

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.899/2023 with O.A.681/2023

C.R. Pol

A.S. Allewar

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The issue involved in both the matters is same, and therefore, they are disposed of by the common judgment.

3. The Applicants seek declaration from the Tribunal that they are passed MSSD Examination in 3 chances and 4 years as per Rule 4(1) of Maharashtra Sub-Service Divisional Examination (for Talathi cadre) Rules, 1997.

4. The Applicant in OA 899/2023 is working as Talathi in Pune District. The 'Final Seniority List' published by District Collector, Pune dated 06.07.2023 qua the Applicant is set aside and he is put at his Original Position at Serial No.58 in Final Seniority List.

5. The Applicant in OA 681/2023 is working as Talathi in Solapur District. The 'Final Seniority List' dated 03.04.2023 published by District Collector, Solapur qua the Applicant is set aside and he is put at his Original Position at Serial No.108 in the Final Seniority List.

6. Hence, we direct the Respondents in both OA No.899/2023 and OA No.681/2023 specifically (i) District Collector, Pune and (ii) District Collector, Solapur to consider the Applicants for promotion to the post of 'Circle Officer' as per seniority of the Applicants in the post of Talathi based on their respective Dates of Appointment and grant all consequential Service Benefits. The (i) District Collector, Pune and (ii) District Collector, Solapur to complete the necessary exercise to consider the Applicants for promotion to the post of Circle Officers and issue 'Orders of Promotion' within Six Weeks from today along with sanction of all consequential 'Service Benefits'.

7. The OA No.899/2023 and OA No.681/2023 stand disposed off accordingly with no order as to costs.

Edl
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
07.11.2023

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
07.11.2023

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders**

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1144 of 2023</p> <p>L.N. GondhaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D., Raigad' by orders dated 22.09.2023 & 06.10.2023 had been informed to consider whether they were willing to consider the request of the Applicant to be posted back in the office of Executive Engineer P.W.D., Panvel'. In this regard learned P.O. submits letter dated 12.10.2023 from 'Executive Engineer P.W.D., Panvel' wherein it has been mentioned that several post of 'Peons' are available in the establishment of 'Executive Engineer P.W.D., Panvel' viz. 1) S.D.O., P.W.D. Sub Division – 1, Panvel 2) S.D.O., P.W.D. Sub Division – 2, Panvel 3) S.D.O., P.W.D. Sub Division – Uran 4) S.D.O., P.W.D. Sub Division – Konkan Bhavan 5) S.D.O., P.W.D. Sub Division – Karjat 6) S.D.O., P.W.D. Sub Division – Khalapur.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has refused promotion to the post of 'Naik' citing 'Personal Reasons' and made several representations thereafter to the 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D., Raigad' to reconsider his 'Transfer Order' to office of 'Executive Engineer P.W.D., Mahad'. Now that 'Executive Engineer P.W.D., Panvel' by letter dated 12.10.2023 has communicated that many posts of 'Peons' are available in the offices of six 'S.D.O's P.W.D.' it can be fairly expected that the Applicants representation will be considered by 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D., Raigad'</p>

[PTO.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. The 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D., Raigad' is therefore directed that due consideration be given to the representations submitted by the Applicant to cancel his 'Transfer Order' dated 09.02.2023 to the office of 'Executive Engineer P.W.D., Mahad' issued on promotion to post of 'Naik' which he has since refused and preferably the Applicant be transferred to available post of 'Peon' either in offices of (i)'S.D.O., P.W.D. Sub Division - 1, Panvel' or (ii) 'S.D.O., P.W.D. Sub Division - 2, Panvel' and 'New Transfer Order' of the Applicant to be issued within 'Three Weeks'.

5. The prayers of the Applicant therefore stands appropriately redressed by way of directions given above to 'Superintending Engineer P.W.D., Raigad'. Therefore as nothing survives in this O.A. No.1144 of 2023; it is disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.939 of 2023</p> <p>G.D. KaradApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that as per directions given during the course of 'Final Hearing (P.H.)' on 26.10.2023, sometime is required to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' along with 'File Noting' about the 'Status of Implementation' viz 'Acceptance' on 'Non Acceptance' of the 'Enquiry Reports' submitted earlier by Inspector General of Regulation and Controller of Stamps, Pune on 04.11.2022 and 09.06.2023 about complaints against the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. therefore directed to include in the 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' as to why the 'Divisional Commissioner, Pune' was directed to conduct another enquiry about the complaints against the Applicant, when the Revenue and Forest Department G.R. dated 17.06.2022 primarily relates to his role as 'Grievance Redressal Officer' for matters relating to 'Ease of Doing Business' for subjects relating to the offices of (a) Inspector General of Regulation and Controller of Stamps, Pune and (b) Settlement Commission and Director of Land Records, Pune. Accordingly, the Final Hearing (PH) of this O.A. No.939 of 2023 is adjourned for 'Two Weeks'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.596 of 2023</p> <p>A.S. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue & Forest Department' requires more time of 'Three Weeks' to consider suggestion and directions given on 17.10.2023 about revocation of suspension order dated 12.09.2023 and 'modification of Transfer Order dated 13.04.2023' of the Applicant and then arrive at appropriate decision about posting her on 'Vacant Post' of 'Resident Deputy Collector (RDC)' in 'Dharashiv District'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2023</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1159/2023

(Speaking to Minutes)

R.K. Kawale

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Applicant is present. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri S.H. Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondent No3.

2. Applicant informed that his Advocate Shri S.T. Bhosale is not feeling well and unable to come.

3. The Applicant is informed that the Speaking to Minutes are not accepted and to that effect, we have passed the order dated 03.11.2023. Taken on Board.

4. We think that the order dated 02.11.2023 accepting Speaking to Minutes is called back.

5. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 submits that when the matter was pressed for 'Interim Relief', the Respondent No.3 was not given notice and had no idea, and therefore, he submits that he wants to press for vacating the 'Interim Relief'. If the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 wants to say something or mention, he may say so on the next date.

6. We direct the Applicant to make the arrangement of the Advocate and matter is fixed for tomorrow.

7. S.O. to 8th November, 2023 for vacating 'Interim Relief'.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Member-A

Chairperson

07.11.2023

07.11.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.696/2023 in O.A.1426/2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Mesare & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.B. Sangle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 07.11.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 07.11.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1426/2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Mesare & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.B. Sangle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant prays that the entire selection process conducted for the post of Group-A and Group-B vide Advertisement No.03/2020 and 04/2020 dated 31.01.2020 issued by Respondent No.1 - MPSC be quashed and set aside and so also the merit lists dated 15.09.2023 and 20.10.2023.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicants also prays that Respondents be restrained from issuing appointment orders for the post of Assistant Commissioner Fisheries/Project Manager Dapchari, Group-A and Fisheries Development Officer, Fisheries Training Officer, Curator, Training and Extension Officer, Group-B pursuant to the Advertisement No. 04/2020.</p> <p>4. Issue notice returnable on 24.11.2023.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 24th November, 2023

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
07.11.2023

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
07.11.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.1430/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Thane has relieved the Applicant from the post of Medical Officer, PHC, Chimbipada, Taluka Bhiwandi, District Thane vide order dated 06.11.2023 to join 'T.N. Medical College, Mumbai' for 'PG Course in Pathology' under 'NEET PG 2023'. Hence, nothing survives in this OA No.1430/2023 and accordingly it is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 07.11.2023 (skw) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 07.11.2023 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.1423/2023</u>
	<p>A.A. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p>
	<p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant who belongs to Open Category aspires to be appointed to the post of Under Secretary [Legal], Group-A which is meant for Open Category in view of recommended candidate Mr. Padmakar K. Dhongade has given up his claim.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Respondent No. 2 – Law & Judiciary Department to send the requisition for one name of wait-listed candidate to Respondent No.1 - MPSC.</p> <p>4. The learned CPO on instructions from Respondent No.2 submits that the Department is in process of sending requisition for a candidate for waiting list.</p> <p>5. Issue notice returnable on 21.11.2023.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

10. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. S.O. to 22nd November, 2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
07.11.2023

(skw)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
07.11.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.1398/2023</u></p> <p>Amruta Karwande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Purandare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter, the Certificate of Respondent No.1 which is declared as 'Orphan' is challenged.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant also prays that the papers of OA No.64/2022 to be recalled and he demands the enquiry against Respondent Nos.1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 for declaring Respondent No.1 'Orphan'. The learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that the Applicant is 'Orphan' and there is possibility that Respondent No.1 is likely to be appointed as Police Sub-Inspector on the seat which is reserved for 'Orphan'.</p> <p>4. Issue notice returnable on 21.11.2023.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

✓

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 21st November, 2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
07.11.2023

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
07.11.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.302/2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Ugle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 22nd November, 2023 (First on Board).</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 07.11.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 07.11.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1262 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.G. Ingawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.3. Ld. Advocate for applicant prays for interim relief.4. S.O. to 24.11.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1152 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.M. Gosavi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.12.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha G. Gil) Member (A) 7.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.826 of 2023</u></p> <p>E. Shivaperumal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anjali S. Kolapkar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.12.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.427 of 2023 in O.A. No.755 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.G. Kabadi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person submits that this MA is filed for condoning the delay of almost one year in filing the OA. He submits that he is praying for interest on delayed payment and there is continuous cause of action.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA. She submits that no reasons are mentioned for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts of the case and as there is continuous cause of action the delay is condoned. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Meha Gajgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.755 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.G. Kabadi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.238 of 2023 in O.A. No.377 of 2023</u> with <u>M.A. No.246 of 2023 in O.A. No.386 of 2023</u></p> <p>U.S. Bhagwat A.A. Jadhav ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2023 by way of last chance otherwise the matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.145 of 2023 in O.A. No.200 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Chikkhale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 18.10.2023 of respondents no.1 & 2 in MA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1413 of 2023

D.L. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
7.11.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.750/2023</u></p> <p>B.P. Dhage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Dighe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21st November, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 07.11.2023 07.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.333/2023 with O.A.335 & 336/2023</u></p> <p>S.J. Shahane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05th December, 2023.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 07.11.2023 </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 07.11.2023 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1024/2023</u></p> <p>N.S. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant is filing Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05th December, 2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 07.11.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 07.11.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.751/2023</u>
	<p>I.V. Basle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Sakhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21st November, 2023. 'HOB.'</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 07.11.2023 07.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.517 of 2023</p> <p>R.S. ChendkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that the 'Additional Tribal Commissioner, Thane' has kept 'Personal Hearing' of the Applicant on 21.11.2023 to consider his earlier representations of '02.03.2023, 10.03.2023 & 02.04.2023' and request for transfer to any post of 'Head Master' at Government Ashram Shala (i) Dolivali, (ii) Sanegaon & (iii) Sai in Tahsil-Pen, District-Raigad. Upon 'Personal Hearing' on 21.11.2023; if it is found that the Applicant's case is deserving enough to be granted. 'Reasonable Accommodation' under the provisions of 'Section 20(2)' of 'The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016'; because the Applicant is afflicted by 19% 'Locomotor Disabilities'; then the 'Additional Tribal Commissioner, Thane' may accordingly issue fresh Transfer Order in next 'Three Weeks' to post the Applicant on any post of 'Head Master' at Government Ashram Shala (i) Dolivali, (ii) Sanegaon & (iii) Sai in Tahsil-Pen, District-Raigad.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 564/2023 in O.A 678/2023 with Caveat Application No. 11/2023</u></p> <p>Smt Taramati S. Taji ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as Assistant Teacher (Technical) with Maharashtra State Board of Education. Her services were terminated by order dated 19.5.2023. The said order of termination is challenged.</p> <p>3. The present Misc Application is filed seeking stay to the impugned order dated 19.5.2023 and further to hear the present Original Application expeditiously.</p> <p>4. At the outset, we say that the impugned order of termination cannot be stayed, as the order is already implemented. Hence, the prayer has become infructuous. As far as the prayer for expeditiously hearing the Original Application, in view of clause 6 of G.R dated 29.2.2016 is concerned, we adjourned the matter and will hear the same on 30.11.2023.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 521/2023 in O.A 329/2023</u></p> <p>A.R Jadhavar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anil K Mathe i/b Archana Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Ms Tanvi Pathak holding for Shri S.K Hande submits that Ms Archana Gaikwad, is appearing in this matter and Shri S.K Hande, learned counsel has given NOC. Registry to take note that name of Shri S.K Hande should not appear in this matter.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that first D.E was initiated 9.2.2022 and sanction was granted by the Government on 26.1.2022, second D.E was initiated on 15.2.2022 and sanction was granted by the Government on 11.2.2022 and third D.E was initiated on 27.4.2021 and sanction was granted on 17.2.2021, while the applicant retired on 28.2.2022. Learned P.O shows the noting from the file of the Respondents stating that sanction was granted for initiating the departmental enquiry.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant stated that this is only file noting and there is no sanction granted by the Government.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply along with copy of the sanction order.</p> <p>6. S.O to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.226/2023</u></p> <p>N.L. Khairnar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Gosavi, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Officer is present. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. It is noted that in pursuant to order dated 01.11.2023, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is remained absent. It is observed that he sent Leave Note on earlier date i.e. on 11.10.2023 for remaining absent.</p> <p>4. The learned PO submits that the issue raised in this OA is no more res-integra in view of order of the Tribunal dated 23.11.2022 passed in OA 1159/2022 and the same order is confirmed by Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. In view of this, the matter is fixed for tomorrow i.e. on 8th November, 2023 in the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 8th November, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 07.11.2023 07.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1266/2023</u></p> <p>Shri R.A Desale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that he has submitted representation dated 20.9.2023, Exh-M, to the M.P.S.C, regarding accepting the NCL Certificate.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, M.P.S.C is directed to decide the representation dated 20.9.2023 submitted by the applicant within three week from the date of this order.</p> <p>4. Original Application accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medhã Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1128/2023</u></p> <p>A.T Sakore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is filed.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.11.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">A 19 / 11 Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 898/2021</u></p> <p>A.M Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Avinash Gokhale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, adjourned to 19.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- in . N.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.11.2023

O.A.No.1114/2016

B.M. Sonawane & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply regarding vacancy position.

3. Learned Counsel requested that if possible reply be forwarded to him through email in advance which learned P.O. is going to file on the next date.

4. Learned P.O. to take note of the same.

5. Adjourned to 28.11.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.11.2023

O.A.No.862/2016

A.J. Telvekar & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.101.
2. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1 and Mr. S.R. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17 to 20, 22, 25, 29, 31, 32 to 37, 38, 39, 44, 46, 48, 49, 50, 52, 55, 57.
3. Learned P.O. has submitted that M.P.S.C. wants to file affidavit-in-reply to the amended copy of O.A.
4. Though by order dated 25.10.2023 this Tribunal decided to proceed against M.P.S.C. without their reply to amended O.A., for better adjudication of the issue we allow M.P.S.C. to file reply by 20.11.2023 as a last chance. M.P.S.C. is directed to deal with additional affidavit dated 19.06.2023 while preparing the affidavit-in-reply.
5. Learned P.O. is directed to serve the copy of the said reply to learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar on 17.11.2023.
6. Adjourned to 20.11.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 891/2022</u></p> <p>Shri K.S.A H Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 20.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 80/2022 in O.A 879/2018</u></p> <p>G.P Wadekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that file is still pending with the Hon'ble Chief Minister for approval.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 771/2023</u></p> <p>Shri U.S Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 8.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ rrrr</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 494/2023</u></p> <p>S.K Nachare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned counsel for the applicants, adjourned to 5.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- /wvv</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.11.2023

O.A.No.82/2017

D.R. KhairnarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1, 2 and 15 and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.3 to 14.

2. Respondent No.16, Smt. Ghule Poonambai Babasaheb, Respondent No.17, Smt. Jyoti Kailas Rajput, Respondent No.18, Smt. Ranitai Shivajirao Patil, Respondent No.19, Smt. Madhuri Maniraj Dalal and Respondent No.20, Smt. Sulbha Maruti Gavade are present in person.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has submitted that he has oral instructions to appear on behalf of Respondents No.16 to 20. If the same is confirmed by them he will file vakalatnama during the course of the day.

4. Learned Counsel Mr. Gaikwad has pointed out that he has served the Respondents through email on 09.08.2023.

5. The freshly added Respondents No.16 to 20 have submitted that some of them have received the email in the month of August but they did not realize the importance therefore and ignored, while some of them say that they never received and Respondent No.17, Smt. Jyoti Kailas Rajput say that she could not see the email as her password was not traceable.

6. In view of above, we grant reasonable time so as to enable Respondents No.16 to 20 to engage learned Counsel and file affidavit, if they want to upto 24.11.2023. We expect that the Counsel who is going to be present should be ready with the matter as sufficient time is granted. No further time can be given as it is a 'Selection' matter of the year 2017 which is 'Part Heard'.

7. We keep this matter on 24.11.2023 as per the request made by freshly added Respondents No.16 to 20.

8. Adjourned 24.11.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 74/2023 in C.A 41/2020 in O.A 556/2007</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Ghodeswar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 22.9.2023, we directed the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division to take decision in the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits copy of letter dated 12.10.2023 wherein the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division has complied with the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 102/2023 in O.A 347/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.A Gangarde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that W.P 20358/2022 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and it is listed on 9.11.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<i>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</i>) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 89/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Kolte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents No. 1 & 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. The matter is kept on 22.11.2023 on the point of vacating the interim relief.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1226/2022</p> <p>S.P. Khanvilkar & ORs.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P. Dubey, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 5 and Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.6 to 89.</p> <p>2. Additional Director General of Police (Estt.) Mr. Sanjiv Saigal is hereby directed to remain present today at 1.00 p.m. to answer our queries regarding promotions of APL and PI posts. <i>h</i></p> <p>3. Matter kept back.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>12 11</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.578/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 08.11.2023. P.H.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1004 of 2023 with O.A. No.1011 of 2023 with O.A. No.1044 of 2023 to O.A. No.1050 of 2023</p> <p>K.H. Yadav S.M. Jadhav V.R. Khamkar K.S. Dhotre N.N. Karande S.D. Gunjal H.A. Shelke M.P. Mhaske S.S. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule, and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he want to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' separately in other remaining O.As. to adopt the 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' filed in 'O.A. No.1004/2023' and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that she requires 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder', if any on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.11.2023</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1187 of 2023</p> <p>S.R. KharatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Four Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in Sur Rejoinder', if any on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.12.2023 for 'Final Hearing.'</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.52 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.V. Shelke-Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. The matter is unnecessarily protracted. Parties to take note that we would like to finish this matter.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.11.2023 by way of last chance. Thereafter we will not give any time.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.401 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.J. Bavaskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. GAD-Respondent No.1 is directed to file affidavit in respect of interpretation of clause 1(d) of GR dated 21.12.2019. This pertains to creation of supernumerary post for the candidates from ST category whose caste validity certificate was cancelled or it is not decided by the Caste Scrutiny Committee within stipulated time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2023.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2023
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.11.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2023		