

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 08.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1235 of 2019 (Mumbai) with O.A.No.111 of 2020 (Mumbai) with O.A.No.224 of 2020 (Mumbai) with O.A.215/2020 with M.A.49/2021 (A'bad) with O.A.No.443/2020 (A'bad) with O.A.No.42/2021 (A'bad) with O.A.No.15/2022</b></p> <p>M.K. Shivsaran &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.1235/2019, Mr. A.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.111/2020, Mr. Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.224/2020, Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.215/2020 with M.A.49/2021 &amp; O.A.No.443/2020, Mr. S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.42/2021, Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.15/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is heard part head. Learned Advocate Mr. Sadavarte has raised query in Question No.3(b) regarding pension, gratuity, family pension that this is out of syllabus. Marks are not given to the steps, wherein the Amravati Board for the same exam has given marks for steps therein.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.04.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.287 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dinesh N. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.4.2022 8.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>08.04.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A Nos 224/2020 with O.A 111/2020</u></b></p> <p>L.M Wankhede &amp; Ors [O.A 224/2020] M.A Deshpande [O.A 111/2020]... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 224/2020 and Shri Akshay Deshmukh with Shri Pratik Wakade, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 111/2020 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Raktate for the applicants in O.A 224/2020 submits that in Question Paper No. 2, question 3(b) regarding calculation of pensionary benefits, this question is based on G.R dated 30.10.2009. Learned counsel submits that answer from the Experts may be called upon for the said question.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Deshmukh with Shri Pratik Wakade, for the applicant request to call upon for the correct answer from the Expert in respect of question no. 5(c).</p> <p>4. We direct the MPSC to call upon the correct answer to question No. 3(b) &amp; 5(c) from the Experts and also verify as per G.R dated 30.10.2009 whether the basic pay includes last month's pay + grade pay.</p> <p>5. S.O to 22.4.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.560 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R.M. Chajchidak</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.B. Kamble / S.B. Sayyad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri R. Anbhule, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The present O.A. is filed against The Vice Chancellor Savitribai Phule, Pune University and 4 Ors. claiming appointment in terms of recommendation of Lag Page Committee. Respondent in Affidavit-in-Reply have raised issue of jurisdiction contending that employee of University does not come within jurisdiction to this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view of above, issue of jurisdiction needs to be decided at threshold.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is therefore directed to satisfy how this Tribunal have jurisdiction to entertain this O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.04.2022</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.758 of 2021</p> <p>A.B. Suryawanshi .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Aditya Kharkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.P. Warunjikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.346 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.V. Daingade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Mahchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.6.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022 (sgj) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.211 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Pujari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Arjun Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.6.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>8.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>8.4.2022</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.51 to 54/2020, 488/19, 491 to 493/2019 &amp; 217/ 2020</u></p> <p>K.B. Shivsaran &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Arjun Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he is filing MAs for amendment in OAs. No.51/2020, 52/2020, 488/2019, 491/2019, 492/2019 and 493/2019.</p> <p>3. However, he is not filing MAs. in OAs No.53/2020, 54/2020 &amp; 217/2020.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.414 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. N.S. Padmapani ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.5.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.4.2022 8.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.490 of 2021 in O.A. No.1115 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.S. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that copy of MA is not served. Copy be served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.407 of 2019 in O.A. No.753 of 2019</u></p> <p>B.V. Lamkhade &amp; 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.H. Shedar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of order dated 2.3.2022 passed by this Tribunal in above matter and for the reasons stated in paras 2 and 3 of the MA, the delay of 9 months and 25 days in filing OA is condoned.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 8.4.2022 <span style="float: right;">8.4.2022</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.753 of 2019</u></p> <p>B.V. Lamkhade &amp; 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.H. Shedar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.337 of 2017 in O.A. No.35 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.A. Adake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he will file affidavit during the course of the day in respect of the seniority list.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA.8/2022 in OA.975/2019</u> <u>CA.9/2022 in OA.976/2019</u> <u>CA.10/2022 in OA.977/2019</u></p> <p>G.S. Bhajbhujje B.A. Karale K.S. Dhanvijay &amp; Anr. <span style="float: right;">..Applicants</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Deepak Pote holding for Shri J.V. Patil., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day in all the above matters.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.4.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.54 of 2021 in O.A. No.313 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.L. Thade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Shri Aditya Kharkar holding for Shri Uday Warunjikar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.3 submits that in the Hon'ble High Court their writ petition is scheduled on 4.5.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

CA.23/2021 in RA.21/2019 in OA.238/2016

M.A. Patil ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in para 6 of its order dated 21.3.2022 passed in W.P. No.1985 of 2022 (The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Vs. Madhukar A. Patil & Anr.) has observed as under:

6. *In view of the above and for the reasons stated above, the present appeal succeeds in part. The impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court as well as that of the Tribunal quashing and setting aside orders dated 6.10.2015 and 21.11.2015 down-grading the pay scale and pension of the contesting respondent are hereby quashed and set aside. It is observed and held that the contesting respondent shall be entitled to the first TBP on completion of twelve years from the year 1989, i.e., from the date on which he was absorbed on the post of Civil Engineering Assistant and his pay scale and pension are to be revised accordingly. However, it is observed and directed that on re-fixation of his pay scale and pension, as observed hereinabove, there shall not be any recovery of the amount already paid to the contesting respondent, while granting the first TBP considering his initial appointment from the year 1982."*

3. In view of the above, CA is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
8.4.2022

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
8.4.2022

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.146 of 2022 in O.A. No.198 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.S. Lokhande &amp; 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.198 of 2022

C.S. Lokhande & 6 Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submit that this OA is squarely covered by the decision dated 21.11.2017 taken by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No.3643 of 2009 (Mukund Shankarlal Daima Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.).

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
8.4.2022

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
8.4.2022

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.145 of 2022 in O.A. No.197 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.S. Tike &amp; 46 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-    (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  8.4.2022  (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-    (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  8.4.2022 </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.197 of 2022

D.S. Tike & 46 Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submit that this OA is squarely covered by the decision dated 21.11.2017 taken by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No.3643 of 2009 (Mukund Shankarlal Daima Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.).

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
8.4.2022

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
8.4.2022

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

M.A. No.61 of 2022 in O.A. No.96 of 2022

S.Y. Kamble ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Prashant Nayak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Suo motu speaking to minutes of the order dated 4.3.2022 passed in the above matter.
3. In para 2 first line the word 'head' is to be read as 'aid'.
4. Ld. PO submits that they have received copy from the Registry on 5.4.2022 and seeks time to file reply.
5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. Ld. PO waives service of notice.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
8.4.2022

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
8.4.2022

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1019 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.G. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Kabade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that copy of OA is not served. Copy of OA is to be served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 8.4.2022 8.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1060 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Dhumal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both absent. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate Respondents No.1 to 3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.V. Thorat, Ld. Advocate submits that he has been appointed by respondents no.1 to 3. He is directed to submit letter of appointment issued by the respondents 1 to 3 viz. (i) Principal Secretary, School Education &amp; Sports Department (ii) Zilla Parishad, Satara and (iii) President, Vishakha Committee, Satara Panchayat Samiti.</p> <p>3. The office of Ld. CPO objects appointment of Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. The Principal Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is hereby called upon to produce the rules and legal position on record to justify the appointment of Ld. Advocate for the State of Maharashtra and also produce the document showing approval of the office of Law and Judiciary Department. Legal Remembrancer is obtained.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.4.2022.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.4.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 08.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No. 314 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>K. R. Raut</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was taken up for admission yesterday and directions were issued to Respondent No.1-S.D.O. Jawahar to keep the responsible officer present before the Tribunal to know why retiral benefits of the Applicant are withheld.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. submits that she spoke with Tahsildar Shri Shinde who in turn informed her that due to non updation of service book, the process of grant of pension are not initiated. Indeed, the Applicant stands retired on 31.08.2018 and it is disgusting to note that till date he is deprived of pension and other retiral benefits except Provident Fund. This situation needs to be explained by S.D.O., Jawahar, Dist. Palghar.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. two days time is granted to file short affidavit as to why O.A. should not be allowed with cost as well as interest since there is no justifiable reason in the form of punishment of D.E. or criminal prosecution to withhold retiral benefits. Negligence and lapses on the part of Respondents are evident.</p> <p>5. Steno copy granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>6. O.A. be kept on 11.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 08.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1034 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>V.B. Belge</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On 06.04.2022, the Tribunal has passed order for filing of Affidavit-in-Reply of the Commissioner of Police explaining lapses committed by the sub-ordinate in the matter of omission and mistake in filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply affirmed by the Joint Commissioner of Police who is present before the Tribunal. She requested to take Affidavit-in-Reply of the Joint Commissioner of Police on record as Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Commissioner of Police. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for hearing on 12.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.458 of 2021</p> <p>A.R. Salunkhe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Matter is already proceeded for hearing without Reply, since, Respondent fail to file Reply.</li> <li>Today also no Reply is filed. Since, the Applicant and his Advocate is absent adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity to them.</li> <li>S.O. to 05.05.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.497 of 2021</p> <p>V.M. Chandan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note, adjourned to 05.05.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.341 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Rathod .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant is absent. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.661 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. Raut .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file additional Affidavit along with copy of charge-sheet and O.A. No.1025/2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 08.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.316 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>Dr. R.B. Nirmale</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 16.02.2021 passed by Respondent No.3 which is passed on the basis of order passed by the Government (Respondent No.1) on 31.03.2008.</p> <p>3. Perusal of order dated 31.03.2008 reveals that Respondent No.1 – the Government passed order to recover Rs.36,060/- (Rupees Thirty Six Thousand Sixty Only) paid to the Applicant towards non practicing allowance, also passed order of reduction to lower stage by three stages till retirement.</p> <p>4. However, Respondent No.3 while implementing the said order has withdrawn three increments of the Applicant which was paid to him from 01.07.2006 and re-fixed the Applicant's pay as result of which the Applicant's pay was downgraded and it further resulted in getting less pension.</p> <p>5. Indeed, the order dated 31.03.2008 is not of withholding three increments that too with retrospective effect as interpreted by Respondent No.3. Respondent No.3 has given cumulative effect to the punishment order though there is no such specific mention of cumulative effect on pension in the order dated 31.03.2008.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

6. Legally speaking as per Rule 5 of M.C.S (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979, competent authority is required to pass specific order while imposing punishment to lower stage or lower time scale.

7. As such, the Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.1 who has passed order dated 31.03.2008 is necessary to clarify how the order is in consonance with M.C.S (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.

8. One week time is granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.

9. S.O. to 13.04.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.102 of 2021</p> <p>T.A. K. N.A.K. Pathan <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.461 of 2020</p> <p>D.J. Ambilwade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant-in-person is present and requested for adjournment on the ground that his Advocate Shri S.S. Dere is not available. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed his leave note.</p> <p>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.666 of 2021</p> <p>V.R. Kamble .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Karan Gajara, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Aditya Raktade, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.132 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.K. Patankar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.128 of 2022</p> <p>M.N. Katte .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.04.2022

O.A. No.1071 of 2021

S.P. Parsekar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.V. Magam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 18.04.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.203 of 2022 in O.A. No.162 of 2022</p> <p>M.A. Inamdar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.W. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by the Applicant namely Shri Mahibub A. Inamdar for retiral benefits on 15.02.2022 but he passed away on 26.02.2022, and therefore this Application is made to bring his legal heirs on record.</p> <p>3. Since the Original Applicant passed away during the pendency of O.A. and cause of action survives, M.A. is allowed. The names of heirs to be substituted in place of Original Applicant.</p> <p>4. Necessary amendment be carried out in title of O.A.</p> <p>5. M.A. No.203/22 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.204 of 2022 in O.A. No.162 of 2022</p> <p>M.A. Inamdar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.W. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. No.162/2022.</li> <li>3. Issue notice in M.A. for hearing before admission returnable on 05.05.2022.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>