

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.869 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. VidyagarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.P.S.C. is directed to furnish the address of the RespondentNo.3 to the learned Advocate for the Applicant after he makes written application to the M.P.S.C. immediately.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.645 of 2018</p> <p>P.S. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is for final hearing but learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to file Rejoinder stating that the matter was pending undated. 3. Allowed to file Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 4. The matter is adjourned for final hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder by next date, if any. 5. S.O. to 21.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P.Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.457 of 2018</p> <p>A. R. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was lying undated in the office and today for the first time, it is listed for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter is adjourned for final hearing to give one opportunity to the Applicant and his Counsel.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) v</p> <p align="center">Member (A) (A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p align="center">Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.652 of 2016</p> <p>V. P. PardeshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged initiation of D.E. which was instituted by issuance of charge sheet dated 28.07.2015. There is no stay to the initiation of D.E. by the Tribunal. This being the position, the D.E. ought to have been finalized by this time since more than six years is over.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that she will have to take instructions about the status of D.E.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the department and to apprise the Tribunal at 2.30 pm so the matter can be disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A) vsm</p> <p align="center">(A.P.Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.869 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. VidyagarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.P.S.C. is directed to furnish the address of the RespondentNo.3 to the learned Advocate for the Applicant after he makes written application to the M.P.S.C. immediately.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9.1.2017. Along with RA, MA No.20/2017 was filed for condonation of delay which was allowed on 27.2.2017.</p> <p>4. Issue notice returnable on 14.6.2022. Registrar is directed to serve the respondent-original applicant immediately as the matter is fixed on 14.6.2022 and also communicate telephonically.</p> <p>5. While going through the record we came across the order dated 15.3.2019 passed in RA, which reads as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"1. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned advocate for the applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Mrs. Archana Todankar, learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. RA is restored.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">3. Adjourned sine die by consent."</p> <p>6. We find that Ld. PO Ms. Neelima Gohad has filed RA and she was the one, who has argued the OA and was fully aware that she did not place the notification before the Tribunal. However, she gave consent to place the RA on sine die. We are of the view that it is very objectionable on the part of Ld. PO to take such stand.</p> <p>7. We call upon Ld. PO Ms. Neelima Gohad to give explanation on this point of giving consent to keep the matter sine die and also explain why Principal Secretary, Law & Judiciary Department should not be asked to reconsider whether to keep her name on the panel of PO, MAT.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 14.6.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>7.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.06.2022

O.A.No.89 of 2018

S.R. Bhagwan ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that the revised select list was prepared on 10.08.2018 and the Applicant was in and four persons were out. Out of four persons, two persons approached this Tribunal by filing O.A.No.788/2018 and O.A.No.789/2018. O.A.No.788/2018 (Mr. Sandeep V. Palve and Mr. Dadarao S. Chavhan) for the post of Clerk-typist and O.A.No.789/2018 (Mr. Gajanan Damodhar Dhakne). The said O.As. were dismissed by order dated 31.10.2018. After judgment of this Tribunal the Applicants in O.A.No.788/2018 had filed Writ Petition No.12819/2018 before the Hon'ble High Court. The Hon'ble High Court by order dated 01.11.2018 has passed the order that the ad interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 16.10.2018 will continue till next date.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that by way of the said interim relief, Applicants in O.A.No.788/2018 (Mr. Sandeep V. Palve and Mr. Dadarao S. Chavhan) were in the service.

4. Applicant was appointed by order dated 07.04.2017 on the post of Clerk-cum-typist in the office of Additional Commissioner of Labour, Pune. We hereby directed Mr. A.P. Gite, Additional Commissioner of Labour, Pune to remain present tomorrow at 10.30 a.m. in order to answer the queries of this Tribunal in respect of O.A.No.89/2018 and especially about the vacancies in the posts of Clerk-cum Typist pursuant to advertisement published in the month of March, 2015 and whether Mr. Uday Sanjay Thorat has joined his service or not.

5. Adjourned to 08.06.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.927 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.J. Khairnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.6.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.461 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.R. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.151 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.K. Lendave & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.367 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.B. Umrani ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri P.B. Umrani, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant seeks leave to amend the title of OA. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1136 of 2016</p> <p>A. A. KhanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 14.06.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S. O. to 14.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P.Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J) vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.226 of 2022 in O.A.No.354 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>K. R. Gupta & Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri Vishwanath Talkute along with Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).</p> <p>2. The O.A. pertains to promotion to the post of Executive Engineer. This M.A. is filed by the Government to clarify their pleadings made in Para Nos.35 and 38 of Affidavit in Reply by way of this M.A. Learned C.P.O. sought to contend that the undertaking given in Para Nos.35 and 38 of reply dated 17.12.2020 filed in O.A. is only for the preparation of select list for the year 2019- 2020.</p> <p>3. As such, all that Ori. Respondents sought to clarify their pleadings in Para Nos.35 and 38 in reply. For this purpose, it is advisable to file additional Affidavit-in-Reply to clarify the same instead of filing M.A. However, the Respondents are filed M.A. to clarify the position.</p> <p>4. Be that as it may, the issue pertains to promotion and this M.A. will have to be heard and decided along with O.A. by the concerned Division Bench since the subject of promotion is not allotted to this bench.</p> <p>5. Therefore, the matter be placed before the concerned Division Bench.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ✓</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- v v</p> <p align="center">(A.P.Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 ____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 ____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C. A. No.129 of 2015 in O.A.No.142 of 2015 with O.A.No.143 of 2015 with O.A.No.144 o 2015</p> <p>Dr. S. S. DeshpandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. P. Nalavade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 15.06.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P.Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.533 of 2021 in O.A.No.948 of 2021</p> <p>K. V. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri Ranjit Agashi, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. This O.A. along with M.A. for condonation of delay is filed against the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri.</p> <p>3. In O.A. challenged is to the order of reversion. At this stage, Advocate Shri Agashi appeared on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and stated that he had already filed Vakalatnama on behalf of Zilla Parishad and wants to file reply raising the ground of jurisdiction of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P.Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.190 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Mohare (Khamkar)Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and her Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. In view of Leave note of Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 21.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.124 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. SolankiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A. the challenge was to the initiation of D.E. by charge-sheet dated 21.01.2017.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered letter of the Government dated 05.10.2021 which shows that the Government has taken decision to close D.E. The order dated 05.10.2021 is taken on record and marked by letter 'A' for identification.</p> <p>4. Since, Government has closed D.E. the O.A. has become infructuous.</p> <p>5. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.871 of 2018</p> <p>S.R. DurapeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order of punishment dated 30.08.2018 passed by Government of Maharashtra, Planning Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai thereby imposing punishment of recovery of Rs.2,82,786/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Eighty Two Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty Six Only) from gratuity and reduction of 6% pension per month for five years. The Applicant has challenged the punishment order by filing O.A. before Hon'ble Governor, State of Maharashtra on 12.09.2018.</p> <p>3. In this O.A. the Applicant has also prayed for direction to Hon'ble Appellate authority to decide the appeal within stipulated period.</p> <p>4. Thus the appeal challenging the punishment order is still pending before Hon'ble Governor, State of Maharashtra. The O.A. therefore can be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p>5. It is noticed that Secretary to the Governor, State of Maharashtra is not party to the O.A. Leave is granted to the Applicant's Counsel to carry out the amendment in title clause and to implead Secretary to the Governor, State of Maharashtra as Respondent No.4, it should be carried out forthwith.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with request to the Respondent No.4, Secretary to the Governor, State of Maharashtra to ensure decision of appeal filed on 12.09.2018 expeditiously and preferably within four months from today in accordance to law.

7. Decision as case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant who is at liberty to take further recourse of law as available to him.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.26 of 2022 in O.A. No.331 of 2021</u></p> <p>Nitin V. Thakre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Datta Mane holding for Shri Akash Yadav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this CA on the ground that judgment and order dated 24.6.2021 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.331 of 2021 was not implemented. He further states that the applicant has been transferred to Nandurbar as per impugned order dated 4.5.2021, which vitiates the spirit of the order.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO, on instructions, states that applicant has himself submitted an application dated 29.7.2021 (Exhibit 'I') to the Director General of Police, Mumbai stating that he wants to be transferred to Nandurbar as his six years tenure in Thane Commissionerate was over. He states that judgment of the Tribunal should not be implemented and he should be transferred to District Caste Certificate Verification Committee, Nandurbar.</p> <p>4. In view of this letter dated 29.7.2021 the applicant is estopped from pressing this contempt application. Moreover, he has already completed 6 years as on today. In view of the above, CA is dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.6.2022 7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.451 of 2022</u>
	<p>Harshada R. Gangurde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MPSC is directed to provide addresses of respondents no.3 & 4 to the applicant.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.6.2022 7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA No.36/2021 in OA No.1029/2014 with CA No.37/2021 in OA No.1030/2014 with CA No.38/2021 in OA No.1031/2014 with CA No.39/2021 in OA No.1032/2014 with CA No.40/2021 in OA No.1033/2014 with CA No.5/2021 in TA No.1/2020</u></p> <p>R.S. Bidkar (CA.36/2021) M.D. Sundarkar (CA.37/2021) S.S. Kinhekar (CA.38/2021) J.G. Rohankar (CA.39/2021) V.P. Ingole @ V.V. Shelke (CA.40/2021) K.C. Pawar (CA.5/2021) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.K. Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants in CAs. No.36 to 40/2021, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for Applicant in CA No.5/2021 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar submits that writ petitions have been filed in the Hon'ble High Court and it will appear on 10.6.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.7.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.4 of 2022 in O.A. No.613 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.V. Mahajan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of order dated 3.6.2022 appointing the applicant and submits that CA may be disposed off. The said order dated 3.6.2022 is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.6.2022 7.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>