

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.303 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. B.P. More ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that this matter has become infructuous as the applicant has secured 60 marks which is less than the cut-off marks of 72 for the post.</p> <p>3. Hence, the OA has become infructuous and is disposed off as such.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>sgj</p> <p><b>Later on :</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Pradhan has submitted that in case applicant wants to challenge the Selection Process on the ground on ineligibility of other candidates, dismissal of the present O.A. will not come while filing the fresh O.A. in future.</p> <p>3. We make it clear that if the applicant wants to file fresh O.A. by challenging the Selection Process on the ground of eligibility of the selected candidates then this O.A. will not come in the way as the res judicata.</p> <p>4. O.A. stands dismissed.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.602 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. Valmiki-Chaudhary .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.632 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she wants to substitute the O.A. due to some orders remained to be filed and challenge.</p> <p>3. Allowed to substitute within two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.628 of 2023</p> <p>R.A. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this matter is Part Heard. Hence, matter is fixed for tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 07.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.373 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A.A. Pawar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 28.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 07.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.372 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.V. Phadke</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 28.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1180/2016 with O.A.No.110/2017 with O.A.No.401/2017</b></p> <p>R.M. Janbandhu &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit on the queries made by this Tribunal as to :</p> <p>(i) How many posts of Lecturers in English as on today are vacant</p> <p>(ii) So also are they going to advertise the post of Lecturer in English</p> <p>(iii) Whether there are any posts filled in on contract basis of Lecturer in English made by this Tribunal.</p> <p>(iv) How many applicants from the case of Sachin Dhawale are appointed and working as on today.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil Member (A))</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 07.06.2023**

**O.A.No.452/2022**

D.A. Jadhav .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the short affidavit-in-reply dated 14.02.2023 filed on behalf of Respondent No.4 through Mr. Dilip Arjun Waghe, Under Secretary in the office of Secretary, M.P.S.C. at paragraph 5 it is stated that if relief is granted to the applicant in Open General Category then the last candidate Mr. Barge Arjun Dattatraya will be affected, who is not a party to this O.A.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that pursuant to this affidavit the applicant made enquiry and found that the appointment of Mr. Dattatraya is amongst the 45 candidates who were found excess and whose proposal is forwarded by the office of Director General of Police to the Home Department and is now pending in the Home Department.

4. In view of the above, the office of Director General is directed to make correct statement that if the relief is granted to the Applicant as prayed whether any other candidate is going to be affected, so that, the applicant will make that person party in the proceedings. The concern Respondent is also directed to provide the address of that candidate.

5. Adjourned to 21.06.2023. Interim relief to be continued till next date.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil/  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 565/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.B Sakate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Amita Chavane, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the advertisement dated 7.2.2014 for the post of Junior Accounts Officer.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.6.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b><u>seven</u></b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b><u>three</u></b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b><u>for Dismissal</u></b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 28.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 462/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.R Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person was absent yesterday. Hence, matter was fixed today. However, applicant is absent today also. However, considering his prayer to decide the appeal, we direct the Respondents to decide the appeal dated 19.7.2022 by 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2023.</p> <p>3. Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.06.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 147/2016</u></p> <p>Dr N.N Ramraje ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard. Shri R.M Kolge, holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned counsel for Respondent no. 6.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Kolge states that Shri V.B Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant is not available and seeks time.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, matter is adjourned by two weeks. If learned counsel for the applicant does not remain present on the next date, the matter will be dismissed.</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.6.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Alkn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>07.06.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 371/2023</u></p> <p>Shri V.K Fale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Respondents have issued the order dated 28.4.2023 appointing the applicant and therefore nothing remains in the Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant produces copy of order dated 28.4.2023, and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Original Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.121 of 2023</u>
	<p>Dr. P.N. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.6.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.6.2023 7.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.97 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.M. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.6.2023 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.89 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.P. Kolte ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.2 submits that he will file the reply within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has not filed rejoinder.</p> <p>4. After completion of pleadings of the parties the matter will be heard.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.6.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.335 of 2023 in O.A. No.379 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.N. Gujar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant challenges and submits that GR dated 20.9.1990 and 20.6.2011 is not applicable in inter sub division transfer of Talathi within the same district.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that the case of the applicant is covered under the <u>judgment and order dated 10.3.2017 passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.749 of 2015 Shri Dnyaneshwar S. Kapkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Anr.</u></p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.6.2023</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

CA.23/2023 in OA.487/2020

Dr. Rajesh W. Raut ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad holding for Shri Vinod Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 28.6.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the CA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the CA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
7.6.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.6.2023

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.250/2023 in CA.23/2023 in OA.487/2020</u></p> <p>Dr. Rajesh W. Raut <span style="float: right;">..Applicant</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad holding for Shri Vinod Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for condoning the delay in filing CA.</p> <p>3. In this matter notice was issued to the Government-Contemnor on 12.12.2022. However, it appears that no reply is given to that notice by the respondents. Considering time of delay and the order passed in the matter, the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>7.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>7.6.2023</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.96 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. N.P. Mahajan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder on 5.6.2023. Ld. PO seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. We direct the respondent no.4-The Dean, Grant Government Medical College, Sir J.J. Hospital Compound, Byculla, Mumbai to serve copy of order dated 20.2.2023 on Deputy Collector &amp; Competent Authority, Greater Mumbai, of permitting the applicant to retain Government accommodation in Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted on 20.2.2023 to continue.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.6.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.6.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.43/2023 in O.A.No.32/2023</b></p> <p>A.D. Nargunde &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 09.06.2023. To be tagged along with M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A.No.619/2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medhá Gadgil Member (A)</b></p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><i>Jmv</i></p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 07.06.2023**

**M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A.No.619/2023**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Applicant(Org. Respd.)

Versus

R.D. Pardhi & Ors.

...Respondents(Org. Appli)

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicant).

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that C.A.No.43 /2023 in O.A.No.32/2023 is to be taken along with M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A.No.619/2023. It is regarding the process of fixing seniority of Awal Karkoons. Pursuant to the order passed by this Tribunal dated 11.01.2023 in O.A.No.32/2023, while deciding the seniority of Awal Karkoons, resultantly some Awal Karkoons are required to be reverted, hence letters dated 18.05.2023 were issued to the concerned Awal Karkoons. However, instead of replying these letters Awal Karkoons approached this Tribunal by filing O.A. on 30.05.2023 wherein status quo was granted. Learned P.O. has further submitted that in view of the status quo the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.32/2023 cannot be implemented.

3. Adjourned to 09.06.2023. To be tagged along with C.A.No.43/2023 in O.A.No.32/2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 31/2023 in O.A 478/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri U.N Funde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order of this Tribunal has not been complied with.</p> <p>3. We direct responsible officer from the office of the Respondent-Contemnors be present before this Tribunal will all relevant information tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.44/2023 in O.A.No.142/2023</b></p> <p>S.A. Pereira &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 15.02.2023 Respondent has taken the decision that the Applicant and others are not entitled to Time Bound Promotion.</p> <p>3. With above directions, C.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 35/2023 in O.A 1017/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.N Gawande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mrs Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply and submits that show cause notice is given to the applicant.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to submit reply to the show cause notice.</li> <li>4. S.O to 21.6.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**07.06.2023**

**O.A 685/2016 with O.A 703/2016**

H.B Gavhane  
C.D Pawar ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule learned P.O for the Respondents. Shri P.S Devdas, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 is absent.

2. Shri C.D Pawar, applicant is present in the Court.

3. Pursuant to order dated 28.4.2023, a question is asked to the Respondent-State how Respondent no. 3 in both the O.As viz. Shri Narayan S. Khambayat was selected and recommended in the category of disabled persons and it was found subsequently that he is having only 30% disability in the left eye and not 40% disability which is requisite.

4. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions from the Respondents submitted that Shri Khambayat, who was to be appointed in the category of disabled is not given the appointment. He further submits that a candidate from open category who was selected at Sr. No. 1, Ms Shubhangi Sapkal, has expired and therefore, that post is already vacant. Therefore, applicant no. 1, H.B Gavhane can be accommodated in open category in handicapped quota. Thus, one post which was reserved for persons from disabled category can be filled in. Applicant no. 2, Shri C.D Pawar, who is from OBC category can be accommodated in the OBC quota as he is at Sr. No. 1 in the merit list in OBC category.

5. In view of the above, we direct, Respondent no. 2, M.P.S.C to recommend the name of applicants within two weeks from today and thereafter, Respondent-State is directed to issue the appointment order of the applicants within two weeks thereafter. Further, at the time of issuing the appointment order, the seniority of the applicants is to be decided by giving them the deemed date as per the rules and the settled provisions of law.

6. Accordingly, Original Applications stand disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 01/2023 in O.A 337/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.W Pande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O submits copy of the Payment Pension Order dated 26.5.2023, wherein the applicant has been granted pension.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has not received the pension.</li> <li>4. S.O to 5.7.2023.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.06.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 309/2022</u></p> <p>Dr V.S Abnave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Permission granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.6.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>Medha Gadgil</b> / Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 67/2022 in 76/2022</u></b></p> <p>B.K Narale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mr A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Hon'ble High Court has extended the interim relief by one month.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 73/2022 in O.A 119/2019 with O.A 705/2020 with O.A 576/2020</u></b></p> <p>Smt A.T Sakore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt A.T Sakore, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that it is found that applicant is short of 3 years' experience and accordingly the file is put before the Hon'ble Minister. However, the Hon'ble Minister is out of India and will be available after 14.6.2023 and thereafter only the Respondents can take decision.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>C.A 64/2022 in O.A 608/2021</u></b></p> <p>Dr M.V Pande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has received all the payment except leave encashment and arrears of increments from 1997 to 2003.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to verify the same.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>07.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>C.A 6/2022 in O.A 574/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri L.D Veer <span style="float: right;">... Applicant</span>  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents and Ms A.M Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondents no 1, 2 &amp; 3.</li> <li>2. Learned counsel for Respondents no 1, 2 &amp; 3 states that Zilla Parishad, Nasik has complied with the order and the amount of pension has been deposited in the account of the applicant.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that arrears of difference between provisional pension and regular pension is not paid. Further he also states that gratuity and leave encashment is also not paid.</li> <li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for Respondents no 1, 2 &amp; 3 are directed to file affidavit in reply.</li> <li>5. S.O to 14.6.2023.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.45/2023 in O.A.No.614/2022</b></p> <p>N.D. Gangurde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 29.06.2022 the file has been submitted to the Hon'ble Minister on 16.05.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to file detailed affidavit regarding the delay within one week with name of the concerned person and number of the Desk i.e. movement of file.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil/ Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.183 of 2023 &amp; O.A. No.184 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.C. Dhone G.D. Vishe ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Pitale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The District Collector, Thane is directed to decide the representations dated 3.6.2022 of the applicants, till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Additional Collector, Thane is directed to remain present tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.6.2023 at 2.30 p.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.6.2023</p>