

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.12.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.626 of 2023 in O.A. No.1190 of 2023</p> <p>The Maharashtra Agro. Ind. Dev. Corp., Ltd. H.O. Through its Mangaing Dir. Krushi Udyog Bhavan.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>J. R. DeoreRespondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.626/2023 in O.A. No.1190/2023, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.1190/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 in O.A. No.1190/2023 had filed M.A. No.626/2023 on ground of jurisdiction as 'MAIDC' is body corporate registered under the 'Company Act, 1956' with its own (i) 'Memorandum of Association' and (ii) 'Articles of Association' by which it is governed and specifically highlighted the provisions of 'MAIDC, Employer Service Rules and Regulations' which deals with all 'Service Conditions' relating to employees of 'MAIDC'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 in OA No. 1190/2023 further stated that 'MAIDC, Employer Service Rules and Regulations' are independent of the 'Maharashtra Civil Service Rules' which are applicable to Government Servants. The employees of MAIDC are also not amenable to any Policy Guidelines of G.A.D and FD relating to 'Service Conditions' of Government Servants. Therefore, O.A. No.1190/2023 should be dismissed on grounds of lack of jurisdiction under provisions of 'Section 15(1)(b) of The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2 in M.A. No.626/2023 in O.A.1190/2023 on 04.12.2023 along with 'File Noting' of advice given by 'Law and Judiciary Department'. The 'Law and Judiciary Department' has opined that there is jurisdiction under provision of 'Section 15 (1)(b)&(c) of The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985' to entertain this O.A. No.1190/2023.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 in O.A. No.1190/2023 who had filed M.A. No.626/2023 opposed the contents of 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 04.12.2023 by Respondent No.2 in M.A. No.626/2023. Learned Advocate for Respondent No2 in O.A. No.1190/2023 reiterates that there is no jurisdiction under 'Clause Section 15 (1) (b) & (c) of The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985' to hear O.A. No. 1190/2023 and insists that he be heard again and then allowed to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by Respondent No.2 on 04.02.2021 in M.A. No.626/2023 in O.A.1190/2023.</p> <p>6. The provisions of Section 15(1)(b) & (C) of The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985 read as follows:-</p> <p><i>Section 15 (1)(b) "all service matters concerning a person [not being a person referred to in clause (c) of this sub-section or a member, person or civilian referred to in clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 14] appointed to any civil service of the State or any civil post under the state and pertaining to the service of such person in connection with the affairs of the state or of any local or other authority under the control of the State Government or of any corporation [or society] owned or controlled by the State Government;"</i></p> <p><i>Section 15 (1)(c) "all service matters pertaining to service in connection with the affairs of the State concerning a person appointed to any service or post referred to in clause (b), being a person whose services have been placed by any such local or other authority or corporation [or society] or other body as is controlled or owned by the State Government, at the disposed of the State Government for such appointed."</i></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The Applicant is from cadre of 'Tahsildar' which is a civil service of the State and this O.A. No. 1198/2023 pertains to the service of the Applicant in connection with the affairs of 'MIADC' which is a corporation owned or controlled by the State Government through 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries & Dairy Development Department'. Besides the advice of the 'Law and Judiciary Department' has been accepted by Respondent No.1 in O.A. No.1190/2023 and accordingly 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filed on 04.12.2023 as Respondent No.2 in M.A. No.626/2023. The persistence of learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 in OA No.1190/2021 who has filed M.A. No.626/2023 to challenge the stand taken by 'Administrative Department' for 'MIADC' which is 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries & Dairy Development Department' as per advice of 'Law and Judiciary Department' is quite unusual and its reasons remain hidden in realms of unknown. The 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries & Dairy Development Department' is directed to unravel these hidden reasons and file an 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' as Respondent No.2 in M.A. No.626/2023 in O.A.1190/2023 so that appropriate orders can then be passed in M.A. No.626/2023 in O.A.1190/2023.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries & Dairy Development Department' which is Respondent No.1 in O.A.1190/2023 and Respondent No.2 in M.A. No.626/2023. Time as prayed is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 04.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1525 OF 2023

DISTRICT: MUMBAI
Subject: Selection

Shri Amit Angad Pathak

)...APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & 2 Ors.

)..RESPONDENTS

Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (A)**

DATE : 07.12.2023.

PER : Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (A)

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This matter pertains to selection of Non-State Civil Services Officers to IAS. The Applicant is working as Deputy Commissioner of Sales Tax, Legal Division. The Applicant has participated in the selection process for appointment of Non- State Civil Services Officers to IAS for the year 2023.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Respondent No.1 issued G.R. dated 03.11.2023 calling for applications to fill 4 Vacant Posts in IAS by selection from the Non-State Civil Services Officers by applying ratio of 1:5 (Five candidates for One Vacant Post). The candidates ranked from Serial Nos.1 to 20

were shortlisted after Written Examination which was conducted on 29.11.2023 by Respondent No.1.

4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that Applicant is at Sr. No.21. He submits that candidates who are shown at Sr. Nos.19 and 20 in the list published by Respondent No.1 on 04.12.2023 after Written Exams are proposed to be recommended to UPSC and present Applicant is at Sr. No.21 who has secured same marks of 47.50 out of 100 marks like candidates at Sr. No.19 and Sr. No.20 is not being recommended to UPSC. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that what is the criteria which is to be applied to short list the candidates who got same marks in Written Exam conducted by Respondent No.1 on 29.11.2023.

5. Learned C.P.O. submits that for short listing, the criteria of 'Age' is applied for the candidates who have secured equal marks. One who is older in 'Age' is short listed by Respondent No.1. The candidates at Sr. Nos.19 and 20 were born in the year 1975 whereas Applicant was born in the year 1987.

6. Learned C.P.O. on instruction submits that the criteria of 'Age' is followed as per the procedure adopted by UPSC in various 'Competitive Exams' like Civil Services and Forest Services Exams etc. and even Specialist Services like the Engineering Services. Learned C.P.O. submits that they will have to send 20 names by 11.12.2023 as it is time bound program as per the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Bombay in W.P. No.12339/2023, dated 12.10.2023.

7. Under such circumstances, we have considered the regulations framed by the Central Government namely 'IAS (Appointment by Selection) Regulations, 1997', wherein in Regulation of 4 (1), the State Government is required to consider the person not belonging to the State Level Service "who is of outstanding merit and ability". The number of persons proposed for consideration of the Committee which is constituted under Rule 3 of the 'IAS (Appointment by Selection) Regulations, 1997' shall not exceed five times the number of vacancies. Hence, Respondent No.1 has to proposed 20 names to fill up the four Vacant Posts in IAS. However, as submitted by learned C.P.O. the criteria adopted by UPSC of 'Age' is for various Competitive Exams and is to be applied whenever two or more candidates have required equal Aggregate Marks. The 'Aggregate Marks' in such Competitive Exams conducted by UPSC would mean the total of marks in Written Exams and Personal Interview, there cannot be directly adopted in cases of Written

Exams conducted at the level of Respondent No.2. Also, there is absence of any specific provisions in this regard in 'IAS (Appointment by Section) Regulations, 1997' or in guidelines given by UPSC. Therefore, we suggest that the State Government while submitting proposal of 20 candidates to send separate letter to U.P.S.C to seek opinion about the Applicant given the fact that 'prima-facie' Applicant is no less meritorious than candidates at Sr. Nos.19 and 20 who are proposed to be recommended by Respondent No.1 as she has secured equal marks of 47.50. Notwithstanding the above observations, the Respondent No.1 to immediately go ahead with submission of proposal of 20 candidates including those at Sr. Nos.19 and 20 to UPSC as per order passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Bombay in W.P. No.12339/2023, dated 12.10.2023.

8. S.O. to 20.12.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.12.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1267 of 2023</p> <p>K.B. Bhagat,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant files 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also produces letter of 'Revenue and Forest Department' dated 01.12.2023 regarding specific instructions to 'Divisional Commissioners' about prevalent practices in the offices of 'District Collectors', 'Sub Divisional Officers' and 'Tahsildar' about temporary attachment of services of employees in Class III including 'Circle Officers' and 'Talathis' as in case of the Applicants.</p> <p>4. The District Collector Raigad therefore to review the order of 15.12.2022 with reference to specific directions of 'Revenue and Forest Department' letter dated 01.12.2023 to all 'Divisional Commissioner' about temporary attachment of services of employees in Class III including 'Circle Officers' and 'Talathis' and pass reasoned order in 'One Week'; if the Applicant is to be permitted to join back on the earlier post of 'Awal Karkoon - 1 (Revenue), Tahsildar, Murud - Janjira, District Raigad'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.12.2023

O.A.No.732 of 2023

M. R. Sadawarte & Ors.Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. B. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is adjourned to 13.12.2024 as H.O. B.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.12.2023

**O. A. No.651 of 2023
with
M.A. No.717 of 2023**

Dr. V. P. WahaneApplicant

H. N. DalaviIntervener

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for Intervener.

2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed reply to intervention application. It be taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned to 19.12.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.12.2023

O. A. No.70 of 2023

H. D. More & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Due to paucity of time, the matter is adjourned.

3. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.644 of 2023 in O. A. No.460 of 2023</p> <p>K. B. Ghodke & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. B. Kadam holding for Shri A. V. Gaikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is fixed on 14.12.2023 (F.O.B.)</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.12.2023

M. A. No.760 of 2023 in O.A.No.1521 of 2023

Shri Sudhakar D. RajguruApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.01.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 10.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.12.2023

O.A.No.1502 of 2023

V. S. MoreApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 08.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 07.12.2023

O.A.No.1501 of 2023

A. S. Kholambe

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 08.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.733/2023 in O.A.No.968/2023 with M.A.No.734/2023 in O.A.No.1163/2023</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Org. Respd)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>P.P. Pande & Ors.Respondents (Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondents) and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Org. Applicant)</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply in M.A.No.733/2023 in O.A.No.968/2023.</p> <p>3. Time granted. M.A.No.733/2023 in O.A.No.968/2023 is Adjourned to 14.12.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that today he will tender unaffirmed copy of reply to the office of learned C.P.O. because the applicants are posted on VIP duties and they are not available for the affirmation. However, he would produced the affirmed copy of reply latter on. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that during the course of the day affirmed copy of reply will be filed.</p> <p>5. We hereby direct Respondents No.2 and 3 to file reply by next date. We also direct the Respondents to verify whether the probation period of the applicants in M.A.No.734/2023 in O.A.No.1163/2023 is complete.</p> <p>6. At the request of learned P.O. M.A.No.734/2023 in O.A.No.1163/2023 is adjourned to 18.12.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p>7. M.A.No.733/2023 in O.A.No.968/2023 AND M.A.No.734/2023 in O.A.No.1163/2023 are to be de-tagged.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.337/2023 in O.A.No.971/2019 with M.A.No.338/2023 in O.A.No.973/2019 with M.A.No.339/2023 in O.A.No.1022/2019</p> <p>R.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents in M.A.No.337/2023 in O.A.No.971/2019 with M.A.No.338/2023 in O.A.No.973/2019 and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in M.A.No.339/2023 in O.A.No.1022/2019</p> <p>2. Matter called out. Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 11.01.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.12.2023

R.A.No.9/2023 in O.A.No.570/2017

B.G. Kingare & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 11.01.2024 by way of last chance.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p>R.A.No.6/2023 in O.A.No.187/2021</p> <p>D.N. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 11.01.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.12.2023

C.A.No.105/2023 in O.A.No.1201/2016

A.D. JadhavApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Matter called out. Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Learned P.O. has submitted that for compliance of order six months time will be required to prepared the documents in Treasury and send those documents to Accountant General Office and then again will be send back to Treasury.
4. We are of the opinion that that six months time cannot be granted and the said work can be done within one month. However, we grant two months time to comply with the order.
5. Order is to be complied on or before 20.02.2024.
6. Adjourned to 22.02.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.84/2023 in O.A.No.168/2018</p> <p>A.V. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.R. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the eligible candidates who are ex-serviceman from Open Category on wait list are at, Sr.No.3401 Mr. Shirish Shivaji Maind, Sr.No.3405 Mr. Jadhav Madhukar Shivaji Sr. No.3437 Mr. Patil Ananda Vitthal (present Applicant)</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. was on instructions from Ms. Jai Patil, Assistant Section Officer from the office of M.P.S.C. has submitted that according to M.P.S.C. only two posts are available.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that candidate, Mr. Shirish Shivaji Maind is not interested.</p> <p>5. We direct M.P.S.C. to ask whether candidate from wait list, Sr. No.3401 Mr. Shirish S. Maind is interested in joining the post of Sub Inspector State Excise. We are giving directions to M.P.S.C. because we have learnt that nobody is available from the office of Home Department as all the responsible officers from the office of Home Department, State Excise are attending Assembly Session at Nagpur.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 18.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 667/2022</u></p> <p>R.H Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.988 of 2023</p> <p>R. D. KanadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. submits that report in the 1st Departmental Enquiry (D.E.) which was initiated on 02.05.2017 is approved by the Hon'ble Chief minister and the same is received. The said report is communicated to the Applicant through email. 3. The Desk Officer from the office of Urban Development Department is present before the Court and she is directed to furnish one hardcopy of the report to the Applicant who is also present before the Court. 4. Learned C.P.O. submits that 2nd D.E. which was initiated on 28.08.2019 in which Enquiry Officer was appointed on 25.04.2023. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that this is the incident of 2013-2014 and it is Joint Departmental Enquiry. 5. Learned C.P.O. further submits that after verifying, it is found that 3rd D.E. was initiated on 12.05.2017 and Enquiry Officer was appointed on 11.10.2023. She submits that in this matter, incident had taken place in 2003-2004.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's order

6. Learned C.P.O. submits that in this matter conscious decision has taken. She relies on Affidavit in Reply filed on behalf of Respondent No.1 through Shri Ashok K. Lakkas, Under Secretary to Government of Maharashtra, UDD, Mumbai on 18.10.2023. In Affidavit in Reply, it is stated that D.P.C. was held on 09.02.2023 for the selection year 2022-2023 for the promotion to post of Chief Officer, Group-A. The name of the Applicant was considered in that D.P.C. and D.P.C. has recommended that the case of the Applicant be kept in a 'Sealed Cover Envelope'.

7. Further on query regarding Joint Departmental Enquiry, learned C.P.O. informed that in 2nd D.E. there are Four Delinquents (Applicant + 3 Delinquents) and in the 3rd D.E. there are Two Delinquents (Applicant + Delinquent).

8. Learned Counsel for the Applicant on instruction submits that in 3rd D.E., co-delinquent is exonerated.

9. Learned C.P.O. wants to take instructions in this regard from the Urban Development Department.

10. We would like to know under what procedure 3rd D.E. proceedings were conducted separately by two different Enquiry Officers.

11. S.O. to 08.12.2023.

Sd/-

(**Debashish Chakrabarty**)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1481 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.R. Chate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is working as Assistant Engineer, Grade-II, PWD Sub Division, Khed, District Ratnagiri. Ld. Advocate for the applicant inter alia prays that respondents be directed to take final decision on the pending proposal dated 24.10.2018 submitted by respondent no.2 to the respondent no.3, followed by revised proposal dated 22.3.2022 vis-à-vis sanction of commuted leave and extra ordinary leave on medical ground and to communicate the decision thereon all within a period of 4 weeks.</p> <p>3. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the respondent no.3 is directed to take a decision in this matter within a period of six weeks from today and communicate the same to the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.2.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1287 of 2023

S.A. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for staying the filling up of the post of Police Patil of village Pimpalwadi, Taluka Karmala, District Solapur.

3. However, if any appointment is made the same will be subject to outcome of this OA.

4. Admit.

5. S.O. to 18.1.2024 for final hearing.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
7.12.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1472 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Pandhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays to stay the impugned recovery order dated 30.1.2023 issued by respondent no.2 to the extent of Rs.4,67,201/- which was on account of excess payment of pay and allowances to the applicant. Ld. Advocate further states that applicant has not been granted all his pensionary benefits. Ld. Advocate relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <u>(2015) 4 SCC 334 State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) & Ors.</u> Ld. Advocate submits that his pensionary benefits may be released subject to recovery of his housing loan.</p> <p>3. In view of the ratio laid down in <i>Rafiq Masih</i> (supra), the impugned recovery order is stayed to the extent of Rs.4,67,201/-. Respondents are directed to release the provisional pension along with arrears to the applicant within a period of four weeks. Ld. PO to file rely.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.1.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1480 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.R. Chate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays that respondents may be directed to take decision on the pending proposal dated 3.1.2020 submitted by the respondent no.1 to the respondent no.2 in the context of the confirmation of the applicant in the post of Assistant Engineer, Grade-II and communicate the same to the applicant within a period of six weeks. He states that proposal is pending with respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the respondent no.3 is directed to take a decision in this matter within a period of six weeks from today and communicate the same to the applicant within two weeks thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N.

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1408 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.M. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.1.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1396 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.A. Sutar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.1.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1392 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.V. Tawde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 6.12.2023 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1355 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.M. Naymgonde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add in the OA the revised proclamation dated 29.11.2023 issued by respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12 12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.970 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.3. Admit.4. S.O. to 22.1.2024 for final hearing. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gavgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.673 of 2023 in O.A. No.1229 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.B. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Ankita Nishad h/f Ms. V.L. Maindad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.1.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.615 of 2023 in O.A. No.1231 of 2023

S.R. Jamadar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Ankita Nishad h/f Ms. V.L. Maindad,
learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 4.1.2024 by way of last chance.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
7.12.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.614 of 2023 in O.A. No.1230 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.R. Ghadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Ankita Nishad h/f Ms. V.L. Maindad. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.1.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1478 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.N. Gherde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Médha Gădgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.12.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1129 of 2023</p> <p>T.N. DaundkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. Shri S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that the proposal regarding the continuation of the Applicant on the post of 'Chief Accounts & Finance Officer, Transport Undertaking, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation (NMMC), is still under consideration and appropriate orders are expected to be issued soon by 'Finance Department'. Therefore 'Three Weeks' time is granted to 'Finance Department'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1211/2023</u></p> <p>A.A Dharanguttikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1242/2023</u></p> <p>A Desai & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar with U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1283/2023</u></p> <p>N.B Pathan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1360/2023</u></p> <p>B.N Borse ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1374/2023</u></p> <p>N.S Kadari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 8.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1390/2023</u></p> <p>S.V Varne ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1397/2023</u></p> <p>D.S Khose ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1415/2023</u></p> <p>S.P Itape ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 14.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1434/2023</u></p> <p>S.N Dombale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent No. 14. None present for Respondent No. 7.</p> <p>2. Smt Punam Mahajan, files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 14.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.4/2020 in O.A.No.1119/2015</p> <p>Dr. B.M. LadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Kachi holding for Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to make a short statement on oath about why the Applicant is not eligible.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 677/2022</u></p> <p>R.H Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1299/2022</u></p> <p>U.H Bhosle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that he does not want to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 25.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 489/2023</u></p> <p>M.C Changale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akt:</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 495/2021</u></p> <p>N.B Ghade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Tanvi Pathak i/b Shri Sachin Hande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 645/2023</u></p> <p>M.T Kumbhar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 821/2023</u></p> <p>P.V Sali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 961/2023</u></p> <p>V.V Pashte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 965/2023</u></p> <p>P.J Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.M Kale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1040/2023</u></p> <p>M.V Tambe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.P Bhumkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.12.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1195/2023</u></p> <p>R.S Tayde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.12.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1336 of 2023</p> <p>G.D. Shaikh,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.4. Time as prayed is granted to learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.12.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1438 of 2023</p> <p>P.P. BangosaviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Respondent No.2 though served he is not present and also not represented by any learned Advocate. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed is granted to learned Advocate. 5. S.O. to 08.01.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.12.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1145 of 2023</p> <p>V.S. LambhateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 has filed 'Additional Affidavit' on behalf of the Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.12.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.939 of 2023</p> <p>G.D. Karad,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The 'Revenue and Forest Department' has already been given adequate time to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' along with 'File Noting' about the 'Status of Implementation' viz 'Acceptance' or 'Non Acceptance' of the 'Enquiry Reports' submitted by earlier by 'Inspector Generals of Registration and Controller of Stamps, Pune' on 04.11.2021 and 09.06.2023 about complaints against the Applicant. However, learned P.O. states that on account of the ongoing 'Nagpur Session' of the 'Maharashtra Legislature' more time of atleast 'Three Weeks' is required to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply'. However, additional time of 'Three Weeks' as sought by learned P.O. cannot be granted and 'Revenue and Forest Department' is directed to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' by way of last chance within 'One Week'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.12.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1285 of 2023 with M.A. No.706 of 2023 (FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)</p> <p>Dr. S.B. Chavan,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neha Kachi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentioned about order dated 04.12.2023 in O.A. No.1285 of 2023 with M.A. No.706 of 2023 for 'Speaking to the Minutes'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in order dated 04.12.2023, further continuation of 'Interim Relief' granted earlier in O.A. No.1285/2023 on 21.11.2023 has not been mentioned and requested that it be mentioned that 'Interim Relief' is continued till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. The order dated 04.12.2023 in O.A. No.1285 of 2023 with M.A. No.706 of 2023 be corrected and 'Para 6.A' now be read as 'Interim Relief' is continued till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. The 'Speaking to the Minutes' is accordingly disposed off in O.A. No.1285 of 2023 with M.A. No.706 of 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p>R.A.No.8/2023 in O.A.No.462/2022</p> <p>M.R. Khude & Anr.Applicants Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 12.12.2023 at 10.20 a.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.12.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.738/2023 in O.A.No.817/2022 with M.A.No.739/2023 in O.A.No.1050/2022 (At Nagpur)</p> <p>State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicant (Org. Respd.)</p> <p>Vs. A.D. Jakate & Ors.Respondents. (Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondents), Mr. Rahul Shivalkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.738/2023 in O.A.No.817/2022 and Mr. Sumanta Deopujari, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.739/2023 in O.A.No.1050/2022</p> <p>2. At the outset, learned Counsel has submitted that earlier M.A.No.422/2023 and M.A.No.423/2023 were filed seeking similar relief i.e. transfer of O.A.No.817/2022 and O.A.No.1050/2022 respectively from Nagpur Bench to Principal Bench at Mumbai. However, M.A.No.422/2023 and M.A.No.423/2023 were rejected by order dated 03.07.2023.</p> <p>3. In view of the above order, the M.A.No.738/2023 and M.A.No.739/2023 seeking transfer of O.A.No.817/2022 and O.A.No.1050/2022 respectively also stands rejected for similar reasons.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.513 of 2017</u></p> <p>H.B. Sonawane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Partly heard the submissions of Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Concerned officers from the office of Police Department and Home Department are directed to remain present.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.12.2023. Part heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023 <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.737 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.J. Mohite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and Advocate both the absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.12.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.799 of 2021</u></p> <p>U.R. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 8.</p> <p>2. Applicants and their advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023 <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.12.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OAs. No.716, 717, 718, 719, 720 & 730 of 2022</u></p> <p>H.G. Sapkal G.A. Deshmukh Y.C. Girange S.A. Bhagat G.S. Hadbe A.P. Khatal ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants and their advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that there are similar OAs pending of same department for recruitment to the post of Clerk in Public Health Department wherein the recruitment was very objectionable. She wants to give the number of those OAs.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.1.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.12.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.89 of 2023 in O.A. No.967 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.G. Sathe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that proposal for giving interest on pension is approved by the Accountant General on 4.12.2023 and it is submitted to Mantralaya on that day itself.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.12.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.12.2023</p>