

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.572 of 2019 in O.A. No.1025 of 2019

Shri Anil B. Bhalerao & Ors. ..Interveners
And
C.H. Patole & Ors: ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Interveners, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the Interveners has filed the above MA for adding them as party respondents in the above OA as any relief granted to the applicants in OA is likely to have direct impact on the promotions of the Interveners.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants opposes the MA for intervention mentioning that the prayer made by him are only in the form of declaration for promotion as per seniority list published on 23.8.2017 and not on the basis of provisional seniority list published on 16.10.2018.

4. In the interest of justice we find no reason to deny the opportunity to the interveners.

5. In view of the above MA for intervention is allowed and interveners be added as party respondents in OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to add interveners as party respondents in the OA within a week. Ld. Advocate for the interveners waives service of notice.


Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
7.11.2019

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
7.11.2019

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.913 of 2019</p> <p>R.L. Patil & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3.</p> <p>2. Today Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate appeared for Respondents No.4, 5 and 6 and seeking time to file reply. None appeared on behalf of Respondents No.7 to 9, though served.</p> <p>3. It is pointed out by Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4, 5 and 6, that none of the Applicants is residing within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal and they reside at Ahmednagar, Aurangabad and Jalgaon respectively. She therefore, submits that this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction and the O.A. is liable to be dismissed on the point of jurisdiction.</p> <p>4. On perusal of title clause itself it is clear that they have given their addresses of Ahmednagar, Aurangabad and Jalgaon. This being the position the office ought to have checked the O.A. and objection on the point of jurisdiction should have been raised at the very initial stage which seems to have been ignored. Registrar is directed to look into the matter and submit report as to why objection is not raised.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1062 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. B.T. KaravekarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2018 but still his provisional pension and gratuity is withheld.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that in 2010 the Applicant was suspended by order dated 21.05.2010. He had filed O.A.No.628 of 2010 challenging suspension and delay in conclusion of Departmental Enquiry. The O.A. was disposed of on 22.11.2010 with following order of which paragraph 5 reads as under :-</p> <p align="center"><i>"Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the respondent is directed to complete the entire disciplinary enquiry within a period of two months from today. The respondent-government shall take a decision on the enquiry report within a period of one month thereafter. It is made clear that if at either of the stages that the disciplinary enquiry is not completed within a period of two months or the decision is not taken by the respondent-government within a period of one month thereafter, the aforesaid suspension order dated 21.5.2010 shall stand revoked without any further reference to this Tribunal and the applicant to be given appropriate posting. With these directions, O.A. stands disposed off accordingly."</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. As such, though the directions were given to complete Departmental Enquiry within two months still it seems to have been pending.</p> <p>5. In view of order passed by this Tribunal suspension was deemed to be revoked and applicant was reinstated in service.</p> <p>6. As such facts discloses laxity and negligence on the part of the concerned to complete Departmental Enquiry as well as disregard to the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.628 of 2010 on 22.11.2010.</p> <p>7. On the above background, Applicant has sought interim relief in terms of grant of provisional pension as prayed in paragraph 10(a), which is quite just and deserves to be accepted.</p> <p>8. Respondents are, therefore, directed to release provisional pension according to entitlement of the Applicant within two weeks from today.</p> <p>9. Respondents are further directed to explain as to why D.E. initiated in 2010-2011 is not completed till date.</p> <p>10. Respondent No.1 is directed to file affidavit to explain the delay in completion of D.E., so as to determine as to why Contempt proceedings should not be initiated for willful disobedience of the order, dated 22.11.2010 in O.A.No.628 of 2010.</p> <p>11. Issue notice returnable on 21.11.2019. Hamdast and steno-copy is granted.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 21.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.312 of 2019
	<p>D.P. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 28.11.2019 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019 7.11.2019</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A.No.355 of 2019
	<p>N.D. Apte & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO undertakes to file reply on behalf of respondents during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 28.11.2019 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019 7.11.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 597/2019 in O.A 482/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.M Yadav Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application for adding additional party, namely, Shri Vaidya Pankaj Shashikant is granted.</p> <p>3. M.A allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 530/2019 in O.A 482/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.M Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2, Ms Srishti Joshi, learned advocate holding for Shri A.M Deshmukh, learned advocate for Respondents no 3 to 5.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she would like to file reply. She submits that she will file reply within three weeks.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for Respondents no 3 to 5 Ms Srishti Joshi, seeks two weeks to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alkt</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.117 of 2019
	<p>R.B. Vaishnav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri Sachin Gawade, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Replies on behalf of respondent no.1 & 3 are already filed. Ld. CPO submits that she has no instructions regarding reply to be filed on behalf of respondent no.2. In view thereof matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment to file rejoinder to the reply filed by respondents no.1 & 3.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 06.11.2019
	<p><u>M.A 578/2019 in O.A 953/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Kamble, applicant in person and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Basically, this O.A is filed for seeking relief by way of directions to the Respondents to issue permanent appointment order and also to grant compensation.</p> <p>3. In O.A, prayer clause at page 14-C, in interim relief clause, the applicant has claimed interest @ 25% of the compensation amount.</p> <p>4. Now by the proposed amendment, he is claiming interest @ Rs.1000/- on the compensation amount. This is the proposed amendment in the interim relief clause.</p> <p>5. Having gone through the O.A and heard the applicant in person, it is apparent that the amendment sought to be incorporated for giving interest @ Rs. 1000/- is highly excessive and unreasonable and not at all necessary for the adjudication of this O.A.</p> <p>6. On merits, if the applicant is found entitled for compensation, as he has claimed in the O.A., in that event, he may be entitled for interest as per Bank rate and not what he is now claiming @ Rs. 1000/-. The proposed amendment is unnecessary and nothing but abuse of process of law.</p> <p>7. There is no merit in the Misc Application and the same is dismissed.</p> <p>8. O.A 953/2016 is kept for final hearing on 28.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

{PTO}

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 47/2019 in O.A 30/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.R Nangre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A 30/2019, this Tribunal had passed the orders on 8.5.2019, and directed as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"18. We further direct the Respondents no 2 and 3 to furnish requisition to the MPSC within fifteen days of receipt of this order of the Tribunal and Respondent no. 1 shall carry out its compliance within further fifteen days. Respondent no. 2 and 3 shall effect the necessary and consequential action after receipt of name within fifteen days."</p> <p>3. As there was no compliance, the applicant moved Contempt Notice on 21.8.2019 and the same was received by the Contemnors 1, 2, 3 & 4.</p> <p>4. On 3.10.2019, notice was issued. Today during hearing learned P.O submits that the Respondents had moved Hon. High Court on 9.9.2019. However, no stay has been granted by the Hon. High Court.</p> <p>5. In view of the same, it is a clear case of contempt. The Contemnors are directed to remain present along with reply if any as to why they should not be punished under the Contempt of Courts Act for not complying with order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. Adj to 20.11.2019. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1050/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.M Teke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 19.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 19.12.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.776 of 2019				
	<p>N.P. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply and submits that similar matter is kept on 14.11.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.11.2019.</p> <p align="center"> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 569/2019 in O.A 925/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Dange Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre with Shri M.B Gawade, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 6 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondents 7,11, 19, 21, 24, 26, 42, 52, 53, 31, 14, 54 & 55</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 19.11.2019. Learned advocate will furnish list of his specific grievance to the learned advocate for private Respondents in advance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.11.2019</p> <p><u>C.A 45/2019 in O.A 651/2018</u></p> <p>R.V Somane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.G Rane, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the matter was moved before Hon. High Court and Hon'ble High Court has passed following order on 5.11.2019,which is reads as under.</p> <p>"Heard learned counsel Mr Kulkarni appearing for the petitioner. Though appearance is filed on behalf of Respondent no. 1, none appears on her behalf today when the matter is called out. By way of indulgence to the respondent no. 1, we adjourn the Petition by 2 weeks.</p> <p>Stand over to 21.11.2019. Till then the impugned order shall remain stayed."</p> <p>3. The copy of the said order is taken on record and marked as 'X'.</p> <p>4. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the matter may be adjourned sine die till he gets the stay vacated and intimates the Tribunal.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 46/2019 in O.A 1017/2017</u></p> <p>Shri K.N Gawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O submits that the decision regarding order passed by this Tribunal on 6.3.2019 would be complied within a period of one week 3. Learned C.P.O states that if no decision is taken within one week, the Tribunal may proceed accordingly. 4. Adjourned to 20.11.2019. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.543 of 2019</u>
---	---

D.D. Barad ..Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.

3. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file sur-rejoinder, if any.

4. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
7.11.2019

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
7.11.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.552 of 2019
	<p>R.A. Bhise ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate has filed Vakalatnama as earlier Advocate M/s. Talekar & Associates has been discharged.</p> <p>3. Reply on behalf of respondent no.1 & 2 is already filed. However, Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.3.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.12.2019. No further extension will be given for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019 (sgi)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1016 of 2019
	<p>S.C. Deshmukh & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that progress regarding the orders issued on 16.10.2019 would be brought to the notice of the Tribunal on 20.11.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019 7.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.915 of 2019				
	N.V. Bhadule & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents				
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.				
	2. On 19.9.2019 MPSC was directed to intimate the progress regarding proposal given to MPSC on 25.11.2014 followed by reminder dated 28.11.2019. MPSC has not yet intimated the progress. Today Law Officer from MPSC is present and appears to blank about the matter.				
	3. Secretary, MPSC is directed to remain present on the next date with all relevant record.				
	4. S.O. to 13.11.2019.				
	<table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019</td> </tr> </table>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019				
	(sgi)				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1025 of 2019</u></p> <p>C.H. Patole & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents and Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder on behalf of the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.11.2019. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019</td><td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 7.11.2019	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 568/2019 in O.A 168/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.V Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2, Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for Respondents no 3 & 4 and Shri V.D Gandhi, learned advocate for Respondent no. 9.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she will file reply within one week.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.11.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1115/2018</u></p> <p>Shri M.S Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Hande, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 3. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.11.2019</p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 42/2019 in O.A 314/2017</u></p> <p>Shri J.J Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Tribunal had passed orders on 13.6.2019 and provided a period of 3 months for the implementation.</p> <p>3. As there was no compliance from the Respondents, the applicant issued contempt notice on 24.9.2019. Copy of the same is marked as 'X' and taken on record.</p> <p>4. Thereafter, notice was issued on 10.10.2019. Today during hearing learned C.P.O submits that the Respondents have moved the Hon. High Court. However no stay has been granted by the Hon. High Court. The learned CPO has not filed reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>4. As it is a clear case of contempt since the order of this Tribunal has not been complied with, the Contemnor, Respondent no. 1 is called upon to remain present on the next date and come along with the reply if any. The Contemnor should clarify why he should not be punished under the Contempt of Courts Act for not complying with the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O to 20.11.2019. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p>07.11.2019 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p align="center"><u>C.A 43/2019 in O.A 315/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.N Salunke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Tribunal had passed order on 19.6.2019 allowing the Original Application in terms of prayer clause (a), (b) and (c).</p> <p>3. As there was no compliance from the Respondents, the applicant issued contempt notice on 24.9.2019. Copy of the same is marked as 'X' and taken on record.</p> <p>4. Thereafter, notice was issued on 10.10.2019. Today during hearing learned C.P.O submits that the Respondents have moved the Hon. High Court. However no stay has been granted by the Hon. High Court. The learned CPO has not filed reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>4. As it is a clear case of contempt since the order of this Tribunal has not been complied with by the Contemnors, Respondents no. 1 & 2 are called upon to remain present on the next date and come along with the reply if any. The Contemnors should clarify why Contemnors should not be punished under the Contempt of Courts Act for not complying with the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O to 20.11.2019. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 38/2018 in O.A 48/2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.P Gage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant as well as advocate for applicant are absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Remove from the Board</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 554/2019 in O.A 998/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Kumbhar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.B Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 4.12.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 4.12.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.11.2019</p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 556/2019 in M.A 557/2019 in O.A 232/2019</u></p> <p>Shubhangi S. Dange ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Vaishali Maindad, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A 232/2019 was dismissed for want of compliance of removal of objection by order dated 4.6.2019.</p> <p>3. M.A 557/2019 is filed for condonation of delay in filing restoration application. M.A 556/2019 is filed for restoration of O.A 232/2019.</p> <p>4. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the Counsel appearing in Original Application 232/2019 was not regularly practicing in Mumbai and therefore, he could not comply with the office objections and therefore, the O.A was dismissed for not complying with the office objections. She therefore requested for restoration of O.A so as to decide the matter on merits. She further submits that in an identical matter, i.e. O.A 1100/2013 filed by the colleagues of the applicant, the O.A has been allowed by this Tribunal by judgment dated 10.6.2019. She, therefore, submits that she has a good case on merits.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, it would be appropriate to restore the O.A 232/2019 so as to decide the matter on merit subject to imposition of cost.</p> <p>6. For the aforesaid reasons, M.A 557/2019 and M.A 556/2019 are allowed subject to payment of cost of Rs. 2000/- which should be deposited in the Registry within 3 days.</p> <p>7. Place O.A 232/2019 on 13.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="left">Akn</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1027/2019</u></p> <p>Shri L.D Surnar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.H Patil , learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the application may be adjourned and he would agitate if it is within his valid rights.</p> <p>3. At this request adjourned to 21.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....))

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.445 of 2019 (Subject Promotion)</p> <p>M.T. Metake & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate with Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Intervenor / Respondent No.15.</p> <p>2. Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4, Shri K.R. Jagdale, M. Bhandari, R. Adsure, with M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocates for Respondents No.25, 75, 82 and 97 and Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for Respondents No.754, 777, 757, 809 and 826 are absent.</p> <p>3. Applicant No.5 is personally present and has tendered withdrawal applications of all Applicants which are duly notarized. Those are taken on record. In so far as Respondents are concerned except Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondent No.1 to 3 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Intervener/ Respondent No.15, other learned Advocates appearing for Private Respondents are absent.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Applicants have tendered withdrawal purshis duly notarized for unconditional withdrawal of the present O.A. with liberty to file representation before the Government.</p> <p>5. In the present O.A. this Tribunal has granted interim relief by order dated 01.08.2019.</p> <p>6. In the present O.A. the Applicants are earlier represented by learned Advocate Shri Talekar. However, today Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate has filed vakalatnama in view of discharge notice issued by learned Advocate Shri Talekar to the Applicants.</p> <p>7. In paragraph 3 of the withdrawal purshis the Applicants states reasons for withdrawal of O.A. as under :- <i>"I say that, the Advocate on recard has amended the prayer of Original Application without my knowledge, thereby the basic prayer of Original Application is changed, I therefore dan't wish to pursue the above mentianed Original Application, since the same is detrimental to my interest. I say that, instead of pursuing my prayer for appointment before this Hon'ble Tribunal I beg to withdraw myself from the present Original Application, with liberty ta pursue the same befare Government."</i></p> <p>8. As such learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere does not want to continue with the present O.A. and requested for unconditional withdrawal.</p> <p>9. In view of the above, allowed to withdraw the O.A.. Interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 01.08.2019 stands vacated. Hamdast granted. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>pk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.735 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.B. RajureApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.914 of 2019</p> <p>G.D. KaradApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.194 of 2019</p> <p>C.B. JagalpureApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O., for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed additional affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 to explain how excess payment was made to the Applicant from his GPF Account. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.605 of 2019 (Subject : Transfer)</p> <p>M.A. SarvadeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 30.05.2019 and the matter was heard.</p> <p>3. However, today it is pointed out by learned P.O. for the Respondents that during the pendency of this O.A. the Applicant was given deputation in the office of Sub Divisional Office (SDO), Nashik and therefore challenge to the transfer order dated 30.05.2019, whereby she was transferred from Nashik to Kalwan, District Nashik, does not survive.</p> <p>4. Whereas learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that SDO, Kalwan is not relieving the Applicant though she is deputed in terms of order dated 14.08.2019, in the office of SDO office, Nashik.</p> <p>5. Applicant has also made representation on 01.10.2019 and 19.10.2019 to relieve her so as to join the office of SDO Nashik.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that applicant will be relieved within a week.</p> <p>7. In view of the subsequent development of the deputation of the Applicant back to Nashik, this O.A. deserves to be disposed of.</p> <p>8. In view of the above, O.A. is disposed of with directions to SDO Kalwan to relieve the applicant within a week.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.953 of 2019</p> <p>P.L. Palsamkar & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents have filed reply on behalf of Respondents No.2 to 4 and seeks time to consider whether separate reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 is necessary.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1059 of 2019</p> <p>M.G. PathanApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.12.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 05.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.336 of 2019
	<p>Dr. S.S. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that para wise remarks have been received and reply would be filed positively within a week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2019 by way of last chance. The matter will be proceeded in any case for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.11.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.120 of 2018 in O.A. No.61 of 2018 with</u> <u>M.A. No.121 of 2018 in O.A. No.98 of 2018 and</u> <u>M.A. No.122 of 2018 in O.A. No.99 of 2018</u></p> <p>The Director/Secretary, Maharashtra State Board of Technical Education ..Applicant (Orig. Res. No.1)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>J.R. Pingle & Ors. S.B. More & Ors. P.Y. Dalvi & Ors. ..Respondents (Orig. Applicants)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">And</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Orig. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.V. Bhanage, learned Advocate for Applicant No.1-original Respondent No.1, Ms. A. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vijay Kurle, learned Advocate for original Applicants in OA No.98/18 & 99/18. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for original applicants in OA No.61 of 2018 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for applicants in OA No.61 of 2018 submits that applicant no.9 Ms. Bhakti Anil Myakal and applicant no.10 Ms. Amrapali Y. Bhamare have informed him that they are discharging their advocate. He also submits copies of emails received by him from the two applicants mentioned above and the same are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ms. A. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vijay Kurle, learned Advocate for original Applicants submits that she will be representing original applicants in OA No.61 of 2018 and she would apply for obtaining certified copies of OAs as she has no documents at present.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 870/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.M Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.M Sathe, applicant in person and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to mention the reasons for not taking decision even after prolonged delay.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

