

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 07.10.2022
	O.A. No.552 of 2022
	<p><b>N.K. Pardeshi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p>
	<p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>
	<p>2. The present O.A. is filed for direction to absorb the Applicant on the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon in view of Inter District Transfer reflected in notification issued by Government on 26.10.2017. He is presently serving on establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Thane (Rural).</p>
	<p>3. Undisputedly, the Applicant fulfills necessary conditions for Inter District Transfer. He applied for Inter District Transfer on the establishment of Jalgaon on 28.12.2017. In response to it information was called from the Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon about absorption of the Applicant on his establishment. Accordingly, the Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon by communication dated 18.01.2022 communicated his acceptance for absorption of the Applicant from NT (B) category. However, the Applicant is not relieved by the Superintendent of Police, Thane (Rural), and therefore the Applicant has filed this O.A.</p>
	<p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that since the Applicant has fulfilled eligibility criteria for Inter District Transfer and once the Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon has given his consent the Applicant ought to have been relieved and the ground of insufficiency of staff/lack of man power cannot be the ground. He has further pointed out that as per information received by the Applicant under R.T.I, 75 Police Personnel joined the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Thane (Rural) from 22<sup>nd</sup> July 2022 and against it 40 Police Personnel were relieved in Inter District Transfer.</p>
	<p>5. Per contra, learned P.O. submits that there is lack of manpower and the Superintendent of Police, Thane is</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

going to undertake recruitment process which would be completed after three months, and thereafter only the Applicant can be relieved.

6. As stated above, the Applicant fulfilled the necessary conditions for Inter District Transfer in terms of notification dated 26.10.2017. One of the conditions is that in a year Inter District Transfer should not exceed 5% of sanctioned strength. In other words, there could be Inter District Transfer upto 5% in a year. Whereas, in present case there is nothing on record to establish that 5% limit is exceeded in 2022. On the contrary, information sought under R.T.I. (Pg 140 & 141 of P.B.) reveals that in the period from June 2021 to 30 June 2022, 55 Police Constable joined the Superintendent of Police, Thane (Rural) establishment by way of Inter District Transfer and 23 Police Constable joined as a new recruit. Thus, in the said period from June 2021 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2022, 75 Police Constable have joined the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Thane. This being so, the ground raised by learned P.O. that there is lack of manpower holds no water. That apart, limit of 5% Inter District Transfer in a year has not crossed. I therefore see no reason for not relieving the Applicant.

7. As stated above, the Superintendent of Police, Thane (Rural) is undertaking new recruitment and he will get fresh candidates / additional Police Constable within three months. Therefore if three months' time is granted to relieve no inconvenience or difficulty will cause to the Superintendent of Police, Thane (Rural). The Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon in Affidavit-in-Reply has clearly stated that he is ready to absorb the Applicant on his establishment as and when relieved by the Superintendent of Police, Thane (Rural). O.A. is therefore disposed of in following terms:-

- A) The Original Application is allowed.
- B) Respondent No.3 – The Superintendent of Police, Thane (Rural) is directed to relieve the Applicant within three months from today.
- C) Respondent No.4 – The Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon shall keep one post of the category which the Applicant belong to vacant for three months.
- D) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.488, 660, 677 &amp; 740 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.C. Patil &amp; 154 Ors. S.R. Katkade &amp; Ors. S.U. Ahire &amp; Ors. N.L. Dhawal &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs No.488 &amp; 660/2021, Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OAs No.677 &amp; 740/2021 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Shri S.D. Patil, Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that reply is not yet filed in OA No.660/2021 and he requests that OAs No.488 &amp; 660/2021 be de-tagged from the above group.</p> <p>4. OAs No.488 &amp; 660/2021 are de-tagged from the above group.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.11.2022 for filing reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.892 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.M. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO and Ld. Advocate for Respondent no.3 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.10.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.47 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day and serves copy on the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.13 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.B. Haral &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicants.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1021 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Bhamare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.176 of 2021</u>
	S.C. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
	3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 by way of last chance.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022
	(sgi)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1003 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. Arvind Gaikwad .....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is seeking limited relief for expeditious decision of his appeal pending before the Hon'ble Governor.</p> <p>3. The Applicant stands retired at the end of July, 2013. Before his retirement, he was served with charge sheet for departmental action. Surprisingly, the Government took eight years for completion of D.E. and finally by order dated 18.01.2021 imposed penalty of 25% deduction of pension per month permanently.</p> <p>4. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has preferred an appeal on 15.03.2021 before the Hon'ble Governor which is pending.</p> <p>5. The Applicant retired in 2013, and therefore, wants the decision of appeal at the earliest so that he could get service benefits in remaining span of life.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with request to respondent to ensure the decision of appeal as early as possible, preferably within four months from today in accordance to law.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p> <p>vsm</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.272 of 2022</p> <p>R. G. Sanadi <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The record shows that the Applicant's Advocate is not attending the matter regularly. They seem not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p> <p>L.O.</p> <p>4. Shri A. S. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant has appeared and requested to recall the order of dismissal. The order of dismissal is recalled.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-              (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">             [P.T.O.]         </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

LATER ON

5. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder mainly on the ground that composition of P.E.B. is incorrect.

6. Learned P.O. sought short time to respond to the pleas now raised by the Applicant in Rejoinder.

7. S.O. to 14.10.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.769 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. Walimbe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 26.05.2022 whereby he is transferred from Murbad to Andheri. He is working as Forester. Thereafter he made representation on 02.06.2022 and 01.08.2022 seeking modification in the transfer order and requested to place him at Ambarnath citing personal difficulties. His grievance is that his option were not considered in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with direction to the Respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 02.06.2022 and 01.08.2022.</p> <p>4. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>5. O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.3 to decide representation dated 02.06.2022 and 01.08.2022 in accordance to law within two months from today. Decision as the case may be shall communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.617 of 2022</p> <p>D. B. Gore .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. This matter was heard on previous date but was adjourned to explore the possibility of giving posting to the Applicant at Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered Government letter dated 07.10.2022 issued by the Deputy Secretary, Maharashtra State, Mumbai wherein it is stated that Respondent No.2 who is posted at Mumbai will be retiring on 31.05.2023 and after his retirement, the Applicant's claim for posting as Additional Director, Industries, Safety and Health will be considered as per seniority. The Communication dated 07.10.2022 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 requested to dispose of O.A. in view of the communication dated 07.10.2022.</p> <p>5. Whereas learned Counsel for the Applicant sought short time to take instructions from the Applicant.</p> <p>6. O.A. be kept on 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.]</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.961 of 2022</p> <p>J. R. Solanki</p> <p align="right">....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.     ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week is granted to file Affidavit showing the vacancy position.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391 and 393/2021 with O.A.Nos. 434, 435, 436, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, &amp; 446 of 2021 with O.A.No.932 of of 2021</p> <p>L. P. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 &amp; 2 in O.A.No.383/2021, 384/2021, 393/202 &amp; 446/ 2021 and Affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 in O.A.No.443/2021, O.A.No.445/2021 and O.A.No.932/2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has also filed Affidavit-in – Rejoinder in O.A.No.386, 388, 389, 390, 391, 434 &amp; 441/2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. The matters are adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 07.10.2022
	<p align="center"><b>O.A. No.986 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>V.E. Jamnekar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 02.05.2022 whereby he is suspended in contemplation of D.E. invoking Rule 4(1) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is subjected to prolong suspension beyond 90 days without taking review or initiation of D.E., and therefore he is liable to be reinstated with pay and allowances.</p> <p>4. Per Contra, Shri A.J. Chougule has tender order dated 03.10.2022 issued by Respondent whereby suspension is revoked and the Applicant is reinstated in service.</p> <p>5. Thus now the Applicant is reinstated in service. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant raised grievance that his client is not paid subsistence allowance and also claimed pay and allowance after the expiration of 90 days period of suspension is over.</p> <p>6. Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>(2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India &amp; Anr.)</b> in Para 21 held as under:-</p> <p><b>21.</b> <i>We, therefore, direct that the currency of a suspension order should not extend beyond three months if within this period the memorandum of charges/charge-sheet is not served on the delinquent officer/employee; if the memorandum of charges/charge-sheet is served, a reasoned order must be passed for the extension of the suspension. As in the case in hand, the Government is free to transfer the person concerned to any department in any of its offices within or outside the State so as to sever any local or personal contact that he may</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

*have and which he may misuse for obstructing the investigation against him. The Government may also prohibit him from contacting any person, or handling records and documents till the stage of his having prepared his defence. We think this will adequately safeguard the universally recognized principle of human dignity and the right to a speedy trial and shall also preserve the interest of the Government in the prosecution. We recognize that the previous Constitution Benches have been reluctant to quash proceedings on the grounds of delay, and to set time-limits to their duration. However, the imposition of a limit on the period of suspension has not been discussed in prior case law, and would not be contrary to the interests of justice. Furthermore, the direction of the Central Vigilance Commission that pending a criminal investigation, departmental proceedings are to be held in abeyance stands superseded in view of the stand adopted by us."*

7. Indeed, Government of Maharashtra has also issued circular dated 09.07.2019 instructing the Department to take necessary steps for initiation of D.E. and review within 90 days from the period of suspension.

8. Despite aforesaid position the Applicant is subjected to prolong suspension beyond 90 days. In the period of 90 days neither review is taken nor D.E. is initiated and he was subjected to ~~90 days~~<sup>120 days</sup> suspension which is totally impermissible in law. Suffice to say, suspension after expiration of 90 days period is totally bad in law.

9. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with following direction.

- A) Subsistence allowance if not paid, it be paid within two weeks from today.
- B) Suspension beyond 90 days being impermissible in law he is also entitled for pay and allowances after expiration of 90 days.
- C) Respondents are therefore directed to pay, pay and allowance to the Applicant after expiration period of 90 days till date of reinstatement in service and it be paid within two months from today.
- D) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.262 of 2020 with O.A.No.263 of 2020</p> <p>L. J. Thakur K. J. Mhatre <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per the order passed by the Tribunal on 23.08.2022, the directions were given to get the work of pay verification expedited from Pay Verification Unit within six weeks. However, today learned P.O. again requested for two weeks' time stating that verification is in progress.</p> <p>3. In both the matters, the Respondents have issued orders of recovery and refixation but later referred the matter to Pay Verification Unit for correctness of action taken by them.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that there will be little change in the figures after final pay verification. One week time is granted to take necessary steps and to produce the documents of Pay Verification Unit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D. V. Wadhel <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional affidavit on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought to amend the O.A. to challenge the order dated 30.05.2022 whereby request of the Applicant for transfer of quarter in his name is rejected.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1061 of 2021 with O.A.No.195 of 2022</p> <p>B. G. Karde T.S. Sable <span style="float:right">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional affidavit of the Applicant along with annexures as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 16.09.2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file counter affidavit, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.675 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. Karote .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</li> <li>3. Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>4. Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 &amp; 4 is already filed. However, Respondent No.5 was added subsequently by order dated 08.09.2022.</li> <li>5. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.5, if any.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.20 of 2022 in O.A. No.591 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. Nashikkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed R.A. to review the order passed by the Tribunal on 12.08.2022 in O.A. No.591/2021.</p> <p>3. Review Application ought to have been filed within 30 days but not filed within the period of limitation. No application is made for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant 7 days time is granted to file M.A. for condonation of delay. If M.A. is filed within 7 days for condonation of delay in that event this R.A. be listed along with M.A. for admission. If no M.A. is filed within 7 days this R.A. will stand dismissed without reference to the <del>order</del> Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.485 of 2022 in O.A. No.563 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. Panvalkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 904 days caused in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.472 of 2022 in O.A. No.780 of 2022</p> <p>S.M. Chavan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 9 years and 7 months caused in filing O.A.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.11.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 11.11.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.305 , 307, 308 of 2022 with O.A. No.412 of 2022</p> <p>M. P. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional affidavit in reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matters are adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4 S.O. to 07.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1179 of 2019</p> <p>C.P. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file counter Affidavit by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.757 of 2022</p> <p>V.K. Dhumale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2 to 6. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.578 of 2022 with M.A. No.579 of 2022 in O.A. No.1016 of 2022</p> <p>C.S. Atkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.G. Ranjane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chogle, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. O.A. No.1016/2022 was dismissed in default on 10.09.2022. Therefore M.A. is filed for restoration along with application for condonation of delay.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice of both the M.As to Respondents before admission returnable on 11.11.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 11.11.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.857 of 2021</p> <p>L. P. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. Indeed, the matter is proceeded without reply but on request of learned P.O., reply is taken on record to decide the matter on merit.</p> <p>3. Two weeks' time is granted to learned Counsel for the Applicant to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>
vsm	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.272 of 2022</p> <p>R. G. Sanadi <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The record shows that the Applicant's Advocate is not attending the matter regularly. They seem not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.584 of 2022</p> <p>P.L. Baswant &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chogle, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.553 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. R.R. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>S.O. to 14.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.10.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.582 of 2022 in O.A. No.397 of 2022 with M.A. No.583 of 2022 in O.A. No.396 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.).....Appl. Versus A.B. Gangurde (Org. Appl.) .....Resps. R.S. Kajale (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. These M.A. are filed for extension of time to complete D.E. In O.A. 397/2022 &amp; O.A. No.396/2022 the Tribunal by order dated 06.07.2022 directed Respondents to complete D.E. including passing final order there in within three months from date of order. Thus D.E. was to be completed on or before 06.10.2022.</p> <p>3. Now, these M.As. are filed for extension of six months time for compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 06.07.2022. These applications are totally silent as to what steps were taken by the Respondents to complete D.E. in terms of the direction given by the Tribunal. In M.As. all that it is stated that 107 D.E. are pending which hardly can be the ground for extension in absence of attempts made by the Respondent to comply the order. These M.As. are filed very causally and the Department seems to have no regard to the orders passed by the Tribunal and taking it very casually.</p> <p>4. At this juncture, learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit of Secretary to explain the steps taken by the Department after passing the order by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Three days time is granted to file Affidavit.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.778 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.V. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi holding for Ms. S.G. Talhar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the subject-matter of this OA is regularization of service and pension from the date of first appointment which pertains to Single Bench.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter is transferred to Single Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.163 of 2022 in O.A. No.286 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.H.I. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 by way of last chance otherwise matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.66 of 2022 in O.A. No.393 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.M. Mire &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that after filing of the above CA, the department has filed Review Application before the Single Bench and it has been circulated on 13.10.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the order has not yet been complied with.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.55 of 2022 in O.A. No.434 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.T. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the order has been complied with and she seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.54 of 2022 in O.A. No.248 of 2014</u></p> <p>Smt. I.S. Mulgaonkar, since deceased Shri S.Y. Mulgaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that department is in the process of filing writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court. She submits that there is technical difficulty in so far as applicant as the applicant has expired and therefore there is question of implementation of Rule 5 of MSC (Punishment &amp; Appeal) Rules.</p> <p>3. We direct that Joint Secretary or Deputy Secretary from Finance Department to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.851 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.S. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Aishwarya Belhakar holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.956 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. Kembalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. <u>Id. PO submits that no junior has been promoted. However, she will file affidavit on the next date.</u></p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.982 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.K. Warghade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.994 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.B. Bagal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.1 of 2022 in O.A. No.370 of 2019</u></p> <p>L.T. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Responsible officer from the office of DMER is directed file reply and remain present on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2022 in O.A. No.879 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.R. Apte &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Akash K. Kotecha i/b. Shri B.R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces copy of order dated 28.9.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.10790 of 2022 filed by the State Government challenging the order dated 22.3.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.879/2018 by which the writ petition has been disposed off.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble High Court the applicants have been reinstated by order dated 6.10.2022 and therefore the contempt application does not survive in view of compliance of the order. Ld. PO produces copy of said order dated 6.10.2022.</p> <p>4. Shri Jayant M. Chacharkar, Assistant Commissioner, Social Welfare, Sangli and Shri Prashant C. Mohite, Officer Superintendent are personally present in the Court.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha<sup>U</sup>Gadgil) Member (A) 7.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>