은 C 단) 내 2200(B) (50,000--2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

. **k**

.

.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Driginal Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearence, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	;		
	O.A. No.353 of 2018			
	S. Dhumal Vs.	pplicant		
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	.Respondents		
	Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate f Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, searned Pres Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. Not on board. Mentioned a	nd taken on record.		
7/9/18	3. Time to carry out the an 11.9.2018.	nendment is extended by		
NAPN. DMIT (Nember) A		Sd/-		
- Sændeap Dere	(coi)	(P.N. L. ixit) Member (A) 7.9.1918		
•	(sgj)	· .		
is a state the Automatic				
Poter the prespiral.				
The to carry out				
the energhient es				
extended by 11/9/18.				

C.C.P. J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

(Spl. MAT 7-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Driginal Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orc	lers
	<u>M.A. No.236 of 2017 in</u>	O.A. N 0.504 of 2017
	R.B. Mondke Vs.	Applicant
·	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	sRespondents
	Heard Shri A.R. Josh Applicant and Miss Savita Sur Officer for the Respondents.	i, learned Advocate for the yawanshi, learned Presenting
	2. Ld. PO produces con from respondent no.1. The s marked 'X' for identificatio requested for eight weeks to pro-	n. The respondents have
TIQUEN, DIXIT (Member) A	3. Ld. Advocate for the a matter has been prolonged since same he seeks directions to exp	
	4. The respondents are dir in four weeks.	ected to expedite the decision
A.R. JOSM	5. S.O. to 1.11.2018.	· · · ·
to to the Appleont	6. Steno copy and hamd .directed to communicate this or	ast 5 granted. 5.d. PO is der to the respondents.
		Sd/-
· 110118.		(P.N. Dixit)
Steino copy and hendot	· .	Mennt er (A) 7.9. 018
es granted.	(sgj)	,,,, 010
la fai		
	<i>с</i>	

0.0.0.1 J 2200031 (30.000-2.2013)

.....

1861. MZ 1-F-2 r.

. .

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

•

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙŃ

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Abbearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders				
	M.A. No.212 of 2018 in O.A. No.273 of 2018					
	S.S. I	Vs.	Applic			
	Appl	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Ms. A.S. Patki learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.				
	2.	Ld. CPO seeks time to ile i	reply.			
3 	3.	S.O. to 24.9.2018.				
DATE 17918 CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A			Sd/- (P.N+Dixii)` Member (A)			
ADDEADALC	(sgj)		7.9.2018	·		
brismt Mg. 5. P. Manchakas. P.O.M. for the Respondent/s						
d.15.0. 10 2.4/9/18	,					
				-		

○ C P (→ 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

Spl.- MA C-F-2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance. Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Q.A. No.803 of 2018

Tribunal's orders

R.K. Javkar Vs.

(sgj)

...Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.

..Respondents

Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter may be placed before appropriate Division Bench in view of the order dated 12.4.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 7.9.2018

DATE 7/9/18

cerbie Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

mismi R.G. Pane

threasan for the App input

Thriest S.D. Dole Sports to the Personal Statis

Idi/SO to Matter May be Place before epplopuiate Division Bench in view of the ander dt. 12/4/18

□ C P) → 2260(B) (50.000--2-2015)

Spl. MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNA MUMBAT

M.A./R.A./C.A. No

of 20

IN

Placed bekone Houble Charaman

Adison The mother be

Driginal Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.203 of 201 ' .. Applicant S.B. Kokarc Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Ms. S.N. Saroj, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the + oplicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned P-senting Osficer for the Respondents. Ld. PO mentions that MA No.382 of 201 was heard 2. by Honble Chairman on 6.8.2018. The order (i) this regard are still awaited. The matter, therefore, n ay be placed DATE before the Hon ble Chairman. CORAM Anthie Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A The matter be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman. 3. Sd/-APPEARANCE Shrifsmi Ms. S.N (P.N. Dixit) holding for D.B.K Member (A) Advinced for the Applicant 7.9.2018 (sgj) State N.S. S. Sury concus

((10)) = J(2260(B)/(50,000-2.2015))

