

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.01.2021

O.A.No.741 of 2017

V. K. Jagdhane

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Smt. Arundhati Rane, ACP who is presently in charge of the service quarter is also present.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit of Smt. Arundhati Rane wherein it is stated that she is making inquiry as per the directions given by this Tribunal and three months time is required for completion of inquiry. This affidavit is filed in terms of the order passed by this Tribunal on 05.01.2021.

3. O.A. No.741/2017 is already disposed of by judgment dated 03.12.2020 wherein directions were issued to Commissioner of Police for inquiry in the matter for failure to get service quarter of the Applicant vacated after his retirement.

4. Thus, it seems that the Commissioner of Police has initiated necessary action in terms of the directions given by this Tribunal to fix the responsibility. Therefore, no further orders are now required.

5. The compliance be submitted to the Tribunal by the end of the March, 2021 without fail.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.76 of 2020 in O.A.No.465 of 2019</p> <p>S. K. MukherjeeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of argument, it was transpired that the Applicant is challenging communication dated 03.02.2015 issued by the Government thereby rejecting the claim of the Applicant for deemed date for promotion post of Secretary.</p> <p>3. However, it is noticed that no such relief about quashing of communication dated 03.02.2015 is claimed in relief clause which pertains to declaration only and there is no prayer to quash and set aside the communication dated 03.02.2015.</p> <p>4. Having noticed above aspect, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he wants to amend O.A. and seeks time to file M.A.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted for necessary steps.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.01.2021. </p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Date : 08.01.2021

O.A.No.1177 of 2019

V. M. Thombare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Datta Mane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Present O.A. is filed challenging the order of punishment dated 22.04.2019 whereby punishment of withholding of one increment for two years without cumulative effect was imposed upon the Applicant. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has filed appeal on 12.06.2019 but it is still not decided.

3. During the course of hearing, it is transpired that the Applicant has submitted appeal memo in the office of Director General of Police on 12.06.2019 but the same was forwarded by the office of Director General of Police to Government belatedly on 24.06.2020. As such, for the period of one year, appeal was pending in the office of Respondent No.2-Director General of Police.

4. It is really surprising that the appeal filed by the Applicant was kept pending for one year without forwarding the same to the Government for decision in accordance to law.

5. As such, *ex-facie* there is negligence on the part of concerned officers of the Respondent No.2 for not taking appropriate steps for forwarding appeal to the Government.

6. Since the appeal is already subjudice, the O.A. needs to be disposed of with suitable directions to the Respondent No.1 to decide the appeal within reasonable time.

7. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the appeal filed by the Applicant within two months from today in accordance to law.

8. Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police is also directed to cause inquiry into the matter for non sending of appeal filed by the Applicant to the Government for the period of one year and shall fix responsibility upon concerned person for negligence in discharging duties and shall submit the compliance report in this Tribunal on or before 08.02.2021 without fail.

9. The copy of order be forwarded to Director General of Police, Mumbai for information and necessary compliance.

10. Hamdast granted.

11. S.O. to 08.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.08 of 2020 in R.A. No.01 of 2020 in O.A.No.894 of 2018</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants Respondents) (Ori.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>A. R. Khedekar ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>2. This matter remains unlisted since February, 2020 due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and today for the first time, it is taken on board in view of regular physical hearing.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">A Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.200 of 2020</p> <p>M. P. JamadarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submitted that today only he received the copy of Affidavit-in-Reply and requested for two weeks time for hearing.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.01.2021

O.A.No.305 of 2018

S. S. JattiApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
3. S.O. to 22.01.2021.

Sd/-


(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.01.2021

O.A.No.638 of 2020

D. U. Rathod

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 28.10.2020 whereby the Respondent No.2 – Shri Sauravkumar Agarwal has been appointed as Additional Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar on promotion on which post the Applicant was working. As per impugned order dated 28.10.2020, the posting order of the Applicant will be issued later on. Since no posting order was issued, the Applicant has filed present O.A. being aggrieved by the posting of the Respondent No.2 in his place and leaving him without posting.
3. As of now, the issue is about posting order of the Applicant since he is without posting from 28.10.2020.
4. Learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Nagnath Jadhav, A.S.O. Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai stated that necessary order of posting of the Applicant will be issued soon and requested for grant of time for issuance of appropriate posting order by the Government.
5. In view of above, one week's time is granted to the Respondent No.1 for issuance of appropriate posting order.
6. S.O. to 15.01.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.Nos.23 & 24 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. Mate & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad with Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both the Original Applications, the Applicants have challenged the impugned order dated 07.01.2021 passed by the Respondent No.3-Director General, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Research & Training Institute, Pune. Perusal of impugned order reveals that on 07.01.2021 the Respondent No.3 has visited the office of Caste Scrutiny Committee, Mumai Suburban. The Applicant in O.A.No.23/2021 was absent in the office and Applicant in O.A.No.24/2021 had came to the office late at 12.15 PM. Noticing the irregularities, Respondent No.3 immediately passed the impugned order to relieve them unilaterally.</p> <p>3. Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants sought stay to the impugned orders contending that it is <i>ex-facie</i> without jurisdiction since the competent authority is Government and not the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned P.O. requested for two days time to take instructions and to make submission on the point of jurisdiction.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.01.2021.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 11.01.2021.

^

Sd/-

W~

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.01.2021

O. A. No. 408 of 2020

**N.K. MoreApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Adjourned for filing Reply.
3. Reply is not filed today, by way of last chance, Reply to be filed on 22.01.2020 and copy of the Reply to be served on other side till 22.01.2020.
4. Matter is fixed on 01.02.2020.

 Sd/-

**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.01.2021

O. A. No.287 of 2020

**Dr. P.N. KakadeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant dated 05.01.2021. It is taken on record.
3. Admit.
4. Stay to Continue.
5. S.O. to 15.02.2020.

Mridula R. Bhatkar
Sd/-

**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 08.01.2021

O. A. No. 296 of 2020

Dr. R.S. HirayApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, matter is not heard as learned P.O. is not available as she is busy in the other Court.
3. S.O. to 18.01.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

08.01.2021

O.A 544/2018 with O.A 513/2019

Shri V.B Shende
Shri S.V Rane ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant in O.A 544/201. Respondent no. 4 in O.A 544/2018 is present in person. He informs that he is appearing in person and he has obtained NOC from the learned advocate Shri S.S. Dere and the same is taken on record. None for Respondent no. 3 in O.A 544/2018, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1, 2 & 3 and Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 513/2019 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Respondent no. 4 in O.A 513/2019.

2. S.O to 28.1.2021. All the counsels are directed to remain present on that date, as the matter will be heard.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

C.A. No.21 of 2019 in O.A. No.848 of 2014

R.B. Wadile ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. None for the applicant. The present CA is directed for willful disobedience of the order dated 22.9.2015 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.848 of 2014 thereby directing the respondents to consider the case of the applicant for promotion to the post of Assistant Police Inspector within two months from the date of the order.

3. The applicant by this CA has prayed that the applicant was not considered for promotion to the post of API and therefore the respondents be declared guilty of contempt for willful disobedience of the order of this Tribunal.

4. Ld. CPO submits that the order of the Tribunal in fact was complied with as the applicant was promoted by order dated 11.12.2015 issued by the Special Inspector General. Ld. CPO pointed out that in the list of promoted officers the name of the applicant is appearing at Sr. No.60 and he was given posting at Thane City. Ld. CPO submits that applicant has faced various enquiries and was undergoing punishment till the date of his retirement and therefore the order of promotion could not be implemented.

5. We have perused the affidavit in reply dated 18.9.2019 filed by Shri Vivek Phansalkar, Commissioner of Police, Thane City and the order of promotion issued by the Special Inspector General, so also the orders of punishment issued on different dates.

6. We are of the opinion that there is no willful disobedience on the part of the respondents. However, the Ld. Advocate for the applicant or the applicant is not present though the matter is posted on board today. We therefore in all fairness with a view to give opportunity to the Ld. Advocate keep this matter on 12.1.2021 under the caption 'For Dismissal'.

7. S.O. to 12.1.2021 for dismissal.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
8.1.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
8.1.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate



versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>C.A. No.109 of 2015 in O.A. No.353 of 2014</u>
	Dr. K.S. Ramamurthy ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Applicant is not present. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply dated 24.8.2020 of Dr. Pradeep Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya and the same is taken on record.
	3. From the affidavit it appears that No Dues Certificate is not given by the Kalyan-Dombivali Municipal Corporation and which is necessary for fixing the pension of the applicant and therefore no pension is fixed.
	4. The applicant a Medical Officer was compulsory retired on 24.5.2002. He is not present today. We keep this matter on 12.1.2021 and direct the applicant to remain present. <i>or his counsel.</i>
	5. S.O. to 12.1.2021.
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 8.1.2021 (sgj)
	Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.1.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>servants who are retired and so also rate of interest is otherwise not mentioned.</p> <p>5. In 2014 the applicants have moved this CA for implementation of the order of this Tribunal which holds the field as of today.</p> <p>6. It is pointed out by the Ld. CPO that in the order dated 22.7.2013 passed by this Tribunal no specific rate of interest is mentioned. She further pointed out that no specific rate of interest was demanded in the OA.</p> <p>7. Ld. CPO makes a statement that the amount of arrears is paid to the Govt. servants including the applicants i.e. Members of the Association in the Agriculture Department. So as of today the short issue of payment of interest is remained.</p> <p>8. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that interest is to be paid at the rate of 9% i.e. Government's rate of interest generally given on the arrears. Ld. CPO points out that considering today's financial position of the State due to COVID-19 Pandemic and also in view of today's prevailing bank rates, interest at the rate of 9% is excessive and it is to be made less some.</p> <p>9. In view of the facts of the case and submissions advanced by both the sides, we propose a via media so that the issue of payment of interest can be settled once for all. The interest to be paid at the rate of 6% simple interest from the date of the order of the Tribunal i.e. 22.7.2013. However, it is to be paid from 1.8.2013 to Govt. servants including the applicants i.e. Members of the Association till the date of GR i.e. dated 10.1.2019. Thus it comes to the interest of approximately 5 years and 5 months.</p> <p>10. Ld. CPO submits that the concerned files will be placed before the Hon'ble Finance Minister and thereafter before the Hon'ble Chief Minister and it will take four months time. Thereafter the GR will be issued. Therefore the time is granted till 15.5.2021 to the Government for implementation of the interest part of the order of this Tribunal and the Courts. With these directions the CA is disposed off.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 8.1.2021 </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 8.1.2021 </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>08.01.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1081/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.Y Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is aspiring to become a Police Constable, could not pass the Medical Examination as he was found unfit in the year 2018. Then again he was referred for reveriflcation for his eye sight to Medical Board. His vision was shown as 6/12. The applicant went for Lasik surgery. The applicant was also again tested by J.J Group of Hospital on 24.10.2018 and his vision was found 6/6/ after Lasik surgery.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the applicant is now fit to render service in the police Department as his vision is clear and he has no problem of eye sight.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O pointed out a report-cum-letter of Dr. Ragini Parekh, Professor and H.O.D, Grant Medical college, Mumbai, dated 11.12.2019 addressed by her to learned C.P.O, Mumbai wherein she has opined that the applicant was examined by three Members on 24.9.2018 and on examination of the eyes it was found that applicant is having Glaucoma and Glaucoma is potentially blinding disease. It is a progressive and blinding disease and therefore he is made unfit for the post of Police Constable. In the said letter Dr Parekh has mentioned that the applicant has undergone Lasik surgery to correct refractive error.</p> <p>5. We perused the letter and report. Dr. Parekh had also mentioned that though after lasik surgery his vision is 6/6 and it will not reduce Glaucoma as it is a progressive disease.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. We don't find any merit in the matter as the H.O.D has expressly made it clear that Glaucoma was found and therefore, he was found unfit for appointment to the post of Police Constable.

7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagdale insisted that the Applicant should be sent to Medical Board for examination of Glaucoma and if he is found unfit he may be debarred from appointment to the post of Police Constable.

8. We have clarified to the learned Advocate for the Applicant that constitution of medical board is not so easy as three Doctors need to spare valuable time to work as Members of medical board. We have also pointed out report given by Dr. Ragini Parekh, Professor & Head of Department, Grant Medical College and Sir J.J Group of Hospitals, Mumbai. However, as it is repeatedly requested that he does not have Glaucoma and therefore he is to be sent to medical board, we make clear to Learned Advocate that the case of the Applicant can be referred to medical board and if at all the report is found consistent with the report given by Dr. Ragini Parekh then he will be saddled with costs of Rs.10,000/- which is payable to J.J. Hospital, Mumbai. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to give application to that effect.

9. S.O to 12.1.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.1104 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. TejiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder on next date without fail.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.01.2021

M.A. No.154 of 2020 in O.A.No.834 of 2019

S. B. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. O.A. No.834/2019 was dismissed in default on 13.03.2020. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that due to wrong noting of date she could not remain present before the Tribunal on 13.03.2020 and requested to restore O.A.N.834/2019.
3. Misc. Application has been filed within five days from the disposal of O.A.
4. In the interest of justice, I am inclined to restore O.A.No.834/2019 so as to decide the matter on its own merit.
5. O.A. No.834/2019 is restored to file.
6. M.A.No.154/2020 is accordingly disposed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.213 of 2020</p> <p>S. P. KhamkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Kandarkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder on next date without fail.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.834 of 2019</p> <p>S. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was dismissed in default on 13.03.2020 but the same has been restored today in view of the order passed in M.A. No.154/2020.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are already complete. Original Application is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.01.2021

M.A. No.574 of 2019 in O.A.No.1032 of 2019

R. S. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. B. Rohile, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week's time is granted for filing reply to Misc. Application.

3. S.O. to 22.01.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.102 of 2020</p> <p>S. H. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. After lockdown, this matter is listed today for the first time. 3. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 4. S.O. to 22.01.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.375 of 2020</p> <p>A. B. AnandkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel is on leave and had tendered leave note in the office. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.02.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.451 of 2018</p> <p>S. B. BhatApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel had tendered leave note in the office. 3. This matter was lying unattended in the office due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and listed on today's board for the first time for hearing. 4. The matter is adjourned for final hearing on 19.01.2021. 5. S.O. to 19.01.2021. <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.225 of 2019</p> <p>B. M. ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel is on leave and had tendered leave note in the office. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This matter was pending in the office unlisted due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and today for the first time, it is taken on board for hearing.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm