

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.756 of 2020 with O.A.No.755 of 2020</p> <p>M.R. Sawant & Ors. (O.A.756/2020) A.P. Sonawane & Ors. (O.A.755/2020)Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. G. Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. files short affidavit-in-reply, dated 06.09.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.1 through Ms. Vaishali M. Sule, Deputy Secretary, office of the Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. Copy of the reply is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant today in morning. Taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate produces one letter written by the Dean, J.J. Hospital, Directorate of Medical Education wherein O.A.No.756/2020, M.R. Sawant & Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra and reference of the order dated 24.08.2021 of M.A.T is mentioned. In the said letter the author has informed that the names of three employees (i.e. Badli workers) which are mentioned in order dated 24.08.2021 of M.A.T. Out of them none fulfilled the criterion working 240 days for the period of 10 years. However they fulfill the criterion of only working for 10 years as on 31.03.2007 as Badli workers.</p> <p>4. The affidavit filed by Ms. Vaishali Sule especially at paragraph 4 of the same it is found that the applicant has made statement that the condition of 32 Badli workers of mentioned in order dated 24.08.2021. 18 workers have rendered more than 15 years of temporary service and remaining 14 workers have rendered more than 10 years of</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>temporary service as on 31.03.2007. The said affidavit is conspicuously silent about the fulfillment of the 2nd condition of attendance 240 days in 10 years. The highest officer of the said authority i.e. the applicants are directed to answer the following queries of this Tribunal :-</p> <p>(a) Whether the 226 persons have completed 240 days service in one year for 10 years as per the condition No.8 and 9 of the G.R. dated 07.12.2015.</p> <p>(b) Whether Respondents No.4 to 11 are junior to the Applicants.</p> <p>5. Mr. Sadavarte will be accompanied with the 3 persons i.e. Ms. Mayavati R. Sawant, joining dated 21.01.1986, Mr. Sachin Shyam Bhojane, joining dated 10.05.1993 and Mr. Ganesh Vilas Ballal, joined on 13.05.1997 whose record is going to be verified and one more assistant i.e. total 4 persons, Ms. Vaishali Sule, Mr. Sharad Makhana, Desk Officer, will verify the record on 16/17.09.2021 at 11.00 a.m.</p> <p>6. Further letter dated 01.09.2021 marked as Exhibit-B, original is perused along with chart i.e. B-1 and photocopy is taken on record. The original is returned to learned C.P.O. and copy to be furnished to learned Advocate.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 28.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) 8/11/2021, Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.607 of 2021 With M.A.No.306 of 2021 in M.A. No.307 of 2021</p> <p>R. M. Patil & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is listed today in view of precipe filed by Applicant No.1 Shr R. M. Patil who is present before the Tribunal. He submits that he be permitted to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Whereas learned C.P.O. pointed out that O.A. is already dismissed against the Applicant by order dated 25.08.2021.</p> <p>4. Perusal of record reveals that this O.A. is filed on behalf of 24 Applicants on the basis of power of attorney in favour of the Applicant No.1.</p> <p>5. The matter was listed for admission before the Hon'ble Chairperson on 25.08.2021 and following order was passed.</p> <p><i>"4. The Applicant was transferred to Dahiwadi on 06.06.2015. Thus he has completed 6 years of service on 05.06.2021. His order of transfer was issued on 09.08.2021 i.e. 6 years of his service. The contention that on 31.05.2021 he has not completed 6 years has no force because due to COVID-19 pandemic situation, the State of Maharashtra has taken the policy decision to issue the orders of transfers till 31.08.2021 in order to avoid the inconvenience of the Government servants which might have occurred due to 2nd wave of COVID-19 pandemic in April – May.</i></p> <p><i>5. The Applicant has mentioned that his wife is working at Dahiwadi and his children are studying at Dahiwadi is not a ground to interfere in the order of transfer. Moreover the distance between Phaltan and Dahiwadi is 40 to 50 kms. Hence, I dismissed the case of the applicant at threshold.</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. On the same day, the Hon'ble Chairperson has passed separate order in respect of power of attorney by passing following order</p> <p>2. <i>In this O.A.No.607/2021 the Applicant Mr. Ravindra Malhari Patil whose request of transfer is dismissed submits that he is representing the applicant No.1A to 24 and all had given him special power of attorney. The original power to attorney dated 10.08.2021 is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A. This power of attorney though mentioned as special power of attorney for a court case no details in respect of the case especially about the transfers are mentioned before this Tribunal. Everywhere the proceeding is referred as suit and before the Court.</i></p> <p>3. <i>There is no identification by the Advocate either of the attorney holder or persons who have given the power of attorney.</i></p> <p>4. <i>Hence, this cannot be entertained."</i></p> <p>7. Being aggrieved by the said orders, the Applicants have filed W.P.No.16168/2021 before the Hon'ble High Court which has been disposed of by order dated 01.09.2021.</p> <p>8. In Para No.6, 7 and 8 the Hon'ble High Court held as under :-</p> <p><i>"6. It is not in dispute that the normal tenure on one post, in terms of the relevant statutory provisions, is 6(six) years and that Shri Patil has served at Dahiwadi, Satara for more than 6(Six) years. We, therefore, see no reason to hold that the Tribunal erred in the exercise of its jurisdiction in dismissing the original application qua Shri Patil.</i></p> <p><i>7. The order of the Tribunal is upheld and the writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.</i></p> <p><i>8. We, therefore, make it clear that this order shall not preclude the petitioner nos.2 to 10 i.e. the staff nurses, from exploring their remedy before the Tribunal in accordance with law."</i></p> <p>9. As such, in view of the order passed by this Tribunal, O.A. is already disposed of, and therefore, now the question of withdrawal does not survive.</p> <p>10. In view of order of the Hon'ble High Court, the Applicants can avail their remedy in accordance to law.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.680 OF 2021

