IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

of 20 Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 17.01.2024
	O.A. No.840 of 2023 with O.A. No.841 of 2023 with O.A. No.1607 of 2023 (SPEAKING TO MINUTES)
	M.S. Jamble A.S. Gorane
	D.J. Amberkar & OrsApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentin Officer in O.A. No.840 & 841/2023 and Smt. Archan B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents i O.A. No.1607/2023.
	2. The O.A. No.840/2023 and O.A. No.841/202 were not listed on today's board but taken up by way 'Circulation' on the background of letter of learned P.C dated 17.01.2024 addressed to 'Registrar M.A.T Mumbai Bench' requesting for 'Speaking to Minutes'.
	3. The content of 'Para 3' of the order given of 08.01.2024 read as "Learned P.O. however states the the 'Controller of Rationing, Mumbai' is now willing immediately relieve Applicant in O.A. No.1607/2023 Instead it be read as "Learned P.O. states that order 'Interim Relief' passed on 13.07.2023 has been made applicable to entire 'Transfer Order' dated 11.07.2023 based on prayer in 'Para 10 (a)' of O.A. No. 840/2023 O.A. No.841/23. Learned P.O. is thus informed the though there is mention of 'Para 10(a)' of the prayer the 'Interim Relief' was granted specifically in the respect of the Applicants in O.A. No. 840/2023 & O. No.841/23. Hence, the 'Controller Rationin' Mumbai' is directed to relieve the Applicants in the O.A. No.1607 of 2023 as there is no impediment

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	4. The contents of 'Para 5' read as "The grievand of Applicant in O.A. No. 1607/2023 therefore stand redressed. Further as stated by Learned PO an concurred to by learned Advocate of as nothing survive in O.A. No. 1607/2023 it is disposed off with 'No Orde as to Cost'." Instead it be read as "The grievance of th Applicants in this O.A. No.1607/2023 is expected to be redressed on being relieved by 'Controller of Rationing, Mumbai'. The 'Controller of Rationin Mumbai therefore to relieve Applicants by 25.01.2020 Thereafter O.A. No.1607/2023 to be placed for hearin along with O.A. No.840/2023 and O.A. No.841/2023".
	5. The 'Speaking to Minutes' are recorded base on letter of learned P.O. dated 17.01.2024 addressed to 'Registrar M.A.T., Mumbai Bench' requesting for 'Speaking to Minutes' and 'Oral Submissions' made thereupon by learned Advocate for the Applicant.
	6. S.O. to 30.01.2024.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chaklabarty) Member (A)
	NMN

l

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 08.01.2024
	O.A. No.613 of 2023
	M. M. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate b olding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocat e for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant insists that the request of Applicant can still to be considered for transfer to in establishment of 'Social Forestry' as per his options at Sr. No.8 to 10 as there is vacant post of 'Forest Guard' at 'Satana; Social Forestry'.
	3. Learned P.O. files 'Short Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3. It is taken on record.
	4. Learned P.O. seeks more time to ascertain if there are separate instructions by way of G.A.D. G.R. & Circular which restrict transfer of Government Servants under 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' on posts in areas under in 'P.E.S.A. Act, 1996'; against the back drop of pendency of 'Special Leave Petitions' in 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India' which relate only to appointments through 'Direct Recruitments' by various 'Administrative Departments' for posts in areas under 'P.E.S.A. Act, 1996'.

1

5. The 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik' in 'Memorandum' dated 12.12.2023 has observed that the Options at Sr. No. 8 to 10 given by Applicant which were for transfer to few establishments of 'Social Forestry' could not be done on grounds of lack of administrative jurisdiction of 'Deputy Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), East Division Nashik'. Therefore, if the post of 'Forest Guard; at' 'Satana' 'Social Forestry' is still available; then it is directed that as per earlier request of Applicant it be considered now and appropriate decision taken in 'One Week' by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik'. The Applicant had infact shown willingness to work on 'Territorial Posts' of 'Forest Guard' at 'Umrane, Nandori, Rameshwar, Varshi, Kalvan, Aatur, and Nandurtek' which are all in 'Difficult Areas'. The post of 'Forest Guard, at 'Satana' 'Social Forestry' is also in 'Difficult Areas'.

The Chief The 'Chief Conservator of Forest 6. (Territorial), Nashik' with reference to reasons recorded in 'Memorandum' dated 12.12.2023 to further ascertain within 'One Week' if any GAD Circular and G.R. have been issued which directs that transfer of Government Servants under 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' on posts in areas under 'P.E.S.A. Act, 1996' by kept in abeyance. Thereafter, if Applicant is not given transfer to post of 'Forest Guard' at 'Satana' 'Social Forestry'; then Chief 'Chief Conservator of Forest Territorial), Nashik' to review 'Memorandum' dated 12.12.2023 within 'Two Weeks' and pass appropriate order to transfer Applicant on any 'Vacant Post' of 'Forest Guard' as per his options Sr. No.**2** to 7.

7. S.O. to 18.01.2024.

NMN

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Tribunal's orders

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Tribunal's orders 0.As.1326 to 1328/2023

J.M. Ganvir & 2 Ors.	Applicants
V/s.	
The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Darshna Naval, learned Advocate holding for Shri Pranav Avhad, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicants is directed to serve Women and Child Welfare Department. The learned Advocate for Applicants is allowed to add Women and Child Welfare Department as 'Party Respondent'. Amendment to be carried out till tomorrow.

3. The responsible officer from Women and Child Welfare Department shall remain present on next date.

4. Issue notice returnable on 15.01.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant lis authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery'/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 15th January, 2024.

