

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1204 of 2022</p> <p>M.V. HaldekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant working as Clerk-Typist has applied for the post of Assistant Section Officer through Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (LDCE) - 2021. The Applicant has challenged his non selection in the LDCE held for the post of Assistant Section Officer pursuant to the circular dated 31.12.2021. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that MPSC declared the general merit list on 20.05.2022 and the Applicant secured 107.25 marks and he was at Sr. No.88. The Applicant suffers from Low Vision. The learned Advocate for the Applicant further submits that the cut off marks for the open category is much less than the visually impaired. He relied on the Central Government G.R. dated 17.05.2022 wherein Clause No.7.2 is as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“7.2 In the case of promotion by selection, PwBDs selected without relaxed standards along with other candidates, will not be adjusted against the reserved share of vacancies. The reserved vacancies will be filled up separately from amongst the eligible candidates with benchmark disabilities who are lower in merit than the last candidate in merit list but, otherwise found suitable for appointment, if necessary, by relaxed standards.”</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>The learned Advocate for the Applicant also referred to para 4 of the G.R. dated 29.05.2019 issued by the G.A.D. from which same clause no.4 has been repeated in the latest G.R. dated 20.04.2023 at Clause No.5.3, which is as follows.</p> <p>“५.३ निवडीने पदोन्नती (Promotion by Selection)-</p> <p>दिव्यांग उमेदवारांची इतर उमेदवारांप्रमाणेच व प्रचलित नियमाप्रमाणे दिव्यांग उमेदवारांना देण्यात आलेल्या सवलतीचा लाभ न घेता एखाद्या पदावर निवड झाली असेल अशा उमेदवारांची गणना दिव्यांगांसाठी आरक्षित जागेवर करण्यात येऊ नये व दिव्यांगांसाठी आरक्षित पद / पदे इतर दिव्यांग उमेदवारांमधून भरण्यात यावीत.”</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents stated that the cut marks are 110.50 for Open category, 130.25 for Divyang-Visual Impairment, 133.25 for Divyang-Hearing Impairment & 106.00 for Divyang-Locomotor disability. Learned C.P.O further submits that above referred G.R. is only for direct recruitment and not for the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination for promotion. Learned C.P.O. submits that in the G.R. dated 05.07.2021 there is procedure prescribed for direct recruitment in the process of LDCE.</p> <p>4. It is seen that there is office Memorandum of Central Government accommodating disabled person and allowing them to migrate to open category if at all they qualify. Moreover, in view of Clause No.4 of G.R. dated 29.05.2019 the present O.A. is allowed.</p> <p>5. Revised final list dated 31.05.2022 should be modified considering the reservation of Physically Handicap Candidates as per the direction issued by the Government of Indian and Government of Maharashtra.</p> <p>6. This should be done within a period of one month from today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.23 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.M. Shakti ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Vishal Hegde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produced a chart of payment received and due and drawn statement. The same is taken on record and marked Court Exhibit 'A' for identification.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO is directed to check and verify the chart produced by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.7.2023. Part heard.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 07.07.2023

O. A. No.708 of 2023

M.S. NaikApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. R.S. Sinhasane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she has moved M.A. No.473/2023 for amendment. However, it is not shown on today's board.
3. Hence, this matter to be placed along with M.A. No.473/2023 on 12.07.2023.

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 07.07.2023

O. A. No.706 of 2023

P.D. GadhaveApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.
2. Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is absent.
3. Pursuant to the order dated 26.06.2023 as pointed out that the post at Sr.7 of Circle Officer, Panchgani, Mahabaleshwar is to be kept vacant if nobody is posted their till today i.e. 07.07.2023.
4. S.O. to 11.07.2023.

Sd/-

/ ~
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 07.07.2023
	<p align="center">O.A. No.337 of 2023 with O.A. No.338 of 2023</p> <p>N.R. Shinde V.C. PadoleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Ms Varsha Gangawane, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Pranil Sonawane, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 07.07.2023

O. A. No.721 of 2023

S.D. PurudeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.07.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 28.07.2023.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.07.2023</p> <p>O. A. No.712 of 2023</p> <p>E.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed that the order dated 02.06.2023 be quashed and set aside and the period from 04.03.2023 till the suspension order dated 02.06.2023 is to be treated as duty period for all purposes. 3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.07.2023. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 10. S.O. to 18.07.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 07.07.2023

O. A. No.793 of 2023

S.A. SalunkeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Pursuant to the order dated 06.07.2023, learned P.O. submits that out of 5 preferences the preference no.1 S.D.O. Bhiwandi was not given because the Applicant has worked on that post before, preference no.2 was not given because one female was required to be posted in that post due to her personal difficulties. Learned P.O. submits that Tahasil Ambernath post fall vacant on 30.06.2023, Tahasil Shahapur was not given because at the time of issuance of the order dated 30.06.2023 that post was not vacant and SDO Ulhasnagar was not given because a female officer was needed to be posted at that post.
3. Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant is more experienced person and Government needs his service in the district place where the heavier responsibility are there and out of 10 options he gave only 5 options and he opted not to give options for 5 posts.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Tahasil Ambernath is vacant as on today.
5. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions about the same and file Affidavit.
6. S.O. to 21.07.2023.

Sd/-

/

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.07.2023</p> <p>O. A. No.797 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant prays for Deemed Date of Promotion either from 05.11.2011 or 25.11.2012. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.07.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 28.07.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 07.07.2023

O. A. No.517 of 2023

R.S. ChendkeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant was earlier suspended and then reinstated and posted at Dehare, Tal. Javhar, Dist. Palghar. There is a drive taken by the PHD pursuant to the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court giving direction to the fill-up the post of the Teacher in Tribal arrears. The Applicant was therefore posted at Tal. Javhar, Dist. Palghar area accordingly.

3. Learned P.O. requested time for filing Affidavit.

4. S.O. to 19.07.2023.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.531 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.D. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>3. Today noting dated 15/16.09.2022 of the Public Health Department (PHD) are produced and in that noting it is mentioned that the Applicant was transferred on a vacant post at Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Beed. Accordingly, he was relieved from his earlier charge as Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Lasalgaon, Tal. Niphad, Dist. Nashik. However, in the said matter the office of the Hon'ble Chief Minister telephonically gave order not to allow the Applicant to join as Civil Surgeon, District Hospital, Beed and therefore he was not allowed to join. At present he is without posting, and therefore he is required to be given posting. Respondent No.2 was appointed in the place of the Applicant at Beed by order dated 21.10.2022.</p> <p>4. The State – Respondent is directed to submit the photocopy of this noting in sealed cover.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submit that the in the Seniority list the present Applicant is at Sr. No.39 and Dr. Suryakant A. Sable is at Sr. No.281.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

6. Noting file show that by minutes of meeting dated 04.11.2022 the Applicant was posted at Yavatmal, District Civil Surgeon by PHD. This proposal was kept before Hon'ble Health Minister and Hon'ble Health Minister has signed on 16.02.2023 and kept it for discussion and thereafter C.S.B. has considered of turning down the proposal of PHD of proposed posting at Yavatmal.

7. S.O. to 11.07.2023.

M. R. Bhatkar

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.804 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.S. WakchoureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is a District Health Officer (DHO) working in Public Health Department (PHD). The Applicant has challenged order dated 30.06.2023 whereby he is transferred from the post of Principal Health & Family Welfare Training Centre Nasik to the post of Assistant Director of Health Services (Leprosy) Nandurbar and also challenged order of Respondent No.2 who is posted in the place of the Applicant from Nandurbar. The grounds for challenge are as follows:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The Applicant was due for Transfer. b) Preferences are given by the Applicant as per G.R. dated 09.04.2018. c) On 12.05.2023 the options were called and the Applicant has submitted the options on 17.05.2023. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.07.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 18.07.2023.

M. R. Bhatkar

Sd/-

/
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>07.07.2023</u>
	<u>O.A 716/2023</u>
	V.R Awade ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to call for the record and files from the office of Respondent nol. 2 and after going through the same quash and set aside the notification dated 12.1.2022.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.7.2023.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption ' for Dismissal ' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
	8. S.O to 21.7.2023.
	Sd/- 
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 649/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.N Bade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri D.B Khaire, learned counsel for Respondents no 2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that this matter be heard on the point of interim relief. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks interim relief to the extent that the provision contained in Rule 8 of the Recruitment Rules of 2022 for the post of Education Officer dated 28.12.2022 may kindly be stayed.</p> <p>3. Considering the prayer, it is clear that the Tribunal will have to look into the validity of that particular rule. This will take time because the question is in respect of the legality of that rule. At present we are dealing with matters regarding to selection and appointment and also we want to clear the backlog of the year 2016.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 27.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 649/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.N Bade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri D.B Khaire, learned counsel for Respondents no 2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that this matter be heard on the point of interim relief. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks interim relief to the extent that the provision contained in Rule 8 of the Recruitment Rules of 2022 for the post of Education Officer dated 28.12.2022 may kindly be stayed.</p> <p>3. Considering the prayer, it is clear that the Tribunal will have to look into the validity of that particular rule. This will take time because the question is in respect of the legality of that rule. At present we are dealing with matters regarding to selection and appointment and also we want to clear the backlog of the year 2016.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 27.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 605/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.A Tambe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved Precipe. It is taken on record. He prays that the applicant wants to make amendment in the prayer clause 9(b) in view of the development in the pending Original Application.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy be served on the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.95 of 2023 & O.A. No.196 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Dalavi J.M. Devkar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.N. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that subject matter of both the above OAs is different and they may be de-tagged.</p> <p>3. Hence, both the OAs are de-tagged.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 7.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.768 of 2022</p> <p>K. L. VartakApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is today for rehearing but Applicant and his Counsel are absent.</p> <p>3. This matter was heard and closed for order but since certain clarifications about pay fixation was required, the matter is kept today for rehearing.</p> <p>4. It appears that Applicant and his Counsel are not aware about today's hearing though it is published in the cause list.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 14.07.2023 at 2.30 P.M.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. is directed to call concerned officers for clarification.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 14.07.2023 at 2.30 P.M.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.379 of 2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>FOR SPEAKING TO MINUTES</u></p> <p>M.T. Ahire ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO has filed an application dated 7.7.2023 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 5.7.2023 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO prays that in line 2 & 3 of para 3 of the order dated 5.7.2023 the words, 'Respondent No.2 is directed to <u>decide</u> the appeal' may be substituted by the words 'Respondent No.2 is directed to <u>pursue</u> the appeal'.</p> <p>4. The prayer of Ld. CPO is granted. Correction be carried out in the order and the order may be read accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>07.07.2023 Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 726/2023</u></p>
	<p>B.J Ghortale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Original Application is not served on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.7.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O to 12.7.2023. ↖ M. A.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 219/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Waghmare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhvi Ayyappan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are working as Full Time Sports Teachers in the Government Ashram School on contract basis since 2018. They seek protection that they should be continued on the same post till the Original Application is decided.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the Respondent-State has taken decision to fill up the post by out sourcing. However, the applicants have been working continuously for last 4 to 5 years. Learned counsel for the applicants relied on the order of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 9.6.2023 in W.PO 6693/2023, Namdeo T. Dhonnar & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors, wherein the discontinuation or the termination of the Primary Teachers and Secondary Teachers in the Ashram Schools under Tribal Development was challenged. The Hon'ble High Court has specifically mentioned in para 3 of the said order that the Hon'ble High Court has earlier disapproved the process of outsourcing and in case of the Petitioners there is every likelihood that they will be rendered jobless despite having served for 8 to 10 years. The facts in the Writ Petition are similar and as the Hon'ble High Court has held that the device of 'technical breaks' presents an fruitless counter argument and the law does not permit this. Learned counsel for the applicants also relied on the order of this Tribunal dated 16.6.2023 in O.A 463, 464 & 465/2023, G.D Avhad & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors, wherein this Tribunal has granted interim protection to the applicants therein.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. In view of the above we grant interim relief to the applicants by staying the operation, execution and implementation of G.R dated 16.11.2022 to the extent of decision to fill in the post of Sports Teacher by way of outsourcing in Government Ashram School and further the Respondents are restrained from terminating the services of the applicants pursuant to the G.R dated 16.11.2022.</p> <p>6. S.O to 1.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 670/2023</u></p> <p>R.H Metkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply is filed by Women and Child Development Department, Respondent no. 3 and reply of M.P.S.C, Respondent no. 1 is awaited.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1, M.P.S.C.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 684/2023</u></p> <p>Ms Mrunalini D. Mohite ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to inform Respondent no. 1, M.P.S.C to provide address of Respondent no. 3 to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 211/2023</u></p> <p>Smt Rupali Indradas Madrap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt Punam Mahajan, has filed leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O the present matter is tagged with O.A 19/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 209/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.D Nerkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 4.8.2023. <i>al. H.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 630/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.A Desai & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. With liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 15.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 538/2021</u></p> <p>Shri C.B Katkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicants Shri C.B Katkar and Shri S.D Jagdale, have filed applications dated 6.7.2023 seeking withdrawal of the Original Application. The same is taken on record and marked as Exh. I.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>07.07.2023</u> Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 760/2023</u>
	<p>Kiran S. Kadlag ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Nirbhavane, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned letter dated 20.7.2022 by which the proposal of the applicant is kept in the sealed envelope and directions be issued to the Respondents to issue order of promotion.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.7.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 28.7.2023. AA A \ LA</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.07.2023</p> <p>R.A. No.2 of 2023 in O.A.No.356 of 2022</p> <p>R. S. Kore through legal heirs Smt. S.R. Kore and Shri A. R. KoreApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for two weeks' time on the ground that his senior is unable to remain present due to personal difficulty.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.07.2023</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.5 of 2023 in O.A.No.834 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>S.B. PatilRespondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents). The Respondent (Ori. Applicant) and his Counsel are absent.</p> <p>2. It appears from the record that Original Applicant has filed Affidavit in Reply and it is simply kept without tagging it in R.A. at proper place. There is no no order of the Tribunal about taking the Affidavit in Reply on record. Thus, it appears that reply is simply tendered in the office or with the bench clerk without there any order to that effect by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Anyway, since now reply is forthcoming, it is taken on record and be tagged in O.A. with correct pagination.</p> <p>4. R.A. be kept for hearing on 14.07.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vw (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D. K. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that Applicant and his Counsel are not attending the matter regularly. On previous date, i.e. 23.06.2023 also they were absent but matter was adjourned to give one opportunity but in vein. Thus, they seem not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. O.A. is, therefore, dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>
	<p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>4. In afternoon session, Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant appeared and requested to restore the matter. He assures to argue the matter on next date without fail.</p> <p>5. In the interest of justice, the order of dismissal is recalled and O.A. be kept for hearing on 14.07.2023 with specific direction that Advocate shall remain present at 11 A.M. without fail.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.261 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Lohar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant retired from the post of Vice-Principal, Industrial Training Institute, Mumbai-11 on 31.3.2013 on superannuation. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant has made representations dated 15.6.2022 and 31.1.2023 to the respondents for payment of interest on delayed payment of retirement benefits. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant prays for deciding the said representation within a period of two months.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to decide the representations dated 15.6.2022 and 31.1.2023 of the applicant within a period of two months and communicate the decision to the applicant within two weeks thereafter. OA disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.563 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.D. Panvalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.7.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gádgil) Member (A) 7.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.290 of 2023 in O.A. No.1260 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.N. Thasale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Shete holding for Shri P.G. Lad, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4</p> <p>2. Applicant and his Advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 submits that copy of MA is not served on him.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to serve the same.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.7.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.07.2023

O.A.No.134 of 2019 with O.A.1076/2019 with O.A.1077 of
2019 with O.A.1078 of 2019 with O.A. 266 of 2019 with
O.A.No.461 of 2019

V. L. Kardile
T. R. Wagh & ORs.
S. S. Nikam & Ors.
P. K. Jadhav & Ors.
B. F. Gaikwad
W. Z. Pahilwan & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. When the matters are taken up for hearing, the Tribunal raised the issue of limitation since in all these O.A.s, Applicants (except Applicant Shri S. S. Nikam in O.A.1077/2019) were retired in between 2012 to 2016 but they appear made representation in 2018 and it came to be rejected in 2019. As such, representation itself seems to have been made quite belatedly. They ought to have approached the Tribunal at least within one year from the date of retirement.

3. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicants sought time to file M.A. for condonation of delay in all these O.A.s except in O.A. 1077/2019 since the Applicant therein was in service at the time of ~~retirement~~ ^{improvement} _{DNDA2}.

4. Two weeks' time is granted to file M.A. for condonation of delay.

5. S.O. to 21.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.07.2023

O.A.No.248 of 2022

N. N. Revadekar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. sought time for hearing on the ground of non-availability of record and concerned person from the department.
3. O.A. be kept for hearing afresh and be treated as De-Part Heard. It be kept in due course.
4. S.O. to 04.08.2023.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.07.2023

O.A.No.1167 of 2022 with O.A.No.1113 of 2022

P. J. Mahale

B. T. Rathod

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter ^{is} referred to the Government as to whether impugned order could be withdrawn in view of the decision rendered by the Tribunal in other O.A.s in similar situation.

3. S.O. to 21.07.2023.

A.P. Kurhekar
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.705 of 2019 with O.A.No.706 of 2019</p> <p>V. D. Kamane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., the matters are adjourned to 14.07.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1184 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought time on the ground that record is not available.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing afresh. The matter be treated as De-Part Heard.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>