#### (0,C.P.) J 2200(B) (50,000-2.2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ц

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memeranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

> <u>M.A. No.254 of 2017</u> <u>in</u> O.A. No.418 of 2017

Shri R.R. Gadhari

... Applicant (Intervener)

## V/s. Shri Sakharam D. Kharat ..... Petitioner The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri R.R. Gadhari, the Applicant in person (Intervener), Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Original Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Without prejudice to the right and contentions, the learned Advocate Shri Lonkar submits that he will have no objection to party Applicant as Misc. the implead Respondent to the OA. The said application is, therefore, allowed and Misc. Applicant Shri R. Gadhari be impleaded as party Respondent No.4 with appropriate amendment carried out within a period of one week from today. A consolidated copy of the OA after amendment be filed and copy thereof be furnished to the learned P.O. Issue notice to the newly added Respondent No.4 returnable on 11.08.2017.

Misc. Application is allowed in this terms with no order as to costs.

PTO

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

-2-

## Issue notice returnable on 11.08.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 11.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

vsm

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.07.2017

DATE : CORAN : RAHY AGARWAL ibie Stari. (Vice Chaisman) Bon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ] APPEARANCE: Star R. R. G Ahceri Advonate for the Applicant فلعن Shri States A. J. C M. D. Lo When the Respondents for M.A. is alloured. 0.A. Ady. to 11/8/17.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.271 OF 2017 WITH

## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.534 OF 2017**

Shri M.R. Deshmukh(OA.271/17)Shri S.P. Ingle(OA.534/17)VersusThe State of Maharashtra & Ors....Respondents

Shri C.T. Chandratre - Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, Advocate for Applicant in OA No.271/17

Smt. Dipti Nikhade – Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, Advocate for Applicant in OA No.534/17

Shri K.B. Bhise - Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman DATE : 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2017

## ORDER

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.271/17, Smt. Dipti Nikhade, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.534/17 and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.



,

2. The case was heard for some time day before yesterday.

3. Shri B.M. Andhale, Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Pune was present.

4. The crux of the matter is that the examination conducted by the respondents for selection is done by use of an answer book which contains marking of the round left for answering by blackening the rounds. Shri B.M. Andhale, who is present, states that the procedure is known as Optical Mark Recognition (OMR) system.

5. During the hearing Shri B.M. Andhale was called to state as to whether steps and procedure involved in OMR system is available on record. Shri Andhale informed that entire procedure has been incorporated in the minutes of the meeting and the file can be made available.

6. Hearing was adjourned with an oral direction to Shri Andhale to secure entire record from which it can be understood as to what is the procedure adopted while undertaking the process of OMR system. Today Shri Andhale has arrived with copies of certain documents and produced the same for perusal. Perusal of the documents reveals that these documents relate to assignment of job of conducting the examination in which the answering books are to be solved by use of answer book which is capable of optical recognition and assessment through OMR. The documents brought by Shri Andhale do not reveal even one line as regards the procedure to be followed while conducting the assessment by use of OMR.

7. It was necessary for the respondents to read the OA, understand it and in the light of the imputation required to be answered, prepare

2

themselves to answer the queries of the Tribunal. It is seen that the office of respondent no.1 and 2 are not serious in contesting present OA, though the respondents were expected to be utmost careful and serious while defending the present OA. It appears that respondents have not understood the challenge.

3

8. The case cannot be allowed to proceed in this manner. It is, therefore, necessary to direct the Commissioner of Labour and Additional Commissioner of Labour to look into the matter personally.

9. Ld. PO is directed to furnish the names of Commissioner and Additional Commissioner of Labour.

10. Ld. PO has furnished the names as Shri Y.E. Kerure, Commissioner of Labour, Mumbai and Shri A.R. Lakaswar, Additional Commissioner of Labour, Pune.

11. These two officers are directed as follows:

- (a) To call for papers of the OA and entire set of papers pertaining to the examination.
- (b) Read those themselves and prepare affidavit answering each and every point, paragraph and ground in the OA.

12. These officers are directed to file own affidavit and include the following information:

- (a) The date when the notice of OA was received.
- (b) The date when he has come to know about of filing of OA.
- (c) The date when he has read the OA.

(d) What orders he has given as to prepare defence of OA.

4

13. Affidavits be filed on or before 14.7.2017.

14. S.O. 14.7.2017. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-(A.H. Joshi, U.) Chairman 7.7.2017

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar. D:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2017\7 July 2017\OAs.271 & 534.17.J.7.2017-S0.14.7.2017.doc

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

.

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.342 of 2017</u>
	Shri A.J. Inamdar Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO prays for three weeks time for filing reply.
DATE: 7/7/2012_	3 Time granted.
CORAM :	4. S.O. to 21.8.2017.
Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairm <b>an)</b> Ho <del>n'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Membor) <b>A</b></del>	Sd/-
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: C.T. Chandrate	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.7.2017
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Srat.:	(sgj)
Adj. To. 21/8) 2017.	
Ble	

ISPL. MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

MARA/C.A. No.

of 20 ·

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appendiance, Tribunal's orders or Econctions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.396 of 2017
	Shri R.S. PatilApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of Ld. PO, adjourned to 21.8.2017.
DATE: 7]7]20]7 :ORAM : Ion'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Ion'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-	(sgj) Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.7.2017
PPEARANCE: bri/Sint: <u>HANC</u> for the opple dvocate for the Applicant hri/Sint: <u>K.B. Bhls</u> P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
of To. 21/8/2017.	

## (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUN AL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

....

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.409 of 2017</u>
	Shri D.H. Kale & OrsApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO states that affidavit prepared by the department is received and she wants time to verify whether
	it is proper and prays for one week's time.
DATE: 71217 <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	3. S.O. to 25.7.2017.
Hon ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE : Shrijsme : A.S. pean Pand C	4. Interim relief to continue.
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.:S. Suryawanshi C.P.O/ P.O. for the Respondent/s	Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.') Chairman 7.7.2017
ai, to <u>2512]2017. I.R. to</u> Continue.	(sgj)
to te	

(G.C.P.) J 2200(B) (60,000--2.2015)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 07.07.2017
	C.A.No.19 of 2017 in O.A.No.637 of 2017
	R.B. BhosaleApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate
	for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE: 7/2/17 CORAM:	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	follows:-
Hon <del>'ble Shri M. Romoshkumar (Member) A-</del> APPEARANCE :	(a) The matter was awaiting for certain information to be received from the
Shri/Sout	office of D.G. and the said information is received today.
Shrir/Smt.: AYUNANA B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	(b) Now the matter would be processed and submitted to the office of G.A.D.
Adj. To. 12-17/2017.	3. Report of compliance of this stage t 3 made on
BR	coming Wednesday i.e. 12.07.2017.
	4. S.O. to 12.07.2017.
	Sd/-
	A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman
	sba

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

IN

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

0

of 20

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.546 of 2017</u>	
	Shri B.S. Kathepuri Applicant	
and the second secon	V/s.	
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents	
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the	
	learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt.	
	Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the	
	Respondents.	
	On the request of learned Advocate Shri	
DATE: 7 7 1	Bandiwadekar, Original Application is allowed to	
CORAM :	be withdrawn and as such, OA is withdrawn for	
•Hen'the Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL 	want of prosecution with no order as to costs.	
Bon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	Hamdast.	
APPEARANCE: Sant/Som - G. A. Boundiyadel	<b>X</b>	
Advosets for the Applicant	Sd/-	
- C.P.OT P.O. for the Respondents	(R.B. Malik) $7 - 7 - 1$	
Heendcest. But	Member (J) 07.07.2017	
Hamdaest. Buel	vsm	
- <u>-</u>		

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

M:A:/R:A:/Q:A: No:

of 20.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Affice Notes, Office Memorenda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	
ೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಮುಂದು ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ ಮುಂದು ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ಷ	an a	
	<u>O.A. No.1115</u>	of 2016
	Smt. V.M. Padwal	Applicant
and the second	V/s.	
	The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
	None for the Appli	cant. Heard Smt
	Kranti Gaikwad the lea	rned P.O. for the

Respondents. The learned P.O. states that the Reply has already been filed. Several dates have been

given for Rejoinder. Original Application is admitted and

appointed for final hearing on 28.07.2017.

Sd/-(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.07.2017

DATE : CORAN :

Shrl/Stent

5.0. +02817/17.

<u>vsm</u>

2-

## (G,C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

2

of 20

1 N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.125 of 2017
	Shri A.H. Dhande Applicant
	V/s.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents. The learned P.O. states that the Reply will
	be filed during the course of the day. Upon this statement, the OA is now adjourned for
DATE: 7 7/17	Rejoinder to 28.07.2017.
CORAN : - Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL-	S.O. to 28.07.2017.
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J	· · · ·
APPEARANCE: South Non for the	Sd/-
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sust. A. T. Cohocequele	(R.B. Malik) Member (J)
-C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents	07.07.2017
Adj. TU 5.0. +0 2.817117-	vsm
Poor Rejoinder. Oug	- <b>A</b>

## (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

2

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-	

## O.A. No.306 of 2017

Smt. G.R. Gujrathi

#### ... Applicant

℣/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Request for grant of further time to file Affidavit-in-Reply is turned down.

Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing making it clear that on that date, if Affidavit-in-Reply is filed, it will be taken on record but no further adjournment shall be given.

S.O. to 21.07.2017.

Sd/-(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.07.2017

7-7.17

DATE: CORAN : ble Shri. RA Hou'ble Shrip, B. MALIK (Mer APPEARANCE : Shrt/Sun-Advocate for the Applicant P D I O Shri Smt. A. J. -P.O/ for the Respondent oldin ໄດປາ 1021 5.0 D.A is admit

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE :

CANKAN:

MARANCE :

Activities for the Applicant

Shrijsmi A.J.

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

5.0.+0H

ite Shri. RAHV AGARWAL

Hend hits Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

(Vice - Chairman)

D. Mari

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.187 of 2017

Smt. Dr.H.B. Hankare ... Applicant

V/s.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned P.O. for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.08.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.08.2017. Learned P.O. do • waive service.

Sd/-(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.07.2017

7-1211

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE :

COX AN:

Me Shri. RAHV AGARWAL

9.2

Hould To Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

ANCE :

Activities for the Applicant

Sir Sur A: J. C. K.O. C.C. TP.O. for the Respondents

-(Vice - Chairman)

runs

N.A. is allowed

2

Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.103/2017 in O.A. No.187/2017</u> Smt. Dr.H.B. Hankare ... Applicant V/s. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

2


The Applicant by this Misc. Application seeks condonation in filing the OA which in turn is for grant of time bound promotion.

I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

It appears to me that on two grounds there could as well be no delay. Perusal of the last Exhibit in the OA dated 9/15.11.2016 along with other part of the record would show that the final word about the claim of the Applicant was not heard and, therefore, on the basic principle of cause of action in the light of running of time for the Limitation Act, time did not begin to run. Further in accordance with the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the Union of India V/s. Tarsem Singh (2008) 8 SC 648, this will be an instance of continuing cause of action and, therefore, there is no delay but granting all attitude to the Respondents even if it was held that there was delay the same will have to be condoned in accordance with the basic principles governing such applications. This will be the position and there can be no escape despite strong objection reflected in Affidavit-in-Reply filed by the Respondent and strong objection raised by the learned P.O. Shri Chougule.

It is held that there is no delay, however even if the delay was there, it is condoned. The office and the Applicant are directed to process the OA so as to be placed before an appropriate bench for disposal according to law.

M.A. is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.



vsm

## (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (\$0,000-2-2015)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

17

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

2

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.183 of 2017</u>
	Shri D.M. Baraskar Applicant
	V/s.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
DATE: 7/7/17	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. The learned Advocate for the Applicant
Mon ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Mon ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE :	submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. Original Application is admitted and
Shri/34 K. R. Jagdale Advoorte for the Applicant Shri (Smt A. J. Chococcele	appointed for final hearing on 28.07.2017.
Adi To 0. Action a den ted 5.0. to 28 7/17	(R.B. Malik) 07 07 Member (J) 07.07.2017
(and	vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE :\_\_\_\_

APPEARANCE:

Shiffin ...

🐜 'the Sini. RAHV AGARVAL

Hon ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

Ling House

-C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

.0. +0

Shri /Smt. tr. L.L.C. M. S.m.t

(Vice-Cheiman)

14

Tribunal's orders

#### <u>M.A.No.277 of 2017</u> <u>In</u> O.A. No.967 of 2017

#### The State of Mah. & ors. ... Applicants (Ori. Respondents)

#### V/s.

#### Shri A.S. Kamble

2

#### ... Respondent (Ori. Applicant)

Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents). None for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).

Issue notice returnable on 14.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 14.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-(R.B. Malik) 7 - 7 - 17 Member (J) 07.07.2017

(vsm)

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registry: a orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.07.2017

#### C.A.No.24 of 2017 in O.A.No.778 of 2015

M.H. Kothalkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors, .....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

(a) The order is complied.

(b) Now it is the Applicant's turn to make a representation if he desires.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J Chairman

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time to take instructions and prays for adjournment.

4. S.O. to 25.07.2017.

sba

the

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman); ) Han'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

DATE:

CORAM:

Shri/Sur H.S. Deshfande

20

Adj. To. 2-577 2-017.

of 20

	SHEET NO.
Office Nodes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Soperatance, Tribunal's orders or Soperations and Registrar's orders	'Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.620 of 2017 with M.A. No.281 of 2017
• •	S.D. Sutar & Ors. Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents.
	tor the respondents.
DATE: 7/7/2012 <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramorikamar (Member) A <u>AFPEARANCE:</u> Shriften <u>C. T. Chandrate</u> Advocate he he Applicant Shriften <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.PO. P.O. for the Respondent/s Shriften <u>M. A. C.A. 19</u> Withdrawn.	<ol> <li>In the midst of hearing Shri Chandratre, Ld Advocate for the applicant states that he may be permitted to withdraw the above OA and MA with liberty to file fresh OA and MA for appropriate relief challenging the decision of the Government on the basis of which letter is impugned in this OA.</li> <li>OA is disposed with liberty as indicated above.</li> <li>Sd/-         <ul> <li>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</li> <li>Chairman</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
BPE (	(sgj) 7.7.2017

#### (G C P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

ISPI MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRL UNAL MUMBAI

M A./R.A./C.A: No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Offlice Notes, Offlice Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Celections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.123 of 2017</u>
· · ·	Shri M.K. Jadhav/ pplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time.</li> </ol>
DATE: 2202 <u>CORAM</u> Hon ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon ble Shri M. Ramestikumar (Member) A APPEARANCE :	<ol> <li>Time granted.</li> <li>S.O. to 31.8.2017.</li> <li>Sd/-</li> </ol>
Sbri/Sout: <u>G-A-Bandiwadever</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt</u> : <u>S-Syryawanchi</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	(sgj)
Adj. To. 31 8 2017.	

(G,C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-~2-2015)

ISpl. MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

DATE :

CORAM :

APPEARANCE:

ShrifSant: K.S. Gaiku

Advocate for the Applicant (079.

Shri/Smt: G.A. Band

Ady. To. 182017.

7/2/2012

Hon ble Instice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman), H<del>on ble Shri M. Rancshämmer (Member) A</del>

Hav. for the Respondent/s (m, Repl.)

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribugel's orders

Date : 07.07.2017

M.A.No.289 of 2017 in O.A.No.32 of 2017

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Applicants (Org. Respondents)

Versus

V.A. Chabke .... Respondent(Org. Applicant)

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).

2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) prays for time.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J Chairman

Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 01.08.2017.

sba

3.

He

## (G.C.P.) J 2200(B) (80,000-22-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribumal's orders
	Date : 07.07.2017
	C.A.No.35 of 2017 in R.A.No.07of 2016 in O.A.No.1235 of 2009
	S.T. ShindeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned
	Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the
DATE: 7/2/2017	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
Hon ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the
Hen <del>ble Shi M. Rameslikumer (Member) A</del> APPEARANCE	Respondents, adjourned to 25.07.2017.
Shri/Smi A.S. Deshpande the J. Adv. holding for Adv. V.P. Potthan Advocate for the Applicant	$\mathbf{\lambda}$
Shri/Smt. :	Sd/-
C.P.O / $\mathbb{P}O$ for the Respondent/s Adj. To $2572017$ ,	(A.H. Joshi, J.)
BER BER	sba

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΗN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Elections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	C.A. No.30 of 2017 in O.A. No.864 of 2015
	Smt. A.V. LankeApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO states that:
	(a) Accountant General has sanctioned the pension.
DATE: 2/2/2017 CORAM:	(b) Bills are prepared for payment and submitted to the Treasury.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon' <del>ble Shri M. Ramcshkumar (Mémber) A</del>	(c) For reporting further compliance hearing may be adjourned.
Appearance: Belson: Thone for the app.	3 S.O. to 14.7.2017.
hri/Smt K.B. BNIS	Sd/-
P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s	(A.H. Joshi, J.)
dj. To. 14/7/2017.	Chairman 7.7.2017
BE	(sgj)
· · · ·	

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

to if

CIYCU

o ccas

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

IN

.

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Gractions and Registrar's orders	'Iribunal's orders
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C.A. No.51 of 2014 with O.A. No.465 of 2008
	The Tracers AssociationApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for thc
	Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer
	for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO states that the writ petition filed by the State
	challenging the order passed in OA is due to come up for
DATE: 7/2/2012	hearing on 28.8.2017.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	3. In view of the foregoing hearing is adjourned to
Hon <u>"ble Sail M. Rancslikumar (Member) A.</u> APPEARANCE :	16.4.2018 with liberty to circulate before due date, if
ibright K.R. Jagdale	occasion arises. $\lambda$
dvocate for the Applicant shri /Strat	Sd/-
to To 1614/2018 with liberty	(A.H. Joshi, Ja) Chairman 7.7.2017

duedate, (sgj)

M

528

G.( <sup>11</sup>) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

 $\Delta I = \Delta / R. A. / C. A. No.$ 

of 20

Original Application No. 👘

IN.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or disactions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>O.A. No.242 of 2013</u> (OA.209/2004 – Aurangabad)
	Shri S.A.K. MiyanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time.
DATE: 212/2012	$\mathbf{S}$ . Time granted.
<u>CORAM :</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Ho <del>n'ble Shri M. Rameshkamar (Member) A</del>	4. S.O. to 26.7.2017. Sd/-
APPEARANCE: Shri/Sest: A.S. Desh Parte Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: Ar Uhana B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.7.2017
Ady To. 26/7/ 2017.	
Que	
	Ţ