Shri Sanjay K. Rathod.

)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.)...**Respondents**

Mr. D.B. Khaire, Advocate for Applicant.

Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 07.09.2021

ORDER

1. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 30.08.2021 whereby he is transferred from the post of Administrative Officer, Regional Mental Hospital, Pune to Sub-District Hospital, Pandharpur, District Solapur. In transfer order, the reason is shown transferred for special reasons and Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act 2005' for brevity)' is invoked.

2. Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief to the transfer order *inter-alia* contending that the Applicant has not completed normal tenure of three years but he is transferred abruptly without prior compliance of Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'.



3. Per contra, Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer submits that in view of recommendations made by CSB, the Applicant is transferred because of complaints against him and the transfers are approved by the Hon'ble Minister of Health Department and opposed interim relief. She has also tendered the file for the perusal of Tribunal.
4. Admittedly, the Applicant has not completed the normal tenure of three years at Pune and it is a case of mid-tenure transfer.
5. The minutes of CSB reveals that Office has placed information on record before CSB that there are complaints against the Applicant and Commissioner, Health Services has recommended for his transfer. The CSB made endorsement that he be transferred to Pandharpur. This is the only minutes of CSB which is totally silent as to what were the nature of complaints, its seriousness, etc. Thus, CSB seems mechanically accepted the recommendation of Commissioner, Health without verifying the nature of complaints, its seriousness and necessity of transfer, etc.
6. Indeed, as per Circular dated 11.02.2015, a Government servant should not be transferred simply on the basis of complaint unless there is some preliminary enquiry verifying the correctness of the complaint. In the present case, there is nothing on record to that effect.
7. Apart, it being mid-tenure transfer, it has to be in consonance with Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005' which *inter-alia* provides recording of special reasons in writing and prior approval of immediately superior transferring authority as mentioned in Table of Section 6 of 'Transfer Act 2005'.
8. In the present case, the Applicant being Group 'B' (Non-Gazetted) Officer, his competent transferring authority for general transfer is Minister Incharge in consultation with Secretaries of the concerned Department but for such mid-tenure transfer, next immediate superior

authority would be Hon'ble Chief Minister, as explicit from Section 6 of 'Transfer Act 2005'. However, in the present case, admittedly, there is no approval of the Hon'ble Chief Minister, which is condition precedent of such mid-tenure transfer.

9. For the aforesaid reasons, *prima-facie*, the impugned transfer order is not in consonance with the provisions of 'Transfer Act 2005' and liable to be stayed.
10. Interim relief in terms of Prayer Clause 10(a) is granted.
11. Issue notice returnable on 5th October, 2021.
12. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
14. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
15. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
16. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application

shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

17. S.O. to 5th October, 2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

skw

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.328 of 2021 in M.A No.322 of 2021 in M. A. No.323 of 2021 in O.A.No.571 of 2021</p> <p>J. D. Shete & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr.G. Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A. Y. Sakhare, Sr. Advocate a/w Shri Ashish S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Intervener. Shri Ramesh Dube-Patil, learned Counsel for the intervener in M.A.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.328/2021 is filed for intervention and to implead Mrs. J. D. Shete as Respondent No.3 on the ground that she is posted in place of Applicant on his transfer, and therefore, necessary party.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly stated that he has no objection to implead Mrs. J. D. Shete as Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.No.328/2021 is allowed. Mrs. J. D. Shete be impleaded as Respondent No.3.</p> <p>5. Intervener should file his reply on next date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p>M.A No.322 of 2021 in M. A. No.323 of 2021 in O.A.No.571 of 2021</p> <p>J. D. Shete & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Dr. G. Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A. Y. Sakhare, Sr. Advocate with Shri Ashish S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Intervener. 2. Shri Sakhare, Sr. Advocate has filed M.A.Nos.322 for permission to implead Shri Sopan Kasar, S.D.O. in his personal capacity. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that there are personal allegations of bias, and therefore, the S.D.O. be impleaded in his personal capacity by name. 4. All that in O.A. in Para No.4, it is stated that the Applicant's transfer order issued by Respondent No.2-S.D.O. is due to malafide and prejudice. This is the only pleading in O.A. relied by learned Counsel for the Applicant as personal allegation of bias. Obviously, it cannot be termed as personal allegations against the S.D.O. necessitating him to be joined in personal capacity. 5. The pleading that transfer order is malafide does not amount to allegation in person. I am, therefore, not inclined to implead S.D.O. as a party in person. Insofar as, the issue of legality of transfer order is concerned, the S.D.O. is already joined in his official capacity and learned P.O. has filed reply on his behalf. 5. In view of above M.A.Nos.322 is dismissed. 6. Interim relief granted by the Tribunal in O.A.571/2021 is continued till next date. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 670/2021</u></p> <p>Later on:</p> <p>Dr Ajay S. Chandanwale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhvi Ayyappan i/b M/sTalekar & Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that the scrutiny of the applications is going and it will take nearly three weeks and hence nothing is going to happen for three weeks.</p> <p>3. Considering the statement made by the learned C.P.O, no need to take up the application for interim relief at this stage.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>7kn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.670 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. Ajay S. Chandanwale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pradnya Talekar i/b. M/s. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that when the matter was on board yesterday, due to oversight she did not press the prayer for interim stay in respect of selection process pursuant to the advertisement dated 10.8.2021. She submits that last date for submission of the applicant was 5.9.2021. She prays that the matter be taken on board today for this limited purpose.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits that she needs time to obtain instructions from the MPSC, who is going to conduct the interview to the post of Joint Director, DMER.</p> <p>5. Matter is taken on board and fixed at 2.15 p.m. today for instructions.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.9.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(a) Out of Syllabus question of the 30 out of 100 marks is included. (Question No.1(g), 2(a) and Question No.4.)</p> <p>(b) Step wise marks are not allotted to mathematical solution. Evaluator gave Zero out of 10 marks to the applicants to the Question No.3(A)-Fixation of Salary & Question No.5(C) - Preparation of GPF Account.</p> <p>(c) Question No.3(b) - Calculation of pensionary benefit. This question was not clear.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Mr. Sadavarte further submitted that out of 1352 persons from Clerical cadre who appeared for this Departmental Examination only 53 candidates passed this examination. He submitted that the applicants are working in the Department for more than 5 years and confident about the questions which are asked in the open book examination. The Applicants have raised question about the evaluation and calculation of the marks of the 53 candidates who have cleared the examination. He requested that the answer sheet of these 53 candidates be referred.</p> <p>4. The learned C.P.O. wants time to take instructions in view of the submissions regarding the answer sheets of all candidates, especially 53 candidates who cleared the examination and the applicants who are before the Tribunal is to be reserved as it will be required for the perusal at the time of hearing of this O.A. The learned C.P.O. further seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Time granted. The learned C.P.O. shall furnish the copy of affidavit-in-reply to learned Advocate for the Applicant by 12.10.2021 either physically or through email. She may file a common reply or as the case may be.</p> <p>6. Matter adjourned to 20.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.129 of 2015 in OAs. No.143, 143 & 144 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 24.8.2021 passed in the above matter, Ld. Advocate submits that he has received the GR dated 3.9.2021 and a copy is sent to Nanded office for pay fixation. Copy of GR dated 3.9.2021 is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 18.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.41 of 2020 in O.A. No.556 of 2007</u></p> <p>A.B. Ghodeswar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 21.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.9.2021 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.89 of 2021 in O.A.No.812 of 2017</p> <p>R.S. LodheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.430 of 2021</p> <p>C.N. DesaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. has already filed reply.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant files rejoinder today. It is to be filed in the registry. Copy is served on learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Admit. Adjourned to 12.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.309 of 2021 in O.A. No.73 of 2018</u></p> <p>Nitin Anant Sawant, since deceased, Pushpa Nitin Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed a note dated 3.9.2021 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 2.9.2021 passed in the above MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that in para 2 line 3 the date "27.12.2021" should be substituted by "27.12.2020".</p> <p>4. In para 3 line 2 the name "Mr. P.N. Sawant" should be substituted by "Mr. N.A. Sawant".</p> <p>5. Ld. CPO has no objection and submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. Ordered accordingly. Speaking to minutes is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.9.2021 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 678/2019 in O.A 1209/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, holding for Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of one year and one month in filing the Original Application, challenging the order dated 12.6.2017 passed by Respondent no. 1 and confirmed by impugned order dated 26.10.2017 in appeal passed by Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the reasons for the delay is due to the poor financial condition of the applicant and also on account his serious illness of being suffering from Gastroenteritis.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that affidavit in reply is filed by Bhagwant Kacharu, Assistant Electrical Inspector in the office of Chief Electrical Inspector, Industries, Energy and Labour Department, Chembur, Mumbai 71, opposing the condonation of delay of one year and one month.</p> <p>5. For the reasons mentioned in the Misc Application, the delay of one year and one month in filing the Original Application is condoned.</p> <p>6. Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1209/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate, for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, holding for Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant is challenging the impugned order dated 12.6.2017 passed by Respondent no. 1 and confirmed vide impugned order dated 26.10.2017 in appeal by Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 20.10.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 20.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 337/2017 in O.A 35/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Adake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant request for three weeks' time as he wants to rely on the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. Copy of the said judgment be served on the learned Presenting Officer.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.09.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 311/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.D Bandagar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she has received instructions in the matter and seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	07.09.2021 Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>G.A 110/2021</u></p> <p>Dr R.M Haridas ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the applicant is held guilty in the departmental enquiry and his file is submitted to the G.A.D on 18.8.2021 for issuing confirmation of final punishment. Learned P.O prays for two months' time to pass final orders in the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has filed representations dated 16.10.2017, 26.2.2018 and 1.7.2018, and the same are still pending. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that the said representations be decided.</p> <p>4. The Respondents are directed to decide the representations filed by the applicant and also to pass final orders on the final punishment to be imposed on the applicant within two months.</p> <p>5. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	07.09.2021 Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A 55/2019 in O.A 805, with 806 and 807/2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.V Dube & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produces letter dated 26.8.2021 written by Assistant Government Pleader, A.S, Writ Cell, High Court, Mumbai to the Registrar, Judicial-I, Appellate Side, High Court, Bombay, wherein it prayed that matter be listed on 8.9.2021 and now the matter is fixed tomorrow.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter is adjourned to 14.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Alkn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>07.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 794/2020</u></p> <p>Mrs N.N Chavan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants seeks directions to Respondents no 1 to 3 to consider their candidature on vacant post from open (female) category for the post of Sales Tax Inspector, vide advertisement dated 3.11.2016.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 12.10.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 12.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.09.2021</p> <p><u>O.A 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 687, 757 & 875/2011</u></p> <p>Shri K.P Shah & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 340 to 344/2011, 687/2011 and 875/2011, Shri S.P Lahane, learned advocate for applicant in O.A 757/2011 and Mr A. Khandekar, learned counsel with Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Khandekar, files copy of the affidavit in reply dated 7th September, 2021 of Dr Smt Madhuri V. Kagalkar, Principal, Sydenham College of Commerce, Mumbai along with the proposal and Pay Fixation of Part Time Lecturers.</p> <p>3. Perused the affidavit in reply. It discloses details of the date on which the Principal, Sydenham College of Commerce, Mumbai, have taken steps for pay fixation of the Part Time Lecturers as per 6th Pay Commission.</p> <p>4. The reply to the query raised by the Tribunal is stated as under:-</p> <p><i>“The Principal, Sydenham College had done pay fixation of Part Time Lecturers according to 6th Pay Commission in June, 2011 and submitted the proposal along with proforma for fixation of pay of Part Time Lecturers to the Joint Director of Higher Education, Mumbai for approval on 13.6.2011 vide letter No. Syden/Aastha/2011.</i></p> <p><i>4. I further say that, in reply to the above mentioned letter, the Joint Director of Higher Education, Mumbai vide letter No. Shasan/Ushi /Muvi/Aastha/2011/1771 dt. 16.8.2011 had directed the Principal to submit the service books of Part Time Lecturers to approve their pay fixation according to 6th Pay.</i></p> <p><i>5. I further say that, the Principal Sydenham College, in reply to the above mentioned letter had again requested the Joint Director of Higher Education, Mumbai that since the service books of Part Time Lecturers are not available, pay fixation may be done with respect to proforma of fixation of pay vide letter no. Syden/Aastha/2011/1064 dt. 16.9.2011.</i></p> <p><i>6. I further say that the Principal of Sydenham College, had again requested the Joint Director of</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Higher Education of Mumbai to approve the pay fixation of Part time Lecturers according to 6th Pay, vide letter no. Syden/Aastha/2012/656 dt. 15.6.2012."