Spl. MAT F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

1.0

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

4

ΙN

•

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	ers			
	<u>O.A. No.659</u>	of 2017			
	V. Kallur Vs.	Applicant			
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents			
	Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advo Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, lear Presenting Officer for the Respondents.				
	2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file	e reply.			
TATE 7/9/18	3. S.O. to 12.10.2018.				
ORAM		Sd/-			
osida Shri P.N. DiXIT (Mamber) A		(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 7.9.2018			
RANCE . V.P. Potbhase	(sgj)				
nuncets for the Applicant					
NO. 101 the Respondent/s	-				
1100 10 12/10/18					
Correct	·				
		•			

D.C P (J 2260(B) (50.000-2-2015)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or cirections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	· ·
	<u>O.A. No.853 of 2017</u>	· · · · · ·
	B.R. Gulve Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learn Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchek Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Admit. To come up for final hear	ring in due course.
	3. Liberty to file rejoinder, if any.	
DATE 719118		Sd/-
CORAM Ann bha Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A		P. N. Dixit) 1ember (A) 7.9.2018
ARANCE: chandrated	(sgj)	
the Applicant		
State Ms. S. P. Manchettan		
in due course.		• •
(appent		

(.,C⁻⁷) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIVUNAL MUMBAI

÷ . ÷

 $M.A./R.A./C.A. N_{\rm P}$

of 20

I N

)riginal Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribun	al's orders
	<u>0.A.</u>	No.1081 of 2017
	D.N. Ghalpade & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents
		Kamble, learned Advocate for the S.P. Manchekar, learned Chie Respondents.
	2. Ld. CPO files rep	ly and the same is taken on record.
	3. Admit. To come	up for final hearing in due course.
TE 7/9/18	4. Liberty to file rejo	oinder. if any.
noble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A		Sd/-
FARANCE.		(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 7.9.2018
Classifier	(sgj)	
rvocate for the Applicant		
20/P.O. for the Respondent/s 20/P.O. for the Respondent/s 2004 High by A. 100.122.		
us course.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

·C.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50.000---2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

٨

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notas, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orde s		
	O.A. No.3: 3 of 2018			
	S. Dhumal Vs. The State of Maha	arashtra & Ors.	Applicant .Respondents	
		i Sandeep Dere, learn Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, spondents.		
	2. Not on boa	ard. Mentioned and tal	key on record.	
7/9/18	3. Time to c 11.9.2018.	carry out the amendn	nent is extended by	
AND PN. DIXIT (Member) A			Sd/-	
			P.N. Dixit) Aember (A) 7.9.2 018	
Sænderp Dere	(sgj)		7.2.m 710	
Starst 12.5. Gailenad	•	· .		
in Po face the prespondents.				
Time to correy out				
the enerdment es				
7 Concel	•			
		· ·	· ·	
		· .		

(; ('0) J 2260(B) (50,000---2-2015)

+ pl $-M_{\odot}$ T $^{+}$ 2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBU JAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	M.A. No.507 of 2016 in O.A. No.110	04 of 2016
	R.S. Pawar	pplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	R espondents
	Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar. Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	le arned Chief
	2. Applicant in person is absent. He se	eeks adjournment.
	3. S.O. to 4.10.2018.	
DATE 7/9/18 DORAM Honible Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	i fe	Sd/- N. Dixit) mber (A) .9.2018
Person Lo absent.		· · · · ·
HONO for the Respondent/s HONO tor the Respondent/s HONO to H 110/18		•

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learne Advocate holdi for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applica and Miss Neelima Gohad. learned Presering Officer for t Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 15.10.20 8. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal at the stage and separate notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with compt ete paper boo of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that 1 e case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad bission hearing 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by F and delivery/ spec post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days and service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor		
Office Notes, Office Memorandis of Coran, Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Tribunal's orders O.A. No.824 of 2018 Y.R. Patil .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents		• • •
Office Notes, Office Memorandis of Coran, Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Tribunal's orders O.A. No.824 of 2018 Y.R. Patil .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents		
Appearance. Tribunal's orders Tribunal's orders Q.A. No.824 of 2018 Y.R. Patil Applicant V.R. Patil Applicant S. Tribunal may take the case for final dispo al at the stage of ad vision hearing disposal at the stage of ad vision hearing disposit at the disposal at the stage of ad vision hearing		2
Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors		
 for Shri L.S. Deshnukh, learned Advoce e for the Applica and Miss Neelina Gohad. learned Presering Officer for the Respondents. Issue notice returnable on 15.10.20 8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal at the stage and separate notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing to O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing the disposal at the stage of ad hission the difference of the affidavit compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance in the file three days before turnable date. OA shall stand dismissed without refere		
 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal at the stage of a directed to serve a Respondents intimation/notice of date o' hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with come tet paper boo of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that t e case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad ission hearing 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternaremedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by t and delivery/ spectosticourier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days and service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without referent to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8 Sd/- (P.M. Dixit Member (A) 7.9.2 118. 	х С.	Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learne Advocate holdin for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicar and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presering Officer for th Respondents.
 stage and separate notice for final dispoil need not issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve a Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper box of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad bission hearing 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Find delivery/ spectorurer and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days and service report on affidavit is not filed three days before turnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without referent to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. Sd/- (P.N. Dixit Member (A) 7.9.2 18. 		2. Issue notice returnable on 15.10.20 8.
 Respondents intimation/notice of date o' hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that t e case would taken up for final disposal at the stage of ad hission hearing S. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open. The service may be done by F ind delivery/ spectrostic along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. The case notice is not collected within three days and service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date. OA shall stand dismissed without referen to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. Sd/- (P.M. Dixit Member (A) 7.9.2 118. 		stage and separate notice for final dispo il need not b
 The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternar remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by F and delivery/ spectrost/courier and acknowledgement be obtained as produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days and service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date. OA shall stand dismissed without referent to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. Sd/- (P.M. Dixit Member (A) 7.9.2 118. 	•	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve or Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
 Bei P.N. DIXIT (Member) A post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days and service report on affidavit is not filed three days befor returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without referent to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. Sd/- (P.N. Dixit Member (A) 7.9.2 18 	7/0/18	the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternat
No du Mg Kocz L-S Deskuk service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without referen- to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. Sd/- (P.N. Dixit Member (A) 7.9.2 18.	· · ·	post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registr within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit o
Sd/- Sd/- 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18	> This is the Apolicant	k service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference
7.9.2)18		Sd/-
(sgj)	15/10/18.	Member (A) 7.9.2-18
	e	_ (sgj)
		,

 $\mathbf{2}$ Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's o ders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.823 of 2018 S.R. Gaikwad ...Appl cant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Rest ondents Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocite holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 15,10.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for fir J disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on 4. Respondents intimation/notice of dute of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of : Imissi in hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of 5. the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Proc. Jure) Rules. 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. DATE The service may be done by hand 6.1 elivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement b CORAM obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry Handhie Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. In case notice is not collected waterin three days and if 7. service report on affidavit is not filed three days before 14 Kocr returnable date. OA shall stand dismissed without reference the Applican to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. Sd/-(P.N.¹ ixit) Memb r (A) 7.9 018 (sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.721 of 2018 .. Applicant S.S. Bhosale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri R.G. Panchal. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Mancheka, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Issue notice returnable on 22.10.7)18. 2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this Ż. stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on 4. Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of 5. the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules. 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kent open. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed 6. post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and DATE produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. Sta Bod P.N. DIXIT (Member) A In case notice is not collected within seven days and 7. if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference Pala to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. m the Applicant Sd/-Ne. S.P. Maenc (P.N. Dixit Memter (A) 0.0 22/10/18 7.9. .018 (sgj)

·					
	· ·	× *			
	2	2	• •		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders			Tribunal's or O.A. No.82		
	S.L	Sayyed			Applicant
		Vs.	Maharashtra & Or	.e	Respondents
	THE				-
	and	Shri L.S.	Deshmukh, learn 9. Manchekar, lea	ed Advocate	Advocate 'holding for the Applicant Presenting Officer
	2.	Issue	notice returnable	on 15. '0.201	8.
	3. ståg issue	e and se			al disposal at this osal need not be
	auth of C	pondents enticated).A. Resp	intimation/notice by Registry, alo	e of date ng with con o notice that	of to serve or of hearing duly uplete paper book the case would be mission hearing.
	198	Maharash	tra Administrativ	e Tribunal (under Rule 11 o Procedure) Rules ion and alternate
DATE 7918 CC 30M Hontole Shri F.N. DIXIT (Member) A	proc with	/courier luced alo iin one w	and acknowled ng with affidavit	lgement be of complian	d delivery/ speed e obtained and ce in the Registry o file affidavit o
APPEARANCE: Shiding Boon L. S. Denmut Horos to Applicant	7. serv retu to T	rice repoi mable da	e notice is not co rt on affidavit is te. OA shall stan nd papers be cons	not filed t d dismissed	hree days befor without referenc
0 10 In Rospondenijs				.(P.)	Sd/- 1. Dixit mber (A
5/10/18.			•		9.2018 .
awier	(sgj)			
	,				
			`		

