

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 08.08.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.789 of 2017</b></p> <p>S. K. Pawar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is taken up for 'Speaking to Minutes' to correct the O.A. No.in order dated 07.08.2023.</p> <p>3. In order dated 07.08.2023, O.A. No.is mentioned 307/2016 but infact it is O.A.No.307/2012. As such, it be corrected as 307/2012 in place of 307/2016 in order dated 07.08.2023.</p> <p>4. The corrections be accordingly carried out in order dated 07.08.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p align="left">vsm</p> |



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p style="color: red; font-style: italic;">* Corrected as per order dated<br/>08.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center; color: blue; font-style: italic;"> <br/>           9-8-23<br/> <b>Registrar</b><br/> <b>Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal</b><br/> <b>Mumbai.</b> </p> | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.789 of 2017</p> <p>S.K. Pawar .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this O.A. needs to be heard along with O.A. No.310/2019. He further submits that there is one M.A. No.488/2016 filed in O.A. No.307/2016 (already disposed of O.A) and the said M.A. No.488/2016 is also required to be heard together.</li> <li>3. Office has listed O.A. No.789/2017 only for Final Hearing and O.A. No.310/2019 as well as M.A. No.488/2016 filed in O.A. No.307/2016 is not forthcoming.</li> <li>4. In view of above, Office is directed to trace the record of O.A. 310/2019 as well as M.A. No.488/2016 filed in O.A. No.307/2016 and it be listed for hearing along with O.A. No.789/2017.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">[P.T.O.]</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.640, 684, 1130,1131, 1141 &amp; 1142 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.S. Ratho<br/>S.D. Singh<br/>L.S. Patil<br/>A.B. Sali<br/>S.B. Chaudhari<br/>S.R. Ambadekar ..Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard B.A. Bandiwdekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files replies in OA No.1130 &amp; 1131 of 2022 and submits that replies in other OAs is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.8.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)<br/>7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.45 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.B. Patil ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.9.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)<br/>7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

O.A. No.221 of 2023

S.R. Munekar ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply and rejoinder are already filed.
3. Admit.
4. S.O. to 28.8.2023 for final hearing.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
7.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.629 of 20</u></p> <p>S.D. Vhatkar ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.9.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)<br/>7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.536 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.M. More &amp; Ors. ..Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anjali Kolapkar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Siddhant Sinha i/b. Hammurabi &amp; Solomon Partners, Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 21.8.2023 by way of last chance to file reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.808 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.S. Zute ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant is disabled and has received letter dated 26.7.2023 to vacate her service quarter at Byculla.</p> <p>3. Till filing of the reply the applicant is asked not to vacate the service quarter.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate submits that letter dated 26.7.2023 is required to be challenged and he seeks leave to amend the OA.</p> <p>5. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy of OA be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.6 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.Y. Shinde &amp; Anr. ..Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO to verify whether the order dated 14.2.2023 passed by this Tribunal is complied with and accordingly inform.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.215 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.S. Manjare ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that interim relief is rejected and there is no urgency in the matter. Since this Tribunal is hearing the matters of 2016 this matter may be adjourned and HOB may be removed.</p> <p>3. Removed from HOB.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.8.2023<br/>(sgj)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.8.2023</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.94 &amp; 249 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Zade<br/>S.S. Kamble ..Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 3 and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Ld. Advocate for private respondents wants time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.376 of 2022 with O.A. No.737 of 2021

S.S. Bhamare ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.P. Mengane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA is filed for condoning delay of 740 days in filing OA. The applicant had applied for the post of Assistant Commissioner of Labour vide advertisement dated 23.4.2010. The recommendation list was published on 1.10.2012. The applicant filed W.P. No.2347 of 2017 and the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 13.8.2019 observed as follows:

*"The Petitioner is seeking to set aside the selection of other candidates in the selection list dated 1 October 2012 prepared by the Respondent No.1 for the post of Assistant Labour Commissioner. The selection list is dated 1 October 2012 and the present Petition is only filed on 7 May 2016. We are not satisfied with the explanation given in para 11 of the Petition for the delay in filing the Writ Petition. The Writ Petition suffers from gross delay and laches. Even otherwise, the Petitioner has approached this Court instead of approaching the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal. In the circumstances, we are not inclined to entertain the Petition. The Petition is dismissed."*

3. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant was married and in between she was pregnant and thus she was not able to get legal advise.

