versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appeerance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrer's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.06.2019.

O.A.No.526 of 2019

J. B. GiraseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. D. Khade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Dfficer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 29.05.2019 whereby he has been transferred from Nasik Road Central Prison to Aurangabad Central Prison. The Applicant contends that he was transferred before completion of his tenure of six years and, therefore, the impugned transfer order for non compliance of provision of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act, 2005 is illegal. He, therefore, prayed for interim relief.

3. However, perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was transferred to Nasik Road Central Prison by order dated 16.05.2013. As such, at the time to impugned transfer order dated 29.05.2019, he had completed normal tenure of six years. Indeed, in his application dated 22.02.2019, he admits that he is due for transfer and requested tor Malegaon or Nasik. Only because the Applicant was relieved two months later after his transfer order dated 16.05.2013, it can't be said that his transfer is mid-term or mid-tenure.

I am, therefore, not inclined to grant interim relief.

4.

IPTO

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	 Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.07.2019. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and concerne active for final disposal. 	
	 stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A Respondents are put to notice that the 	
	 book of O.A Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the 	
	Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.	
	11. S.O. to 02.07.2019	
	Sd/-	
vsm	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	

ļ

Date : 07.06.2019.

 $\mathbf{2}$

O.A.No.449 of 2019

B. V. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. N. Kolhe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is working as Talathi in Dist. Satara. He is seeking transfer to Dist. Parbhani.

3. The Collector, Satara by his order dated 18.04.2016 has forwarded the proposal to the Government for inter division transfer of the Applicant. It seems that the Collector, Parbhani is also ready to accommodate the Applicant in Parbhani District.

3. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents at this stage pointed out that recently the Government by G.R. dated 15.05.2019 delegated the powers to the appointing authority for inter division transfer. He has also tendered G.R. dated 15.05.2019. It is taken on record.

4. Perusal of G.R. dated 15.05.2019 reveals that now the powers are delegated to the appointing authority and, therefore, it is for the Respondent No.2 i.e. The Collector, Satara and the Respondent No.3 i.e Collector, Parbhani to ensure the implementation of G.R. dated 15.05.2019. The appointing authority of the Applicant is 5.D.O. Satara.

5. In view of above, instead of keeping the O A. pending, it can be disposed of by issuing sultable directions. Hence the following order.

ORDER

- (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.2 to decide the representation made by the Applicant for inter division transfer in coordination with the Respondent No.3 and to pass appropriate order in terms of G.R. dated 15.05.2019 within three months from today.
- (B) The decision, as the case may be, be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.
- (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he can avail further legal remedy as permissible in law.
- (D) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASE	ITRA ADMINIS' MUMBAI	(Spl- MAT-F-2 E. FRATIVE TRIBUNAL
	of 90	DISTRICT

(Advocate)
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Responden
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribuaal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.982/17</u>
	Shri D.H. Surwase Applicant Vs.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for t Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. f Respondents.
	2. The Applicant in person is present. The learn Advocate for the Applicant Shri Lonkar submitted the the Applicant do not wish to continue the present O and prayed to dispose of the same.
	3. The Applicant who is present in the Tribunal a submits that he does not want to continue to proceedings.
	4. In view of above, the O.A. is dismissed for wa of prosecution.
	5. No order as to costs.
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J
	07.06.2019 (skw)
	1

Date : 07.6.2019.

O. A. No.415 of 2019

A. D. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has sought limited relief of direction to Respondent No.1 to decide his appeal dated 04.07.2016 within stipulated period.

3. Perusai of order dated 09.01.2013 reveals that following punishment was imposed:-

"मी, सह पोलीस आयुक्त (का.व.सु.), मुंबई मला मुंबई पोलीस अधिनियम १९५१, कलम २५ अन्वये प्रदान करफ्यात आलंक्या अधिकारांचा वापर करून, अपचारी श्री.अशोक दत्ताराम सावंत, पोलीस नाईक क.१९४९१, मरीन ड्राईव्ह पोलीस ठाणे, मुंबई यांना त्यांच्या उपरोक्त कसुरीबद्दल ''पोलीस नाईक पदाच्या मूळ देतनावर ३ वर्ष ठेवणे" ही शिक्षा कायम करण्यात येत आहे."

