

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1145 of 2023</p> <p>V.S. LambhateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The subject of 'Transfer' had been assigned to this Single Bench by Office Circular No. MAT/MUM/JUD/2437/2023 dated 24.08.2023. Learned P.O. during the course of hearing of this O.A.No.1145/2023 had stated that this Single Bench had jurisdiction relating to 'Transfer' only but not 'Deputation' as the appointments of both the Applicant as well as Respondent No.3 have been made by way of 'Deputation Order' to the post of 'Competent Authority No.4, S.R.A, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. The subjects of 'Transfer', 'Posting', 'Repatriation' and 'Deputation' have all been assigned to this Single Bench by Officer Circular MAT/MUM/JUD/1087/2023 dated 06.09.2023. Thereupon, learned Advocate for the Applicant have moved for 'Urgent Admission' of O.A. No.1145/2023 and accordingly further hearing is taken today by this Single Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant stated that it was by way of 'Deputation Order' dated 01.09.2023 that Respondent No.1 has appointed the Respondent No.3 to the post of 'Competent Authority No.4, S.R.A, Mumbai'. Further he stated that Respondent No.1 being 'Cadre Controlling Authority' had issued 'Deputation Order' dated 01.09.2023 in respect of 'Respondent No.3', but it does not mention anything about the issue of 'Repatriation Order' of the Applicant.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Instead only letter has been produced by learned P.O. which has been written on 01.09.2023 by the Respondent No.1 to the Respondent No.2 requesting for repatriation of the Applicant. The Learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that as 'Cadre Controlling Authority', Respondent No.1 had all the powers to recall any of its officers who are working under other Administrative Departments. Infact, Respondent No.2 would have been enabled to recall the Applicant from the post of 'Competent Authority No.4, S.R.A, Mumbai' had the Respondent No.1 as 'Cadre Controlling Authority' issued 'Repatriation Order' of the Applicant and sent to Respondent No.2. Learned Advocate of the Applicant thereafter stated that Respondent No.2 has still not taken any further action on the basis of letter of Respondent No.1 dated 01.09.2023. Interestingly, it is fact that the letter of Respondent No.1 to Respondent No.2 requesting for repatriation of the Applicant is issued on 01.09.2023 while 'Deputation Order' issued by the Respondent No.1 to appoint Respondent No.3 on the post of 'Competent Authority No.4 S.R.A., Mumbai'.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. in her submission states that the Applicant has not served in Aurangabad Division which was assigned to her after promotion as Deputy Collector since 2018. She thereafter proceeded to justify the appointment of the Respondent No.3 to the post of 'Competent Authority No.4 S.R.A., Mumbai' through 'Deputation Order' of Respondent No.1, dated 01.09.2023.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant then stated that C.E.O., S.R.A., Mumbai being necessary party to this O.A. No.1145 of 2023 needs to be impleaded as 'Respondent No.4' with respect to the role and responsibility of C.E.O., S.R.A., Mumbai under provisions of Section 3-I of the Maharashtra Slum Areas (IC&R), Act 1971. The Tribunal accordingly grants permission to learned Advocate for the Applicant to join 'C.E.O. S.R.A, Mumbai' as 'Respondent No.4' as 'Section 3-I' of Maharashtra Slum Areas (IC&R), Act 1971 requires appointment of all Officers and Servant subordinate to the C.E.O., S.R.A., to be made for the efficient performance of duties and functions of the Slum Rehabilitation Authority and because appointments of Such Officer and Servants are required to be done as laid down by duly notified 'Regulations' framed under 'Section 3-I'.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant was heard at length and along with submissions made by learned P.O. on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. The submissions of the Respondent No.1 that the Applicant had not joined Aurangabad Division in 2018 is not relevant as thereafter Respondent No.1 by its Order dated 20.02.2019 had issued 'Deputation Order' of her appointment to the post of 'Deputy Collector (Encroachment/Removal), Andheri-2'. Thus, although Applicant had not joined in Aurangabad Division in 2018, it stood condoned by the Respondent No.1 as 'Cadre Controlling Authority' and cannot be held now against the Applicant. Subsequently, Respondent No.1 by order dated 27.09.2022 has even extended her 'Deputation Period' for 2023-24 and Respondent No.2 by its Order dated 29.05.2023 thereupon granted her permission to continue on the post of 'Competent Authority No.4, S.R.A, Mumbai' for 2023-24. The 'Deputation Order' of the Respondent No.3 has been issued on 01.09.2024 against the backdrop of these subsisting 'Deputation Orders' granting extension to Applicant for 2023-24 i.e. by 'Deputation Order' of Applicant's 27.09.2022 by Respondent No.1 as 'Cadre Controlling Authority' and then by Respondent No.2 by 'Deputation Order' of 29.08.2023 to allow the Applicant to continue working on the post of 'Competent Authority No.4, S.R.A, Mumbai'. Now given these peculiar facts of the case and as there is an unexplained change in the decision of the Respondent No.1 after initially granting extension to the Applicant for 2023-2024 and permitting her to continue of the post of 'Competent Authority-No.4 of S.R.A.'; to rescind it suddenly on 01.09.2023 without any whisper of justifiable reasons leaves much to be desired about the action of Competent Authorities to promote transparency and obliterate arbitrariness in decision making under the provisions of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' (hereinafter</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

referred to as 'Transfer Act 2005'. The 'Balance of Convenience' at this stage therefore lies in favour of the Applicant. Hence, 'Interim Relief' is granted till next date and Respondent No.2 is restrained from issuing 'Deputation Order' in respect of Respondent No.3 based on 'Deputation Order' of Respondent No.1 dated of 01.09.2023.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.09.2023.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

14. S.O. to 21.09.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.989 of 2023

Smt. Vinaya D. Badami ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Special Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Special Counsel for the respondents seeks time to file affidavit.

3. S.O. to 25.9.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

7.9.2023

(sg)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.628 of 2023</p> <p>R.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that despite having been given adequate time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' but still not filed by learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that as the 'Principal Secretary, Transport and Ports Department' had been on Foreign Visit it has taken more time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder.'</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. further states that 'Principal Secretary, Transport and Ports Department' has since rejoined duties and also approved 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder'. Hence learned P.O. submits that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' by 08.09.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.09.2023 (P.H.).</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.702 of 2023 (FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)</p> <p>M.B. ChouguleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions Order dated 06.09.2023 in O.A. No.702/2023 for 'Speaking to the Minutes'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in Order dated 06.09.2023 in 'Para No.2 - Third Line' the date is wrongly mentioned as 29.08.2023, whereas it should be corrected as 19.06.2023 and in 'Para No.3 - Second Line' the date is wrongly mentioned as 19.06.2023, whereas it should be corrected as 29.08.2023.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Order dated 06.07.2023 in O.A. No.702/2023 be corrected accordingly and 'Speaking to the Minutes' is disposed off in O.A. No. 702/2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.706 of 2023</p> <p>P.D. GadhaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that as per the last Order dated 31.08.2023 the 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'C.S.B – II' has not been filed by way of Additional Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on behalf of the Respondent states that 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'C.S.B – II' has still not been forwarded by Respondents and therefore she is not in a position to make any further submission regarding the 'Minutes of Meeting'. Learned P.O. thus seeks some more time to obtain 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'C.S.B – II' and then file the 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is also directed to include in the 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' specific information regarding recommendations made in which meetings of 'C.S.B. – II' ^{that} all the other posts of 9 Circle Officers came to filled from amongst 10 Choices of Postings except the post of Circle Officer, Panchghani, Taluka - Mahableshwar, District – Satara for which 'Interim Relief' Order of 07.07.2023 continued by the Tribunal. Learned P.O. states that this information may take some time to obtain from Respondent and seeks time of One Week to file a 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>5. Time of One Week as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1036 of 2022</p> <p>Bhikoba P. DeokateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that this O.A. be tagged along with O.A.No.595/2019 and O.A.597/2019 since these O.A.s are already listed on 11.09.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.912 of 2023 with O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.920 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. Shelar V.R. Wadmare B.D. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that similar O.As in which Tribunal has passed ■ Orders which has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same will be heard by the Hon'ble High Court on 11.09.2023. Hence, this O.A. is kept along with other similar matters and will be heard on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.853 of 2023 with O.A. No.854 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V.S. Patil Dr. V.A. WaghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that Affidavit-in-Reply has been already filed but not after amendment was made in the O.A. Learned P.O. further states that 'Para-wise Comments' in respect of amendment made in O.A. has been received and 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in this respect will be filed within One Week.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. shall serve the copy of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' to the learned Advocate for the Applicant one day in advance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1051 of 2019</p> <p>P.S. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri P.S. Mane, Applicant is person is present and states that learned Advocate has sent his Leave Note. 2. There is no Leave Note of the Learned Advocate for the Applicant on record as submitted by Applicant-in-Person. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. Learned P.O. states that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 is already been filed on 03.02.2020. 5. In view of above, O.A. is adjourned to 15.09.2023. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.580 of 2023 in O.A.No.1139 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S. K. Phule @ S. M. GawdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to carry out the amendment in the O.A. as per Annexure-A , B. and C enclosed to M.A. 3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents and learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 have no objection. 4. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on the Respondents. 5. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs. 6. O.A. is adjourned to 11.09.2023 for urgent admission. <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1217/2022 with O.A.No.1218/2022</p> <p>A.R. BhapkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate O.As. are preponed and adjourned to 20.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda, etc. from
Appellate Tribunal's orders and
directions and Registrar's orders.

Return of orders

O.A. No.560 of 2020

M.D. Rathod & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Pooja Phagnekar holding for Shri N.J. Mohite, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit.
3. S.O. to 15.9.2023 for final hearing.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
7.9.2023

(sgl)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1155 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.S. Khandekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1127 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Nimbalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1000 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.M. Pujari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant retired as ASI. He prays for staying the recovery of excess payment made to the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.9.2023 under Urgent Admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.410 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Kumavat & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dy. Secretary, Public Health Department is directed to remain present in the Court on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.604 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. PardeshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent is already filed on 22.06.2023.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant that two weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.'</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.719 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. No.719/2023 is mentioned and taken on today's board.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that this matter was on yesterday's Board i.e. on 06.09.2023 but 'Interim Relief' granted by the Tribunal on earlier date was not continued and hence learned Advocate requested to continue the 'Interim Relief.'</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' granted by the Tribunal on earlier date is continued till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.968 of 2023</p> <p>S. N. Borse & Ors.Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants pointed out that in order dated 07.08.2023 in present O.A., learned C.P.O. was directed to get information and to file short affidavit as to why applicants are not till today appointed in SPU though they are recommended. 3. Learned C.P.O. submits that she has already sent a letter on 08.08.2023 and 25.08.2023 to the concerned department but not received instructions from the office of D.G. 4. We are surprised to come across to hear the submission from learned C.P.O. that there is no response from the office of D.G. to the short query of this Tribunal on the point that why applicants are not appointed till today in SPU. 5. Hence, we are directed to the office of D.G. to appoint some responsible officer to answer this query tomorrow at 2.30. P.M. 6. S.O. to 08.09.2023 at 2.30 P.M. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1162 of 2023</p> <p>B. R. Behere Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Registrar is directed to place this matter today itself before mediators to ascertain whether mediation is possible in this case. 3. Learned C.P.O. submits that as notice is not served and today is being a local holiday, no one is present on behalf of the Respondents. 4. However, we request the office of C.P.O. to appear before the Mediators at 3.30 P.M and though notice is not given to them, they are requested to remain present before the mediation court and get stock of the matter. 5. S.O. to 07.09.2023 at 3.30 P.M. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1024/2023</p> <p>N.S. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>Sd/- Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. states that during the course of the day reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.09.2023. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1007/2023</p> <p>G.V. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Mr. K. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 29.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.985/2023</p> <p>A.R. Shinde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of last chance time granted to learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.886/2023</p> <p>S.M. GhugeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 05.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.866/2023</p> <p>S.B. Padwal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.821/2023</p> <p>P.V. SaliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 29.09.2023 for seeking instructions.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1039/2023</p> <p>S.A.R. PathanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.09.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date. To be tagged along with O.A.No.953/2023, O.A.No.954/2023 and O.A.No.955/2023. HOB.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 737/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.B Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1121/2022, O.A 401 & 928/2023</u></p> <p>Arya Vijay Pujari ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O matter is not heard today.</p> <p>3. However, it is fixed on 14.9.2023 for final hearing. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 575, 538, 539, 559, 694 & 695/2023</u></p> <p>K.R Dighe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere and Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 5.10.2023, with liberty to both the sides to mention the matter, if required. Interim relief, if any to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 228/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.B Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O, matter adjourned to 21.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.09.2023</p> <p><u>O.A 89/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Kolte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 & 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Matter is fixed on 22.9.2023 for hearing on the point of vacating the interim relief.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circum,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders of
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1078 of 2016

R.D. Wardhane & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs. ..Respondents
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Shri A.Y. Sakhare, Ld. Senior Counsel with Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 & 3 and Shri Anshuman Deshmukh holding for Shri Mahesh Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Respondents No.14 & 15.

2. Ld. Senior Counsel for the applicants submits that as the circulation, in pending writ petitions before the Hon'ble High Court in the case of Ashtapure and S.G. Deshpande, which were decided by this Tribunal, is taken for admission and hearing respectively, the matter may be adjourned.

3. By consent adjourned to 27.9.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
7.9.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
7.9.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1204 of 2016

Y.R. Angle & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that she is filing reply of Public Works Department and seeks time to file reply of Higher & Technical Education Department.

3. S.O. to 14.9.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
7.9.2023

(sgl)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
7.9.2023