5. The Joint Director, Higher Education is directed to file reply.
6. S.O to 8.9.2021 at 10.30. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI BENCH

MISC APPLICATION NO 355 OF 2020

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 794 OF 2020

DISTRICT : SANGLI

1. Nanyana N. Chavan)
R/o: Bhilawadi, Tal-Palus,)
Dist-Sangli.)
2. Apasana A. Patel,)
R/o: Peth, Naykalwadi, Tal-Walwa,)
Dist-Sangli.)
3. Varsha S. Bebale,)
R/at Durandewadi, Post-Bilashi,)
Tal-Shirala, Dist-Sangli.)...**Applicants**

Versus

1. Secretary/Chairman,)
Maharashtra Public Service)
Commission,)
Having office at 5 ½, 7 & 8th floor,)
Cooperage Telephone Exchange Bldg,)
M.K Raod, Mumbai 400 032.
2. The State of Maharashtra,)
Through Principal Secretary,)
Finance Department, Mantralaya,)
Mumbai 400 032.)

✓

3. The Commissioner,)
State [Sales] Tax Department,)
GST Bhawan, Mazgaon,)
Mumbai 10.)
4. The State of Maharashtra,)
Through Principal Secretary,)
General Administration Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.)
5. Chaitrali Dagadu Pawar,)
R/at : Sawangi, Post-Lasur Station,)
Tal-Gangapur, Dist-Aurangabad.)...**Respondents**

Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **07.09.2021**

PER : **Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this Misc Application, there is a delay of 371 days in filing the Original Application No. 794/2020.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have appeared for the examination conducted by




M.P.S.C for the post of Sales Tax Inspector, vide advertisement dated 3.11.2016, for which preliminary examination was conducted on 29.1.2017 and main examination was conducted on 3.6.2017.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the advertisement was issued for 181 vacant posts of Sales Tax Inspector. However, only 133 posts were filled in. Thus, there is vacancy of 58 posts. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants had knowledge of these vacancies on 18.12.2018. Thus, according to the applicants they were wait listed. Therefore, the limitation in filing the Original Application starts around 18.12.2018. The Original Application should have been filed on 17.12.2019. However, it was filed on 23.12.2020.

5. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that as per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 15.3.2020 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No. 3 of 2020, has given direction that the period of lockdown should be excluded from computing the period of limitation and it will start running 15 days after lockdown is lifted in respective States. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that if it is counted then there is a delay of only 3 months.

6. Learned counsel for the applicants further submits that the delay in filing the present O.A is not intentional or deliberate, but the applicants have submitted representation to the Respondent no. 2 on 15.1.2019, i.e. Finance Department, about considering their names. However, the said representation was forwarded to the concerned office for further action. Therefore, the applicants were waiting for action on the part of the Respondents. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have good case on merit and hence the delay needs to be condoned.