Exercising powers under Section 25 of Maharashtra Police Act, later, by order dated 18.10.2014 by way of corrigenoum, instead of post of Police Naik it was replaced by the post of Police Constable. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant had filed appeal on 04.07.2016 which is pending before the Respondent No.1. As the appeal is not decided within reasonable time, the Applicant has approached this Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of appeal his further chances for promotion are affected.

4. It is really surprising to know that though the period of three years is over, the appeal is not yet decided by the Respondent No.1 which in fact required to be disposed of expeditiously.

4. In view of above, issue show cause notice returnable on 19.06.2019 to Respondent No.1 to explain why the appeal is not yet decided.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member(J)

vsm

2 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.588 of 2018 .. Applicant M.M. Solanki Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri S.L. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. PO produces a communication dated 6.6.2019 from respondent no.4. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. The said communication states as under: ''मी, संजय बर्वे, पोलीस आयुक्त, बृहन्मुंबई मला महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (शिस्त व अपिल) नियम १९७९ मधील नियम ६, पोटनियम (२) अन्वये प्रदान केलेल्या अधिकारानुसार, सक्षम प्राधिकारी या नात्याने, या आदेशाद्वारे श्री.मोहन मंगल सोलंकी, सफाई कामगार, दादर पोलीस ठाणे, मुंबई यानी शासकीय जागेवर चूकीच्या पध्दतीने व हटवादीपणाने ताबा टेवला या त्यांच्या चुकीच्या, हटवादी व बेश्जिसत वर्तनाबाबत त्यांना महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (शिस्त व अपिले) नियम १९७९ मधील नियम ५ मध्ये नमुद ''ठपका ठेवणे'' अशी शिक्षा याद्वारे देत आहे.'' In view of the above, the Ld. Advocate for the applicant, on instructions from the applicant, seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to take appropriate DATE : remedial action. 002/14 Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn with Honible H. Joshi (Chairmen) 21XIL aforesaid liberty and disposed off as such. Heulder APPEAK. Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Shel /Cau 7.6.2019 for the Respondent/s no e (\$gj) OA is allowed to be Adj. To.... Withdown.

	ISpl MAT-F-2 E. ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
M.A./R.A./C.A. No.	of 20
	01 20
IN	
Original Application No.	of 20
FARAD CONT	INUATION SHEET NO.
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Date : 07.06.2019
	O.A.No.346 of 2017
	V.B. Pawar Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.L. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding
	for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant
	and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the
	Respondents.
DATE 762019	3. At the request of learned Advocate Shri S.L.
CORAM Chri & P. Potol (V/C-J) Hos ble Junice Short A. H. thin (Changen) n	Jadhav matter is fixed for final hearing on 14.06.2019.
Hoo'ble She M. Herten PLANtember X - 4)	
ABPEARASICE: Shri/Sont S.L. Jadhav LJ. AN. hddry	Sd/- Sd/-
Shri/Sent B. E. Ponchal	(P.N. Dixit) (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(A) Vice-Chairman(J)
shot/Smt . 9. P. Mancheller	prk
C.P.O / P.O for the Respondent/s	
Ady To. 14] 612-09 9'	
Me-	

.

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

•

. .

M.A/R.A./C.A. No.

4

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 07.06.2019
	M.A.No.241 of 2018 in O.A.No.345 of 2017
	V.B. Pawar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.L. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding
· · · · ·	for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant
	and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
	2. The Applicant has filed the present M.A. with a request to expedite hearing in O.A.No.346/2017. The
DATE: 7612019	O.A.No.346/2017 is fixed for final hearing today, but the
COBAM Hos His Justice Sprid, H. Joshi (Chairman) Hos His Shrid, H. Joshi (Chairman) Hos His Shrid, M. Barnesh Kulan (Manhortza)	learned Advocate Shri R.G. Panchal for the Applicant is not present today. Hence no question of expeditious
APPEARANCE :	hearing in the O.A. arises. Since the O.A. is fixed for final
Shipson SL. Jadhar Ld. A. holding	hearing, the purpose of filing the M.A. is frustrated.
Shri/Smil: S. G. Mancheker	Hence the M.A. deserves to be rejected.
C.F.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s	3. In view of the above M.A. stands rejected. No
AG TO MA stands rejected.	order as to costs.
Are	Sd/- Sd/-
	(P.N. Dixit) (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(A) Vice-Chairman(J) prk
· · · ·	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 07.6.2019.

O. A. No.413 of 2019

....Applicant

Tribunal's orders

N. I. Patil

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 26.06.2019.

۱... Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

 expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preservice of the following order. O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmene within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 			2		
0. A. No.414 of 2019 M. A. Tamanekar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking limite relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabil The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppage of increments for three years without affecting furth increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affectd. 4. Needless to mention that the Appleal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. QDEE (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Applicant isout decide the Applicant within tw weeks thereafter. <th>Offi</th> <th>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or</th> <th></th> <th>Tribun</th> <th>al's orders</th>	Offi	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or		Tribun	al's orders
 M.A. TamanekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presentit Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking limit relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabl The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting furthe Increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishme on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by th Applicant gains the order of punishme on 22.10.2018 preferred to be disposed of with subsection streagenet. Hence the following order. MEEE (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by th Applicant agains the order of punishmel within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall th communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant sto cases availab in law. (D) No order as to costs 			Date : 07	.6.2019.	
 Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenti Officer for the Respondents. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking limit relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabl The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting furth increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishme on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his servic benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preset O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. CDEE (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decid the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferend by th Appleant agains the order of punishmen within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall t communicated to the Applicant within tw weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant relat agreeved by the decisio h can avail further legal remedy as availab in law. (D) No order as to costs 				0. A. No	.414 of 2019
 The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Smt. Kranti Galkwad, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking limite relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabl The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting further increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency. Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his servic benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the presei O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal ated 22.10.2018 preferred by th Appleant agains the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall to communicate to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant stored by the decisio he can avail further legal remedy as availab in law. (D) No order as to costs 			M. A. Tar	nanekar	Applicant
 Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking limite relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabil The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting further increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached the Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency. Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment. Therefore, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by th Applicant against the order of punishment. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant fet aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availab in law. (D) No order as to costs 				of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
 Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking limite relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabil The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting further increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached tht Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency. Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment. Therefore, the presend the subject of expeditiously so that the Applicant is disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishment. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall the communicated to the Applicant fielt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availab in law. (D) No order as to costs 			1 Hearr	l Shri K R laod	ale learned Advocate for the
 Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking limite relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabl The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting furthe increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishmen on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency. Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed or expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his servic benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preser O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmer within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decisio he can avail further legal remedy as available in law. (D) No order as to costs 				•**	
 In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking limiter relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabil The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting further increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached the Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency. Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preset O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmer within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant field aggreeved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabin law. (D) No order as to costs 					-
 relief of direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide h Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabl The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting furthelincrements by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applicat has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his servic benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. ORDEE (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant gaginst the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall the communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant fleaggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabin in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 Appeal dated 22.10.2018 at the earliest or with stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabil The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppage of increments for three years without affecting further increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applicant has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Appeal actions. Hence the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal against the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall the communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant field aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 stipulated period. 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabl The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppag of increments for three years without affecting furthe increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applican has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishmen on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his servic benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preset O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant agains the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall the communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availab in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 3. The Applicant is serving as Police Head Constabil The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppage of increments for three years without affecting further increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applicat has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preset O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall the communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant fielt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availab in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 The Respondent No.2 imposed punishment of stoppage of increments for three years without affecting further increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applicat has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached the Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed or expeditiously so that the Appeal being again the punishment. Therefore, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Applicant against the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall the communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabin in law. (D) No order as to costs 					ng as Police Head Constable.
 of increments for three years without affecting further increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applicat has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached the Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall the communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					-
 increments by order dated 29.12.2017. The Applicat has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached the Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the presere O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmer within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall the communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabin in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 has preferred an Appeal against the order of punishment on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preset O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 				-	_
 on 22.10.2018. As the Appeal is not decided with reasonable time, the Applicant has approached th Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preset O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmer within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 			1	-	
 reasonable time, the Applicant has approached the Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preservice of a being again the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmer within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 Tribunal on the ground that because of pendency of Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmene within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 Appeal his further chances for promotion are affected. 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmene within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 4. Needless to mention that the Appeal being again the punishment is required to be disposed of expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preservice of the disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. <u>ORDER</u> (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmene within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 the punishment is required to be disposed expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmene within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 expeditiously so that the Applicant should get his service benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the preservice of the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. 					
 benefits as per his entitlement. Therefore, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmene within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmer within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 					
 the following order. ORDER (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishment within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs 				•	
 (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmer within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs Sd/- 					
 (A) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 22.10.2018 preferred by the Applicant against the order of punishmer within three months from today. (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs Sd/- 					DER
 (B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he can avail further legal remedy as availabe in law. (D) No order as to costs Sd/- 			(A)	direction to th the Appeal date	e Respondent No.1 to decide ed 22.10.2018 preferred by the
communicated to the Applicant within tw weeks thereafter. (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decisio he can avail further legal remedy as availab in law. (D) No order as to costs Sd/-			1-1		
 (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decisio he can avail further legal remedy as availab in law. (D) No order as to costs Sd/- 			(B)	communicated	to the Applicant within two
(D) No order as to costs Sd/-			(C)	he can avail fu	
			(D)		Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)					(A.P. Kurhekar)

