

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 527/2024
(Sonaji M. Shelke vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 08.07.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 08.07.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 530/2024
(Ganesh D. Jadhav vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 531/2024
(Pandit K. Kakde vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 532/2024
(Subhash L. Rathod vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 533/2024
(Sanjiv B. Sathe Patil vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 534/2024
(Nitin D. Patil vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters and S/shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, V.R. Bhumkar & V.G. Pingle, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective matters.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 09.07.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 09.07.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. NO. 181/2024 IN O.A. NO. 468/2022
(The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Mrs. Smita S. Ingle)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the applicants in the present M.A./respondents authorities in O.A. and Shri P.G. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the respondent in the present M.A./applicant in O.A.

2. In Original Application No. 468/2022 the following interim order was passed on 08.06.2022:-

“2. The present Original Application was filed before the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai in vacation and the notice has been issued by the said Bench on 1.6.2022.

3. Today, when the matter is taken up for consideration the learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. He submitted that very short time was available with the respondents within which the proper instructions could not be obtained. He sought time of three weeks' to file affidavit in reply. The request is opposed by the learned counsel for the applicant. Learned counsel submitted that the respondents are likely to fill up the promotional post

::-2-::

M.A. NO. 181/2024 IN
O.A. NO. 468/2022

and only two posts are available in Aurangabad region. Learned counsel pointed out that in all previous seniority lists the present applicant was shown senior to the persons who are being recommend for such promotion.

4. *I have gone through the documents placed on record. There appears prima facie substance in the contention raised on behalf of the applicant. In the circumstances, the following order is passed: -*

ORDER

(i) Three weeks' time is granted to the respondents to file their affidavits in reply. Till then no promotions are to be effected on the post of senior clerk in Aurangabad region.

(ii) S.O. to 29.6.2022."

3. Learned C.P.O. has supplied copy of M.A. to Shri Suryawanshi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the respondent in M.A.

4. In view of above, learned counsel for respondent in M.A./applicant in O.A. waived service of notice in M.A. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 11.06.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A. NO. 6/2024 (W.P. NO. 480/2024)
(Ganeshlal P. Jaiswal & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.G. Gaikwad, learned counsel holding for Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 04. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 18.07.2024.

MEMBER (A)
ARJ ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 943/2024
(Sagar Popat Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.I. Chaudhari, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to add (1) Lokesh Anil Yeshirao, (2) Dnyaneshwar Shivaji Varungase, (3) Kishor Rajaram Jagtap and (4) Pramod Prabhakar Patil as party respondent nos. 05 to 08. Leave granted as prayed for. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the applicant that though the applicant has scored highest marks and is eligible to be appointed from the quota of Ex-serviceman, he has not been considered and the respondent nos. 05 to 08 are considered for their appointment. As such, the applicant has preferred the present O.A. praying for directions to the respondents to consider the applicant for appointment against the seat reserved for Ex-serviceman.

4. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 20.06.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 20.06.2024.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A. NO. 01/2024 (W.P. NO. 15796/2023)
(Bhatu R. Nikumbhe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

T.A. NO. 02/2024 (W.P. NO. 15798/2023)
(Shivaji T. Gadhari Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.B. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicants in both the matters and S/shri V.G. Pingle & V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents authorities in respective matters, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.07.2024. Interim Relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)
ARJ ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49/2023
(Sagar S. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.06.2024. Interim Relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)
ARJ ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. NO. 188/2023 IN O.A. NO. 692/2017
(Bhanudas R. Watane Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.06.2024. Interim Relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO.16/2020 IN O.A.NO.886/2018
(Baliram D. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. In O.A.No.886/2018, Tribunal had passed the following order:

“16. In view of the discussion in the foregoing paragraphs, O.A. is allowed. Respondent no.1 is directed to refund an amount of Rs.2,47,826/- to the applicant within 3 months from the date of this order. If the amount is not paid in the stipulated period, it shall carry interest @ 9% per annum till realization of the amount. There shall be no order as to costs.”

3. Against the said order respondents have moved Writ Petition No.1050/2021, however, no interference has been caused by the Hon'ble High Court and the said Writ Petition was dismissed on 20-01-2021. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that principal amount has been paid to

the applicant but that has not been paid within the time limit. Learned Counsel further submitted that in the order passed by the Tribunal there was a specific direction that the amount is to be refunded to the applicant within 3 months from the date of order passed by the Tribunal or else it would carry interest @ 9% per annum till realization of the said amount. Learned Counsel submitted that since the respondents did not refund the amount within stipulated time, they are liable to pay interest as directed by the Tribunal.

4. Learned Counsel submitted that notice was specifically issued by the applicant to the concerned respondent again praying for compliance of the order and it was clarified in the said notice that if the order is not complied with applicant would be constrained to move Contempt Petition before the Tribunal. Learned Counsel further submits that, despite service of notice, order passed by the Tribunal has not been complied with by the respondents.

5. Despite availing sufficient opportunities respondents have failed to file the affidavit in reply

=3= C.P.NO.16/2020 IN O.A.NO.886/2018

in the present petition. From the documents which are placed on record, it is evident that, the respondents have failed in complying with the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.886/2018 passed on 26-08-2019. Before filing the present contempt petition, applicant had served notice on the respondents and had prayed for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal. Applicant had also cautioned the respondents that if the order is not complied with, he will be constrained to file contempt petition. Said notice was issued by the applicant through his Counsel on 07-08-2020. Postal slip and the acknowledgement receipt both are placed on record. The documents sufficiently demonstrate that the amount which was directed to be refunded to the applicant within the period of 3 months from the date of order has not been refunded within the given period nor any extension was sought for compliance of the said order.

6. As stated in the order passed by the Tribunal since respondents have failed to refund the amount within the stipulated period, respondents were under an obligation to pay the interest on the said amount @ 9% per annum for the period of delay.

=4= C.P.NO.16/2020 IN O.A.NO.886/2018

As alleged by the applicant, respondents have failed in complying with the order passed by this Tribunal reproduced hereinabove. The applicant has thus made out a prima facie case for registering the present petition under the provisions of Contempt of Court Rules and to issue notice to the concerned respondent in the prescribed form appended to the Contempt of Court Rules, 1996. As such, following order is passed:

ORDER

The present contempt petition be registered and numbered as per Rule 5 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 in the register in Form No. I and the Registry shall cause a notice to respondent No.1 in Form No. III appended to the Contempt of Courts Rules, 1996 to appear before the Tribunal in person or through an Advocate on 21.06.2024 to show cause against the present proceedings.

MEMBER (A)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO.17/2020 IN O.A.NO.883/2018
(Sawairam D. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. In O.A.No.883/2018, Tribunal had passed the following order:

“13. In view of the discussion in the foregoing paragraphs, O.A. is allowed. Respondent no.1 is directed to refund an amount of Rs.2,26,643/- to the applicant within 3 months from the date of this order. If the amount is not paid in the stipulated period, it shall carry interest @ 9% per annum till realization of the amount. There shall be no order as to costs.”

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that principal amount has been paid to the applicant but that has not been paid within the time limit. Learned Counsel further submitted that in the order passed by the Tribunal there was a specific direction that the amount is to be refunded to the applicant within 3 months from the date of

=2= C.P.NO.17/2020 IN O.A.NO.883/2018

order passed by the Tribunal or else it would carry interest @ 9% per annum till realization of the said amount. Learned Counsel submitted that since the respondents did not refund the amount within stipulated time, they are liable to pay interest as directed by the Tribunal.

4. Learned Counsel submitted that notice was specifically issued by the applicant to the concerned respondent again praying for compliance of the order and it was clarified in the said notice that if the order is not complied with applicant would be constrained to move Contempt Petition before the Tribunal. Learned Counsel further submits that, despite service of notice, order passed by the Tribunal has not been complied with by the respondents.

5. Despite availing sufficient opportunities respondents have failed to file the affidavit in reply in the present petition. From the documents which are placed on record, it is evident that, the respondents have failed in complying with the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.883/2018 passed on 26-08-2019. Before filing the present contempt

=3= C.P.NO.17/2020 IN O.A.NO.883/2018

petition, applicant had served notice on the respondents and had prayed for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal. Applicant had also cautioned the respondents that if the order is not complied with, he will be constrained to file contempt petition. Said notice was issued by the applicant through his Counsel on 07-08-2020. Postal slip and the acknowledgement receipt both are placed on record. The documents sufficiently demonstrate that the amount which was directed to be refunded to the applicant within the period of 3 months from the date of order has not been refunded within the given period nor any extension was sought for compliance of the said order.

6. As stated in the order passed by the Tribunal since respondents have failed to refund the amount within the stipulated period, respondents were under an obligation to pay the interest on the said amount @ 9% per annum for the period of delay. As alleged by the applicant, respondents have failed in complying with the order passed by this Tribunal reproduced hereinabove. The applicant has thus made out a prima facie case for registering the present petition under the provisions of Contempt of

=4= C.P.NO.17/2020 IN O.A.NO.883/2018

Court Rules and to issue notice to the concerned respondent in the prescribed form appended to the Contempt of Court Rules, 1996. As such, following order is passed:

ORDER

The present contempt petition be registered and numbered as per Rule 5 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 in the register in Form No. I and the Registry shall cause a notice to respondent No.1 in Form No. III appended to the Contempt of Courts Rules, 1996 to appear before the Tribunal in person or through an Advocate on 21.06.2024 to show cause against the present proceedings.

MEMBER (A)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO.66/2023 IN O.A.NO.502/2022
(Mohammed Siddique Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.N.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent, are present.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 21-06-2024.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A.NO.05/2023 (W.P.NO.4762/2020)
(Vinayak Ware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
T.A.NO.06/2023 (W.P.NO.4765/2020)
(Sunil Suresh Ubale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
T.A.NO.07/2023 (W.P.NO.5233/2020)
(Pralhad K. Ugale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
T.A.NO.08/2023 (W.P.NO.5591/2020)
(Pravin Hadade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
T.A.NO.09/2023 (W.P.NO.5588/2020)
(Sonali Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
T.A.NO.10/2023 (W.P.NO.5592/2020)
(Surekha Wani Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
T.A.NO.11/2023 (W.P.NO.7243/2020)
(Maharashtra State Contractual Employees Federation, Through its
working President Sachin S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maha.& Ors.)
T.A.NO.16/2023 (W.P.NO. 5776/2021)
(Gajanan N. Tehare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in TA.05/23, Shri Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen, learned counsel for the applicants in T.A. 06/23 & 16/23, Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre (T.A. 8/23 & 10/23), Shri Krishna Rodge, (T.A. 11/23), Shri Sachin S. Tambe, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar for the applicant (T.A. 09/23), Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Umesh Mote, learned counsel for respondent Zilla Parishad, are present.

=2=

T.A.NO.05/2023 & 7 Ors.

Shri R.R. Bangar, learned counsel for respondent No.1 in T.A. No. 16/2023 & Shri S.A. Nagargoje learned counsel for respective applicants (T.A. No. 7/2023), **are absent.**

2. When the present matters are taken up for hearing, learned C.P.O. submits that he had a talk with the concerned authority and for making any statement in respect of continuation of MGNREGA Scheme, time is sought till 10-06-2024. In all these matters applicants are already protected by interim order passed by the Hon'ble High Court. In view of the submission so made, all these matters stand adjourned.

3. Learned Counsel appearing for applicants in all these matters have prayed for keeping these matters on 14-06-2024. Learned C.P.O. has no objection for the same.

4. Hence, S.O. to 14.06.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.216/2024
(Sphoorti Pramod Bende Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. In the present matter as directed by this Tribunal, Maharashtra Public Service Commission (MPSC) today has placed on record the result of the present applicant in sealed cover.

3. Learned P.O. submits that because of some administrative lapse result of the applicant could not be placed on record on the previous date and as such has prayed for modification of the order passed on earlier date imposing costs of Rs.2000/-. Considering the submissions as are made, order passed on the previous date so far as the costs is concerned stands recalled. Learned P.O. has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as prayed for.

4. Result in the sealed cover is to be kept in the custody of the In-charge Registrar of this Tribunal.

5. S.O. to 14-06-2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.380/2023
(Dinesh S. Londhe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned Counsel holding for Shri V.U.Pawar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri N.B.Narwade, learned Counsel for respondent nos.4 to 9 & 11 to 16, is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof be given to the other side. Pleadings are complete. List the matter for hearing. In the meanwhile it will be open for the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.

3. S.O. to 25-06-2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.381/2023
(Rajesh S. Manwate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned Counsel holding for Shri V.U.Pawar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof be given to the other side. Pleadings are complete. List the matter for hearing. In the meanwhile it will be open for the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.

3. S.O. to 25-06-2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.338/2024
(Afran Fahim Syed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Taher Aliquadri Pathan Ziya, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel prays for re-issuance of notice to respondent no.5. On the previous date also, same request was made and that was allowed by this Tribunal. In the circumstances, though we are inclined to accept the request of the applicant but subject to costs of Rs.1000/-, which shall be deposited in the Registry.

3. On payment of costs, issue notice to respondent no.5, returnable on 13.06.2024.
Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

=2=

O.A.NO.338/2024

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 13.06.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.866/2019
(Santoshsing K. Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kalyan V. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for respondent nos.6 & 11, are present.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Request is opposed by the learned Counsel for the applicant stating that today was the last chance granted for filing affidavit in reply. Learned CPO submits that because of the ongoing elections, the concerned officers are assigned election duties and therefore instructions are not received from the respondents.

3. In the interest of justice time is granted for filing affidavit in reply till 11-06-2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.140/2020
(Shrikant K. Bhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R. A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-06-2024. **High on Board.**

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO.05/2024 IN O.A.NO.739/2023
(Prashant N. Kedar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P.Dhoble, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2 will be filed. If the reply is filed, it be taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of other respondents. Request is opposed by the learned Counsel for the applicant stating that ample opportunities are already granted.

4. In the interest of justice time is granted for filing reply as a last chance till 11-06-2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.874/2022
(Dr. Vinod B. Khedkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P.Dhoble, learned Counsel holding for
Shri S.P.Urgunde, learned Counsel for applicants
and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer
for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Applicants are not intending to file rejoinder
affidavit. Hence list the matter for hearing on
18-06-2024.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.727/2023
(Minatai Khillare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for applicants has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri D.R.Irale Patil, learned Counsel for respondent no.5, are present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 20-06-2024.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO.36/2024 IN O.A.NO.823/2021
(Sunil B. Sangewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.K.Dagadkhair, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Issue notice to respondent no.4, returnable on 05.07.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 05.07.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 141 OF 2024
(Dr. Kamalakar M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 26.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 431 OF 2024
(Vitthal K. Bhusare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 11.06.2024 for taking specific instructions from the concerned respondent/s.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 930 OF 2023
(Dr. Pravinkumar B. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1025 OF 2023
(Sangita B. Lonari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri N.N. Bhagwat/S.S. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant, **absent**. Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.R. Wani, learned counsel holding for Shri G.V. Wani, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 seeks time. He submits that even the learned counsel representing the applicant is also not in a position to work out the matter today. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**O.A.NOS. 531, 554, 557, 582, 632, 653, 830 & 832 ALL OF 2023
(Dr. Manohar K. Shelake & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

S/Shri S.B. Patil, J.B. Choudhary, S.B. Mene, & M.R. Kulkarni, respective learned counsel for the applicants in respective matters and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits two copies of communication dated 30.04.2024. The same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' and 'X-1' for the purpose of identification.

3. On perusal of the same it appears that the respondent No.1 has informed to the Presenting Officer of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Aurangabad that so far as the Assistant Professors who are transferred by impugned orders to newly established Medical College at Sindhudurg, Nandurbar, Satara and Dharashiv are concerned, the Maharashtra Public Service Commission has already published the advertisement calling upon the applications from the aspiring candidates to fill

//2//

up the said posts and in view of same, the recommendation for appointment of certain persons on the said post are likely to be received from MPSC in near future. It is further informed that the transfers of the applicants whose applications are now pending before this Tribunal will be considered in the annual general transfer of the year 2024. It appears from the aforesaid communication that the applicants are not likely to be given posting at the place of transfer.

4. In view of the selection process undertaken by the M.P.S.C. and if the respondent authorities are ready to consider the cases of the applicants in annual general transfer, it is for the applicant to make appropriate submissions. Learned counsel appearing for the applicants seek time for taking specific instruction in this regard. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 09.05.2024 for hearing. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 696 OF 2024
(Haribhau D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Even though the circular is sought, none present for the applicant.

3. In view of above, S.O. to 11.07.2024.

MEMBER (J)

Later On:-

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant his presence is recorded and on his request, S.O. to 12.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 398 OF 2024

(Rajesh G. Polkamwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.C. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri V.D. Patnoorkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the respondents have been duly served and the service affidavit will be filed during the course of the day in the office.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 10.05.2024. Status quo granted to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 444 OF 2024
(Sanjay R. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri Dipak Rajput, learned counsel for respondent No.2, is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.1. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. Learned counsel Shri V.B. Wagh submits that he has instructions to appear on behalf of respondent No.3 and also submits that the respondent No.3 is adopting the affidavit in reply filed by respondent No.1.

4. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for admission hearing on 08.05.2024 in **urgent admission category**.

5. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 296 OF 2024
(Pramod R. Bhingare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. in compliance of order dated 30.04.2024 submits short affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants is requested to go through the short affidavit in reply and submit the affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 12.06.2024 for hearing in **urgent admission category**.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2024
(Madhukar R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.05.2024 for hearing in **urgent admission category**.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 833 OF 2021
(Deepali Y. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.B. Thoke, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits affidavit in reply on behalf of said respondent and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for admission hearing on 12.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1113 OF 2022
(Dinesh U. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant in terms of oral directions given by this Tribunal submits the appointment order of the applicant as Police Sub-Inspector and also the Divisional Allotment Rules, 2010. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are given to learned P.O.

3. The present matter is reserved for order.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 309 OF 2024
(Sanjay C. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 352 OF 2024
(Ganesh P. Bothikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A.No. 309/2024, Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for applicant in O.A.No. 352/2024 and for respondent No.3 in O.A.No. 309/2024, Shri Vinayak Narwade, learned counsel for respondent No.2 in O.A.No. 309/2024 and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant in O.A.No. 309/2024 submits the affidavit in rejoinder. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other sides.

3. Learned P.O. submits short affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 in O.A.No. 352/2024 in compliance with order dated 28.03.2024. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

4. S.O. to 08.05.2024 for hearing in **urgent admission category**.

5. Status quo granted earlier in O.A.No. 352/2024 to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 519 OF 2024
(Shivaji Paraji Khetmalas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 519/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 520 OF 2024
(Shivajirao Bhimrao Nimbalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 520/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2024
(Vishnu Trymbak Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 521/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 522 OF 2024
(Trimbak Asruba Bade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 522/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 523 OF 2024
(Nagnath Pandit Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 523/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 524 OF 2024
(Sahebrao Kisanrao Bodkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 524/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 525 OF 2024
(Sahebrao Bhaguji Said Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 525/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 526 OF 2024
(Vinayak Bhimrao Nimbalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A. 526/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 353 OF 2024
(Atmaram L. Bondre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri Pradeep Shahane, learned counsel for respondent No.2 and Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits short affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.1. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for admission hearing on 08.05.2024 in **urgent admission category**.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 225 OF 2024
(Maheboob Khan S/o Usman Khan Pathan Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.B. Pawar-Pathrekar, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.2 along with spare copy for the other side. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. submits that the respondent No.1 is adopting the affidavit in reply filed by respondent No.2.

4. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for admission hearing on 19.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 205 OF 2024
(Dinesh G. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. appears for all the respondents and seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2024
(Bapu M. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 along with spare copy for the other side. The same is taken on record.

3. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for admission hearing on 10.07.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 116 OF 2024
(Madhav A. Lokulwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 along with spare copy for the other side. The same is taken on record.

3. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for admission hearing on 14.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 56 OF 2024
(Sandeep S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

S/Shri Gorakhnath Kendre/J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant, **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 along with spare copy for the other side. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 55 OF 2024
(Shivaji D. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 along with spare copy for the other side. The same is taken on record.

3. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for admission hearing on 27.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 980 OF 2022
(Dattatray V. Ambre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2, are present.

2. Even though the last chance is granted, no reply has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1,3 & 4.

3. List the matter for admission hearing with liberty to other side to file reply, if any, till then.

4. S.O. to 27.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1025 OF 2023
(Dr. Kamalakar M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 26.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1092 OF 2023
(Mangal Baban Gavade & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.B. Thoke, learned counsel holding for Shri H.U. Dhage, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.G. Nirmal, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. At the request made on behalf of respondents, time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 26.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 948 OF 2022
(Vilas B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel Shri Yogesh M. Patil appears on behalf of respondent No.2 and seeks time to file reply.

3. In the order dated 25.04.2024 it is recorded that the applicant has submitted the representations on 30.11.2022 and 08.04.2024 respectively and the said representations are still pending before the respondent authorities.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits today that the purpose of filing of the present Original Application will be served if the directions are given to the respondent authorities to decide the said representations as expeditiously as possible in time bound manner.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 so also the learned P.O. appearing for the other

//2//

respondents submit that if this Tribunal is inclined to give the directions to the respondent authorities to decide the said representations in a time bound manner, it may be directed that the said representations may be decided on its own merits.

6. In view of above, if the representations filed by the applicant on 30.11.2022 and 08.04.2024 are still pending before the respondent authorities, they are hereby directed to decide the said representations dated 30.11.2022 and 08.04.2024 as expeditiously as possible preferably within the period of three months from the date of this order on its own merits.

7. In view of above direction, the Original Application is hereby disposed of. No costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 834 OF 2023
(Dr. Balasaheb S. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities for some time.

2. The applicant came to be suspended vide order dated 21.08.2023. Admittedly, the applicant is not served with the charge-sheet of the Departmental Enquiry. In terms of the short affidavit filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4, six Members committee headed by Deputy Director, Health Services, Aurangabad was formed on 10.03.2023 to inquire into the irregularities done by the applicant. The report of the said committee is awaited. Further the Government has issued letters dated 11.10.2023 and 29.04.2024 respectively to the Commissioner of Health Services, Mumbai for obtaining proposal about the Departmental Enquiry against the applicant. The said proposal is yet not received.

//2//

O.A. No. 834/2023

3. In terms of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a case of **Ajay Kumar Chaoudhary Vs. Union of India (UOI) & Ors., (2015) 2 S.C.R. 415**, and also in terms of G.R. dated 09.07.2019 issued by the General Administration Department, State of Maharashtra, if the charge-sheet of the Departmental Enquiry is not served on the Government employee, who is under suspension for more than three months, there is no other alternative but to revoke the suspension order.

4. Learned Presenting Officer though filed short affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4, has not filed detailed affidavit in reply. On the other hand, learned P.O. submits that due to Code of Conduct in view of the Parliamentary Elections, no decision has been taken in case of the applicant. However, I do not find substance in his submission, as there is no question of Code of Conduct for placing the matter of the applicant before the review committee for taking conscious decision about revocation of suspension of the applicant in terms of the ratio laid down in the aforesaid case of **Ajay Kumar Chaoudhary** (cited supra) and also in terms of G.R. dated 09.07.2019.

//2//

O.A. No. 834/2023

5. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 10.05.2024 for filing affidavit in reply and hearing. In case, if the affidavit in reply is not filed on or before the next date of hearing, the present matter will be heard without affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 498 OF 2023
(Ratnakala M. Mohite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on telephonic instructions from the applicant submits that today in the morning itself the applicant has got cheque of the provisional pension for the period of six months. It has already recorded in the order dated 15.04.2024 that the applicant has been given provisional pension for a period of six months i.e. from 01.08.2021 to 31.01.2022. In view of the same, it is further recorded that the provisional pension for remaining period commencing from 01.02.2022 till this date is under consideration.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has now made it clear as per the telephonic instructions that the applicant has received provisional pension from 01.08.2021 to 31.01.2022 and not for latter period.

//2//

O.A. No. 498/2023

4. In view of above and since there is no obstacle as such to grant regular pension and other pensionary benefits to the applicant, the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 are hereby directed to pay entire amount of provisional pension due as on today within a period of two weeks from the date of this order.

5. S.O. to 10.06.2024 for hearing **in urgent admission category.**

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

O.A. No. 44/2023 with Caveat No. 03/2023
(Prashant B. Kachhawa Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits minutes of meeting of the Review Committee to review the suspension order of the applicant. Same is taken on record.

3. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 10.06.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 11 OF 2022
(Sahebrao D. Wankhade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 239 OF 2022
(Surajkumar N. Vanje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 05.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 682 OF 2022
(Bhimrao N. Doiphode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Shirsath, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has requested to file short synopsis of events and serve copy of the same to learned Presenting Officer in advance.

3. S.O. to 11.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 987 OF 2022
(Fatema Rahim Beg & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri T.K. Rathod, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has placed before this Tribunal a copy of order passed in O.A. No. 216/2021 on 24.04.2024 and submits that facts of the present case are identical and the ratio laid down in the aforesaid O.A. is squarely applicable to the facts of the present case. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned Presenting Officer.

3. Learned Presenting Officer is requested to go through the aforesaid order and make appropriate submissions on the next date of hearing as to whether the decision rendered in O.A. No. 216/2021 covers the issue raised in the present Original Application.

4. S.O. to 18.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79 OF 2023
(Satish S. Gugale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has filed M.A. for amendment today.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has also received the copy of the said M.A.

4. Office to list the M.A. along with the present O.A. on the next date of hearing.

5. S.O. to 21.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 277 OF 2023
(Maroti N. Dhadekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 21.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 428 OF 2023
(Sanjay P. Bodkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sanjay P. Bodkhe, applicant in person, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 10.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 465 OF 2023
(Kuldip P. Ambhore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out the representation submitted by the applicant dated 19.05.2023. On perusal of the same, it appears that initially by order dated 28.04.2023, the applicant after completion of his Post Graduate studies transferred to Women's Hospital, Basmath, Dist. Hingoli. However, due to some technical difficulties, he could not be accommodated there. In view of fresh directives, the applicant has been given posting at Rural Hospital, Jintur. Learned counsel submits that at the time of filing of the said representation dated 19.05.2023, there is a vacancy at Women's Hospital, Basmath, Dist. Hingoli and as such, in terms of the order dated 28.04.2023, the applicant can be posted there.

//2//

3. Learned counsel for the applicant, however, submits that the representation was filed on 19.05.2023 and there are no fresh instructions from the applicant as to whether the said post at Women's Hospital, Basmath, Dist. Hingoli is still vacant or not. Learned counsel thus seeks time to take instructions from the applicant in this regard. Time granted.

4. Learned Presenting Officer is also requested to take instructions from the concerned respondent/s as to whether the applicant can be reposted to his original place of transfer i.e. Women's Hospital, Basmath, Dist. Hingoli in terms of his representation dated 19.05.2023.

5. S.O. to 12.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 529 OF 2023
(Dr. Shaikh Irfan Usman Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 09.05.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2023
(Radhabai E. Jondhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gawale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to place on record the death certificate of deceased Sangita Jondhale, who happened to be the first wife of Government employee late Eknath Jondhale. Further the applicant is also directed to place on record heir-ship application bearing Misc. Civil Application R.J.E. No. 394/2023 submitted before the Court for obtaining the heir-ship certificate.

3. S.O. to 19.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 776 OF 2023
(Dr. Prakash L. Gattani Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 2.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 13.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

O.A. Nos. 990, 991, 992 & 993 all of 2023
(Rakesh M. Shirsath & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

2. By consent, S.O. to 14.06.2024 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1095 OF 2023
(Sachin B. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amol Gandhi, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, are present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 05.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 90 OF 2024
(Prakash W. Bhambre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned counsel holding for Shri Harish Bali, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 08.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024

O.A. NOS. 117, 205, 396, 410, 416, 442, 453, 463, 479, 484, 542, 571, 590, 611, 613, 621, 686, 731, 594, 643 ALL OF 2015 & 2, 5, 171, 441, 258 & 519 ALL OF 2016

(Ganendrasingh B. Chandel & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 07.05.2024

ORAL ORDER :

S/shri P.D. Bodade, learned counsel holding for J.B. Choudhary, M.A. Kulkarni, S.B. Sant, A.M. Kulkarni, H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for respective applicants in respective O.As. and S/shri A.P. Basarkar, D.M. Hange & Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective O.As., are present. None present for applicant in O.A. No. 441/2016.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officers, S.O. to 24.06.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07.05.2024