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A.53/2018 Mr. M.M. Hattali - Applicant Vs. The 5tate of Mah. & ors. . Res 1 ndents Heard Shri A.A. Deshpande, learned / Idvocate 1. for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Mancheka, learned C.P.O. with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.C for the Respondents. The learned Advocate for the Applicant 2. submits that he has been instructed by hi: client to withdraw this O.A. with permission to file resh O.A. chailenging the order passed by the S.I.O. The learned Advocate has also filed pursis to nat effect which is marked Exh. 'X' for identification . 7 9 2018 CORAM : J. D. Kulkamiky() In view thereof, permission is granted to 3. Hen'ble Justice Shri A withdraw the O.A. O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs. APPEARANCE: A.A. Dompande , Z. L. Rus for the Applicant Sd/-Strugger S-P. Mencher C.R.O. For the Respondent/s (J.I Kulkarni) Vic_-Chairman 07.09.2018 Adj. 15.0. to OA stands of as withdrawn with (skw) OS.) order as to costs

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

•

...

of 20

 $(p_{1}, \dots, p_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions und Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.88/2018</u>			
	Mr. S.S. Dhobale Vs. The State of Mah. & ors.	Applicant		
	me state of Man. & ors,	Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.			
DATE: 7/9/2018	2. In this O.A, the App impugned order dated Respondent No.2 whereby p two increments permanent the Applicant. The learned A submits that he has not e filing appeal against the sa No.2, and therefore, the App to withdraw the O.A. with p before the appellate authorit	y has been inflicted upon dvocate for the Applicant xhausted the remedy of id order of Respondent plicant may be permitted permission to file anneal		
CONTAIN: J. D. Kulkamy (V/C) Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) APPEARANCE: Shorspat R. M. Kelge Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>0.4 stands</u> Adj./S.O. to <u>0.4 stands</u>	 In view of the fact the departmental appeal against request is accepted. The O.A. stands dispos permission to file appeal authority i.e. Respondent authority may consider all the may be filed. No order as to costs. 	ed of as withdrawn with before the appellate No.1. The appellate		
372	(skw)	Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman 07.09.2018		

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

. . .

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

~

of 20

l N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>O.A.153/2018</u>			
	Mr. S.A. Bhosale Vs.	Applicant		
	The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri A.A. Desh for the Applicant and Ms. S C.P.O. with Smt. K.S. Gaikwa Respondents.	oande, learned Advocate S.P. Manchekar, learned ad, learned P.O. for the		
	2. The learned Advoca submits that he has been ins withdraw this O.A. with perm challenging the order passe learned Advocate has also fil- which is marked Exh. 'X' for ide	nission to file fresh O.A. d by the S.D.O. The ed pursis to that effect		
DATE: 7/9/2018 CORAM: J. D.K. Kanj (V/C)	3. In view thereof, per withdraw the O.A. O.A. s withdrawn with no order as to	mission is granted to tands disposed of as costs.		
TAMP.				
- A.A. Deshfande		Sd/- (J.D. Kuľkarni) ^v رَحَّ		
- Laie for the Applicant http://smt : S. P. MAN Chelles with POIRO for the Respondenties	(skw)	Vice-Chairman 07.09.2018		
P.O/P.O. for the Respondentis (S. Gai KWcd for Ropps. CA stands disposed of				
withdrawn with no order 3 te costs.				
87e				

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.627/2018

Mr. M.P. Kanoje Vs.

... Applicant

... Respondents

The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

In this O.A, the Applicant has challenged the 2. order of suspension dated 22.06.2018. Admittedly, the Applicant has not preferred an appeal against this order before the appellate authority. The learned Advocate for the Applicant after taking instructions from his client submits that the Applicant may be permitted to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file appeal against the order.

Since the alternative remedy of appeal is 3. available, the Applicant is allowed to withdraw the 0.A.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant 4. submits that the Applicant will prefer appeal within one week and the same will be decided within two months from the date of receipt of appeal memo by the appellate authority.

5. The O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn. The Applicant will be at liberty to file appeal against the order of suspension before the competent appellate authority and the competent appellate authority shall take decision on such appeal within two months from the date of receiving of the appeal made.

> (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman 07.09.2018

. / Sd/-

DATE : CORAM : Hen'ble Justice Shri A

APPEARANCE: D. B. Kharve

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt . S.P. Mancheke C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. 15.0. to OA stands . of as withdrawn

(skw)

1.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

MUMBAI				
Original Application No.	of 20	Distr	ICT Applican /	
(Advocate)	Applicall is	
The Sta		ersus harashtra and others		
			Responde at/s	
Presenting Officer	•••••)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's order	cs	
		<u>0.A.6</u>	47/2018	
		Mr. S.S. Kapse Vs.	Applicant	
		The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents	
		 Heard Shri Aditya F for the Applicant and Ms. N. N.K. Rajpurhoit, learned Pr Respondents. 	Pratap, learned Advocate G. Gohad ho ding for Shri resenting Officien for the	
		2. The learned Advoca Rejoinder. It is taken on rec the Respondents.	te for the Ar olicant files ord and copi :s served on	
DATE :7]9]2018 CORAM :		3. On the request of le Applicant, S.O. to 19 th Septen	earned Advocate for the nber, 2018.	
Hon'ble Justice Shri A: H. Joshi (Chairman)			Sd/-	
APPEARANCE: Shri/Sout Aditya preter		(skw)	('.D. Kulkarni) Vi re-Chairman 0 '.09.2018	
Advocate for the Applicant				
Shri/Smt : H.G. Gohed C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s				
Adj. 15.0. to 19/9/2018.				

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 0.A.818/2018 Mrs. A.G. Rao ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Responder ts 1. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, learne i Advoca e for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, lea ned Presunting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 5th Dr. ober, 2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for inal disposal shall not be issued. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve 4. on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final d' posai at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation / notice is ordered inder Rule 5. 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunai (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questic ns such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept (pen. The service may be done by 'land Delivery / 6. DATE :___7 912018 Speed Post / Courier and ack iowledgement be CORAM ; obtained and produced along with affidavit of .D. Kulken (V/C) Hen'ble Justice Shri A: H. Joshi (Chairman) compliance in the Registry vithin one week. Applicant is directed to file Aff' avit of compliance APPEARANCE: and notice. Shrifsmt M.R. Patil 7. In case, notice is not collected with a three days or service report on affidavit is not file - 3 days Advocate for the Applicant before returnable date, Orig al Applicati n shall Shri/Smt .: H. G. Gohan C. O. D. for the Respondent/s stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. Adj. S.O. to Faste notice S.O. to 5th October, 2018. 8. vetumatic on stiol 2018. Sd/-H.L. (D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman 07.09.2018 (skw)

O.A.821/2018

Dr. S.S. Lahade Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files on record the copies of FIR and Police papers. The same are taken on record and marked as Annexure 'X' for the purposes of identification.

3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has prayed that the suspension order dated 9th August, 2018 is challenged in this O.A. The said order has been passed under Rule 4-A of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeals) Rules and no reasons are given for suspension. He places reliance on the Judgment delivered by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.9660 of 2014 in the case of The State of Maharashtra Vs. Subhash D. Mane in this regard.

4. Vide order dated 06.09.2018, this Tribunal has directed the P.O. to take instructions from the competent authority in the matter and make statement accordingly.

5. The learned P.O. places on record the copies of FiR and other Police investigation documents and submitted that the FIR under Sections 420 and 198 of the IPC has been registered against the Applicant and his wife, and therefore, the Respondents are directed to file reply. The copies of FIR and other documents are taken on record and marked as Annexure 'X' for identification.

6. No appeal has been filed against the order of suspension, but that can be understood because the Applicant is going to retire on 30⁴⁴ September, 2018. However, considering the aliegations against the Applicant, it will be in the interest of justice to direct the Respondents to file Affidavit-in-reply.

