

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: _____ of 20

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

07.12.2016

O.A No 890/2016

Shri S.D Deshmukh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant & Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit in reply has been filed. Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.

O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 2.1.2017.

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Reply Filed by R. No. 2.

Adj. To O.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 2/1/17.

Akn

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

FH
@ed

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

07.12.2016

O.A No 885/2016

Shri D.B Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant & Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar files affidavit in rejoinder.

O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if need be.

Place for final hearing on 5.1.2017.

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALVE (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. G. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents:
Rejoinder filed by Appli.

Adj. To: O.A. is admitted.
S.O. to 5/1/17.

Akn

FH
Clk

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

07.12.2016

O.A No 1008/2016

Shri A.V Mulik ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant & Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar files affidavit in rejoinder.

O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if need be.

Place for final hearing on 4.1.2017.

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. G.A. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Rejoinder filed by Appli.
Adj. To O.A. is admitted.
S.O. to 4/1/17.
with
<MA 520/16>

FH
Akn

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

07.12.2016

O.A No 1125/2016

Shri R.M Mohite ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 4 and Shri Devkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 5.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 11.1.2017

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 11.1.2017.

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 4.

Shri. Devkar for R.No.5.

C.V.P. filed

Adj. To S.O. to 11/1/17

[Signature]

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman [P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

07.12.2016

O.A No 1133/2016

Shri Sameer J. Mulla ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 11.1.2017

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 11.1.2017.

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. N. G. Gohad~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. To S.O. to 11/1/17~~

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.504 of 2016

In

M.A. No.505 of 2016

In

T.A. No.48 of 1993

Shri S.A. Salgaonkar & OrsApplicants
V/s.

State of Mah. & OrsRespondent

Heard Shri U.P. Bobade holding for Shri J.H. Ramugade, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 5.1.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 5.1.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
07.12.2016

7/12/16

Coram - Hon. Shri R.B. Malik (M1)

Adv. U.P. Bobade holding for
Shri J.H. Ramugade the Adv.
for the appl.

Smt. Archana B.K. P.O. for
the Resp

S.O. to 5/1/2017.

RBE

12.16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.305 of 2016

Dr. N.P. MokashiApplicant
V/s.
State of Mah. & OrsRespondent

Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This Original Application can by consent ^{be} disposed of here and now. _{is}

The learned P.O. ^{is} being instructed by Shri A.B. Budhe, Section Officer, Public Health Department submits for my perusal a Minutes of Meeting of the Departmental Enquiry Review Committee. It appears there from that the decision has been taken to revoke the suspension of the applicant and the place of his post reinstatement posting has also been decided at Kankavali in District Sindhudurg. The said statement is treated as an undertaking which is supported by the document above referred to and it is directed that the compliance be made within three weeks from today.

Original Application is disposed of with no order as to cost.

Sd/-

**(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
07.12.2016**

>12/16
Coram - Hon. Shri R.B. Malik (M/J)
Adv. G. Sadavarte for the app.
Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. for the R/s
OA is disposed of with no order as to costs

MJE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.171 of 2016

Shri S. S. PawarApplicant

V/s.

State of Mah. & OrsRespondent

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Reply is taken on record.

Admit, liberty to mention.

7/12/16
Coram - Hon. Shri R.B. Malik (mb)

Adv. A.V. Bandiwadekar
for the appl.
Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for
the Rgls
Admit. Liberty to
mention.

Sd/-
07/12/16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
07.12.2016

YK vsm


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>B.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>10/1/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date:- 07.12.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.No.158 of 2014 in O.A.No.160 of 2008 with O.A.No.471 of 2012</u></p> <p>Y.S. JadhavApplicant V/s. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri A.J. Chougule states on instructions received from Shri Upasani, Law Officer as follows:-</p> <p>Though the hearing of Contempt Application was adjourned from time to time on the ground that the Writ Petition filed by the State is yet to come up for hearing infact the Contempt Application can be contested in the background that the Respondents have already complied with the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Affidavit-in-reply be filed on the next day.</p> <p>4. Let the Contempt Application come up for hearing on 10.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p>Sba</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Leave note of adv. Punam Mahajan taken on record.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>15/2/2017.</u></p> <p><i>Sba</i></p>	<p>Date:- 07.12.2016</p> <p><u>O.A.No.189 of 2015 with O.A.No.190 of 2014</u></p> <p>M.V. Kulkarni (O.A.No.189/15) A.R. Jadhav (O.A.No.190/15)Applicants</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants Smt. P. Mahajan has filed a leave note. 3. In view of the leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicants, hearing is adjourned. 4. S.O. to 15.02.2017. <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p>

Date:- 07.12.2016

O.A.No.148 of 2015

R.K. Shirsath

.....Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & Ors

.....Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadek, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.
2. This Original Application was filed by the Applicant for challenging the suspension.
3. Applicant had reported that now the suspension order is revoked and Applicant is given posting at Pune.
4. The O.A. was still kept pending because Applicant wanted to wait for possibility of posting according to his choice.
5. Respondents have taken a stance that after considering the totality of facts and circumstances, as well as claim of the Applicant, it is found proper that Applicant be posted at the place where he is posted, and any change is not possible.
6. In view that O.A. has outlived its purpose. It is disposed as infructuous.

DATE: 7/12/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To OA is disposed of.

MTE

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date:- 07.12.2016

M.A.No.128 of 2015 in C.A.No.33 of 2015
in O.A.No.910 of 2004

V.P. BhanushaliApplicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

DATE: 7/12/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: CA is disposed of

HTE

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.M. Kolge states as follows:-

(a) Applicant has received the amount towards D.C.R.G. and arrears of pension after fixation of pension and after deducting payment towards provisional pension.

(b) If any dues remain, Applicant would verify and make a suitable representation.

(c) It is not necessary to pursue the present applications.

3. In view of the substantial compliance and apology present applications are disposed of.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

[P.T.O.]


(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>MA.581/15 in CA.36/15 in OA.288/13 with</u> <u>CA.36/15 in OA.288/13</u>
<p>DATE: <u>7/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>B.A. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant. Shri/Smt. : <u>A. S. Ghosh</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>6/3/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.A.</u></p>	<p>Shri P.M. Varande & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants states that fate of the CA and MA is contingent upon the decision as may be rendered in the OA which was referred to Full Bench, and is already heard and is awaiting delivery of judgment.</p> <p>3. In view of the request of Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate, hearing of this CA and MA is adjourned to 6.3.2017.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.12.2016 </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Responder /s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>23/1/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>M.D.</i></p>	<p>Date:- 07.12.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.1026 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.B. MaliApplicant V/s. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.D. Lonkar states that though the order passed on 17.10.2016 was required to be communicated by the learned P.O., it also contained a direction that Applicant had to serve the Respondents with Tribunal's notice as required under rules, however it remained to be served, due to inadvertence.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K states that she has received letter from the Desk Officer of the concerned department to pray for time on the ground that the Secretary concerned is busy on account of the ongoing Winter Session of State Assembly, and therefore one month's time is required.</p> <p>4. At this stage this Tribunal refrains from expressing any view as regards propriety and justiciability of asking for time.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.1.2017, for awaiting service of notice and reply if any.</p> <p>6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders <u>C.A. No.109 of 2015 in O.A. No.353 of 2014</u></p>
<p>DATE : <u>7/12/16</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manohar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>H. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To. <u>20/6/17</u> <u>B.K.</u></p>	<p>Dr. K.S: Ramamurthy ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the order passed in the above OA is already stayed by the Hon'ble High Court and the writ petition is expected to come up for hearing on 14.12.2016.</p> <p>3. In view of this statement, hearing of this CA is adjourned to 20.6.2017, with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises.</p> <p>(sg)</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.12.2016 </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer:

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date:- 07.12.2016

M.A.No.401 of 2016 in O.A.No.974 of 2009 (A'bad)

S.N. LaadApplicant

V/s.


The State of Mah. & OrsRespondent

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Let this Misc. Application come up for hearing on 6.3.2017.

3. Applicant shall be free to make an effort to request for final hearing during availability of Division Bench at Aurangabad in the week commencing from 13.12.2016.

4. S.O. to 6.3.2017.


 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

sba

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member)A

APPEARANCE:


Shri/Smt: none for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 6/3/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Responder t/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>6/3/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BE</i></p>	<p>Date:- 07.12.2016</p> <p><u>M.A.No.251 of 16 in O.A.No.660 of 2015 (N'pur) with M.A.No.252 of 2016 in O.A.No.661 of 2015 (N'pur) with M.A.No.253 of 2016 in O.A.No.827 of 2015 (A'bad)</u></p> <p>M.S. Joshi & Ors. (M.A.251/16 in O.A.660/15) S.B. Korke & Ors. (M.A.252/16 in O.A.661/15) M.S. Joshi & Ors. (M.A.253/16 in O.A.827/15)Applicants</p> <p>V/s. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advbocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Let these Misc. Applications come up for hearing on 6.3.2017.</p> <p>3. Applicants shall be free to make an effort to request for final hearing during availability of Division Bench at Aurangabad in the week commencing from 13.12.2016.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.3.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/12/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri/Smt. : K. R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : D. K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./P.O. of the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... it is disposed of as withdrawn, with liberty as sought.</p> <p align="right">prk</p>	<p>Date : 07.12.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.827 of 2015 (Subject : Deemed date of promotion)</p> <p>D.M. BaraskarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the end of hearing, learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) The challenge has not been properly formulated in the present O.A..</p> <p>(b) He has taken instructions from Applicant and states that he may be granted permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh application for the same reliefs.</p> <p>3. O.A. is disposed as withdrawn, with liberty as sought.</p> <p align="right">  (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </p> <p align="right">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.73 of 2016 in O.A. No.633 of 2015
<p>DATE: <u>7/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>14/12/17</u></p> <p align="right"><i>ML</i></p>	<p>Shri D.G. Pore & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the writ petition filed by the State challenging the order passed in the above OA is expected to come up for hearing on 14.12.2016.</p> <p>3. In view of this statement, hearing of this CA is adjourned to 10.4.2017 with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises.</p> <p align="right">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.12.2016 </p> <p align="center">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/12/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt: B. A. Pandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: Archana B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 3/4/17.</p> <p align="right">BT</p>	<p align="center">CA.82/2015 in OA.10/2009 with MA.520/15 in CA.82/2015 in OA.10/2009</p> <p>Shri A.K. Pusegaonkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the writ petition filed by the State challenging the order passed in the above OA is expected to come up for hearing on 23.12.2016.</p> <p>3. In view of this statement, hearing of this CA and MA is adjourned to 3.4.2017 with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises.</p> <p align="right">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.12.2016 </p> <p align="left">(sgj)</p>

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date:- 07.12.2016

C.A.No.37 of 2013 in O.A.No.632 of 2011

Dr. A.S. Warke

.....Applicant

V/s.

State of Mah. & Ors

.....Respondent

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned 8.12.2016.

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: None for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 8/12/16

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Sba

YTK

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.130 of 2015 in O.A. No.308 of 2012

Shri S.S. Padave ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to tomorrow
for reporting compliance.

3. S.O. to 8.12.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
7.12.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: N.F. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 8/12/16

ATE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date:- 07.12.2016</p> <p><u>C.A.No.120 of 2015 in O.A.No.313 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. R.S.S.G. AbbasApplicant V/s. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondent</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned 8.12.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>2/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Advocate for the Applicant: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Shri/Smt.: <u>H. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>8/12/16</u></p> <p><i>H.G.</i></p>	<p>Date:- 07.12.2016</p> <p><u>O.A.No.975 of 2015 with O.A.No.976 of 2015 with O.A.No.977 of 2015 with O.A.No.978 of 2015 with O.A.No.979 of 2015</u></p> <p>C.S. Didbhai (O.A.No.975 of 2015) V.S. Gaikwad & Ors.(O.A.No.976 of 2015) I.V. Nair & Ors. (O.A.No.977 of 2015) D.D. Sural & Ors. (O.A.No.978 of 2015) S.P. Salvi & Ors. (O.A.No.979 of 2015)</p> <p>...Applicants</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>State of Mah. & Ors ...Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned 8.12.2016.</p> <p><i>J</i></p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date:- 07.12.2016

O.A.No.626 of 2015

with

O.A.No.628 of 2015

M.S. Chavan

V.V. Badade

....Applicants

V/s.

State of Mah. & Ors

.....Respondents

DATE: 7/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: H. G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 8/12/16

M.E.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 08.12.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman