decision of other identical matters which are being heard finally.

7. S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.469/2024****R.R. Nalawade****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO on instructions submits that all the posts are filled-up and as on today, there is no vacant post. She submits that Applicant was 'Wait List No.1' but he found ineligible as he could not produce 'NCL Certificate' of the year 2022-2023 and therefore next candidate Kajol Rathod is now appointed to post of 'Craft Instructor (Electrician).'

3. Issue notice returnable on 10.04.2024.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

(skw)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1155 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.S. Khandekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 8.4.2024 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.4.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.351 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.R. Godase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 8.4.2024 of the respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.138 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.M. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant was placed under suspension by order dated 14.10.2022 and there is no DE or criminal case pending against the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO files reply dated 12.3.2024 of Ranjit B. Bhosale, Sub-Divisional Officer, Kadegaon.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in view of the reply filed by the Government the matter has been forwarded to the Divisional Commissioner along with report dated 8.2.2024.</p> <p>5. In view of the above the review committee under the Divisional Commissioner is directed to take a decision on the suspension of the applicant within a period of six weeks from today and communicate the decision to the applicant within a week thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.59 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.J. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 5.3.2024 of respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.18 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.P. Pardeshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 6.3.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.4.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1593 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Mohite &amp; 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that copy of OA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1410 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.6.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1109 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Dagale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.4.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.989 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.L. Navghane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.6.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.665 of 2023 in O.A. No.1378 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.N. Bhimale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan through videoconferencing, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is delay of 3 months in filing the OA. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant retired on invalid pension by order dated 19.7.2022. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the delay caused is not intentional and the same may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the delay and submits that there is no proper explanation for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice the delay is condoned. MA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1378 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.N. Bhimale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan through videoconferencing, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 7.2.2024 of respondents no.2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.176 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.C. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Reena Prajapati holding for Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.281 of 2023 in O.A. No.176 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.C. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Reena Prajapati holding for Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is delay of 645 days in filing the OA. Ld. Advocate submits that DPC meeting held on 10.8.2017 decided not to grant 2<sup>nd</sup> benefit to the applicant on the ground of adverse CRs. This was followed by correspondence dated 20.4.2018, 5.12.2018 and 13.1.2020 from respondent no.2 to the applicant. Thereafter due to COVID-19 Pandemic he was unable to take steps and he contacted advocate in Ratnagiri and then in Mumbai. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the delay caused it not intentional and the same may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the delay and submits that there is no proper explanation for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice the delay is condoned. MA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.488 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.V. Thokal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B.Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to correct the dates. Leave to amend granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.485 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.S. Dhepe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.484 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.H. Bhoi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.480 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.N. Khomane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.465 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.454 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.R. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.438 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.V. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.419 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.I. Jamadar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K.Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadghe) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.410 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.R. Harad &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.205 of 2024 in O.A. No.410 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.R. Harad &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.286 of 2024 with O.A. No.331 of 2024</p> <p>R.U. Gumane H.S. Kenjale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule &amp; Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 08.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.231 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>D.S. Chavan</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty)  Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.297 of 2024</p> <p>J.M. Tupat .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>
	NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 08.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.422 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>B.A. Pandhare .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.Y. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1590 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.R. Dode .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder to the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on behalf of 'Public Health Department' on 05.04.2024.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1173 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. Renushe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.356 of 2024 to O.A. No. 358 of 2024</p> <p>A.M. Kumbhar L.G. Gawade M.S. Madgulkar .....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Shri A.J. Chaugule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>08.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 245/2021</u></b></p> <p>J.S Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>08.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 488/2017</u></b></p> <p>K.A Jadhav &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent No. 26.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants prays for interim relief that promotion to the post of Deputy Secretary be stayed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the Respondent No. 26 opposes grant of interim relief.</p> <p>4. We make it clear as the matter is of the year 2017 and in between the promotion from the post of Section Officer to the post of Under Secretary on the basis of provisional seniority list was give and at that time no interim relief was granted. Further from 2017 till today the prayer for interim relief was pressed for the first time in February, 2024.</p> <p>5. The prayer for interim relief is rejected.</p> <p>6. S.O to 10.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>08.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 659/2017</u></b></p> <p>V. Kallur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that Ms Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant has filed application dated 7.4.2024, stating therein that as she is appointed as Government Pleader, in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad, she wants to withdraw her appearance from the matter. Permission granted.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Shri Potbhare seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>Medha Gadgil</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>08.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 830/2017</u></b></p> <p>S.D Bhandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O has filed additional affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant request for time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>08.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1139/2023 with M.A 533/2023 in O.A 670/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr S.K Phule Vs. ... Applicant R.H Metkar Vs. ...Applicant in M.A The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar i/b Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for Respondent No. 5. Shri U.V Bhosle learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 670/2023 absent.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Lonkar and Shri Jagdale seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.4.2024. H.O.B at 12.00 pm.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.426/2024 with OA 440/2024 & OA  
444/2024**

**L.A. Dandge****S.A. Patil****A.M. Warule****... Applicants****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, Shri S.N. Biradar & Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocates for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Smt. Suvarna Kharat, Secretary of MPSC is present. She informed that no date is fixed for Interview of candidates. She further submits that Rule 9 interpreted by Tribunal will amount to substantial change in the procedure set by MPSC and therefore she needs to place this issue before MPSC.

3. We are inclined to grant two weeks time to MPSC to deliberate on this issue.

4. However, in the meanwhile if Interviews are declared, liberty is granted to Applicant to approach the Tribunal.

5. Secondly, it is prayed by Respondent-State that Committee of Experts can be constituted in order to find out what is exactly meant by Practical Experience in Social Welfare, Tribal and Tribal Research.

6. S.O. 22.04.2024.

*sd/*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

*sd/*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024

(skw)

**O.A.386/2024**

**Dr. V.H. Shetty & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs. ... Respondents**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.P. Pingle, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 23.04.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> April, 2024.

*sd/-*  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member-A  
 08.04.2024

*sd/-*  
 (Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
 Chairperson  
 08.04.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

08.04.2024

Applicant/s  
08.04.2024

(Advocate ..... (skw) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.455/2024**

**A.S. More  
Vs.**

**... Applicant**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that copy of OA is served on the Department i.e. District Collector, Thane. The learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that the matter can be disposed by giving directions to Respondents to pass Final Orders in enquiry, as the Enquiry Officer has submitted the report of enquiry in the month of November, 2019.

3. The learned PO seeks time. Time Granted.

4. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

*sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
08.04.2024

*sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Chairperson  
08.04.2024

(skw)