(skw)

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.01.2024	sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson 08.01.2024	J.)
00.01.202		

 $\mathbf{2}$

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 08.01.2024
	O.A. No.840 of 2023 with O.A. No.841 of 2023 with O.A. No.1607 of 2023
	M.S. Jamble A.S. Gorane D.J. Amberkar & OrsApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer in O.A. No.840 & 841/2023 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.1607/2023.
	2. Learned Advocate for Applicants stressed that
	O.A. No. 840 & 841/2023 be finally heard and decided
	before any further directions are passed in O.A. No.1607/2023.
	3. Learned P.O. however states that the 'Controller of Rationing, Mumbai' is now willing to immediately relieve Applicant in O.A. No.1607/2023.
	4. Learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A. No. 840
	& 841/2023 thereupon states that these can then be
	heard separately after 'One Week'.
	5. The grievance of Applicant in O.A. No. 1607/2023 therefore stands redressed. Further as stated by Learned PO and concurred to by learned Advocate as nothing survives in O.A. No. 1607/2023 it is disposed off with 'No Order as to Cost'. [P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	6.	The Orfiblinal&40/3023 & O.A. No. 841/2023
	be he	eard separately after One Week
	7.	S.O. to 15.01.2024.
		Sd/-
		(Debashish Chakrabarty Member (A)
	NMN	

 $\frac{1}{1}$

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	'S
	<u>M.A. No.774 of 2023 in O.A</u>	<u>. No.1557 of 2023</u>
	H.R. Bhosale Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, 1 Applicant and Shri A.J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any court fees to be paid, if not already p	
	3. Issue notice before admission 1.2.2024. The respondents are direct	
	4. Applicant is authorized an Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along work of O.A. Private service is allowed. notice that the case may be taken up stage of admission hearing.	date of hearing du ith complete paper boo Respondents are put
	5. This intimation/notice is or the Maharashtra Administrative Tril 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	bunal (Procedure) Rule
	6. By Hand delivery, speed po served and acknowledgement be along with affidavit of compliance in week. Applicant is directed to file and notice.	obtained and produce the Registry within or
	In case notice is not collected service report on affidavit is not the returnable date, the MA shall be plan concerned Bench under the caption thereafter on the subsequent date dismissed.	filed three days before the defore the defored and the def
		Sd/-
		(Medha Gaɗgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024
	(sgj)	

Tribunal's orders

Tribunal's orders

<u>M.A.730/2023 in O.A.1439/2023 with</u> <u>M.A.731/2023 in O.A.1440/2023</u>

R.K. Deorukhkar & M.M. More ... Applicants V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 22nd January, 2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 22nd January, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.01.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 08.01.2024
	O.A. No.1267 of 2023
	K.B. BhagatApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	 Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states no decision has yet been taken by 'Divisiona Commissioner, Konkan Division, Navi Mumbai' although proposal was received from 'District Collector, Raigad on 12.12.2023.
	3. Learned P.O. states that as per instruction received from office of 'District Collector, Raigad' the 'Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Nav Mumbai' is expected to take decision soon and has sought time of 'Four Weeks'.
	4. The 'Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division Navi Mumbai' has already taken substantial time to give appropriate directions to the 'District Collector, Raigad' Hence; the 'Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division Navi Mumbai' is directed by way of last chance to take appropriate decision within 'Two Weeks' in respect o the proposal submitted by 'District Collector, Raigad' or 12.12.2023; after taking into consideration the observations recorded on 15.12.2023 which relate to implementation of provisions of 'Section 12' of 'Sexua Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013'.
	5. S.O. to 23.01.2024.
	sd/-
	(Debashish Chakiabarty) Member (A)
	NMN

2

ŧ

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 08.01.2024
	O.A. No.1145 of 2023
	V.S. LambhateApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.
	2. Learned P.O. states that she has still not received any information regarding what course of action is proposed to be taken by 'Revenue & Forest Department' and/or 'Housing Department' as per provisions of 'Housing Department' G.R. dated 18.03.2020 about the two officers from cadre of 'Deputy Collectors' who are serving on posts of 'Competent Authority' and are completing their 5 year of tenures on 22.02.2024 in the establishment of 'C.E.O $-$ S.R.A.'.
	3. Learned P.O. therefore seeks time of 'One Week' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.
	4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'
	5. S.O. to 15.01.2024.
	sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 08.01.2024	
	O.A. No.1582 of 2023	
	Dr. V.K. PatneApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O. presents copy of 'Office Order' dated 04.01.2024 of 'Civil Surgeon; District Raigad' by which Applicant has now been relieved from the 'Temporary Charge' of 'Medical Officer, Rural Hospital Murud, District Raigad' and permitted to continue working on original post of 'Medical Officer, Sub District Hospital Panvel, District Raigad'.	
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states now that the Applicant has been permitted by 'Civil Surgeon; District Raigad' to continue working on his original post of 'Medical Officer, Sub District Hospital Panvel, District Raigad'; nothing survives in this O.A.No.1582/2023. Therefore O.A.No.1582/2023 is disposed off with 'No Order as to Costs'.	
	sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	
	NMN	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DIS	rrict Applicant/s
(Advocate)		••
(nuvocate	••••••		
	versus		
The S	State of Maharasht	ra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Con Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's or	ders
	08.01.20	024	
	<u>C.A 43/2</u> <u>O.A 619</u> /		in M.A 364/2023 in
	A.D Narg	ude & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State	e of Maharashtra & Or	rs Respondents
	the appli Responde	cants, Smt K.S Gaik ents in M.A 364/2023	kar, learned advocate for wad, learned P.O for the and Ms Punam Mahajan, ints in O.A 619/2023.
		earned counsel Shri iginal Application No.	Bandiwadekar, filed reply 619/2023.
		earned counsel Ms is request for time.	Punam Mahajan for the
	3. S. then.	O to 22.1.2023. Int	erim relief to continue till
	Sd/ (Medha C Member ^{Akn}	Gadgil)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	-		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	nal's orders
	Date : 08.01.2024	
	O.A.No.259/2020	with O.A.No.260/2020
	D.H. Gawali & Ors.	Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashti	ra & OrsRespondents.
		ushan A. Bandiwadekar e Applicants and Ms. S.P ief Presenting Officer for the
	2. Learned Counse	I has submitted that these
	matters were lying with	out date. Hence, circulation
	is taken by him.	
	3. O.As be posted o	n 20.02.2024.
	Sd/-	SD/-
	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member (A)	Chairperson
	prk	

.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.268 of 2023</u>	
	M.A. Chopade & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The Charles of the second seco	Respondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned A Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, lear Officer for the Respondents.	Advocate for the ned Presenting
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is affidavit regarding NOC from other siblings of	directed to file of the family.
	3. S.O. to 16.1.2024.	
	(Medha Membo 8.1.2	
		[<i>PTO</i> .