4. We do not find sufficient reasons to condone the delay. MA is dismissed on account of delay and laches. In view of the above OA is also dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
7.8.2023

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.616 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.P. Chimankar ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received written instructions dated 4.8.2023 from the applicant to withdraw the above OA. Written instructions are taken on record and marked Court Exhibit 1 for identification.</p> <p>3. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)<br/>7.8.2023</td><td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p> | (Medha Gadgil)<br>Member (A)<br>7.8.2023 | (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br>Chairperson<br>7.8.2023 |
| (Medha Gadgil)<br>Member (A)<br>7.8.2023  | (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br>Chairperson<br>7.8.2023   |  |  |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.483 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.S. Jaybhaye &amp; Anr. ..Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO on instructions from Ms. Manisha Kamble, Office Superintendent, DMO, Thane submits that 3 posts are vacant. However, applicants are found not eligible. The point raised by the applicants is that after commencement of the selection process, the rules are changed and the names of the applicants are taken out.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.8.2023 by way of last chance to file reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.231 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.U. Lokhande ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgl)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.195 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Yadav ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Kapadia, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.113 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.K. Biwde ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.9.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)<br/>7.8.2023</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p> | (Medha Gadgil)<br>Member (A)<br>7.8.2023 | (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br>Chairperson<br>7.8.2023 |
| (Medha Gadgil)<br>Member (A)<br>7.8.2023  | (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br>Chairperson<br>7.8.2023   |  |  |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.2 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.K. Sirsat ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.9.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.872 of 2019

S.J. Gore ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.10.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
7.8.2023

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.483 of 2019 in O.A. No.872 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.J. Gore .Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning delay of 3 years in filing OA. In view of order dated 9.6.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.1738 of 2022 filed by the applicant, the delay is condoned.</p> <p>3. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA. No.503 of 2023 in O.A. No.979 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.M. Kubal &amp; Ors. .Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)<br/>7.8.2023</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p> | (Medha Gadgil)<br>Member (A)<br>7.8.2023 | (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br>Chairperson<br>7.8.2023 |
| (Medha Gadgil)<br>Member (A)<br>7.8.2023  | (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br>Chairperson<br>7.8.2023   |  |  |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.979 of 2023

S.M. Kubal & Ors.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Member (A)

Chairperson

7.8.2023

7.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.742 &amp; 754 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.C. Swami &amp; Ors.<br/>K.S. Deshmukh &amp; Ors. ..Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants who are PSI are recommended in Special Protection Unit (SPU). Ld. Advocate submits that by order dated 18.7.2023, para 4 Director General was directed to give appointment to eligible persons. Ld. CPO produces order dated 31.7.2023 thereby transferring applicants to SPU.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seek leave to amend the prayer clause against respondent Commissioner of Police. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Member (A) Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023 7.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under 'Urgent Admission' caption.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
7.8.2023

✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.497 of 2023 in O.A. No.968 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.N. Borse &amp; Ors. ..Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)<br/>7.8.2023</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson<br/>7.8.2023</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p> | (Medha Gadgil)<br>Member (A)<br>7.8.2023 | (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br>Chairperson<br>7.8.2023 |
| (Medha Gadgil)<br>Member (A)<br>7.8.2023  | (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br>Chairperson<br>7.8.2023  |  |  |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.964 of 2023

P.R. Acharekar

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

7.8.2023

(sgj)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

7.8.2023



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.926 of 2023

A.K. Bodade

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Member (A)