7. S.O. to 21st September, 2018 for filing reply. The claim of interim relief will be considered on filing reply.

> Sd/- (**)** (J.D. Kulkarni) ' Vice-Chairman 07.09.2018

DATE: 7/9/2018 CORAN J.D. KWHYANi (V/C) Han'ble Justice Victi A. H. doshi (Chairman)

APTEARANCE: A.V. Salkotker

In Freesie for the Applicant

Shri/Sml.	. + h	6.6	buch	
0 P.O/P.C). for the	Respo	ondent/s	

HE

Adj. 15.0. to 21/9/2018.

(skw)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

ISpl.- NAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAI MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

<u>O.A.442/2018</u>

Mr. R.M. Tawade Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant in this O.A. is claiming following reliefs.

"(a) This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to hold and declare that the Petitioner is entitled to receive all pensionary benefits consequent upon his retirement on superannuation and the Respondents be directed to release the arrears of pension as well as regular pension forthwith, along with interest thereon @ 12% p.a. with effect from the date on which payment of pension was due and payable till realization.

(b) Pending the hearing and final disposal of the present O.A, Respondents be directed to pay to the Petitioner arrears of provisional pension and continue to pay the provisional pension regularly, on such terms and conditions as this Hon'bie Tribunal may deem fit and proper."

from

3. On the admitted facts and the record, it seems that the Applicant was appointed as Police Constable on 16.06.1979, was promoted as Police Naik in 2001 and thereafter, as a Head Constable in 2008. He got retired on superannuation on 31.1.2016.

4. initially for six months, he received the provisional pension but the said provisional pension also has been stopped. Now, he is neither receiving

DATE: 719/2018 CORAM: J. D. KWKor

Han'ble-Justice Shri A: H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE: Shrijsont: M.D. Lonker

Advocate for the Applicant

Adi./S.O. to

Shri/Smt K.S. GOKWed C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

O.A. 15 allowed. the

H.

Office Notes, Office Memorandu of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribungl's orders

2

provisional pension nor regular pension or any pensionary benefits.

5. The learned P.O. submitted that the proposal for provisional pension was submitted to the A.G, but the A.G. rejected the same on the ground that, as per Rule 126(6)(a), no further extension of provisional pension beyond one year will be admissible.

6. The regular pension has not been granted on the ground that the leave period from 26.12.1982 to 29.02.1991 has not been regularized. The learned P.O. submits that a proposal in this regard has already been submitted to the Government and the same is under consideration. The copy of such proposal is placed on record at Paper-book Page No.18 (Exb. 'G'), dated 16.02.2018.

7. In short, the Applicant could not receive provisional pension beyond six months and regular pension because of non regularization of leave. The proposal is pending since February, 2018.

8. Considering all these aspects, admittedly, the Applicant is not responsible for getting regular pension or provisional pension beyond six months. Hence, I pass the following order.

ORDER

The Original Application is allowed. The Respondent No.1 is directed to take decision on the proposal dated 16.02.2018 as regards regularization of leave period of Applicant as per its own merits within two months from the date of this order and immediately thereafter, the pensionary benefits shall be granted to the Applicant as may be admissible in law.

In the meanwhile, the Respondent No.4 is directed to pass an order regarding release of provisional pension till the regular pension is granted to the Applicant. No order as to costs. Hamdast.

> Sd/-(J.D. Kulkarni)` Vice-Chairman 07.09.2018

(skw)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders M.A. No.457 of 2018 in O.A. No.651 of 2018 R.V. Somane . .. Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents Heard Applicant in person and Miss S.P. Manchekar. learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This MA is for restoring the OA which was dismissed for want of prosecution. The applicant has appeared in person and pleads ignorance about the 8 DATE procedure. CORAM 3. For the reason stated therein MA is allowed and OA Hanible Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A is restored to file. Sd/-APPEARANC In person snow Applicant (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) Advocate for the Applicant 7.9.2018 (sgj) choleh Christian Ma · C.P.C/P.C. for the Desocutiont/s M.A. is allows

R.V. Somane Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Applicant in person ar learned Chief Presenting Officer for	Applicant Respondents	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Applicant in person ar	Respondents	
•		
2. Issue fresh notice returnable of	on 21.9.2018.	
3. Tribunal may take the case the stage and separate notice for final issued.		
Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, alo g wi of O.A. Respondents are put t notice	th complete paper boo e that the case would b	
 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (P becdure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation at 1 altern remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delive y/ spe post/courier and acknowledgement be obtailed a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regis within one week. Applicant is directed to file aff davit compliance and notice. 		
7. In case notice is not collecter service report on affidavit is not returnable date. OA shall stand dis r	filed three day : befor issed without : ference	
	- 1 - 1	
	Sd/- (P.N. Div it)	
(sgj)	(P.N. 195 ft) Member A) 7.9.20 8	
	х Х	
	 stage and separate notice for 'inal issued. 4. Applicant is authorize and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, alo g wire of O.A. Respondents are put to notice taken up for final disposal at the stage 5. This intimation/notice is ord the Maharashtra Administrative Trib 1988, and the questions such as the remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done be post/courier and acknowledgement produced along with affidavit of contwithin one week. Applicant is dire compliance and notice. 	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

~

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunat's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.781 of 2018</u>	
	S.C. Shingade	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri C.R. Nagare, lea Applicant and Miss S.P. Mane Presenting Officer for the Respondent	hekar, learned Chics
	2. Ld. CPO relies on the order date the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. Hemant Vs. MPSC & Anr. She there made by the Ld. Advocate for the Ap	fore, opposes the prayer
7918 P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	3. Ld. Advocate for the Applic issuing interim relief has been pass Hon'ble Chairman in OA No.802 of The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	ant states that the order sed on 6.9.2018 by the
RANCE: E.R. Nogaro	4. In view of the foregoing th before the Hon'ble Chairman for app	e matter may be placed propriate directions.
the Applicant	5. Ed. Advocate for the App mention by proper circulation today.	plicant is at liberty
Ms. S. P. Monchekar He. Applicant is at libert to mention by peroper clizendation today.	(sgj)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 7.9.2018
- -		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 781 OF 2018

DISTRICT : PUNE

Ms Swati Baban Shingade) Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra) Respondents

Shri Chetan R. Nagare, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)

DATE : 07.09.2018

<u>O R D E R</u>

1. Heard Shri Chetan R. Nagare, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. This matter is placed before me in view of order passed by Hon'ble Member (A) today.

3. The relief sought in the O.A is opposed by learned C.P.O, relying upon the order passed by Hon'ble High Court in W.P 8761/2017.

4. Perused the order in W.P 8761/2017. It is seen that the examination which was scheduled on 6.8.2017 was already held and W.P was heard and order was passed on 7.8.2017. The text of the order passed by Hon'ble High Court does not reveal adjudication and all that it reveals is dismissal of petition.

It is not shown that Hon'ble High Court has held that candidate who had applied in any reserved category cannot be considered in open general category.

- 5. Heard on interim relief. Applicant has pointed out that:-
 - (a) Applicant belongs to N.T.C category.
 - (b) Applicant has secured 59.75 marks in preliminary exams.
 - (c) Cut off for open female is 59 marks.
 - (d) Cut off for NTC general is 65 marks.
 - (e) Applicant is liable to be placed in open competition category in view of her marks, which is denied relying on the General Administration Department's circular dated 13.08.2014.
 - (f) According to the applicant she qualifies for appearing for Main Examination which is due on 14.10.2018 for which last date for submitting requisite forms is 11.9.2018, if she is considered in open general category.
 - (f) In view of law as is reiterated in case of <u>Asha Ramnath</u> <u>Gholap V. President, District Selection</u> <u>Committee/Collector, Beed and others, 2016 (3)</u> <u>ABR 376 (Aurangabad Bench)</u>, Applicant cannot be denied chance in open merit/open competition category.

6. In view of the foregoing facts and law as it stands, it is necessary in the interest of justice that the applicant be permitted for main examination, 2018 for the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise to be able to compete in open competition as well.

7. Hence, interim relief is granted in terms of prayer clause 9(a), which reads as follows:-

- "9 Interim order prayed for:-
 - (a) Pending hearing and final decision of this Original Application, this Tribunal may be pleased to direct the Respondent no. 3, the Maharashtra Public Service Commission to allow the applicant to fill up the form for the Main Examination which will be held for the post of Sub Inspector in State Excise Department from open female category.

(Quoted from page 8 of the paper book of O.A.)

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.

9. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O. to 26.11.2018.

Sd/-(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman

Place : Mumbai Date : 07.09.2018 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

H:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2018 \Sept. 2018
\O.A 781.18, Selection Process challenged, DB, Chairman.doc