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	lers
	<u>O.A. No.1279</u>	<u>of 2023</u>
	M.R. Pisal Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Mr. A.U. Pawar, Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for the learned Presenting Officer
	2. Ld. PO files dated 2.1.2024	4 of respondent no.2.
	3. S.O. to 23.1.2024 for filing	
	(sgj)	sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024
		[PTO.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.1262 of 2</u>	2023
	V.G. Ingawale Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadeka the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. Officer for the Respondents.	r, learned Advocate for K., learned Presenting
	2. Ld. PO files 2 replies dated 8 no.1, 2 & 4 and respondent no.3.	.1.2024 of respondents
	3. S.O. to 24.1.2024.	
	((sgj)	sd/- Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>M.A. No.12 of 2024 in O.A. No.350 of 2022</u> with M.A. No.13 of 2024 in O.A. No.350 of 2022
	The Dy. Director of Health Services, PuneApplicant Vs. Rajiv H. Pawar & OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent No.8. Respondent No.1-original applicant and his advocate are absent.
	2. MA No.13/2024 is filed for condoning the delay in filing MA No.12 of 2024 for waiver of cost.
	3. Ld. PO submits that there is delay of 8 months in filing the MA No.12/2023 for waiver of cost which is unintentional and the same is caused due to administrative work and procedure and the same may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice.
	4. For the reasons mentioned in MA No.13/2024 the delay is condoned. MA No.13/2024 is disposed off.
	5. In the above OA following order was passed on 27.4.2023:
	"5. In view of the above, by way of last chance one opportunity is again granted to respondents to file reply subject to cost of Rs. 10,000/- payable by next date."
	6. Ld. PO submits that applicant-original respondent no.8 has accordingly deposited the cost of Rs.10,000/- on 9.6.2023 in the office of this Tribunal, a receipt whereof is annexed to this MA at page 15 as Exhibit M-3. Ld. PO submits that the above OA was dismissed by judgment and order dated 23.8.2023. Ld. PO tenders unconditional apology and prays that the cost deposited may be refunded.
	7. In the facts and circumstances of the case, the cost be refunded to the applicant-original respondent no.8 within two weeks. Both the MAs are disposed off accordingly.
	sd/ (Medhal/Gadgff) Member (A) 8.1.2024

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(59,000-2-2015) AMARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

∛0.

4

of 20

tion No.

of 20

ffice Memoranda of Corem, 9. Tribunal's orders or 9.nd Begistrar's orders	Tribunal's order	" G	
	<u>M.A.19/2024 in O.A</u>	M.A.19/2024 in O.A.23/2024	
	S.B. Deore & Ors. V/s.	Applicants	
	The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents	
	for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Ma for Respondent Nos.1 to 4 learned Advocate for Res Ashwin V. Sakolkar learned	, Smt. Savita Yadav, spondent No.5, Shri d Advocate holding for rned Advocate for mt. Payal Vardhan, for Shri Abhishek	
	2. This MA has been fi all the Applicants are seek MA to sue jointly is allowe of Court Fees, if not already	d, subject to payment	
	St / (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.01.2024 (skw)	جزارہے (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 08.01.2024	

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

ARARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Jo.

J.

of 20

tion No.

50,000-2-2015)

of 20

fflee Momoranda of Coram, 94 Tribunal's orders or 24nd Rogistrar's orders	Tribunal's orde)'S	
<u>0.A.23</u>		3/2024	
	S.B. Deore & Ors.	Applicants	
	V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaik for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Ma for Respondent Nos.1 to a learned Advocate for Re Ashwin V. Sakolkar learne Shri Anoop Patil, lea Respondent No.6 and S learned Advocate holding Kornile, learned Advocate None for Respondent No.7.	4, Smt. Savita Yadav, spondent No.5, Shri d Advocate holding for arned Advocate for Smt. Payal Vardhan, g for Shri Abhishek	
	2. Learned Advocate for to amend the prayers. A prayers.	r the Applicants wants Allowed to amend the	
	3. S.O. to 9 th January,	2024.	
	Self (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.01.2024 (skw)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 08.01.2024	

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

AMARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

No.

(50,000-2-2015)

of 20

1

tion No.

of 20

"fles Memoranda of Coram, e, Tribunal's orders or and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>0.A.650/2023</u>		
		Applicant	
	V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors I	Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwade Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K learned PO for Respondents.		
	2. Learned PO files Sur-Rejoinde on record.	er. It is taken	
• •	3. Learned Advocate for the Ap time. Time granted.	plicant seeks	
	4. S.O. to 22 nd January, 2024.		
·	(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Member-A Cha	SA(Bhatkar, J.) airperson 01.2024	
		•	

Tribunal's orders

 $\mathbf{2}$

<u>M.A.730/2023 in O.A.1439/2023 with</u> <u>M.A.731/2023 in O.A.1440/2023</u>

R.K. Deorukhkar & M.M. More ... Applicants V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 22nd January, 2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 22nd January, 2024.

S ダ (/--(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.01.2024

_________(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 08.01.2024

(skw)

Tribunal's orders

O.A.11/2024

Dr. S.R. Katke V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Applicant

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 24.01.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 24th January, 2024.