Chairperson

7.8.2023

7.8.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.925 of 2023

V.K. Bodade ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
7.8.2023

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.8.2023

(sgj)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1096 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.D. Chavan <span style="float: right;">..Applicant</span><br/> Vs.<br/> The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges order dated 8.2.2019 there by rejecting his request for fixing his seniority from Class IV to Class III from 1.12.1986.</p> <p>3. The father of the applicant who was working as Police Head Constable in Police Wireless in the office of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai expired in February 1984. The applicant passed SSC Examination on 24.12.1985. The applicant was appointed on compassionate ground as a Watchman on 25.11.1986 in Group D. He came to be promoted as Junior Clerk, Class-III on 30.11.1989 and as a Senior Clerk on 13.4.2012. The applicant his representation dated 6.6.2013 for date of appointment as Junior Clerk which is 1.12.1989 and should be given as 1.12.1986 as the date on which applicant was appointed as Watchman which was subsequently changed as Police Guard.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to GR dated 26.5.1987. The applicant filed OA No.810/2015 for pay fixation which was decided on 1.12.2015. Thereafter he consistently followed the matter with the department. However, on 22.2.2017 the Commissioner of Police informed him that representation for deemed date was rejected. Then the applicant filed OA No.308/2017 challenging objection raised by the Pay Verification Unit regarding pay fixation and this Tribunal by order dated 10.12.2018 directed the applicant to submit representation</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

along with necessary <sup>Tribunal's orders</sup> documents to the respondents within two weeks. Pursuant to order dated 10.12.2018 in OA No.308/2017 he submitted representation for deemed ate to the Govt. and Govt. by order dated 8.2.2019 rejected his prayer for deemed date of appointment as Junior Clerk.

5. Ld. Advocate submits that at the time of appointment on 1.12.1986 applicant was qualified for Class III post and post of Class III was vacant. Though the post of Class III was vacant the applicant was appointed as Class IV employee as Police Guard.

6. During the course of arguments, we made the following queries:

(a) What is the date of application given by the applicant for appointment on compassionate ground.

(b) Did applicant updated his educational qualification by placing fresh/another application after he cleared the SCC on 24.12.1985 to become eligible for the post of Junior Clerk.

(c) Did he write immediately to the department as to why he is appointed as Class IV though he was eligible for Class III and whether the appointment is accepted without prejudice or under protest.

7. Ld. Advocate on instructions from the applicant seeks time to get this information.

8. S.O. to 8.8.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
7.8.2023

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.968 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.N. Borse &amp; 5 Ors. ..Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the 6 applicants are working as Police Inspector. They pray respondent no.2 to appoint the applicants as per the recommendation in Special Protection Unit (SPU) or SID in pursuance to the recommendation dated 2.2.2023. Ld. Advocate points out that Home Department has framed guidelines dated 16.8.2016. For appointment in SPU on the post of PI the upper age limit is 45 years as per guidelines dated 16.8.2016. The applicants are recommended for SPU and they all are below 45 years of age. Ld. Advocate points out names of 6 applicants who appear in the recommendation list dated 2.2.2023 issued by Special IG, VIP Suraksha, Dadar. Then this recommendation was forwarded to DG. Ld. Advocate submits that as per policy of the State upper age limit for PI in SPU is 45 years and though the applicants are below 45 they are not selected in SPU and as per the information at present some PIs who are above 45 years are still continuing in SPU by way of general transfer.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to get information and file short affidavit as to why applicants are not till today appointed in SPU though they are recommended.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under 'Urgent Admission' caption.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
7.8.2023

✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.8.2023

(sgj)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.637/2023</b></p> <p>R.R. Yadav .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant, Inspector Public Trusts Registration office, Greater Mumbai, Region, Mumbai prays for transfer to Solapur on the ground that the policy of the State of Maharashtra for posting the husband and wife at same station.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Respondent-State is required to adhere to statement in paragraph 5 of the statement 2 of the schedule 1 of G.R. dated 09.04.2018. The wife of the Applicant is also working in Aarey Milk Dairy, Goregaon, Mumbai. However, the Applicant is now transferred to Solapur. Learned Advocate has submitted that in the office of Collector, Mumbai 18 posts are vacant, in Thane one post is vacant and in Head Quarter 10 posts of the Inspector of Public Trusts Registration office are vacant.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.08.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.862/2023</b></p> <p>P.V. Patil .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 08.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.653/2023</b></p> <p>K.P. Jagtap .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.3.</p> <p>2. Time granted to learned P.O. to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br/>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br/>directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders</p>  |
|  | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.501/2023 in O.A.No.976/2023</b></p> <p>S.K. Bhavsar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>/ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

**Date : 07.08.2023**

**O.A.No.976/2023**

S.K. Bhavsar & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 04.09.2023.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**Date : 07.08.2023**

**O.A.No.960/2023**

H.R. Patankar .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.08.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 28.08.2023

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.970/2023</b></p> <p>P.V. Patil .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant wants to amend O.A. in view of further developments. He seeks leave to file two documents on record.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried on forthwith. Amended copy be served upon the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.08.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.971/2023</b></p> <p>J.K. Patil .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li> <li>2. Applicant, Police Patil challenges order dated 17.07.2023 passed by the Respondents putting the Applicant under suspension.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.08.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. Adjourned to 21.08.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.858/2023</b></p> <p>J.S. Pawar .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has tendered short affidavit-in-reply dated 03.08.2023 on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2 through Mr. Dharmpal Mohan Bansode, ACP (Admn.), Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai. Learned P.O. has submitted that the review was taken on 05.04.2023. Learned P.O. has further submitted that the Applicant was suspended on 17.08.2022. He has submitted that the D.E. was concluded on 10.07.2023 and the copy of the said enquiry report was furnished to the Delinquent Officer. The Enquiry Officer has proposed punishment as to why not to stop increments for the three years and he was given notice to submit the reply. The said reply is still awaited. Hence, the final order is awaited. The second review was taken on 16.06.2023 and the authority took decision to continue to keep him under suspension.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant was prosecuted for the offence of punishment under Section 379 of the IPC at the consent of the complainant.</p> <p>4. Considered affidavit. The Applicant has sought the relief against the order of suspension. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Respondents are going to take Review Committee meeting in the month of September, 2023 and the reply is awaited for further decision in D.E.</p> <p>5. In view of above, as nothing remains in this O.A. the same stands disposed of with following direction :</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Respondents to take Review Committee meeting without fail in the month of September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>/ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.766/2023</b></p> <p>P.D. Mohite .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 25.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.235/2023</b></p> <p>N.B. Bagul .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 21.08.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/><i>J.</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/><b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>07.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 726/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.J Ghortale ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits after taking telephonic instructions from Dr. Samkant Gavande, District Malaria Officer, that two months salary will be paid to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as no Division Bench at M.A.T, Aurangabad is available, hence the matter be heard at Mumbai Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 21.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/><small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Chairperson</b></p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <b>Date : 07.08.2023</b>  |
|   | <b>O.A.No.959/2023</b>  |
|   | M.U. Waghmare .....Applicant<br>Vs.<br>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.   |
|   | 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents   |
|   | 2. Applicant, working as Senior Clerk challenges order dated 02.06.2023 thereby prays for transfer.   |
|   | 3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the post of the Applicant who is working as Senior Clerk is not transferrable and she is at present working in Mumbai, C.P. office. Learned Advocate has submitted that as per the policy mentioned in G.R. dated 15.05.2019 the applicant has applied for inter-district transfer by filing the application dated 17.02.2023 to the Administrative Officer in C.P. office and has prayed that she be transferred to Wardha, Nagpur or Amravati District. She has completed 10 years and 8 months in Mumbai. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant hails from Amravati and her daughter is taking education at Yavatmal and she has to look after her old father and she is the only earning member and have to visit Amravati often. However, her application was rejected by communication dated 02.06.2023 by the C.P. office. It is informed that total 474 posts of Clerk are vacant in Mumbai. |
|   | 4. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions.  |
|   | 5. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from Respondents no.1, 2 and 3 whether the case of the Applicant can be considered in view of her peculiar difficulties.   |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.08.2023.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

12. Adjourned to 21.08.2023

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 07.08.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.975/2023</b></p> <p>F.I. Bagawan .....Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant working as Livestock Development Officer –Class I Solapur, challenges the order dated 15.05.2023 transferring him from Solapur to Kolhapur on the same post.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant has given three options.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(i) Livestock Development Officer, Grade-I, Katgaon, Solapur</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(ii) Livestock Development Officer, Ule, Tal. South Solapur and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(iii) Livestock Development Officer, Grade-I, Hotgi, Taluka South Solapur,</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate has submitted that out of these three options, the post at Ule is vacant. Learned Advocate has further submitted that the Applicant made representation dated 03.07.2023 and 05.07.2023 as he seeks modification in the order of posting that he be transferred from Solapur to Ule. On 30.06.2023 the modified order of the transfer was issued considering the family difficulties and medical issues other employees, but the case of the Applicant was not considered though on 25.04.2023 the Applicant had given the choices. Learned Advocate has pointed out that on 16.05.2023 the application was filed by him wherein he has requested for modification. However, it was not considered.</p> |

[P.T.O.]

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>5. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Applicant is due for transfer. He seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>6. Respondents are directed to decide the representation of the Applicant dated 03.07.2023 and 05.07.2023.</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.08.2023.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. Adjourned to 28.08.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|   |                   |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date: 07.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.445 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Rana</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant Ms. S.P. Rana is present in person.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajaashok Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte, learned for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, <sup>Dr. Diwan</sup> Shri S. Diwan, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 is absent.</p> <p>3. In this matter the Applicant is represented by Advocate Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte who cannot appear before the Tribunal / Court in view of order passed against him by Bar Counsel of Maharashtra and Goa. On 19.07.2023 this matter was taken up for hearing. Applicant and his Advocate Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte both were absent. Therefore Tribunal issued notice to the Applicant to remain present and to engage another counsel if she desire.</p> <p>4. Today, Applicant-in-Person is present and tendered written Application stating that she does not want to continue the O.A. and requested for permission to withdraw O.A. We personally ask the Applicant and satisfied that she wants to withdraw the O.A. Letter given by the Applicant is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the Applicant is allowed to withdraw the O.A. O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/> <br/> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/> <br/> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p> |



**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.08.2023

O.A.No.1058 of 2017

M. K. Amritkar & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 21.08.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.82 of 2017</p> <p>D.K. Khairnar .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 to 14.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply of MPSC giving details of the candidates appointed from waiting list will be filed during the course of the day with copy to other side. Statement is accepted.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant three days time is granted to take necessary steps, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.500 of 2023 in O.A. No.893 of 2022</b></p> <p>N.S. Khandare &amp; Ors. ....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to pre-pone hearing of O.A. No.893/2022 which is already fixed on 23.08.2023 for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant raised grievance that his client have not got single promotion in the span of 30 years service and despite vacancies they are deprived of promotion. He therefore requested for early hearing of O.A. which is filed in the year 2022.</p> <p>4. Tribunal is giving priority to old matters i.e. of 2017. However, considering urgency shown by the Applicant's Counsel, it can be considered on 23.08.2023 which fixed date in O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.30 of 2023 in O.A. No.774 of 2022</p> <p>V.K. Adsul &amp; Ors., .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has tendered order of Hon'ble High Court dated 04.08.2023 passed in Writ Petition No.4312/2023 and others, which shows that being aggrieved by the order passed by the Tribunal on 19.12.2022 in O.A. No.774/2022, the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai has filed Writ Petitions. Hon'ble High Court directed that the Tribunal shall defer hearing of Contempt petition till 13.09.2023.</p> <p>4. In view of above, hearing of this Contempt Application is adjourned to 14.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date: 07.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A. No.71 of 2023 in O.A. No.56 of 2018<br/>C.A. No.72 of 2023 in O.A. No.55 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>N.B. Kamble,</b><br/><b>A.T. Mane</b> .....Applicant<br/><b>Versus</b><br/><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Reply of Contemnor to the Show Cause Notice issued to the Contemnor as to why proceeding of Contempt of Court Act should not be initiated against them. However, learned P.O. sought time stating that contemnor wants to file Review Application to review the order passed by the Tribunal on 19.04.2023 in O.A. No.55 &amp; 56 of 2018.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicants are fighting for justice for long time and despite of orders in their favour, they are not getting fruits of it. Initially, the Applicants have filed the O.A. for absorption and those were decided by common order dated 01.03.2021. Being aggrieved by it, Respondents filed R.A. No.09 of 2021 which was dismissed by the Tribunal on 05.08.2021. Against it, Respondents have filed Writ Petition No.8302 &amp; 8303 of 2021 before Hon'ble High Court. Hon'ble High Court remitted the matter back to the Tribunal by order dated 20.02.2023 for decision afresh. Thereafter, Tribunal again heard the matter and allowed the O.A. by order dated 19.04.2023. Two months' time was granted to the Respondents to implead the O.A. However, no steps are taken in this behalf. It is on these backgrounds, the Applicants have filed this Contempt Application.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>4. In Contempt Application despite services of Show Cause Notice, no Reply is filed. Contemnors are also absent. This shows disregard to the orders and contemnors are taking the matter casually, As such, <i>prima-facie</i> there is Contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal. Therefore, charges for Contempt of Court Act are required to be framed.</p> <p>5. In view of above, C.A. be kept tomorrow for framing charges. Contemnor shall remain present tomorrow. Learned P.O. is directed to inform the Contemnor accordingly.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.896 of 2017</p> <p>A.S. Shinge .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 along with some Annexures. It is taken on record.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.998 of 2022 with O.A. No.999 of 2022 with<br/>O.A. No.1000 of 2022</p> <p>P.R. Arage<br/>S.G. Koli<br/>V.B. Koli .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing which is for grant for retiral benefits, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that after filling of O.As., retirement dues are paid and Applicant wants to withdraw <sup>the</sup> <del>his</del> O.A. He has also tendered letters of the Applicants to that effect for withdrawal of O.As., those letter are taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of above, permission granted to withdraw O.As. and O.As are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">A<br/>Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span><br/>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p>NMN</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.983 of 2017</p> <p>K.J. Shinde &amp; Ors. ....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file M.A. for impleading necessary parties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.28 of 2023 in O.A. No.101 of 2022</p> <p>S.T. Ghawali .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Contemnor No.1 – Mr. Om Prakash Bansode is present. He filed an application stating that matter is in process and they require 10 days time to issue appropriate order. Application is marked by letter 'X' and it is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In view of above, one week time is granted for the compliance of order with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1193 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. Tikawade .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for one week time stating that instructions are received and Reply will be filed on next date.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span><br/>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.27 of 2022 in O.A. No.645 of 2017 with<br/>O.A. No.509 of 2020</p> <p>M.A. Damale .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that being aggrieved by the order passed by the Tribunal, Respondents have filed Writ Petition No.1519/2023 wherein interim stay is granted and hearing of Writ Petition was adjourned on 07.08.2023 i.e. today.</p> <p>3. In view of above, hearing of Contempt Application is adjourned to 17.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span><br/>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1255 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Bight .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.L. Adhe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought short time on the ground that matter is being handled by learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad but she is unable to remain present due to her personnel difficulties.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that enough chances are availed to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. However in the interest of justice two days time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date: 07.08.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1081 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.S. Jamkar .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Since enough time is granted, we are not inclined to grant further time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> <li>O.A. to proceed without Affidavit-in-Reply and be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>S.O. to 17.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/><br/>(Debashish Chakrabarty)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/><br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |