## [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

## O.A.1355/2009

Shri M.K. Subedar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

Following the order of 27th August, 2020, this 1. Special Bench has been constituted to hear the matter.

Heard learned P.O. Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad and 2 Advocate Shri Vivek Patil for Respondents 7,9,11,12,18,20, 21,23,24,27,28,30,33,34,37,38,39,45,46,48,52,55,56,65,66 ,68,69,71,72,74,75,77,80,82,84,85,86,90,91,96,98 and 99. The Applicant is present in person. Other Advocates are absent.

By order dated 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2020, following 3. directions were issued.

> "5. In view of the above, it is necessary for Respondents no.2 & 3 to explain how two Answer Sheets are forthcoming in view of the information availed by Respondent no.44 under R.T.I. that there is no signature of Shri Kumbhar (Invigilator) on Answer Sheet. In view of the above, Respondents no.1 & 2 are directed to file fresh affidavit to explain this mystery. It is desirable that affidavit of Shri Kumbhar (Invigilator) should be filed."

The learned P.O. mentions that she is filing 4. Affidavit with copy to the Respondents as well as Applicant after proper pagination in terms of order dated 03.03.2020. It is taken on record.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The learned Advocate for the Respondents Mr. Vivek Patil submits that he has already concluded his arguments. He further states that other Advocates have also concluded their arguments. Even then, we are giving one more chance to remaining Advocates including Mr. Balasaheb Deshmukh to make his arguments or 14<sup>th</sup>. September, 2020. He is directed to remain present accordingly. The other Advocate for the Respondents, if they have anything further to add, they may remain present.

6. The learned P.O. is directed to seek fresh instructions after revisiting the matter by the competent authorities and make available the views of the competent authorities. As per her request, the matter is kept on 21<sup>st</sup> September, 2020 for advancing the arguments by learned P.O. Tentatively. The Advocate for the Applicant as well as the Applicant in person are scheduled to respond on 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2020 as per the request made by Applicant in person. In case, any Advocate intends to submit his written arguments instead of oral arguments, he may do so with copy to all, because of the present pandemic situation.

7. The matter will be heard further on 14<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2020 as mentioned above.

8. The Registrar is directed to notify accordingly to all concerned for making necessary arrangements.

(Å.P. Kurhekar) |Member-J |07.09.2020

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 07.09.2020

Sd/-