94/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.01.2024

8.

(skw)

_Sd/~ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 08.01.2024

 $\mathbf{2}$

(0.000 - 2 - 2015)

HARASHTRA ADMUNISTEL MUSTELA

of 20

2.1 8

un No.

FARAD CONTINUESCO

e**e Memorunda o**f Coram, frikunal's orders to d **Registrar's** - ordero

O.A.1580/2023

A.S. Jadhav ... Applicant V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

ana a

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant who is Police Constable challenging the summary dismissal dated 20.11.2023 under Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution of India.

3. Learned CPO to file reply.

4. Issue notice returnable on 05.02.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

Tribunal's orders

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 5th February, 2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 08.01.2024 Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 08.01.2024

(skw)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.520 of 2023 in O.A. No</u>	.800 of 2023
	The Maharashtra State Food Officers Welfare Association Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicants Respondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learn Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Officer for the Respondents.	ned Advocate for the
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants produced copy orders dated 27.12.2023 wherein recovery has been order. As the State is yet to file reply, he prays for interim reli- He also seeks leave to amend the MA and OA.	
	3. Ld. PO opposes the same.	
	4. In view of the fact that no re interim relief till next date.	ply is filed, I grant
	5. Amendment be carried out wi amended copy be served on all the response	
	6. S.O. to 29.1.2024.	
		Sd/-
		1edha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024
	(sgj)	0.1.2021

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.415 of 2023 with O.</u>	<u>A. No.384 of 2023</u>
	V.D. Pimple Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, le for the Respondents. Applicant absent.	arned Presenting Officer and advocate both are
	2. Ld. PO files reply dated 8.12	.2023 of respondent.
	3. S.O. to 23.1.2024.	
		Sd/-
		(Medhæ Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024
	(sgj)	

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	M.A. No.148 of 2023 and O.A. No.260 of 2023	
	Asha Arun WankhedeApplicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Ms. P.M. Choudhary holding for Ms. Kran Bhamre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Sm Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for th Respondents.	
	2. This MA is filed for condoning the delay from 200 Delay is of 21 years. The husband of the applicant viz. La Shri Arun Pundalik Wankhede who was working a Constable was removed from service after DE on 7.5.199 The order was confirmed in appeal on 19.5.2001. The husband of the applicant expired on 26.6.2002. The applicant made several representations.	
	3. Ld. PO files reply dated 16.6.2023 in OA. Ld. P opposes the MA stating that delay is not reasonable and r satisfactory explanation has been given. She states that the applicant has made application to the State Human Right Commission and the same was rejected on 23.11.2005. The MA & OA are filed by widow of the applicant seeking pensionary benefits. Ld. PO submits that applicant did n make any representation after the death of her husband.	
	4. I have considered the facts of the case. There unreasonable delay in the matter from 2002. No satisfactor explanation has been given for this delay. I am unable accept the submissions made by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant. Hence, MA is dismissed. In view of dismissal MA, the OA is also dismissed.	
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.6 of 2024 in O.A. No.2 of 2024</u>	
	R.S. Patil & Ors.	Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougu Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, a court fees to be paid, if not already pai	
	3. Issue notice before admis 15.1.2024. The respondents are direct	
	4. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of d authenticated by Registry, along with of MA & OA. Private service is allor put to notice that the case may be take at the stage of admission hearing.	late of hearing du complete paper boo wed. Respondents a
	5. This intimation/notice is orde the Maharashtra Administrative Tribu 1988, and the questions such as lin remedy are kept open.	nal (Procedure) Rule
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post served and acknowledgement be ob along with affidavit of compliance in t week. Applicant is directed to file A and notice.	otained and produce he Registry within or
	7. In case notice is not collected service report on affidavit is not fil- returnable date, the MA shall be place concerned Bench under the caption thereafter on the subsequent date dismissed.	ed three days befo ed on board before th "For Dismissal" ar
		Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgul) Member (A) 8.1.2024
	(sgj)	0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.1613 of 2023</u>	
	K.P. PawarApplicantApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Offic for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate both a absent.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed an court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.2.202 The respondents are directed to file reply.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternative remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to leserved and acknowledgement be obtained and produce along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within or week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	7. In case notice is not collected within seven days service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" are thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall start dismissed.	
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	*S
	<u>O.A. No.1612 of 2023</u>	
	A.N. Atole	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Offic for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate both a absent.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed an court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.2.202 The respondents are directed to file reply.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is o the Maharashtra Administrative Tr 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	ibunal (Procedure) Rule
	6. By Hand delivery, speed p served and acknowledgement be along with affidavit of compliance week. Applicant is directed to file and notice.	obtained and produce in the Registry within or
	7. In case notice is not collect service report on affidavit is not returnable date, the OA shall be pl concerned Bench under the caption thereafter on the subsequent data dismissed.	filed three days before aced on board before the on "For Dismissal" ar
		Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.1588 of 2023</u>	
	C.S. SanapApplicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Present Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed a court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.2.202 The respondents are directed to file reply.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper bo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at t stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to served and acknowledgement be obtained and product along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within o week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliant and notice.	
	7. In case notice is not collected within seven days service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before t concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" a thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stat dismissed.	
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gađgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.1588 of 2023</u>	
	C.S. SanapApplicantApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Present Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed a court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.2.202 The respondents are directed to file reply.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper bo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at t stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to served and acknowledgement be obtained and product along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within of week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliant and notice.	
	7. In case notice is not collected within seven days service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before to concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" a thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stat dismissed.	
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	
	(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	M.A. No.773 of 2023 in O.A. No.1556 of 2023	
	H.R. BhosaleApplicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presentine Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed an court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable 1.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper bo of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at t stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to served and acknowledgement be obtained and produc along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within o week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of complian and notice.	
	7. In case notice is not collected within seven days service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before t concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" at thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stat dismissed.	
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	
	(sgj)	

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.1146 of 2</u>	123
	S.K. Karjol	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, lear Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. Officer for the Respondents.	ned Advocate for the
	2. Ld. PO files reply dated 13.1 No.1 & 2.	2.2023 of respondents
	3. Admit.	
	4. S.O. to 2.2.2024 for final hearing	ng.
		Sd/-
	((sgj)	Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
		<u>O.A. No.1016 of</u>	2023
	S.C. 1	Famkhede Vs.	Applicant
	The S	tate of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadel pplicant and Smt. K.S. Gaik er for the Respondents.	
	2.	Ld. Advocate for the application	nt files rejoinder.
	3.	Admit.	
	4.	S.O. to 1.2.2024 for final hea	aring.
			Sd/-
	(sgj)		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.809 of 20</u>	<u>23</u>
	P.W. Shridhankar Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Smt. Archana B.K., lear for the Respondents. Applicant an absent.	ned Presenting Officer d Advocate both the
	2. Ld. PO states that there is delay	in filing the OA.
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applic condonation of delay.	cant to file MA for
	4. S.O. to 25.1.2024.	
		Sd/-
		Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's order	rs
		<u>O.A. No.498 of</u>	2023
	Т.В.	Pachpute Vs.	Applicant
	The S	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri V.N. More, le icant and Shri A.J. Choug er for the Respondents.	
	2.	Ld. Advocate for the application	ant files rejoinder.
	3.	Admit.0	
	4.	S.O. to 16.2.2024 for final h	earing.
			Sd/-
			(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024
	(sgj)		
			[<i>PT.O.</i>

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Date: 08.01.2024

Original Application No.

of 20 O.A. No.1329 of 2023 to O.A. No.1332 of 2023

	D.B. Choudhari,
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	B.S. Tachale, V.A. Kale Tribunal's ordersApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant insists that there is still no order of withdrawal of 'Memorandum' dated 01.10.2023 issued by 'S.D.O. Pune, District Pune' and 'Order' ¹ dated 01.10.2023 issued by 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune'.
	3. Learned P.O. presents letter dated 05.01.2024 received from 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune' which states that Applicants have been permitted to join back on their posts of 'Talathi' on 21.12.2023.
	4. Learned P.O. is accordingly informed to produce the 'Office Order' copy of 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune' dated 21.12.2023, by which Applicants have been permitted to join back on their posts of 'Talathi' on 21.12.2023.
	5. S.O. to 16.01.2024.
	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 08.01.2024
	O.A. No.1468 of 2023
	M.G. Kendre & OrsApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.
	3. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on behalf of 'Superintendent of Police, Nanded' states that as per Order dated 16.06.2023 there are adequate vacancies available for those 'Police Constables' desirous of joining finter District Transfer'. However, as there is likelihood of delay in relieving the Applicant on 'Inter District Transfer' by the 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai', this O.A. may also be tagged along with the batch of connected O.A. No.26/2022 and 43 others.
	4: S.O. to 09.01.2024.
	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakitabarty) Member (A)
	NMN
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

	08.01.2024 0.A 1080/2023	08.01.2024	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders
		08.01.2024	
		08.01.2024	
		0 8.01.2024	
		<u>08.01.2024</u>	
		0 8.01.2024	
		<u>08.01.2024</u>	
		<u>08.01.2024</u>	
•			
	P.P Ingle Applica Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respon	Vs.	
ed advocate learned	 Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, lea C.P.O for the Respondents 	for the applicant an	
	2. Learned C.P.O request for time.	2. Learned C.P	
	3. S.O to 20.2.2024.	3. S.O to 20.2.	
	Sd/- Sd/-	Sd/-	
atkar, J.)	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhat) Member (A) Chairpers	Member (A)	
erson	Akn	Akn	
erson			
ondents ed advocate learned atkar, J.)	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respon 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, lea C.P.O for the Respondents 2. Learned C.P.O request for time. 3. S.O to 20.2.2024. Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhat)	Vs. The State of Mahara 1. Heard Shri for the applicant an C.P.O for the Respo 2. Learned C.P 3. S.O to 20.2. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	

08.01.2024

O.A 837 & 857/2023

Through Video Conference

A.S Waghmode & Ors

M.N Hakim & Ors ... Applicants Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants seek time to file rejoinder.

S.O to 5.2.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
	<u>08.01.2024</u>	
	<u>0.A 802/20</u>	23
	A.S Jachak	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. None present for the appl Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the	icant. Heard Ms Swati Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O request for	time to file reply.
	3. S.O to 5.2.2024.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (i Member (A) Akn	Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Tribunal's orders

<u>**08.01.2024**</u>

M.A 549/2023 in O.A 1080/2023

P.P.Ingle

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

Vs.

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant challenges the order dated 13.12.2016 rejecting the candidature of the applicant for the post of Police Constable. Learned counsel submitted that O.A 715/2017 was filed before M.A.T, Nagpur Bench. The said O.A was allowed to be withdrawn by order dated 22.12.2021, with liberty to file before the Principal Bench, Mumbai. The present O.A is filed on 22.8.2023.

3. Learned counsel submits that the period of lmitation was allowed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court by drder dated 10.1.2022 in Suo Moto, W.P (C) No. 3/2020. The said limitation was extended upto May, 2022. Learned counsel further submitted that thereafter from 1.6.2022 till filing of the O.A, the period to be donsidered as beyond limitation. He further submitted that as the O.A is filed on 22.8.2023, there is a delay of 14 months.

4. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicant consumed time till December, 2022 to get the papers from the Advocate in Nagpur and find a suitable lawyer in Mumbai. She approached the present counsel in the month of January-February, 2023. As there was some demand of more documents made by the learned counsel, therefore, applicant consumed 4 to 6 months in submitting those documents.

5. Learned C.P.O while opposing this delay, relied on the affidavit in reply dated 18.10.2023 filed by Shri Vijay B. Belge, Assistant Commissioner of Police, HQ-II, ih the office of Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai.

6. We have considered the submissions of learned dounsel for the applicant and learned C.P.O. There is a delay in filing the Original Application from January, 2023 to August, 2023. Though the applicant has contacted a suitable lawyer, however, considering the fact that applicant is prosecuting this matter since 2017, we allow the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay by directing the applicant to pay dost of Rs. 1000/- to the Police Welfare Fund.

Accordingly, M.A stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribur	nal's orders
<u>(</u>	8.01.2024	
	<u>O.A 322, 360, 3</u>	61 & 370/2023
I I	r M.M Patil & Ors Pr T.G Lokhande & Ors PR S.S Lokhande & Ors Pr S.P Bhoir & Ors	Applicants
	Vs. he State of Maharashtra &	
1		ne applicants. Heard Msl .P.O for the Respondents No 'b Hanurabi & Solomon for
2	. Admit with liberty to	file rejoinder, if any.
3	Place for final hearing	g on 22.1.2024.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	Medha Gadgil) Member (A) ^{kn}	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

•

08.01.2024

M.A 18/2024in O.A 1100/2023

B.P Chaudhari & Ors Vs. ... Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri V.P Potbhare, learned counsel for Respondents No 4 to 9.

2. Learned counsel has moved Misc Application for amendment and submits that he is challenging the subsequent order dated 29.12.2023 which was passed when the matter was pending and also seek time to amend the grounds and other part in the Original Application.

3. Misc Application seeking to amend the Original Application is allowed. Amendment to be carried out within a week and copy be served on the Respondents.

4. O.A 1100/2023 to be placed on Board on 5.2.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

m

fice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Т	ribunal's orders
		-
	08.01.2024	
	<u>M.A 597/20</u> 2	23 in O.A 1141/2023
	Through	Video Conference
	S.C Chougule Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharasht	-
	the applicant, Ms S.P M Respondents No 1 to 3 a	m Mahajan, learned advocate for lanchekar, learned C.P.O for the and Ms Pallavi Karanjkar holding d counsel for Respondent no. 4.
	2. Learned C.P.O re	equest for time to file reply.
	 Time granted by way of last chance to file reply. S.O to 22.1.2023. 	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

.

.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tr	ibunal's orders
	<u>08.01.2024</u>	
	0.4	1545/2023
	<u>U.M</u>	10+0/2020
	S.M Patil & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra	a & Ors Respondents
	I. None present for Manchekar, learned C.P.	the applicants. Heard Ms Swat: O for the Respondents.
		eks time to file reply.
	3. S.O to 22.1.2024.	
	0.01022.1.2021.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
		04/
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar,J.) Chairperson
	Akn	

Tribunal's orders

2

08.01.2024

O.A 957/2017

Through Video Conference

A.M Khan

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

Vs.

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K. learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that the appeal of the applicant was allowed and the punishment was set aside and so his case can be considered for promotion. Learned counsel submits that she will submit the said order within a week.

3. Learned P.O produces order dated 12.6.2020 of Special I.G, where the Department has offered him promotion by order dated 29.6.2019. However, the applicant by letter dated 7.11.2019 has refused the promotion and therefore by order dated 12.6.2020 the Government was informed to cancel his promotion.

S.O to 19.1.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

kn

Sd/-

<u>**0**8.01.2024</u>

O.A 815/2017 with O.A 513/2017

\$.M Patil

H.B Sonawane & Ors ... Applicants Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms. Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 ad Shri V.V Berde, learned dounsel for Respondent no. 4.

2. Learned counsel Shri R.G Panchal, submits that applicant Mr S.M Patil in O.A 815/2017 has sought NOC from Advocate Shri R. Deokar and M. Narvekar and today he is filing Vakalatnama on behalf of the said applicant.

Learned C.P.O relies on Section 22 of the Indian Vessels Act, 1917, which reads as under:-

"22. Grant of masters' serangs', engineers' and engine-drivers' certificates of service—

(1) The State Government may, (if it thins fit), grant without examination to any person who has served as a master, or as an engineers of [a vessel of the Coast Guard, Indian Navy or regular Army for a period as may be prescribed by the State Government in this behalf], a certificate (hereinafter called a certificate of service) to that effect that he is, by reason of his having so served, competent to act as a first-class master, second-class master or serang, or as an engineer, firstclass engine-driver or second-class enginedriver, as the case may be, on board an inland [mechanically propelled vessel].

Explanation – For the purpose of this section.....

(2) A certificate of service so granted shall have the same effect as a certificate of competency granted under this Act after examination.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to 16.1.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

08.01.2024

O.A 1108/2022

S.A Jadhav

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Q.P.O for the Respondents.

2. We would like to know what decision is taken by the competent authority after two years as two years have passed after keeping the case of the applicant in sealed cover. A conscious decision is required to be taken by the competent authority as per clause 9 of G.R dated 15.12.2017.

S.O to 11.1.2024.

Vs.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

08.01.2024

<u>C.A 58/2023 in O.A 834/2022 with C.A 59/2023 in</u> <u>Q.A 878/2022</u>

Dr V.N Waghmare & OrsDr R.R Rajput ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K. Gajra & Associates, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that M.P.S.C has filed W.P Nos 9707/2023 & 9714/2023 and Director of Medical Education & Research has filed W.P Nos 12742 & 12749/2023 before the Hon'ble High Court and they are pending.

In view of the above, matter stands adjourned to .3.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

kn

<u>**08.01.2024**</u>

O.A 1025/2021

H.A Marnoor

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

Learned C.P.O seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.

S.O to 22.1.2024.

Vs.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

kn

Sd/-

<u>48.01.2024</u>

O.A 1201/2023

A.G Gholap & Ors Vs. ... Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

a & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants file affidavit in rejoinder.

Admit.

Place for final hearing on 20.1.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

m

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
d	8.01.2024	-
	<u>0.A 1238/202</u>	<u>3</u> .
	or H.A Nadaf Vs.	Applicant
T	he State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
l fi C	Heard Shri B.A Bandiwade tor the applicant and Ms Swati Mar C.P.O for the Respondents.	
2	Learned C.P.O seeks time to	o file reply.
3	S.O to 15.1.2024.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	Medha Gadgil) (Mi Member (A)	ridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

<u>d8.01.2024</u>

C.A 65/2022 in O.A 263/2019

D.B Vithoba Kodag Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

l. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that W.P 6635/2023 is filed before the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A 263/2019 and interim order is granted by the Hon'ble High Court.

S.O to 18.3.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

m

3

Sd/-

08.01.2024

C.A 51/2023 in O.A 1219/2022

S.B Vadar Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per instructions of the applicant who is present in the Court, has submitted written application to withdraw the Contempt Application.

3. Contempt Application stands disposed of as withdrawn. Application submitted by the applicant is taken on record and marked as Exh. T.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

٨n

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribur	nal's orders
	Date : 08.01.2024	
	O.A.No.	1108/2022
	S.A. Jadhav	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtr	ra & OrsRespondents.
	learned Counsel for th	ushan A. Bandiwadekar, le Applicants and Ms. S.P. lef Presenting Officer for the
	27.08.2021 passed by the of denying promotion to	king as Assistant Sub se challenges order dated he Respondents to the extent the Applicant to the post of cise in view of the pendency
	Applicant was appointed on 26.06.2000. There 2023 was registered and then during the pender Applicant was promoted Inspector, State Excise Counsel has submitted case came up for consid post of State Inspector Respondents issued ord of State Inspector of H juniors except the app name of the applicant w per the remarks of 20.08.2021 and his ca Counsel has pointed ou dated 27.08.2021 issue thereafter 133 Assist promoted to the post of 4. Learned C.P.O. in-reply dated 02.03.20	el has submitted that the d on Constable, State Excise eafter, FIR bearing No.343/ d charge-sheet was filed and hey of the Criminal case the l to the post of Assistant Sub e on 09.05.2013. Learned l that when the 'applicant's deration for promotion to the by DPC dated 21.08.2021, lers of promotion to the post his colleagues and also his plicant on the ground the was shown at Serial No.82 as the DPC meeting dated ase is kept open. Learned at that the promotional order ed by the Respondents and tant Sub Inspector were State Inspector. relied on the short affidavit- 023 on behalf of Respondent Basavraj Puranik, Deputy
	Commissioner in the off	fice of Commissioner of State nt with information whether I in the year 2023-24.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	nal's orders
	Date : 08.01.2024	
	O.A.No	.830/2017
	S.D. Bhandare Vs. The State of Maharashtr	Applicant a & OrsRespondents.
		Panchal, learned Counsel for s. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
	Presenting Officer for the	
	2. At the request of to 10.01.2024.	f learned Counsel adjourned
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	
	1	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 08.01.2024
	O.A. No.1438 of 2023
	P.P. BangosaviApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Respondent No.2.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant.
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Respondent No.2 though served; is not present and also not represented by any learned Advocate.
	4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
	5. S.O. to 23.01.2024.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 08.01.2024
	O.A. No.959 of 2023
	M.U. WaghmareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant.
	4. S.O. to 22.01.2024.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
	NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date	e: 08.01.2024	
		M.A. No.706 of 2023 in O.A. N	lo.1285 of 2023
	Vers		Applicant
	The	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	Арр	Heard Ms. Shruti Jagtap, ing for Shri S.B. Talekar, learn licant and Smt. K.S Gaikwad, cer for the Respondents.	ed Advocate for the
	lt is that	Learned Advocate for the davit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf c taken on record. Learned Adv the order of 'Interim Relief' in ted on 11.10.2023 be continued	of Respondent No.1. vocate further states O.A. No.1285/2023
	3.	'Interim Relief' is continued t	till 'Next Date'.
	4.	S.O. to 22.01.2024.	
	NMN	(Debas	Sd/- shish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
		:	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribun	al's orders	
		<u>O.A.</u>	<u>No.466 of 2018</u>	
	M.R.	Tambe & Ors. Vs.		Applicants
	The S	state of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
	Offic both a	Heard Ms. S.P. M er for the Responder are absent.	anchekar, learn nts. Applicants	ed Chief Presenting and their advocate
	2.	Ld. PO prays for ti	me to file sur-re	ejoinder.
	3.	S.O. to 15.1.2024.		
		Sd) (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	جط)- (Mridula Bh Chairp 8.1.2	natkar, J.) erson
	(sgj)			
				[<i>P.T.O</i> .

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribur	nal's orders	
	<u>Q.A.</u>	. No.93 of 2017	
	Dr. R.L. Sathe Vs.	Appl	licant
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsResp	ondents
	Heard Shri B.A. B the Applicant and Smt. Officer for the Responder	Bandiwadekar, learned Ad K.S. Gaikwad, learned B hts.	lvocate for Presenting
	2. Applicant working filed this OA challenging by respondent no.1 disr punishment after holding challenges the order mai appellate authority or consequential reliefs be gr	nissing the applicant by g DE for misconduct. intaining dismissal passe 1 7.10.2015 and pra	14 passed y way of He also
	3. The charge sheet is on 14.2.2006 for the c procedure in placing the the Govt. and he was dism	order and thereby causin	g proper
	4. Ld. Advocate for t not lay his hands over preparation.	he applicant submits that the matter and seeks	he could time for
	5. S.O. to 15.1.2024.		
	≤d (Medha Gadgil)	S A / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	
	Member (A) 8.1.2024 (sgj)	Chairperson 8.1.2024	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
	<u>O.A. No.</u>	<u>968 of 2017</u>
	Kunda G. Shimpi Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & C	DrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaik for the Respondents. Applic absent.	wad, learned Presenting Officer ant and her advocate both are
	2. The applicant who ha Bank Technician challenges th	s applied for the post of Blood he select list dated 19.7.2017.
	3. S.O. to 10.1.2024 for the caption of 'For Dismissal'	dismissal. To be shown under
	541-	sd -
	Member (A) 8.1.2024	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.1.2024
	(sgj)	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	s
	<u>O.A. No.1081 o</u>	f 2017
	D.G. Ghalpade & Ors. Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, I Applicant and Shri A.J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for th ule, learned Presentin
	2. The applicants are working a the office of respondent no.2 s applicants prays that without insist GR dated 23.7.2015 the applicant be at page 18 Exh.A. Ld. Advocate fo the judgment and order dated 9 Tribunal in OA No.235/1999 (T. Galphade & Ors. Vs. State of Mahar	since 1985-1989. The ing on condition no.8 c e regularized. The GR is r the applicants relies of .7.2019 passed by thi A No.3/1999) Dilip B
	3. One of the Members i.e. Hon'ble Member (A) recuses from involved in the concerned policy fram	this matter as she was
	4. Ld. PO raised objection abo this matter on the ground of territ applicants are residents of Amb However, the matter is pending in th Tribunal since 2017 and no objection and therefore we waive this objection	orial jurisdiction as the bejogai, District Beed e Principal Bench of this n was raised at any time
	5. The matter be placed befor Bench on 22.1.2024.	e the Second Division
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridu Member (A) Ch	Sd la Bhatkar, J.) nairperson 8.1.2024

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribu	nal's orders	
		<u>O.A.</u>	<u>No.1101 of 201</u>	7
	S.K.	. Gujale Vs.		Applicant
	The	State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
	the Offi	Heard Shri B.A. F Applicant and Smt. cer for the Responder	Archana B.K.,	earned Advocate for learned Presenting
	Koll	The applicant who Public Prosecutor, apur challenges the ervices of the applica	District Court order dated 26	.5.2015 terminating
	the r made the t dispo the I for d brief	Ld. Advocate for ll missing and therefonatters of 2017 and r e earlier also. We matters of the year osed off. Hence, we d. Advocate for the ismissal on 10.1.2024 from the record of till today.	ore he is unable requests for time hade it clear tha 2017 and they are unable to g applicant and y 4. He had optio	e. This request was at we are hearing of are required to be give further time to we keep this matter on to reconstruct the
	4.	S.O. to 10.1.2024.		
	(sgj)	Sdl- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	Sd (Mridula Bh Chairpo 8.1.24	natkar, J.) erson

1

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.As. No.1169/2022, 483/2023, 726/2023, 894/2023, 895/2023, 896/2023 with 612/2023
	K.A. Devkate & OrsApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 16.1.2024.
	Sd/-Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.1.2024(sgj)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>O.A. No.1060 of 2017</u>			
	S.S. Charankar Vs.			Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.		Respondents	
	Smt.	Heard Shri Prasha Savagave, learned K.S. Gaikwad, lea ondents.	Advocate for t	i holding for Shri the Applicant and g Officer for the
	2.	S.O. to 9.1.2024.		
	(sgj)	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	टुर्द् । (Mridula Bha Chairpe 8.1.20	atkar, J.) erson

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
		<u>O.A. No.698 of 2020</u>			
	A.G	. Sangar Vs.		Applicant	
	The	State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents	
	for th	Heard Smt. K.S. C ne Respondents.	aikwad, learned	Presenting Officer	
	Advo Advo	2. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate submits that he has received NOC from Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the applicant. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate submits that he is filing his Vakalatnama in the above matter.			
	3. schec	Though the above luled to 21.3.2024.	e matter is sho	wn on board it is	
	4.	S.O. to 21.3.2024.			
	(sgj)	SAI (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024	SdJ (Mridula Bha Chairpe 8.1.20	atkar, J.) rson	

O.A. No.397 of 2017

A.N. Shirsat Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Applicant

...Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has worked under Collector, Mumbai for the period from 5.3.1989 to 17.3.1989 as Superintendent with Divisional Board of Higher and Secondary Education, Mumbai. He has annexed that record at Exh. B. He submits that besides this period he has worked on daily wages from 1991 till 1997. Then again he worked from 2001 to 2009 under Education Department, Jogeshwari, Mumbai. He submits that therefore the benefits be extended to the applicant of GR by which benefit was given to the employees who had worked on temporary basis during strike period for recruitment and preference is given to Class III and Class IV post.

3. Ld. PO relied on the affidavit dated 11.9.2017 filed by Bhausaheb Bhikanrao Chavan, Deputy Director of Education, Mumbai and submits that all the contentions raised and averments made by the applicant in OA are denied by the State except that he has worked for 13 days from 5.3.1989 to 17.3.1989 on temporary basis. However, it does not create any right and he has worked as Supervisor and he has not produced any document to show that he has worked otherwise.

4. Considered the submissions and perused the record of the OA regarding the claim of the applicant that he has worked for 13 days from 5.3.1989 to 17.3.1989 as Superintendent. However, it appears that some time services are hired by State to do a particular job for a short period and that cannot create any right to the persons for employment in the Government service. The applicant did not produce any document in support of his claim for further employment with the Government. In view of the above there is no merit in the OA and the same is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 8.1.2024

sd1_ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson 8.1.2024

(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 08.01.2024			
	 O.A.No.1060/2017 S.S. CharankarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Prashant R. Suryawanshi holding for Mr. Gajanan M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. We direct Joint Secretary, Finance Department to remain present and if Joint Secretary is not available Principal Secretary should remain present along with the file today at 1.00 p.m. without fail. No Section Officer should remain 			
	present.			
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson		