

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.273 of 2019</u>
	S.A. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Applicant in person is absent.. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Applicant in person is absent.
	3. To be kept for dismissal on 14.10.2019.
	4. S.O. to 14.10.2019.
	Sd/- (P.N./Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.10.2019
	(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.399 of 2018
	<p>P.V. Korpale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant is present in person. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.896 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.P. Bidarkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 8.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to serve copy of OA in the office of CPO and on all the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.871 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.H. Pawra ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Priya A. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.T. Kanchanpurkar and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 8.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to serve copy of OA on all the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.516 of 2019 in O.A. No.950 of 2019

S.K. Inamke ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 11.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
7.10.2019

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.471 of 2019 in O.A. No.860 of 2019

R.P. Bhagat & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 11.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
7.10.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.470 of 2019 in O.A. No.860 of 2019</u>
	<p>R.P. Bhagat & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.338 of 2019 in O.A. No.600 of 2019
	<p>M.M. Dhule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 & 2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. To be heard on 10.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.336 of 2019 in O.A. No.599 of 2019
---	---

M.P. Shinde ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar. learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO submits that reply has been filed by the respondents on 17.9.2019.

3. To be heard on 9.10.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
7.10.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

OAs. No.1130, 989, 990 of 2018 & 514 of 2019

U.B. Dhumal & Ors. (OA.1130/18)	
R.Y. Kadam (OA.989/18)	
V.S. Kadam (OA.990/18)	
P.H. Pharande (OA.514/19)	..Applicants
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	..Respondents

Heard Shri Sandesh More, Ld. Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for Applicants in OAs. No.1130/18 & 514/19, Shri Y.B. Lengare. Ld. Advocate for Applicants in OAs No.989 & 990/18 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for the Respondents.

2. One of the applicants Shri Balasaheb Sadashiv Lambhate had filed OA No.975 of 2018 in this Tribunal. the same was allowed by order dated 16.4.2019 and impugned orders passed by respondent no.3 (Revenue Department, State of Maharashtra) were quashed. Shri B.S. Lambhate and applicants in the present OAs are similarly situated.

3. The State had moved Hon'ble Bombay High Court against the said decision of the Tribunal by way of Writ Petition No.7753 of 2019. This WP was decided on 1.8.2019 and Hon'ble High Court has observed as under:

"7. We have perused the detailed reasoning recorded by the Tribunal and we do not find any perversity or illegality in the said decision. On the contrary, the said decision is based on the report of the Collector, which categorically states that the posts were sanctioned and the petitioner along with other employees were appointed on the said posts, which were clearly sanctioned.

8. In such circumstances, we fail to approve the action of the State Government rejecting the representation of the respondent inspite of the clear fact

Date: 7/10/19
Coram: Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit <V/C-A>
 Hon'ble Shri A. P. Kurhakar <J>

Appearance
 Shri/Smt. Sandesh More for A.V. Bandiwadekar
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
 C.P.O. / P.O for the Respondents.

Shri Y.B. Lengare for the applicant in OA 989, 990/18

Heard
order passed in Tribunal
Calcutta.
OAs disposed off accordingly.
no order as to costs-

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten initials]

finding report recorded by the Collector. The Tribunal has referred to the said decision of the Collector and considering the fact that the respondent has rendered his services from 1995, held him eligible for pensionary benefits considering his appointment on such a subsequent post in terms of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. The direction has been given by the Committee to release the pension due to the respondent within a period of two months. We are not convinced with submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the order passed by the Tribunal calls for any interference in exercise of the writ jurisdiction. Resultantly, we dismiss the writ petition. No order as to costs."

4. Today during hearing Ld. CPO confirms that orders issued by the Hon'ble High Court have not been challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court is final as far as present case is concerned.

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants today confirms that the applicants in present OAs are identically situated qua Shri B.S. Lambhate applicant in OA No.975 of 2018.

6. In view of the above as per judgment given by Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.7753/19, impugned orders challenged by the applicants which are available at Exh.A pg.78-79 of OA.1130/18, Exh.AA & BB pg.221-225 of OA.989/18, Exh.AA & BB pg.224-228 of OA.990/18 & Exh.A pg.16A of OA.514/19 are quashed and set aside. The respondents are directed to provide to the applicants necessary consequential financial benefits including pay fixation, seniority, promotion and also pension, gratuity and terminal benefits in case of those who have retired. The aforesaid exercise be completed within three months. The respondents are also directed to consider granting benefits of Time Bound Promotion and ACP Scheme on merits. OAs disposed off accordingly. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
7.10.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No. 767 of 2019</p> <p>G. B. KamalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.511 of 2019</p> <p>J. H. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of Final Hearing of the O.A., issue as to whether the Applicant is Group-C employee is raised to determine his normal tenure at one place.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he will file short affidavit along with promotion order of the Applicant to show, in which group, the Applicant falls. He undertakes to file Affidavit during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. One week's time is granted to learned P.O. to file Rejoinder /Affidavit, if desires.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.10.2019 as a Part-Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.548 of 2019 in O.A.No.39 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Kiran Vishnu Patil ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. O.A.No.39 of 2019 is decided by this Tribunal on 23.09.2019 whereby the impugned order was quashed and directions were issued to repost the Applicant on the post, he was transferred from within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) sought four weeks time either to challenge the judgment before the Hon'ble High Court or to implement the same.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) opposes the application on the ground that enough time was already availed.</p> <p>5. I am inclined to grant ten days time from today to comply the order dated 23.09.2019.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.931 of 2019</p> <p>R. B. MarkadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. She seeks four weeks time to file reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 5.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 07.10.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.837 of 2019</p> <p>D. K. PajaiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

is granted for filing Rejoinder.

(Advocate)

4.

S.O. to 23.10.2019.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(A.P. Kurhekar) Respondent/s
Member(J)

(Presenting Officer.....vsm.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.928 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. DhamaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the punishment of reduction in pension.3. The subject of minor punishment in D.E. pertains to Bench-I, as per latest office order.4. The Registrar to verify the matter and place it before appropriate bench. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.442 of 2019</p> <p>T. F. NagvekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for permission to amend prayer clause 10(d) to rectify the date of order. In prayer clause date of order is mentioned is 03.11.2009 instead of 14.09.2018. As such, he wants to correct the date.</p> <p>3. Allowed to correct the date in prayer clause. Amendment/correction be carried out during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 07.10.2019. Tribunal's orders O.A.No.881 of 2019
	<p>Dr. A. K. ParandwalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered the copy of order dated 05.10.2019 issued by the Government whereby the Applicant is posted at Kashele, Tal. Karjat, dist. Raigad. The copy of order is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. The present O.A. was filed pressing grievance that the Applicant was kept without posting since 04.07.2019. After filing of this O.A., the Tribunal has passed interim orders/directions directing the Respondents to issue appropriate posting orders. It is in consequence to the directions now the Government had issued the order dated 05.10.2019.</p> <p>4. As such, grievance of the Applicant is redressed. Original Application, therefore, deserves to be disposed of. In so far as waiting period is concerned, the Respondents shall pass appropriate orders.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.617 of 2019</p> <p>L. Y. Vanaskar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4 and the same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>