

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.591 of 2020</p> <p>D.N. GunjalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for Rejoinder as last chance.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has pointed out that the Applicant has also prayed for further promotion, and therefore, matter pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is deleting the prayer for further promotion and O.A. is restricted to deemed date of promotion for the post of P.S.I. with consequential benefits only.</p> <p>5. He is directed to correct prayer clause accordingly.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 27.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.280 of 2020</p> <p>R.T. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted as last chance for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.611 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. KulatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Today matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder, but the same is not filed. 4. Adjourned for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 07.06.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.640 of 2020</p> <p>R.M. MaskeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned P.O. has raised grievances that copy of O.A. is not served upon the Respondents, nor the same is served to the Office of C.P.O. Indeed, directions were also given by the Tribunal on 03.12.2020 to serve the copy of O.A., but seems not complied with. It appears that ^{NMN} continuous absence of the Applicant and his Advocate that they are not interested in the matter. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1032 of 2019</p> <p>R.S. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Rohile, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri R.D. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri A. Kaningdhawaj, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and Respondent No.3 is served, but absent</p> <p>2. On request of Respondent No.2 two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.1026 of 2019</p> <p>S.K. ChandaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri P.G. Kayande, Learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presetting Officer for the Respondent is present.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 22.04.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O. A .No.129 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. GaradApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 136 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.Ā. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 190 of 2020</p> <p>R. K. Shimpi & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- V (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.224 of 2021</p> <p>B. N. SatheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. 22.04.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.Ā. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.169 of 2021</p> <p>H. R. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.32 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. SaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant is absent. He is conducting the matter in person. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. 03.05.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.71 of 2021 in O.A. No.138 of 2020</p> <p>S.B. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.K. Mishra, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing on 29.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.01 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the Applicant on 05.01.2021 and since then enough time is availed by the Respondents to file reply but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. as well as Shri Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 again sought time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted as a last chance with specific direction that if reply is not filed on next date, interim relief granted by the Tribunal on 05.01.2021 will be made absolute.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.574 of 2020</p> <p>L.D. VeerApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri A.R. Kapadnis, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5 is absent and Shri K. Tiwari learned Advocate for the Respondent No.9 is absent.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1, during the course of the day or within three days. He states that Reply of Respondent No.1 is enough and Reply of Respondents No.2 to 8 is not required. Again he says that he will take instruction as to whether Reply of Respondent No.4 – Government is necessary and will file the same if required.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any and also to P.O. to file Reply of Respondent No.4, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.608 of 2020</p> <p>C.M. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.52 of 2021</p> <p>A. D. NaganeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.79 of 2021</p> <p>G. C. GawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">v</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 08.04.2021</p>
	<p>O.A.No.95 of 2021</p> <p>G. N. DongarkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.123 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.101 of 2021</p> <p>A.Y. SakpalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p>M.A. No.143 of 2021 in O.A. No.936 of 2020</p> <p>A.B. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in O.A. No.936/19.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to challenge transfer order dated 19.09.2019, though in O.A. Respondent No.4 was party, there was no prayer to set aside said transfer order dated 19.09.2019. Therefore by present M.A. he seeks to amend the O.A.</p> <p>3. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 opposed the M.A. stating that there is no proper explanation as to why order of Respondent No.4 was not challenged in the O.A. though it was within the knowledge of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A., since Respondent No.4 was posted in place of the Applicant latter. Amendment is therefore allowed, it be incorporated in O.A.</p> <p>5. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 is at liberty to file Additional Reply if desire.</p> <p>6. Amendment be carried out within three days.</p> <p>7. M.A. is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.769 of 2020</p> <p>I. A. SavaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any. 4. S.O. to 30.04.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.723 of 2020</p> <p>A.M. HateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed. 3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply. 5. S.O. to 06.05.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.262 of 2021</p> <p>M. R. KasaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.05.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 06.05.2021 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.142 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. DavareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.05.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 06.05.2021 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.04.2021

O.A. No.252 of 2021

A.R. KambleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.05.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 03.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.04.2021

O.A. No.254 of 2021

S.B. GavitApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.05.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.04.2021

O.A. No.229 of 2021

M.D. KarandeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.05.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 04.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.656 of 2020</p> <p>S. M. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged the punishment order dated 05.11.2018 whereby his next increment was withheld for one year without cumulative effect. The Applicant has filed appeal before Respondent No.2 namely the Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, MS Pune on 01.01.2019 but it is still pending.</p> <p>3. In view of above, since the appeal is pending, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the appeal within stipulated period.</p> <p>4. O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 –the Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, MS, Pune to decide the appeal of the Applicant within three months from today in accordance to law and the decision shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>5. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further legal remedy.</p> <p>6. As regard subsistence allowance, the Applicant is at liberty to make representation to the Respondents which shall be decided in accordance to rules.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.609 of 2020</p> <p>A.B. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.2 – Shri Kantilal B. Umap, Commissioner of State Excise, Mumbai, in terms of the order passed by this Tribunal on 06.04.2021. In Affidavit he tendered unconditional apology for not filing Affidavit earlier. Besides, in Affidavit he had mentioned steps taken by office for releasing retrial benefits. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that except final Pension and 10% Gratuity, nothing remains. 4. In Affidavit-in-Reply it is stated that service-book is released ^{received} after verification on 26.03.2021 and now further steps will be taken for grant of regular pension and to release remaining Gratuity. 5. In view of above, Affidavit filed today is accepted and Contempt Notice is discharged. 6. Respondent No.2 is directed to ensure remaining retrial dues of the Applicant are actually released, within two weeks from today. 7. The Applicant is at liberty to avail remedy for grant of interest if desire. 8. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 08.04.2021

O.A. No.240 of 2021

R.P. PimplagaonkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.G. Ligade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 09.03.2021, whereby his deputation was cancelled and he was directed to join on his original post at Assistant Registrar, Co-Operative Society, Bhor, Pune.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.05.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.259 OF 2021

Shri Abrar Niyamutulla Sayyed,

).. Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & 2 Ors.

)..Respondents

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, HON'BLE MEMBER (J)

DATE : 08.04.2021.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 31.03.2021 passed by Respondent No.1 – Director General and Inspector General of Police, whereby transfer order of the Applicant dated 19.09.2018 from Hingoli to Pimpri-Chinchwad has been cancelled and the Applicant was directed to join at Hingoli.
3. The Applicant was serving as Police Inspector in S.R.P.F Hingoli. He had made request to transfer him to Mumbai. Accordingly Respondent No.1 by order dated 19.09.2018 transferred him to Pimpri-Chinchwad in Motor Transport Department, invoking section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act, 1967. Since then the Applicant was regularly working there. However, abruptly Respondent No.1 by order dated 31.03.2021 sent the Applicant back to Hingoli stating that there is no sanctioned post of Police Inspector on the establishment of Pimpri-Chinchwad.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for interim relief contending that there is no approval of Police Establishment Board (P.E.B.) for transfer of the Applicant from Pimpri-Chinchwad to Hingoli, and further, pointed out the Applicant is displaced only to accommodate one Shri Amit Kumar Lingade.

M. D. ...

5. Learned P.O. submits that Shri A.K. Lingade was temporarily posted to Pimpri-Chinchwad by order dated 07.04.2021, considering his difficulties and requested for transfer at Pimpri-Chinchwad, he therefore opposed grant of interim of relief.
6. Indeed, if there was no sanctioned post of Police Inspector in Motor Transport Department at Pimpri-Chinchwad, then initially in 2018 itself he would not have been transferred at that post. Therefore, the reason now shown in impugned order that there is no such sanctioned post is difficult to digest.
7. Secondly, there is no approval of P.E.B. as contemplated under Section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act, 1967. Respondent No.1 - Director General and Inspector General of Police at his level only transferred the Applicant which is *prima-facie* mid-term and mid-tenure transfer.
8. Thus, *prima-facie* the Applicant seems to have been displaced only to accommodate Shri A.K. Lingade without following due process of law.
9. In view of above, I am satisfied that impugned order dated 21.03.2021 is not in consonance of Maharashtra Police Act and same is liable to be stayed.
10. Interim relief as prayed in 10 (a) is granted.
11. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.05.2021.
12. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
15. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

16. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

17. S.O. to 04.05.2021.

Sd/-

Uv-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

Place: Mumbai

Date: 08.04.2021

Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.242 of 2021</p> <p>D.B. KedariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed O.A. for direction to the Respondents to take final decision in pending two D.Es., within four weeks and to pass appropriate orders so that he can get the his retrial benefits which are withheld for more than six years.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2014, at the time of retirement two D.Es. were pending against him in which enquiry officer has submitted report in 2018. The Applicant was served with enquiry report and he had already tendered his Reply. However, since 2018 matter is pending with the Respondent No.1 for final decision in D.E.</p> <p>4. It is really appalling that no final order is passed in D.Es. and Respondent No.1 is sitting on the matter for 3 years which is nothing short of maladministration on the part of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. seeks two days time to take instruction from Respondent No.1 about the status of file.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has already served copy of O.A. to Respondent No.1 as well as other Respondent. P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instruction from Respondent No.1 about the status of D.E. and appraise the Tribunal on 15.04.2021.</p> <p>8. Respondent No.1 is also directed to file Reply on Affidavit, and to explain why no final decision is taken in D.E. for period of three years.</p> <p>9. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 15.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.768 of 2020</p> <p>D.T. KatkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed short Additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant along with some transfer orders issued by the Government subsequently filing of O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Copy supplied to P.O.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.572 of 2019</p> <p>A.Y. ShringiriwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is P.H. matter, but learned P.O. requested for permission to file short Affidavit in Reply of Respondent No.2, according to him Reply of Respondent No.2 is necessary, but the same was not filed earlier.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2021.</p> <p align="right"> ⁿ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.146 of 2021</u> (Aurangabad Bench matter through Video Conferencing)</p> <p>C.S. Kulthe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.4.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (V.D. Dongare) Member (J) 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. St. No.98 of 2021</u> (Aurangabad Bench matter through Video Conferencing)</p> <p>R.K. Kapre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant has placed on record the application seeking withdrawal of the above OA. It is submitted that the suspension order of the applicant is revoked and the applicant has been ordered to resume his duties.</p> <p>3. I have no reason to refuse permission to withdraw the OA as applicant is seeking unconditional withdrawal. Hence, OA St. No.98 of 2021 is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (V.D. Dongare) Member (J) 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.134 of 2021</u> <u>(Aurangabad Bench matter through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>R.R. Chaugule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. CPO the matter is adjourned to 26.4.2021 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (V.D. Dongare) Member (J) 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.258 of 2020</u> <u>(Aurangabad Bench matter through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>A.P. Bhange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Record reveals that the pleadings of both the parties are complete. In view of the same this matter is fixed for hearing as the stage of admission on 15.4.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (V.D. Dongare) Member (J) 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.20 of 2021</u> <u>(Aurangabad Bench matter through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>R.S. Chandane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhede, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that this Tribunal has directed the respondents to review the representation made by the applicant after four weeks. Four weeks period is over. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time for the same and also to file reply.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned to 27.4.2021 for reply as well as to place on record the facts as regards the status of review.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (V.D. Dngare) Member (J) 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.12 of 2020</u> (Aurangabad Bench matter through Video Conferencing)</p> <p>V.V. Chandle & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Record reveals that pleadings of both the parties are complete. Hence, this matter may be fixed for hearing at the stage of admission. Therefore, matter is adjourned to 29.4.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (V.D. Dongare) Member (J) 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">O.A. No.123 of 2021 (Aurangabad Bench matter through Video Conferencing)</p> <p>G.P. Swami ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.C. Swami, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant, the matter is adjourned to 27.4.2021 for filing rejoinder to the reply filed by the respondents.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (V.D. Dongare) Member (J) 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.172 of 2021</u> <u>(Aurangabad Bench matter through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>B.S. Pandule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that this OA is filed to quash and set aside the impugned notice dated 12.3.2021 issued by the respondents. The applicant was initially appointed as Talathi. He worked at various places. Since 31.5.2014 he is posted as Talathi at Karjat Sajja and is working on the said post till today. Departmental Enquiry was held against him for certain lapses. The Enquiry Officer submitted his report to the disciplinary authority on 17.8.2020. The final show cause notice dated 12.3.2021 is issued to the applicant pursuant to the enquiry report in respect of proposed punishment. The applicant was directed to submit reply ^{reply} within seven days. The applicant sought extension of time. Ld. Advocate for the applicant across the Bar submitted that reply is submitted by the applicant on 5.4.2021.</p> <p>3. The applicant apprehends that if some adverse order is passed pursuant to the impugned show cause notice dated 12.3.2021 during pendency of this proceeding, this matter may become infructuous and therefore the applicant seeks relief restraining the respondents from taking any adverse action.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits that notice is yet to be issued and he has to seek instructions in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in my opinion in order to avoid multiplicity of proceedings at this stage it would be just and proper to grant ad-interim relief restraining the respondents from taking any adverse action against the applicant pursuant to the impugned show cause notice dated 12.3.2021 till next date. Ordered accordingly.

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.4.2021.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(V.D. Dongare)
Member (J)
8.4.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.358 of 2020</p> <p>M.D. Devkate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 01.04.2021 on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 3 through Shri Prakash K. Sabale, Deputy Secretary, Home (Transport Department), office of Addl. Chief Secretary, Transport Department. Taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) - Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.750 of 2020</p> <p>D.R. Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 01.04.2021 on behalf of Respondent, through Shri Aparna M. Bagul, Desk Officer, having additional charge of Deputy Assistant to the Director General and Inspector General of Police, office of Director General of Police, Mumbai. Taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks three weeks for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Advocated to 05.05.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.39 of 2014</p> <p>N.K. Sale & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.G. Sawardekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 07.05.2021 to the amendment on behalf of Respondents, through Shri Sachin S. Patil, Tahsildar Jat, Office of Tahsil Office Jath, Taluka- Jath. The same is taken on record. Copy be served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned to 17.06.2021 for Final Hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.125 of 2021</p> <p>V.I. Ingale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 07.04.2021 on behalf of Respondents No.4, through Shri Rohidas Dnyaneshwar Pawar, Principal, SRPF Training Centre, Daund. Taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.05.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.30 of 2021</p> <p>M.P. Lalwani ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is pointed out that the representations dated 17.02.2017 and 14.10.2020 to the Finance Department and so also another representation on 08.02.2021 to the Secretary, Home Department are yet to decide. Respondents No.1 and 2 to file affidavit-in-reply on next date by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.775 of 2020</p> <p>Y.M. Budhwant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that pursuant to order dated 02.02.2021 the seniority list is prepared and sent for the approval of the Hon'ble the Chief Minister. Approval is awaited.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is retiring on 30.06.2021</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Prashant Shelar, Section Officer, General Administration Department, who is present submits that once the approval to the provisional list is approved, the Departmental will give promotions on adhoc basis, subject to final seniority list.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 23.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.245 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.S. Sathe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the order dated 4.2.2021 passed by respondent no.1 be quashed and set aside. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant seeks appointment to the post of Junior Engineer (Civil), PWD. His name was appearing in the waiting list however the waiting list is expired.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.5.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 8.4.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2021</p> <p>(391)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.144 of 2021 in O.A. No.261 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Kulkarni & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that applicants who are Staff Nurses are challenging the seniority list dated 9.3.2021 as on 1.1.2020. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submit that out of 15 applicants who are seeking permission to sue jointly, 10 are within the jurisdiction of Principal Bench, 2 are from Aurangabad and 3 from Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal. As it is a common cause, with a view to avoid multiplicity of proceedings all the applicants are given permission to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 8.4.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.261 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Kulkarni & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants in support of his submissions relies on rules dated 10.1.1964. He points out rules regarding Sister Tutor and Master Tutor. Ld. CPO submits that those Rules of 1964 are amended in 2021. However, she would like to make a specific statement whether these rules are also applicable to Nurses from DMER.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that he wants to verify the rules. He prays that matter may be placed on 12.4.2021 for Urgent Admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.4.2021 for Urgent Admission.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 8.4.2021 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.228 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.V. Destewad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is reverted from the post of Awal Karkun to the post of Talathi challenges the order dated 5.3.2021 issued by the respondents..</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to go through the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.4.2021 for Urgent Admission.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 8.4.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.105 of 2021 in O.A. No.191 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.M. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this MA for condoning the delay of 24 years and 7 months in filing the OA. The applicant prays for two Time Bound Promotions as on 18.7.1995 and 18.7.2007.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 8.6.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 8.4.2021 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.253 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.A. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Junior Clerk in Cooperation Department, Mantralaya is challenging show cause notice dated 31.3.2021 issued by respondent no.2 terminating services of the applicant on the ground that his caste certificate of VJ(A) is found invalid. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has filed W.P. (St.) No.7238 of 2021 challenging the decision of the caste scrutiny committee dated 15.3.2021 invaliding his caste certificate. He submits that the writ petition is pending. He submits that by notice dated 31.3.2021 he is asked to remain present before the respondent on 3.5.2021 at 11.00 a.m. to give explanation. He submits that meanwhile his services be protected. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the GR dated 5.6.2018 and 8.1.2021 and submits that in similar cases like the applicant the Government is directed to create supernumerary post for such employees who have spent longer time in service and the committee accordingly is constituted to decide such cases. Ld. PO submits that prayer for interim relief is premature because as per show cause notice the applicant is called and will be given audience on 3.5.2021. So till then he is protected by the Government.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.4.2021. Respondents are directed to file reply.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.4.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OA.722/2019 (A`bad) with MA.133/2021 in OA.722/2019 with OA.1084/2019 with MA.149/2020 in MA.506/2019 in MA.410/2019 in OA No.733 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.B. Bansode & Ors. (OA.722/19) K.D. Salunke (OA.1084/19) M.R. Rathod & Ors. (OA.733/19) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Chaitanya Kulkarni with Ms. Ruchira Mhatre learned Advocates holding for Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.722/2019 and Shri S.A. Valimbe, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.1084 of 2019, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.9 in OA No.1084 of 2019. Shri M.S. Bhandari, learned Advocate for Applicants in MA No.410 of 2019 in OA No.733 of 2019.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has moved MA No.133/2021 in OA No.722/2019 for adding names of 636 candidates as per Schedule 'A' as party respondents no.5 to 640 in compliance of the order dated 5.2.2021 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>3. MA No.133 of 2021 is allowed and accordingly as per order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court the names of the persons who are listed in Schedule A of this MA be added as party respondents no.5 to 640 in the array of respondents.</p> <p>4. However, it is pointed out that address of these persons should be made available so that applicants can serve notice to all the respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seek time to furnish copy of MA to respondents no.1 to 4.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Ld. CPO is directed to furnish address/email address of these newly added respondents to the applicants within two weeks.</p> <p>6. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for respondent no.9 in OA No.1084 of 2019 submits that before Aurangabad Bench when the said respondents had appeared as Interveners in OA No.722/2019 at that time Shri A.S. Deshpande, Ld. Advocate for the applicants has made a statement that it is necessary to add 154 candidates besides these 636 candidates. Ld. Advocate for the applicants to take note.</p> <p>7. Shri M.S. Bhandari, learned Advocate has filed MA No.410 of 2019 in OA No.733 of 2019 for Intervention.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 3.5.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>8.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>8.4.2021</p> </div> </div> <p>LATER ON :</p> <p>In between the applicants are required to complete the process of serving to all the Respondents and the applicants are also supposed to complete the process adding 154 candidates if the applicants wants to do so.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.975 of 2019 with O.A.No.976 of 2019 with O.A.No.977 of 2019</p> <p>G.S. Bhajbhujje (O.A.975/2019) B.A. Karale (O.A.976/2019) K.G. Dhanvijay & Ors. (O.A.977/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A.976/2019, the learned C.P.O. submits on query that pursuant to the paragraph 8 of order dated 25.03.2021, she is informed that in O.A.No.976/2019 out of 3 posts of SEBC, not two posts but only one post is vacant. She submits that the applicant Mr. B.A. Karale is not at Number-1 in the waiting list, but he stands at Number-2.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel submits that as per his instructions, Applicant Mr. Karale tops the list in SEBC candidates. The learned Counsel further submits that he be give opportunity to go through the waiting list.</p> <p>4. Today, learned C.P.O. do not have the wait list, hence, we direct learned C.P.O. to direct the respondents to produce the wait list of SEBC candidates and point out who is Number-1 and where the applicant Mr. Karale stands in the said wait list.</p> <p>5. In O.A.No.977/2019, the learned Counsel has produced a chart. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1. He submits that this chart is prepared by the Respondent No.1 who is the appointing authority. The learned Counsel submits that this Exhibit-1 chart discloses the vacancy of the posts in all the three Original Applications.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The Respondents are directed to file their affidavit-in-reply in respect of the vacancy as on today and so also the position of the applicants in the merit list in view of this chart i.e. Exhibit-1 in all the three Original Applications.

7. Respondent No.3 Principal Secretary, Tribal Development Division, is required to explain the Clause No.2A of G.R. dated 01.12.2018 issued by the State of Maharashtra pertaining to the recruitments of Ashram Shala Superintendent.

8. The Respondent No.2 has filed affidavit dated 17.01.2019 the same has enclosed a letter at Exhibit-R2 page 78 of the paper book from Deputy Commissioner, Tribal Development addressed to Manager Maha-Online Ltd. The letter clarifies how the marks are calculated.

“ज्या उमेदवारांची शैक्षणिक अर्हता एम.एस.डब्ल्यू आहे, त्यांना एम. एस.डब्ल्यू पदवीस मिळालेल्या गुणांच्या टक्केवारीचे ५० पैकी गुणांमध्ये रूपांतरण करण्यात यावे.

ज्या उमेदवारांची शैक्षणिक अर्हता बी.एस.डब्ल्यू व एम.एस. डब्ल्यू आहे (शासन पत्र) त्यांचेबाबतीत बी.एस.डब्ल्यू पदवीस मिळालेल्या गुणांच्या टक्केवारीचे ५० पैकी गुणांमध्ये रूपांतरण करण्यात यावे.”

9. It is seen in this particular paragraph the Government letter is quoted regarding marks to be counted in case the person is BSW MSW however this particular letter has not been produced before this Tribunal. The learned C.P.O. is directed to contact the concerned and produce the letter on the next date.

10. The learned C.P.O. to send affidavit-in-reply through email to the learned Counsel for the applicant on or before 26.04.2021.

11. Adjourned to 29.04.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson