## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1024 OF 2017**

DISTRICT: PUNE

S.A. Markad

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM:

Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE

07.11.2017.

#### ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.12.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Heard on interim relief. Perused the record.
- 9. Applicant is transferred mid-term and mid-tenure. Perusal of record reveals that no reasons much less special reasons and exceptional circumstances are recorded, as against those candidates who are not due for transfer.
- 10. Applicant has made a case for grant of ex-parte ad interim relief. Hence, following order is passed:-
  - (i) Ex parte ad interim relief is granted in terms of paragraph 15(b), which reads as follows:-
    - (b) Pending the hearing and final disposal of the present O.A., this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to pass any order staying the order impugned dated 2.11.2017 qua the Petitioner with further directions to the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue to work as Block Development Officer, Class-I, P.S., Malshiras, Dist. Solapur on such terms and conditions as deem fit and proper.

(Quoted from page 7, of O.A. paper book).

- (ii) It is further directed that applicant be permitted to work on his post and place before transfer.
- (iii) Respondents are called to show cause as to why the ad interim relief should not be made absolute.
- (iv) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both sides.
- (v) Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
- 11. Adjourned to 12.12.2017 with liberty to circulate before due date.

)

Sd/-

2

(A.H. Joshi, J∭ Chairman

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

## C.A. No.53 of 2017 in O.A. No.1100 of 2015

Shri V.S. Rane

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. PO points out that the amount payable to the applicant is paid to him by cheque. Ld. PO has tendered letter received from the Secretary notifying remorse and assurance that due care would be observed in future.
- In view of compliance, CA is disposed.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

7.11.2017

(sgj)

2/11/2012 DATE: CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt : V.B. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: A.J. Choualle C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad To CA is disposed.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 2	
O'I GILLEN TO THE TOTAL OF THE	Applicant/s
(Advocate	<b>)</b>
	versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>07.11.2017</u>
	C.A 42/2017 in O.A No 970/2014
	Dr V.D Shinde Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE: CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	2. Learned P.O states that as per the directions of G.A.D entire promotion process has been stayed because according to G.A.D the quota for reservation cannot be apportioned at this stage awaiting SLP filed by the State, before Hon'ble Supreme Court.
APPLARANCE: Shri/Smt: A.V. BandiWadeWar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: Synaman 9h1 CPO/P.O. for the Respondent/s.  Adj. Te. 612/297	<ol> <li>Public Health Department is called to secure information from G.A.D as to whether there exists any legal impediments in processing the promotions relatable to the year 2012 as per the rules including reservations as applicable at the relevant time which were due but not ordered.</li> <li>Statement be made, based on instructions</li> </ol>
87e	from G.A.D on next date.  5. S.O to 6.12.2017.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.11.2017.

#### C.A.No.57 of 2017 in O.A.No.576 of 2016

J.A. Shirode Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

....Applicant.

- 1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has reported the compliance i.e. permitting the applicant to join duty. The belated compliance is no restitution in itself.
- 3. The judgment of this Tribunal is dated 13.04.2017. Order was to be complied within four weeks.
- 4. In this case main notice was not issued. Hence, issue notice of show cause as to why action for contempt should not be taken against the Respondent No.3.
- 5. Notice is made returnable on 19.12.2017.
- 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed seven days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 11. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..
- 12. S.O. to 19.12.2017.

*∖* Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman

DATE: 7/11/2017
CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: V.P. Potbhave

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 19/12/2017.

Original Application No. of 2	DISTRICT Applicant/s			
(Advocate	)			
	versus			
The State of	Maharashtra and others			
	Respondent/s			
(Presenting Officer	)			
(Tresenting Clare)				
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	Tribunal's orders			
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders				
	Date: 07.11.2017.			
	O.A.No.379 of 2017			
	And Dutt			
	Versus			
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.			
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for			
	the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief			
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. The Superintendent of Police (Rural), Pune is called to			
	show cause as to why he himself ought not withdraw / revoke			
DATE: 2(11) 2017	the order of suspension or why suspension should not be set			
CORAM:	aside by this Tribunal in view of the judgment and order passed			
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	in O.A.No.611 of 2017 passed on 23.10.2017.			
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	to a would be required			
APPEARANCE: Shrisme: A.V. Bandiwadder	3. Report of action as taken or reply as would be required			
Advocate for the Applicant	be filed on or before 16.11.2017.			
She /Smt S. P. Manchever	4. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order.			
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O			
viul 2 dz	Stello copy and read and a second sec			
Adj. To	5. S.O. to 16.11.2017.			
stero copy & Handast is				
allowed	Sd/-			
HF.	(A.H. Joshi J∭			
	Chairman			
	nrk			

Spl.- MAT-F-9 R.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applic unt/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus		
The	State of Maharashtra	and others	
(Presenting Officer		) <sub>.</sub>	Respo dent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's order	r I	Tribunal's orders	
	07.11.20	17	
		O.A No 1174/ 20	16
	Shri A.R I	Kadam	Applicant

DATE: 7 11 2017

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt M. D. Lower

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: S. P. MANUNCKEY C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Atto 12/12/2012. Stero copy of Hamacot is

y E

ri A.R Kadam ... Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O states that the case is processed for recalling/dropping of the charges and the case would be put up before Hon'ble Chief Minister for decision and one month's time is needed.
- 3. In view of request of learned C.P.O, S.O to 12.12.2017.
- 4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshí∫J.) Chairman

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20	DISTRICT
Original Application 110.	A plicant/s
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	<u></u> )
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
uncontain	
	Date: 07.11.2017.
	O.A.No.1038 of 2016
	(Subject : Transfer)
	C A JadhayApplicant.
	C.A. JadhavApplicant.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	Heard Shri Shardul Singh, the learned Special Counsel
	1
	for the Respondents No.1 to 4.
	2. None appeared for the Applicant.
DATE: 7/11/20/7	Z. None appeared to the type
CORAM:	3. Applicant has placed on record purshis for withdrawal of
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	O.A It is signed by the learned Advocate for the Applicant.
Non <mark>the Shri M. Rameshkumar (Memb</mark> er) A	•
PPEARANCE:	Applicant desires to withdraw the O.A
shri/Sire . Home for the appl.	4. In view of the withdrawal purshis, O.A. is disposed as
Advocate for the Applicant	0
Stors 18mi Archana B.K.	withdrawn.
Adv. shardw Sirg. Soli Counsel for R4.	Sd/-
Ad To Ph View of the	(A.H. Joshi ৠ)
1) M. (1) A status A A Sa Ti Ana)	(A.H. Joshia) Chairman
withdrawal proshis o. A is disposed	prk
as windrawn.	
Me	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
	2
	)
(Advocate	
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
The State of	Respondent/s
	1005
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	07.11.2017
	O.A No 41/2016
	Shri S.B Sawant Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	The learned advocate
	1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate
	for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
	learned Chief 11000000
	Respondents.
DATE: 7/11/2017	2. Learned P.O prays for time to file reply.
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	1 contance and
Hon'bic Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A	3. It is hoped that each para, sentence and
	point contained in ().A is replied.
Shrivson: K.R. hadde	
a a Auntionnt	4. S.O to 15.11.2017.
Shri/Smt.: S.P.: IMAN(Mc/A). C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	$\mathcal{I}$
C.P.O. P.G. for the story	( )
Adj. To. 15/11/2017	Sd/- (A.H Joslat, V.)
B'te	Cł airman

		There will be about the control of the tent of the street of the street of
Original Application No. 20 Application of 20	0	DISTRICT
Original Application No.		Applicant/s
	)	
(Advocate	,	
	namana	
	versus	
The State of	Maharashtr	a and others
		Respondent/s
		Respondences
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,		Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or		111Million 5 Salata
directions and Registrar's orders		
	- · 0=	11.0017
	Date: 07	.11.2017.
		O.A.No.76 of 2017
	l .	O.M.Ho.ro of 2021
	Shri J. N	. SalunkheApplicant.
	Versus	
	The State	e of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		eard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for
	1. He	eard Snn K.K. Jagdale, learned Novelage 191
	the App	licant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned
	Presentin	g Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ple	eading are complete. The Original
ATB: = 7	Application	on is admitted and appointed for final
ORAM:		n 14.11.2017.
"Me Shei, RAJIV AGARWAL	1	A decided the second of the se
· (Vice · Chairman)		2
out be Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)		Sd/-
PPBARANCE:		Su/-
4. 2. 20000		(B. P. PATIL)
		MEMBER (J)
dividente for the Applicant	vsm	
HTT Still . 14 November 1 to 1000	VSIII	
HOTP.O. for the Respondents		
a. A. es admitted.		
di. Principina		
3.0.40 14/11/7 FH		
5.0.40 MIIII		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.11.2017.

O.A.No.906 of 2017

Shri G. B. Patil

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents.

- 1. Heard Ms Sonali Sase holding for Shri Abhijit Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.12.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record
- 8. S.O. to 12.12.2017

> Sd/-B. P. PATHLY

		and street free the		angelia (m. 1964) Li
of 20		eng in product	DISTRICT	And the second of the second o
Original Application No. of 20			••	Applicant/s
	. }			•
(Advocate	)			
(Advocate				
	versus			
The State of I	Moharashtr	a and others		
The State of 1	Viaitai asii u	:		Respondent/s
	•			Kespondends
		:)		
(Presenting Officer				
		-		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	•	Tribun	al's orders	•
uncontain				
	*		•	
	Date: 0	7.11.2017.		•
		O.A.N	o.1103 of 20	16
	Shri P.T	. Patil		Applicant.
	Versus			a .
	M1 - C4-4	o of Mohoro	chtra & Ors	Respondents.
	The Stat	e oi Manaia	shtia & Ois.	
•		\$ .		
	1. A Shri A.J. Respond	Chougule, le	nd Advocate earned Presen	absent. Heard ting Officer for the
DATE: 7 1119		سامطه الاحداد	acord As ne	r amended prayer,
CORAM:	2. P	erusea the r	challenged	the G.R. dated
Plan Via Shai. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)	27.02.20	)17.	Cilculating	
How the Shri-R. B. MALIK (Member)		a a sa a la a	mla and the Div	vision Bench
APPEARANCE:	3. T	ne matter be	placed the Div	Igion Bellein.
Applicant and	1	.O. to 29.11.	2017	
Activorie for the Applicant	4. S	.0. 10 29.11	2017.	• * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Activorie for the Applicant ho ugul.		· . }	•	
C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents				1
1020/11/17				Sd/-
5.0.+0.491			(1	3. P. PATIL
Ad For S. O. + 0 29/11/17 before Division Beach.			ìM	IEMBER (J)
before aluising	vsm			
Control of the second of the s	_	•		

N.	<b>UMBAI</b>
Original Application No. of 2	DISTRICT Applicant/:
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 07.11.2017.  O.A.No.705 of 2017  Shri S. H. ShaikhApplicant.
	Versus  The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N. K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has sought direction of the Tribunal to the Respondent No.1 to pass necessary order on the basis of recommendation dated 23.02.2016 made by the Respondent No.2.</li> </ol>
DATE: 7 11 17 CORAM:	3. On instructions from Shri J.K. Pakale, Desk Officer, Home Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai, learned P.O. has submitted that on the basis of recommendation of Respondent No.2, dated 23.02.2016, the G.A.D. has approved the proposal and forwarded it to Respondent No.1 for taking appropriate decision. He has submitted that the respondent no.1 will take decision on the recommendation within two weeks from today.
APPEARANCE: Shriver & Amiliant	4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the application may be disposed of with a direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision within two weeks and communicate its outcome to the applicant.
Shri Smt	5. In view of the submissions made by learned Advocate for the applicant and learned P.O. for the respondents, the application is disposed of with a direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the direction to Respondent No.1 to take decis

Sd/-

In view of the submissions made by learned Advocate for the applicant and learned P.O. for the respondents, the application is disposed of with a direction to Respondent No.1 to take decision on the proposal/recommendation sent by the Respondent No.2 on 23.02.2016 within two weeks communicate its outcome to the applicant.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.11.2017.

M.A. No.463 of 2017 In O.A.No.1018 of 2017

Shri R. S. Wani

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents.

- Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the M.A. Leave is granted to carry out the amendment forthwith.
- Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.12.2017.
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.
- The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgeme t be obtained and produced along with af ide.vit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- In case notice is not collected vithin three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record
- S.O. to 05.12.2017

Sd/-

(B. P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)

CORAM: Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. in. Banial C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents