

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.806 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. HaraleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. sought permission to file additional affidavit stating that it is necessary to explain the legal provision. Allowed to file the same. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.497 of 2022</p> <p>U.D. MoreApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 02.01.2023 for 'Final Hearing'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.626 of 2022 in O.A.No.912 of 2015</p> <p>T.B. Nag & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M/S. A & D Legal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. Joel Carlos, learned Advocate i/b, by Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Intervenors.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.626/2022 is moved for intervention in O.A.No.912/2015 along with copy of interim relief order dated 14.09.2022 wherein stay was granted by this Tribunal for promotion to the post of Superintending Engineer from the cadre of Executive Engineer. The Intervenors are the affected parties.</p> <p>3. In O.A.No.912/2015 the arguments were finally heard on 14.10.2022 and thereafter Tribunal was working till 21.10.2022. However, from 17.10.2022 the Division Bench was not available. Thereafter it was Diwali vacation. Hence, the order in O.A. which is reserved on 14.10.2022 will be dictated within a fortnight.</p> <p>4. Under such circumstances, there is no propriety in entertaining this M.A. Intervention application is dismissed and the prayer for vacating stay is also dismissed.</p> <p>3. M.A. stands dismissed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.359 of 2022 in O.A.No.580 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. PachkudeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Nilesh Zalte, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.R. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay. In O.A. Applicant challenges termination order dated 26.05.2010. In M.A. the period of delay is mentioned as 532 days. Such delay considering the date of cause of action is incomprehensible.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.261 of 2020</p> <p>B.A. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. To be shown under the caption 'Final Hearing'.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 716, 717, 718, 719, 720 & 730/2022</u></p> <p>H.D Sapkal & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Interim relief to continue until further orders.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 9.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 881/2022</u></p> <p>Dr V.D Lamdhade ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 5.12.2022, F.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.818 of 2021</p> <p>V.S. Hipparkar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 28.11.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1040 of 2021</p> <p>Mah. State Gazetted Medical Officer Federation ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance is given to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.225 of 2022</p> <p>N.V. Jadhav & Ors.. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of last chance time granted to learned P.O. to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 07.11.2022 Tribunal's orders</p> <p>O.A.No.230 of 2020 with O.A.No.231 of 2020 with O.A.No.232 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. J.S. Jagtap (O.A.230/2020) Dr. R.D. More (O.A.231/2020) Dr. S.S. Thakur (O.A.232/2020)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned C.P.O. to file reply. Adjourned to 21.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>
--	---

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 562/2022 in O.A 483/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.F Murde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that on 3.12.2022 NOC is given to the Treasury Office, Pune, for releasing the pensionary benefits of the applicant. Within 10 days the applicant will get all the pensionary benefits and the bill towards payment of gratuity is also submitted to the Treasury office.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter stands adjourned to 21.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 428/2022 in O.A 633/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.U Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I am of the view that there is gross negligence on the part of the Respondents to follow up the matter and place the reminder in writing before the Hon'ble Dy. Chief Minister.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 834, O.A 837 & 878/2022 with O.A 882 & 900/2022</u></p> <p>V.N Waghmare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Karan Gajra h/f Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent are directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.11.2022. O.A 882 & 900/2022 to be tagged with the present Original Application.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="857 705 1424 741"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No.223 of 2020</u></p> <p data-bbox="751 780 1479 816">D.N. Kamble & Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p data-bbox="846 819 894 850">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="751 852 1503 888">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="751 927 1537 1035">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="751 1074 1537 1257">2. A letter dated 4.11.2022 is handed over to the Ld. Advocate for the Applicants. Ld. PO submits that there are six applicants and compliance of each applicant is different. In the chart mentioned in the letter the compliance qua each of the applicant is specified.</p> <p data-bbox="751 1295 1537 1478">3. The concerned officer Shri Bhalav, Under Secretary, Women and Child Development Department is hereby directed to remain present at 1.00 p.m. today and do the calculations. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to sit with the officer for the calculations.</p> <p data-bbox="751 1517 1537 1625">4. Shri D.V. Khamkar, Inspector, Non-gazetted, Women and Child Development, Pune is directed to communicate Shri Bhalav orally.</p> <p data-bbox="751 1664 1092 1697">5. S.O. to 10.11.2022.</p> <p data-bbox="1295 1736 1349 1772">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1149 1844 1414 1880">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p data-bbox="1206 1883 1357 1919">Chairperson</p> <p data-bbox="1222 1921 1349 1955">7.11.2022</p> <p data-bbox="751 1952 810 1988">(sgj)</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 ____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 ____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">M.A. No.627 of 2022 in O.A. No.965 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. D.J. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed by the State for extension of time by one month for implementation of the order dated 20.10.2022 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Matter be placed on 10.11.2022 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.508 of 2021 with O.A. No.509 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.J. Girase S.S. Girase ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that copy of OA is not served. Ld. Advocate to serve the office of Ld. CPO & all the respondents and file affidavit of service.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants wants to file MA for amendment.</p> <p>4. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 5.12.2022. Removed from HOB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1027 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. Gharjare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Walve, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges termination order dated 3.2.2022 passed by respondent no.1 and further challenge order dated 25.9.2017 issued by Enquiry Committee.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.12.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2019 in O.A. No.651 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.V. Somane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that W.P. St. No.9845/2019 is filed in the Hon'ble High Court and stay has been granted by order dated 5.11.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2021 in O.A. No.21 of 2017</u></p> <p>A.A. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice under Contempt of Courts Act to all the respondents returnable on 21.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.40 of 2022 in O.A. No.733 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.P. Kotte ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankohi holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that order dated 29.3.2022 passed by the Tribunal in above OA No.733 of 2021 is challenged by the department by filing W.P. St. No.12819 of 2022 in the Hon'ble High Court and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate adjourned to 28.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.35 of 2022 in O.A. No.38 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.A. Karande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces copy of letter dated 21.10.2022 issued by the Chief Medical Officer, State TB Training and Demonstration Officer, Health Services, Pune and submits that the order is complied with.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that on the basis of said letter dated 21.10.2022 it appears that order of payment of pensionary benefits to the applicant is complied with. Ld. Advocate further submits that the applicant is not available in India. However, the CA can be disposed off on the basis of statement of Ld. PO.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, CA is disposed off, by consent.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.P. Kapdne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces copy of letter dated 4.11.2022 sent by Deputy Commissioner (Administration), Transport, Mumbai. The same is taken on record and marked Court Exhibit 'A' for identification.</p> <p>3. As the Finance Department has refused the proposal sent by Transport Department, which is passed on the basis of order of the Tribunal, we find it necessary to call upon the Finance Department to produce the reasoned order as to why the Finance Department cannot invoke the powers under Rule 4 of MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982 for relaxing the provisions under Rule 30, 33 & 57 of MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.17/2022 in OA No.266/2021 & OA No.712/2021</u></p> <p>Smt. Sanjivani S. Paithankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as per instructions from the applicant, regular pension and commuted amount of pension is not paid to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO produces payment history which is taken on record and marked Court Exh. '1' for identification.</p> <p>4. Dr. (Smt.) S.M. Sarvankar, Principal, Govt. College of Education, Panvel is present in the Court. She makes a statement that she has contacted the applicant and Rs.3,99,201/- towards arrears of pension and Rs.21,71,083/- towards commutation is deposited from Treasury in the account of applicant. She makes statement that she has verified this fact.</p> <p>5. On this background the statement made by the Ld. Advocate that applicant has given information that she has not received any amount appears to be doubtful. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to contact the applicant today itself and inform this Tribunal within one hour.</p> <p>6. Kept back.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

LATER ON :

**C.A.No.17 of 2022 in O.A.No.266 of 2021 with
O.A.No.721 of 2021**

1. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar after taking instructions from the applicant has informed that the applicant has already received payment.

2. In view of this as the order is complied with C.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.644 of 2022 with M.A.No.471 of 2022</p> <p>V.B. KashidApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the applicant, transgender, aspiring for the post of PSI has appeared for the Preliminary Examination on 08.10.2022 and the results are going to be declared soon. He points out that the State of Maharashtra has not maintained the reservation for transgender. He relies on the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of National Legal Services Authority Versus Union of India and Others reported in (2014) 5 SCC 438.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents informs that the process of framing the reservation policy by the State for transgender is still under consideration.</p> <p>4. It is difficult to accept this stand taken by the State Government that the policy decision is not taken till date though the Hon'ble Supreme Court has pronounced the said judgment with specific orders and directions on 15.04.2014. Thus, it is obligatory on the part of the Government to follow this law of the land. So far as Tribunal is concerned, we are bound by the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the directions given by [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>the Hon'ble Supreme Court in paragraph 135.3 which read as below,</p> <p><i>"135.3. We direct the Centre and the State Governments to take steps to treat them as Socially and Educationally Backward Classes of citizens and extend all kinds of reservation in cases of admission in educational institutions and for public appointments."</i></p> <p>5. We hereby direct the Respondents to keep one post of PSI reserved for transgender in socially and economically backward classes for citizens in this examination and thereafter at all the stages as only one applicant has approached this Tribunal.</p> <p>6 In view of the above, O.A. and M.A. both stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>pk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.721 of 2022</p> <p>R. R. ShelkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. After hearing Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant on merit, learned C.P.O. sought permission to file additional affidavit and to produce on record the inquiry reports. 3. In the interest of justice, allowed to file the same. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to respond to additional affidavit and documents. 5. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 09.11.2020. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.473 of 2022 O.A.921 of 2021 and O.A.879 of 2022</p> <p>D. D. Harale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.1 in O.A.No.473/2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder in O.A.No.921/2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In all these Original Applications, the issue involved about maintainability of the O.A. without availing remedy of appeal.</p> <p>5. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned to 15.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.573 of 2022</p> <p>B. T. LoharApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadeakr, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.350/2020</u></p> <p>Dr. Shaikh M.A.S. ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing on 10th November, 2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10th November, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 07.11.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.798/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.B. Londhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. OA is already proceeded for hearing at the state of admission without reply, since Respondents failed to file reply within time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-reply stating that it is under preparation and will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice allowed to file the same, provided it is filed during the course of the day. Copy be supplied to other side.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing on 19.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 07.11.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.990 of 2021</p> <p>C.V. PotdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant O.A. is adjourned on the ground that the issue of entitlement to compassionate appointment because of having 3rd child born after cutoff date is not yet decided by Division Bench.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.674 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. SuryawanhiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 submits that subsequent to the Transfer order the Applicant is suspended by order dated 13.10.2022, and therefore this O.A. has become infructuous. Whereas, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that he has already challenged suspension order dated 13.10.2022 by filing O.A. No.1054/2022 which is fixed on 23.11.2022 and there being challenge to suspension order this O.A. cannot be termed infructuous.</p> <p>4. In view of above, this O.A. be also kept on 23.11.2022 for hearing along with O.A. No.1054/2022.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.269 of 2021</p> <p>P.G. SaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Perusal of record reveals that initially the matter was heard by learned Administrative Member and it was closed for order on 16.06.2022. However, later learned Administrative Member passed order on 20.06.2022 stating that the matter by treated D-Part Heard and be placed before this Bench for rehearing and to decide on merit. 3. Thus, though matter was heard it was not decided by learned Administrative Member and thereafter it was treated D-Part Heard and assigned to this Bench. 4. The Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent. They are required to be heard afresh. Office is therefore directed to issue notices to the Applicant and his Advocate for hearing of the matter afresh. 5. S.O. to 05.12.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.607, 608 & 620 of 2022</p> <p>S. L. Sawant & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that these Original Applications are handled by Smt. Archana B. K. but today she is unable to remain present and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.11.2022 with connected O.A.Nos.827/2022 and 960/2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 128 of 2022</p> <p>M. N. KatteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply to the amendment made in O.A. is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 21.11.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.418 of 2022</p> <p>V. R. Mirajkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants requested for time to enable him to file Misc. Application for amendment since he wants to bring on record certain pleadings and orders to claim parity.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1037/2019 with 1039/2017 with 1040/2019 with 1041/2019 with 1042/2019 With O.A.Nos.1237/2019 with 1238/2019 with 1239/2019 with 1240/2019 with 1241/2019</p> <p>Dr. G. B. Taware & Ors. C. G. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan and Shri K. B. Bhise, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter was taken up for final hearing, it is noticed that the issue involved in the present Ori. Applications is subjudice before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.230/2019 and other connected W.Ps wherein on 22.12.2021, the Hon'ble High Court observed that impugned judgement of M.A. T. suffers from error of law deserving extradition. Accordingly, until further orders, the contempt proceeding initiated by original applicants are stayed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants as well as learned C.P.O. fairly stated that the issue subjudice before the Hon'ble High Court and the issued involved in these Ori. Applications are similar and we need to wait till the decision of the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the matters are adjourned to 06.01.2023.</p> <p>5. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 06.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.997 of 2022</p> <p>A.T. JosephApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.861 of 2022</p> <p>S.Y. ManjareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Hake-Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.723 of 2022</p> <p>A.M. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Minal Jain, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.M. Koparkar/P.S. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.713 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Musale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Shri A. Misal, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is absent. 4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. 5. S.O. to 21.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.641 of 2022</p> <p>T.T. Wangade & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.563 of 2022 in O.A. No.889 of 2022</p> <p>P.N. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.11.2022

M.A. No.190 of 2022 in O.A. No.880 of 2021 with
M.A. No.191 of 2022 in O.A. No.881 of 2021 with
M.A. No.197 of 2022 in O.A. No.882 of 2021 with
O.A. No.883 of 2021 with
M.A. No.192 of 2022 in O.A. No.884 of 2021 with
M.A. No.195 of 2022 in O.A. No.885 of 2021 with
M.A. No.194 of 2022 in O.A. No.886 of 2021 with
O.A. No.887 of 2021 with
M.A. No.196 of 2022 in O.A. No.888 of 2021 with
O.A. No.889 of 2021 with
M.A. No.193 of 2022 in O.A. No.917 of 2021

D.B. Mane
H.R. Shegar
H.G. Kulkarni
P.H. Damodar
V.P. Jagtap
G.R. Brahmapukar
S.K. Darekar
R.K. Ghadge
B.T. Bhamre
B.B. Ingale
K.N. GhadgeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Only in one M.A. i.e. M.A. No.191/2022 Reply is not filed. Whereas, in remaining M.As Reply is filed.
3. Enough chances are granted. I am therefore not inclined to grant further time to file Reply in M.A. No.191/2022.
4. All these M.As. be kept for hearing.
5. S.O. 15.11.2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.175 of 2020</p> <p>M.J. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Khatake, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.A. Khatake, learned Advocate sought adjournment stating that Advocate Shri P.S. Bhavake is unwell.</p> <p>3. Last chance is granted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 21.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>