

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.42, 58, 59, 77, 88, 90, 92, 93,
108, 109 & 110 OF 2022**

Shri A.B. Handal & Ors.)...**Applicants**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)...**Respondents**

Mr. S.S. Dere, Advocate for Applicants.

**Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent Nos.1
& 2.**

**Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. A.B. Kololgi,
Presenting Officers for Respondent No.3 – Commissioner of Police,
Mumbai.**

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 08.02.2022

ORDER

1. All these Original Applications are filed by the Applicants who are serving in the cadre of P.I, A.P.I and P.S.I. The Police Establishment Board-2 headed by Director General of Police by transfer order dated 17.12.2.21 effected mass transfers on request.

2. Insofar as cadre of PSI is concerned, 269 PSIs are transferred and out of it, 187 are transferred from Mumbai to different part of State of Maharashtra. They were transferred on their request directly made to DGP. In transfer orders, not a single PSI is given as substitute to C.P, Mumbai.



3. As regard API, 170 Police Personnel serving in the cadre of API are transferred and out of it, 86 are transferred from Mumbai to other part of State of Maharashtra. Insofar, as substitute is concerned, only 3 substitutes are given in the same transfer order.
4. Now turning to the post of P.I, total 107 PIs are transferred and out of 50 are from Mumbai to different state of Maharashtra. In the same order, only 7 substitutes are given and they joined.
5. The Applicants approached this Tribunal raising grievance that despite transfer order issued by DGP, the C.P, Mumbai is not relieving them.
6. C.P, Mumbai has filed Affidavit raising his grievance that for want of substitute, he cannot relieve Police Personnel en masse, since he is facing crunch of Police Personnel to maintain law and order to run the administration in Mumbai Commissionerate, etc. He also raised the issue with Government by letter dated 30.12.2021.
7. The perusal of PEB minutes reveals that it was sent to members of PEB by circulation. The C.P, Mumbai did not sign it, apparently because of his reservation and difficulties faced by him for want of substitutes. Suffice to say, there was no consultation or deliberation with C.P, Mumbai and transfer orders are issued in cavalier manner.
8. The D.G.P. has filed Affidavit and tried to justify the transfer orders stating that information was called from C.P, Mumbai but he did not send it and ultimately, he issued transfer orders.
9. Needless to mention that PEB-2 at various levels are constituted in view of directions given by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **(2006) 8 SCC 1 [Prakash Singh and others Vs. Union of India and Ors.]**. In 2015, substantial amendments were carried out in Maharashtra Police Act regarding establishment of PEB and it's function, etc. As such, where

such mass transfers are going to be effected, it was incumbent rather obligatory on the part of DGP to call for necessary information and it is only after due deliberation and consultation with all stake holders, PEB minutes ought to have been finalized and thereafter only, formal transfer orders ought to have been issued by providing sufficient substitutes. But unfortunately, DGP has not followed these basic things which has resulted in this chaotic situation.

10. In view of above, the Tribunal passed order on 03.02.2022 that it would be appropriate for authorities concerned to sit together and solve the issue.

11. Today, the learned CPO on instructions stated that meeting was held on 04.02.2022 under the Chairmanship of Additional Chief Secretary (Home), which was attended by Director General of Police, Commissioner of Police, Mumbai, Additional Director General of Police (Establishment) and Joint Secretary. In minutes, it is recorded that insofar as P.I. and API are concerned, they will be relieved subject to compliance of Para No.12 of Notification dated 14.07.2021, as mentioned in transfer order dated 17.12.2021. As regard PSI, in meeting, it was decided that PSI (who are transferred on request) would be relieved as and when C.P, Mumbai will get substitute.

12. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants has pointed out that recently by order dated 24.01.2022, 22 PSIs are posted in Mumbai on promotion, and therefore, at least 22 Applicants (who are Applicants in OA.Nos.42 and 58 of 2022 can be immediately relieved.

13. As such, apparently, there is huge short-fall of Police Personnel with C.P, Mumbai for not relieving the Applicants.

14. If the O.A. is disposed of in the light of minutes of meeting dated 04.02.2022, it will not solve the issue finally, since as per the minutes

itself, the Applicants are required to be relieved subject to certain compliances, particularly, cadre of P.I. and A.P.I. Whereas, for cadre of PSI, it is decided that they will be relieved as and when substitute will have made available. In fact, all such precautions ought to have been taken before issuance of transfer orders.

15. The issue is now required to be resolved by finding out some solution. The Tribunal suggested learned CPO for holding PEB-2 afresh, so that full and final decision can be taken in appropriate manner. She stated that this would be appropriate solution to solve the issue.

16. Shri Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants also agreed to this proposition but subject to fixing time limit of 15 days.

17. In view of above, the Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police is directed to convene meeting of PEB-2 afresh and to pass appropriate orders about the transfers of the Applicants within a month from today. For that purpose, Respondent No.2 shall call necessary information from Office of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai or ask for it from the Applicants, if required and then PEB-2 shall take appropriate decision. The Tribunal hope and believe that PEB-2 meeting will be held in appropriate manner with proper recording of minutes in letter and spirit of law.

18. All the O.As are adjourned to 8th March, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A.No.534 of 2021 in O.A.No.949 of 2021</p> <p>K. V. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough time is granted to file reply. 3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply to M.A. as well as O.A. by way of last chance. 4. S.O. to 22.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.69 of 2022 in M.A.No.08 of 2022 in O.A.No.337 of 2018</p> <p>Smt. Sayali Ganesh JagadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Shah, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. These M.As are filed for condonation of delay and restoration of O.A. which was dismissed in default on 03.09.2020. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.03.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 04.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.02.2022

O.A.No.428, 429, 430 & 431 of 2020

N. R. Tekam & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. These Original Applications are filed by Police Personnel who are in the cadre of Police Constable for direction to C.P. Mumbai (Respondent No.2) to accept their request for transfer from Mumbai to other cities on the ground that their spouses leaving at that station where transfer is sought.
3. As per G.R. dated 26.10.2017 issued by Home Department, the transfer after three years is permissible on the ground of staying husband and wife at one place.
4. In the present case, all the Applicants ~~are~~^{have} completed more than three years as contemplated in G.R. dated 26.10.2017.
5. As such, the request of the Applicants seem covered by G.R. dated 26.10.2017.
6. In this O.A. directions were given to C.P. Mumbai about observance of G.R. dated 26.10.2017.
7. Today, learned P.O. stated that meeting of PEB was held on 25.01.2022 to consider the request of various Police Personnel for inter district transfer. It was decided to place the matter before PEB in next meeting for appropriate decision.
8. Learned P.O. submits that PEB will take the decision within four weeks.
9. In view of above, these four Original Applications can be disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2- C.P. Mumbai to consider the request of the Applicants in terms of G.R. dated 26.10.2017 and shall pass appropriate order within four weeks from today.
10. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.
11. All these O.As are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.513 of 2021 in O.A. No.383 of 2021 with M.A. No.514 of 2021 in O.A. No.385 of 2021 with M.A. No.515 of 2021 in M.A. No.207 of 2021 in O.A. No.393 of 2021 with M.A. No.516 of 2021 in O.A. No.434 of 2021 with M.A. No.517 of 2021 in M.A. No.229 of 2021 in O.A. No.440 of 2021 with M.A. No.518 of 2021 in M.A. No.230 of 2021 in O.A. No.441 of 2021 with M.A. No.519 of 2021 in M.A. No.235 of 2021 in O.A. No.445 of 2021 with M.A. No.520 of 2021 in M.A. No.236 of 2021 in O.A. No.446 of 2021 with M.A. No.545 of 2021 in M.A. No.205 of 2021 in O.A. No.391 of 2021 with M.A. No.546 of 2021 in O.A. No.386 of 2021 with M.A. No.497 of 2021 in O.A. No.388 of 2021 with M.A. No.498 of 2021 in O.A. No.389 of 2021 with M.A. No.499 of 2021 in O.A. No.390 of 2021</p> <p>G.J. Mhatre & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply to Misc. Application by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.446 of 2021 in O.A.No.821 of 2021 with O. A. No.46 of 2020</p> <p>P. J. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Enough chances are granted to file reply to M.A. but same is not filed. Notices were issued in the month of October 2021 but reply is not filed.</p> <p>3. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. M.A. be kept for hearing without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 24.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A.No.244 of 2021</p> <p>R. D. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sejal Hariyan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment of O.A. to challenge the communication dated 10.01.2020 which was remained to be prayed for in O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to amend O.A. as prayed in Shedule-1.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.46 of 2022 in O.A.No.244 of 2021</p> <p>R. D. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sejal Hariyan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 80 days caused in filing O.A. on the ground of Covid-19 pandemic situation and reference was also made of the direction given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court about extension of time in pandemic situation.</p> <p>3. In view of above, particularly in the light of direction given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in order dated 27.04.2021 and 10.01.2022 delay caused in filing O.A. is condoned.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.103 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. SayyedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 12.10.2021 whereby the Applicant is sent from Pimpri-Chinchwad to Hingoli. Though this order has been passed on 12.10.2021, till date the Applicant is not relieved and continued to work as Police Inspector, Motor Transport Department, Pimpri-Chinchwad as fairly conceded by learned P.O. on instructions.</p> <p>3. Indeed, this is 2nd round of litigation. Initially the Applicant has challenged order dated 31.03.2021 passed by the Director General of Police whereby transfer order dated 19.09.2018 from Hingoli to Pimpri-Chinchwad has been cancelled and the Applicant was directed to join at Hingoli. In O.A. No.259/2021 interim relief was granted by order dated 08.04.2021. Later, the Director General of Police by order dated 25.05.2021 at his own cancelled order dated 31.03.2021. As such, the Applicant was continued at Pimpri-Chinchwad.</p> <p>4. However, now again by order dated 12.10.2021 the Applicant is sent back to Hingoli invoking section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act on the ground that there is no sanctioned post on the establishment of Motor Transport Department, Pimpri-Chinchwad. Indeed, when there was no sanctioned post he would not have been transferred on that post in 2018. Be that as it may, <i>prima-facie</i> impugned transfer order is mid-term and admittedly the Applicant is still working at Pimpri-Chinchwad.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In this view of the matter, I am inclined to grant status quo.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 22.02.2022.</p> <p>13. Steno copy granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.104 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. Chavare ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is seeking appointment on the post of Safai Kamgar in terms of G.R. dated 10.11.2015 after retirement of her mother who retired on 31.07.2017. The Applicant made various applications for appointment after the death of her mother but those are not decided yet. Indeed, by letter dated 16.03.2021, Respondent No.2 – Superintendent Engineer, Pune Irrigation Circle informed to the Applicant that he is seeking guidance from the Government (Respondent No.1). 3. Since the period of more than four years from the death of mother is over, the decision ought to have been taken much earlier. 4. In view of above, learned P.O.is directed to take instructions about the status of various applications made by the Applicant and to apprise the Tribunal on next date. 5. In the meantime, issue notice before admission returnable on 08.03.2022. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 11. S.O. to 08.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.101 of 2022</p> <p>S. T. GhawaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 02.06.2021 whereby his claim for appointment on compassionate ground in group 'C' is rejected. He is already appointed on group 'D' post but claims Group 'C' post. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.03.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 08.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.663 of 2021 with M.A. No.407 of 2021 in M.A. No.425 of 2021</p> <p>K.S. AdheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Mallika R. Pujari, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.B. Tajane, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.407/2021, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.425/2021, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Smt. Rekha Musale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 in O.A. No.663/2021.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.956 of 2021</p> <p>V. A. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. The matter is adjourned at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any. 4. S.O. to 03.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.619 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.49 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. RenusheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.03.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 09.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.580 of 2021 in O.A.No.500 of 2021</p> <p>H. G. Kulkarni & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.582 of 2021 in O.A.No.798 of 2020</p> <p>S. G. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional affidavit of the Applicant stating the reasons why his Advocate did not appear in O.A. on 26.11.2021 when the matter was called for hearing. That day, O.A. was dismissed since the Applicant and his Advocate were absent.</p> <p>3. This M.A. is filed for restoration which is within limitation.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, M.A. is allowed. O.A.798/2020 is restored to file.</p> <p>5. M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.564 of 2021</p> <p>K. T. Bargaje & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 779 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Makonji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough chances are granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.777, 792 & 793 of 2021</p> <p>Y. B. Akolkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.784 of 2021</p> <p>D. T. Chavan & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.69 of 2022 in M.A.No.08 of 2022 in O.A.No.337 of 2018</p> <p>Smt. Sayali Ganesh JagadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt.holding for Shri Yatin Shah, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. These M.As are filed for condonation of delay and restoration of O.A. which was dismissed in default on 03.09.2020. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.03.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 04.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.798 of 2020</p> <p>S. G. Kamble ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. was dismissed in default on 26.11.2021 but it is restored today by order passed in M.A.No.582/2021. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 04.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.522 of 2021 O.A.No. 933 of 2021</p> <p>M. S. RadayeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of two months caused in filing O.A. challenging transfer order dated 17.09.2020. No reply is filed to M.A. 3. The Applicant has also challenged subsequent transfer order dated 04.02.2021 in the same O.A. which is within limitation. 4. In the interest of justice, I am inclined to condone the delay of two months so as to decide the O.A. on merit. 5. In view of above, the delay caused to file O.A. challenging transfer order dated 17.09.2020 is condoned. 6. M.A. is allowed without cost. 7. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 933 of 2021</p> <p>M. S. RadayeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged transfer orders dated 17.09.2020 and 04.02.2021. Though, in order dated 04.02.2021, he shown transferred on request but the Applicant's claimed that he has not made any such request and the transfer is on default ground. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.03.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 08.03.2022 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.508 of 2021 in O.A.No.849 of 20221</p> <p>S. N. ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. and Shri Onkar Sukale holding for Shri Ashish Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Respodnent Nos.2 and 3.</p> <p>2. Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3 is filed.</p> <p>3. Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 is not filed though enough time is granted.</p> <p>4. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>5. M.A. be kept for hearing on 25.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.244 of 2021</p> <p>R. D. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Sejal Hariyan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.03.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 09.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.97 of 2022 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</p> <p>A.D. NagareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 22.12.2021 issued by S.D.O. thereby cancelling the appointment of the Applicant to the post of Police Patil.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was appointed as Police Patil of Village Ambadvet, Taluka Mulshi, Dist. Pune in 1996 and later his appointment order was continued.</p> <p>4. By impugned communication dated 22.12.2021, S.D.O. , who is appointing authority, cancelled the appointment of the Applicant on the ground that he failed to discharge his duties vigilantly in view of registration of crime against Shri Prakash V. Khedekar and Ors for cultivating cannabis in the backward of their house at Village Ambadvet. S.D.O., Mulshi has given Show Cause Notice before cancellation of the appointment.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.03.2022.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 09.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.196 of 2021 in O.A.No.41 of 2021</p> <p>E. B. SayyedApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing of M.A. and O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.990 of 2021</p> <p>C. V. PotdarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.600 of 2021 in M.A.No.593 of 2021 in O.A.No.432 of 2021</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>C. S. Gaikwad ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>2. Since another O.A.988/2021 is pending before the Division Bench challenging initiation of D.E. with consent of both the Counsels, this matter is adjourned to 16.02.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.908 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. HembadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.935 of 2021</p> <p>R. A. LokhandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Ransubhe, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.520 of 2021</p> <p>S.K. JoshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. This matter was on yesterday's board (07.02.2022) but it was declared holiday, and therefore it is taken on today's board. Since the Applicant and his Advocate are absent adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 28.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.487 of 2021</p> <p>P.N. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. 3. This matter was on yesterday's board (07.02.2022) but it was declared holiday, and therefore it is taken on today's board. 4. Since the Applicant and his Advocate are absent adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 28.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.243 of 2021</p> <p>A.P. SansareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. This matter was on yesterday's board (07.02.2022) but it was declared holiday, and therefore it is taken on today's board. Since the Applicant and his Advocate are absent adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 25.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.200 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Bagde & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. This matter was on yesterday's board (07.02.2022) but it was declared holiday, and therefore it is taken on today's board. Since the Applicant and his Advocate are absent adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 25.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>08.02.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 536 with 537/2021</u></p> <p>Smt S.B Sonawane Smt S.B Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that she will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.2.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.02.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 218/2018 with M.A 495/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.G Lakhat & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant no. 1 has submitted application dated 8.2.2022 for withdrawing his name from the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Permission granted.</p> <p>4. The Original Application with proceed with regard to Applicant No. 2.</p> <p>5. S.O to 1.3.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.02.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 70/2022 in O.A 118/2022</u></p> <p>Shri P.S Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicants seek to withdraw the Misc Application to sue jointly with liberty to file fresh Misc Application.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Misc Application disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.02.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 118/2022</u></p> <p>Shri P.S Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission Issue notice made returnable on 15.2.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 15.2.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.02.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 105/2022</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Jain ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission Issue notice made returnable on 14.3.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 15.2.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.02.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 99/2022</u></p> <p>Shri J.S Pandit ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission Issue notice made returnable on 14.3.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 14.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>08.02.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 98/2022</u></p> <p>Shri J.S Pandit ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission Issue notice made returnable on 14.3.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 14.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1046 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. U.S. LokhandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. R. Kulkarni holding for Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to relive the Applicant in terms of transfer order dated 17.09.2021.</p> <p>3. The Government by order dated 17.09.2021 transferred the Applicant from Sindhudurg to Udgir, Dist. Latur. As per transfer order, he was to be relieved immediately. Despite specific direction in transfer order, he was not relieved, therefore, he has approached this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. All that in affidavit stated that for want of replacement, the Applicant is not relieved.</p> <p>6. Since the Government has already passed the order on 17.09.2021 giving specific directions to relieve the Applicant, now, the Respondent No.4 cannot retain the Applicant on the ground that no substitute is provided. The Respondent No.4 is required to take necessary steps in that behalf with Government. It is for Respondent No.4 to make local arrangement since the period of more than five months is over from the date of transfer order.</p> <p>7. In view of above, four weeks time is granted to Respondent No.4 to ^{relieve}relive the Applicant.</p> <p>8. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.957 of 2021</p> <p>V. G. MekaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1047, 1048 with 1049 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R. V. Chougule & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri M. R. Kulkarni holding for Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants stated that Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate is unable to remain present today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1008 of 2021</p> <p>S. P. KhudeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed to claim the benefit of new Assured Career Progressive Scheme. In other words, he is claiming time bound benefit promotion.</p> <p>3. In view of office order dated 28.05.2021, the subject of Time Bound Promotion including Assured Career Progressive Scheme, item no.18 pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before appropriate bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 21 of 2022</p> <p>M. V. BhureApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1057 of 2021</p> <p>R. A. BhaijanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for admission hearing in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 18.01.2022 about maintainability of the O.A. before this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 08.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.894 of 2021</p> <p>V.D. Salok & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed within 3 days. 3. Allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply in the office with copy to other side. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is also at liberty to file Rejoinder, if any by next date. 5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission along with O.A. No.475/2019 which is Part Hard matter. 6. S.O. to 24.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>