7. Learned C.P.O submits that affidavit in reply dated 15.6.2021 is filed by Shri Devendra V. Tawde, Under Secretary in the office of M.P.S.C, Mumbai, wherein it is stated that the delay is not of 3 months, but it is more than 3 months. She submits that the waiting list remains alive only upto a period of one year or till the declaration of results of subsequent examination. She submits that the M.P.S.C has declared the results of the subsequent examination for the post of Sales Tax Inspector on 2.5.2018. Thus, the limitation will start from 1.5.2019. The period is of more than 10 months. Learned C.P.O further submits that no satisfactory reasons are given by the applicants for condoning the delay. Hence, it is to be rejected. Learned C.P.O submits that on 4.12.2018, the Government called for list of only 15 candidates as the Respondents wanted to fill up only 15 posts.

8. The cause of action can be considered when the applicants had knowledge that less posts are filled up than the posts advertised and there are vacancies and there is a possibility of the requisition by the Government to M.P.S.C calling the names from the select list. The date of declaration of the result and issue of appointment orders thus can be taken as the date of cause of action. The order of appointment of 133 candidates was issued on 18.12.2018. Thus, that is the date when the applicants were hopeful for their appointment.

9. We do not want to go into the merits of the matter as the present Misc Application is filed for condonation of delay. Thus, after one year from that date, i.e. from 17.12.2019 the period of limitation starts running till 15.3.2020 when the Hon'ble Supreme Court issued the standing order dated 15.3.2020 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No. 3 of 2020, that the period of lockdown should be excluded from computing the period of limitation and it will start

W

running 15 days after lockdown is lifted in respective States. Thus the period comes approximately to 3 months.

10. Hence, for the reasons mentioned by the learned counsel for the applicants in the Misc Application, we condone the delay in filing the O.A 794/2020.

11. Misc Application stands disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 07.09.2021
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.452 of 2021 with O.A. No.197 of 2021</p> <p>V.E. JogApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance in O.A. No.452/2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.651 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. S. ShintreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Interim relief granted by the Tribunal on 30.08.2021 shall continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.631 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. Kadam & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw this O.A. with liberty to file independent O.A. since there are different causes.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file independent / afresh O.A. as may be permissible in law.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.672 of 2021</p> <p>A. G. SangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 11.08.2020. He is relying on the Notification dated 20.08.2015 but it is not forthcoming on record. 3. In absence of Notification dated 20.08.2015, at this stage I am not inclined to grant interim relief and it is kept open. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.09.2021. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 10. S.O. to 20.09.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.616 of 2021</p> <p>V.L. GadadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 & 4.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate, Shri C.T. Chandratre, one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to take steps regarding services for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.608 of 2021 with O.A. No.609 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. More A.B. BurkulApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.599 of 2021 with O.A. No.600 of 2021</p> <p>A.T. Chowdhar P.L. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.596 of 2021 to O.A. No.598 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. Pinjan A.R. Waghmode R.B. UndeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 in O.A. No.596/2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has also filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 in O.A. No.597/2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has also filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 in O.A. No.598/2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. All these O.As be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 21.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.591 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. NashikkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.575 of 2021 to O.A. No.579 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. Panchal R.R. Kolse A.R. Shinde R.B. Lanjekar P.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 in M.A. No.576/2021.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">^</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.325 of 2021 in O.A.No.572 of 2021</p> <p>K. K. Sulane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr.G. Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A. Y. Sakhare, Sr. Advocate a/w Shri Ashish S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Intervener</p> <p>2. M.A.No.324/2021 filed in O.A.572/2021 for vacating interim relief granted by this Tribunal will be considered on hearing of O.A. since reply is already filed and matter is ripe for final disposal at the stage of admission.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p>M.A No.324 of 2021 in M. A. No.325 of 2021 in O.A.No.572 of 2021</p> <p>K. K. Sulane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr.G. Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A. Y. Sakhare, Sr. Advocate a/w Shri Ashish S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Intervener</p> <p>2. This intervention application is filed to implead Shri Sopan Kasar, S.D.O. in his personal capacity. In view of serious allegations made against him in O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has no objection to implead Shri Sopan Kasar by name.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.No.324/2021 is allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>5. Leave is granted to intervener to file reply in his personal capacity.</p> <p>6. Interim relief is continued in O.A.572/2021 till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.329 of 2021 in M.A No.324 of 2021 in M. A. No.325 of 2021 in O.A.No.572 of 2021</p> <p>K. K. Sulane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr.G. Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A. Y. Sakhare, Sr. Advocate a/w Shri Ashish S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Intervener. Shri Ramesh Dube-Patil, learned Counsel for Intervener.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.329/2021 is filed for intervention and to implead Shri K. K. Sulane as Respondent No.3 on the ground that he is posted in place of Applicant on his transfer, and therefore, necessary party.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly stated that he has no objection to implead Shri K. K. Sulane as Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.No.329/2021 is allowed. Shri K. K. Sulane be impleaded as Respondent No.3.</p> <p>5. Intervener should file his reply on next date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A No.327 of 2021 in O.A.No.573 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. Kasar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. G. Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A. Y. Sakhare, Sr. Advocate a/w Shri Ashish S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for Intervener</p> <p>2. M.A.No.327/2021 filed in O.A.573/2021 for vacating interim relief granted by this Tribunal will be considered on hearing of O.A. since reply is already filed and matter is ripe for final disposal at the stage of admission.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.652 of 2021</p> <p>J. M. KawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that she does not want to file Rejoinder and matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. This O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with O.A.No.135 of 2021.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.542 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. SheteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.09.2021

O.A. No.623 of 2021 with O.A. No.624 of 2021

P.T. Bhoir

V.V. Sakpal

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

3. S.O. to 22.09.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.621 of 2021</p> <p>D.D. MadiwaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.665 of 2021</u></p> <p>G.D. Kurane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Nair with Ms. Reshma Kurle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit of service and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate states that applicant is going to retire on 30.9.2021. The applicant is working as Deputy Registrar (Deemed Assistant Registrar) of Firms and holding the additional charge of Registrar of Firms. He submits that rules for the post of Deputy Registrar are not notified.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO is directed to answer the following queries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Whether there are rules notified for the post of Deputy Registrar? 2) What are draft rules for Deputy Registrar? 3) Since from when the rules for Deputy Registrar are not notified? 4) On what post the applicant is currently working? 5) Whether the applicant is due for promotion and to which post? <p>5. Ld. CPO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 8.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.9.2021 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.622 of 2021</u></p> <p>Pradip R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has retired on 30.6.2021. Ld. PO to enquire whether provisional pension can be paid to the applicant.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.9.2021 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.675 of 2021</u></p> <p>Kushal A. Pagare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.9.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.9.2021 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.332 of 2021 in O.A. No.678 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.D. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for condoning the delay in filing OA.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 12.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. with MA. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.9.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.65 of 2021 in O.A.No.232 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. DangeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.L. Maindad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that similar situated persons who were working as Supervisors and their services were terminated were taken in the service by the judgment/ order of this Tribunal in O.A.No.1100 /2013 dated 10.06.2019 (Smt. Rohini Madhusudan Nirmale Versus The Commissioner & Ors.)</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 04.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.145 of 2021</p> <p>N.P. ChaudhariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Respondents have filed reply only to the extent of the queries made by this Tribunal, but they have not file the affidavit-in-reply with respect to O.A.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that he will take instructions and file detailed reply on the next date.</p> <p>4. By way of last chance matter is adjourned to 12.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.664 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.Y. Hegade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ' ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO to take instructions whether colleagues of applicant are junior right from the date of appointment which are Sr. No.25, 26 & 27. The list was issued on 16.6.2021. Ld. CPO also to find out what is the reason for not considering the name of the applicant in the DPC for promotion held in June, 2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar. J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.9.2021 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.778 of 2021</u></p> <p>Ramdass V. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that copy of OA is not served in the office of CPO. Applicant to serve the Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) 7.9.2021 Chairperson 7.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.619 of 2021</p> <p>A. S. ChaudhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 06.08.2021 solely on the ground that though he has given ten options, none of the option were considered which were required to be considered in terms of Government Policy reflected in G.R. dated 09.04.2018. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has, therefore, sought interim relief. 4. At this stage, I am not inclined to grant interim relief since there is no statutory defect in impugned transfer order, whether non compliance of the condition in G.R. and other aspects rendered in impugned transfer illegal will be decided on merit. 5. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply on next date. 6. S.O. to 22.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.534 of 2021</p> <p>M.N. YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.525 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. RajadhyakshaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.532 of 2021</p> <p>I.G. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.492 of 2021</p> <p>D.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.524 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. DhumalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">N Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.494 of 2021</p> <p>M.P. JamadarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.P. Jamadar, Applicant-in-Person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for Respondent to file Reply by way of last chance and no further time will be grated.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.487 of 2021</p> <p>P.N. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.479 of 2021</p> <p>C.S. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleading is complete, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2021.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.478 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. FulzalkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.374 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. DubeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.382 of 2021</p> <p>M.N. Salunke-PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.10.2021.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^{v~} (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.673 of 2021</p> <p>S. P. Bombe ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.10.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 05.10.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.80 of 2021 with O.A.No.81 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. J. K. Pagare & anr.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt.Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Though these Original Applications are filed in January, 2021 thereafter learned Counsel for the Applicants could not remain present, and therefore, matters are adjourned at the stage of admission. Till date, notices are not issued. 3. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 07.10.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 07.10.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.181 of 2020 in R. A. 08 of 2020 in O.A.No.399 of 2018</p> <p>P. V. KorpaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Awasarmol, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.103 of 2021</p> <p>R. M. SatheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V. P. Sangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 and 5. It is taken on record. 3. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.3. 4. Three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 5. S.O. to 28.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- v- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.632 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A. S. ThatreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.10.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 07.10.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.635 of 2021</p> <p>M. D. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is filed for grant of deemed date of appointment i.e. 04.12.2013 as against 13.11.2018 on which the Applicant was actually appointed on the post of Talathi. The Applicant contends that she was eligible and entitled to be appointed in 2013. She was wrongly denied the appointment for five years and ultimately came to be appointed only on 30.11.2018.</p> <p>3. Thus, admittedly the Applicant came to be appointed on 13.11.2018, therefore, question arises how she can claim service benefits retrospectively w.e.f. 04.12.2013 on the ground that her colleagues were appointed on 04.12.2013.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant to satisfy the Tribunal how O.A. in itself form vis-a-vis relief claimed is maintainable.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.662 of 2021</p> <p>M. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order of punishment imposed in D.E. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.10.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 05.10.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.58 of 2021 in M. A. No.59 of 2021 in O.A. 05 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & OrsApplicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>D. R. Wadkure ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Applicants) and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. M.A. Nos 58 & 59/2021 are filed for condonation of delay and extension of time respectively to implement the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.05/2020 on 28.01.2020 whereby the directions were given to complete the D.E. within four months from the date of order.</p> <p>3. The Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2019 but his retiral benefits were withheld because of pendency of departmental enquiries. O.A.No.05/2020 is for direction to release retrial benefits and it was disposed of with direction as stated above.</p> <p>4. Now, these Misc. Applications are filed on 03.02.2021 for condonation of delay and to extend the time granted by the Tribunal for completion of departmental enquiries.</p> <p>5. Thus, Misc. Applications are indeed filed much after expiration of time granted by the Tribunal for completion of D.E. Any such application for extension of time ought to have been filed before expiration of time granted by the Tribunal. This itself shows total casual approach of the Respondents. The Applicant is deprived of his retiral benefits for more than two years and despite enough time, departmental enquiries are not completed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[P.T.O.]</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. When specific quarry was made to learned P.O. as to what is present status of D.E.s, all that she stated that she needs to talk with the concerned to know the present status of D.E.s. None is present from the Respondents.</p> <p>7. In view of above, it is necessary to know the bonafide, if any, on the part of Respondents for extension of time and present status of D.E.s needs to be seen as to what steps are taken by the disciplinary authority i.e. Respondent No.1 in this behalf.</p> <p>8. The Principal Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistant Department, Mumbai is the disciplinary authority of the Applicant. He, therefore, directed to file affidavit explaining the steps taken in D.E.s for its completion as well as present status.</p> <p>9. Affidavit be filed within seven days.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.420 of 2021</p> <p>M.S. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 28.09.2021. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;"> [^] Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.09.2021

O.A. No.437 of 2021

S.A. ChavanApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.
3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 29.09.2021.

N
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.458 of 2021</p> <p>A.R. SalunkheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Jadhava, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.09.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.474 of 2021</p> <p>V.B. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.229 of 2021 O.A.545 of 2021</p> <p>S. N. TeleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted as a last chance for filing reply in M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.258 of 2021</p> <p>R. A. GholapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. K. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.209 of 2021</p> <p>D. K. DokeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.318 of 2021</p> <p>C. Y. Yemal ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Shri V. B. Dhage, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>