ffice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 07.06.2019.
	O.A.No.520 of 2019
	U. D. MhaskeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.06.2019.
	3. Tribunai may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	8. S.O. to 19.06.2019.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.06.2019.

O.A.No.481 of 2019

D. N. Jadhavar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 02.07.2019.

vsm

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

......

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	ver	~SU8
The St		arashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Proporting Officer		
(Presenting Officer	***************************************	······································
Office Nates, Office Memoranda af Cara Appearance, Tribunal's arders ar directions and Registrar's arders		Tribunal's orders Date : 07.06.2019.
		O.A.No.193 of 2019
		i. S. MadgulkarApplicant
	т	he State of Maharashtra & Ors
	2. tra th lea du fro ind wa and	pplicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting fficer for the Respondent No.1. None for Respondent No.2.
		As such, the Applicant has already retired on attaining age of superannuation and, therefore, the cause of action more survives.
	4. of w	In view of above, the Original Application is disposed with no order as costs.
		Sd/-
	vsm	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50, IN THE MAI	HARASHTRA	ADMIN MUMB.	- ISTRATIVE TRIJ AI	ispl. mat- BUNAL	F-2 E.
Original Application	n N o. o	f 20	DISTRICT		
(Advocate)		Appli	cant/s
	m - Ci - i -	versus	htra and others		
	The State	of Manaras	mtra and others	Respon	dent/s
(Presenting Officer.)	-	
Appearance, T	e Memoranda of Coram, ribuna/'s orders or Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders O.A. No.32	85 of 2019	
		S.S	S. Kadam & Ors. Vs.		Applicants
		Th	vs. e State of Maharashtra & Or	·s	Respondents
			Applicant is present anchekar, learned Chief spondents.	in person. H Presenting Of	eard Ms. S.P. fficer for the
		2.	Ld. CPO seeks one wee	ek's time for fil	ing reply.
		3.	S.O. to 14.6.2019.		
				S	d/-
DATE: 7/6/20	11			(P.N. 1) Vice-Chai 7.6.2	rman (A)
GORAM: im 'ble Justice Shri A. I Hon 'ble Shri M Ramesi	H. Joshi (Chairman) 2014 - XIC- A Klimar Member) A	(5)	<u>zj</u>)		
APPEAR TO Shri/Smi Applicate Advocate 6 Shrt/Smi P.M. C.P.O / P.O. To Lee Res	snoh Ker				
Adi To	-			·	
	1. h				

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (IN THE]	a) (50,0003-2017) MAHARASHTF	RA ADMI MUM	INISTRATIVE TRIBU BAI	(Spl MAT-F-2 E. NAL
Original Appl	ication No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate) ver		
	The S		rashtra and others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting C) fficer)	
Appear	Office Memovanda of Cor ance, Tribunal's orders or as and Registrar's ordars	am,	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.575 of 2015 with M	.A. No.377 of 2015
			H.M. Kshirsagar Vs.	Applicant
			The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
			 Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar Officer for the Respondents. 2. At the request of Ld. Ad adjourned for two weeks for taki transferring the matter to Aurangab 	, learned Chief Presenting vocate for the applicant, ng instructions regarding
			3. S .O. to 21.6.2019.	
DATE : CORAM : Hon'ble lastic Hon'ble Shri N	71612-019 eShrij A. H. Josh (Chairmen) Rameshikuwar (Member) A	נת	(sgj)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
Advocate for the Shrit /Smit. : C.P.O / P.O. fo	Applicant 5-P.M.M.V.Nev of the Respondent/s			
Ađ , To?	1142-e19.	Re .		

•

	MUM		
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
Advocate)		
Auvocate			
T		<i>sus</i> arashtra a nd others	
1	ne state of main		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes Office Memoranda o Appearance, Tribunal 3 order directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.94 o	<u>f 2018</u>
		A.S. Jakkanawar	Applicant
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gail Officer for the Respondents.	, learned Advocate for the kwad, learned Presenting
		2. S .O. to 21.6.2019 for repo	rting progress.
			Sd/-
			(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
		(sgj)	
DATE: 7162-2019 2029AM: Hore the shrid H. Jo hi (Chr 1 Pitty Pl2 Hand April 28.4 18 M. D. Lauk Advicate for he Applicent Shot (Shut) (Shot Respondent/S	<u>a.</u>		
Adi To. 2-11-612-019:	y, te		

g.c.p.) j 2959 (IN THE]	a) (50,0003-2017) MAHARASHTI	RA ADM MUM	INISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL IBAI
Original Appl	ication No.	of 20	District Applicant/s
(Advocate			rsus
	The S		arashtra and others Respondent/s
Presenting C	fficer)
Appear	, Office Memoranda of Con ance, Tribuna's orders or hs and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders O.A. No.596 of 2018
			Dr. S.M. Gaikwad & OrsApplicants Vs.
			The State of Maharashtra & Ors
			Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
			2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he is furnishing copy of page nos.15-16 of OA to Ld. PO which he inadvertently has not done earlier.
			3. At the request of Ld. PO three weeks time is granted for filing reply.
D ATE :	-162-019		4. S.O. to 5.7.2019.
CORAM : Non'ble Justi Hon'ble Shri	ice Shri A. H. to hi (Chairman H. Harne Shland - (Mender)	a) A	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
APPEARAN Shri/Sout :	the Applicant		(sgj)
Shri/Smt :.	KS. FUKWod		
Adj. To	57712-017:	"Te	
		ſ.	
		~	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ADÌ	INISTRATIVE TRIBU	(Spl MAT-F-2 E. J NAL
		IBAI	
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
The Stat		ersus narashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribune's orders or directions and Registura's orders		Tribunal's orders O.A. No.764 o	<u>f 2018</u>
		N.S. Shinde Vs.	Applicant
		The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwad the Applicant and Ms. S.P. M Presenting Officer for the Respond	lanchekar, learned Chief
		2. Ld. CPO states that applie the DE. She proposes to file repl day.	
		3. Ld. Advocate for the apprejoinder.	olicant seeks time to file
		4. S.O. to 21.6.2019.	
DATE: 7/6/2019			Sd/-
How the face of Rameshkools (Member) A	>		(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
APPEARAN E.A. Bandiwadder	r	(sgj)	7.0.2017
Advocate for the Applicant Short/Smt. S. P. MGM ACKAN C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s			
Ad To 211612019			
B. L.			
1	1		

.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA			Spl MAT-F-2 E. JAL
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)	,	
		ersus	
The Sta	te of Mal	harashtra and others	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer			Respondences
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribuna''s orders or directions and Registrar's orders	l,	<u>O.A. No.888 of 2018 with M.A</u>	No.224 of 2019
		A.S. Shirsath & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
		The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		 Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, leafor Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocat Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applican Tribunal regarding jurisdiction of the prayer about absorption of a person w Yeola Municipality. 3. At his request adjourned to 13 	te for the Applicant and Presenting Officer for at proposes to satisfy the e Tribunal as far as the vorking in the control of
DATE: 1612-019			Sd/- ·
QOBAM: How the Justice Shrin H. Jost i (Chairman) How the Internet Remerchant (Monober) A APPLANNIE Spriver Kaustubh Fidn H. A holding for Shri Karuli L.C. 14 Monotation B. P. MGN(Maker C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent's Adj. To	tu.	(sgj)	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
J. C.	c		

(G.C.P.) J 2959 IN THE	A) (50,000–3-2017) MAHARÁSHTRA	ADMIN MUMB			МАТ-F-2 Е. А.L
Original App	lication No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate) versus	3		
	The Sta	te of Mahara	shtra and others	H	Respondent/s
(Presenting (Officer)		
Appear	s, Office Memorenda of Coram rance, Tribuna''s orders or ons end Registrar's orders	,	Tribunal	's orders .A. No.221 of 20	<u>19</u>
		N	.S. More Vs.		Applicant
		т	ne State of Maharas	htra & Ors.	Respondents
			Heard Shri G e Applicant and resenting Officer for	Ms. S.P. Manc	, learned Advocate for hekar, learned Chief 5.
		2. ha	Ld. CPO seek as not received instr		ne to file reply as she
		3.	At her request	adjourned to 21.	6.2019.
					Sd/-
DATE : 7	Shei A. H. Josh (Chairman)			Vi	(P.N. Dixit) ce-Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
Hon'ble Shri-A	Rame Rikante (Member) A	(s	gj)		
Sbil/	F.A. Bandiweduke	7			
Advocate ter ti Shri/Smit. :	Applicant D. P. Manchellar or the Respondent/s				
	1				
A. To	2162-e19 Ha	_			

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A.R.A.K.A. No.

.

......

of 20

Original Application No.

ΙN

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

	ffice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•		
		Date : 07.06.2019 O.A.No.984 of 2017 with O.A.No.132 of 2018 R.N. Fulzele & Ors. (O.A.984/2017) V.S. Zarekar & Ors. (O.A.132/2018) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
		1. Heard Shri A.N. Naikwadi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
Advocate (M. Rameellhitt (Member)A CE: A.H. Hajkolcal	2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents on instructions from Shri B.G. Jadhav, Assistant Controller Legal Metrology, office of Respondent No.1, states that the Rules are expected to be finalized shortly. As soon as the Rules are finalized, the claim made by the Applicants will be taken up for consideration. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time for the same.
A đ , To	19/8/2019 He	3. Respondents are directed to expedite the matter and report the progress within the period of six weeks from today.
		4. S.O. to 19.08.2019. Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(A) Vice-Chairman(J) prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders

DATE

Shiris

Advin

-8ਸ਼ਰੇ /ਤਿਵਸ

C.P.O / P.O. is

Adı. To.....

of

Hon'ble Justi Hon'ble Shri i

APPEARSHIC

T. Chands

S.P. Marcha

OA is diplosed

a No Require side

Applicant

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.06.2019

 $\mathbf{2}$

O.A.No.760 of 2016 (Subject : Termination)

S.S. Shinde Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has filed Appeal/ representation dated 09.05.2016 (copy whereof is at page 37 of the paper book) challenging the impugned order. The same has not been decided by the Respondent No.1.

3. In view of this it is just proper to direct the Respondent No.1 to decide the appeal/ representation within four weeks from today and communicate the decision to the Applicant.

4. In view of the above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the appeal/ representation dated 09.05.2016 within four weeks from today and to communicate the decision to the Applicant in writing. No order as to costs.

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)

Sd/-

Sd/-(B.P. Patil)

Vice-Chairman(J)

prk

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) 20,000-10-2013) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Date: 07.06.2019 M.A.No.346 of 2018 in O.A.No.554 of 2018 R.R. Tarkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P. Kanade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. 2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 01.07.2019. Hon ble Shr M. Kanachuldrid (Member) M.A.No.346 of 2018 in O.A.No.554 of 2018 R.R. Tarkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P. Kanade, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. 2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 01.07.2019. MILEN Sd/- Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) ' (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J) prk	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Adj. Ta	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 7/6/2019 (TRAM: 2nr/ B.f. Path) (Mc-1) Hos ble Justee Shri (H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon ble Shr M. Kameslikultar (Member) (C-A) Hon ble Shr M. Kameslikultar (Member) (C-A) Shr Shi C. Shr Shr S. P. Vanade Advocar Septicant Shr (Smi S.P. Mencheller	Date : 07.06.2019 M.A.No.346 of 2018 in O.A.No.554 of 2018 R.R. Tarkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P. Kanade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. 2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 01.07.2019. Sd/- Sd/- (P.N[Dixit] ' (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(A) Vice-Chairman(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

BAM :

lika ble Just

Non'ble Shri N

ShridSont turn

Shei /Stor ...

Advances for the As

C.P.O / 20 1/14 3000

9/7/2019

Henc for the Appl.

s.p. Manchel

Ad To TSSUE notice before admission volumatic on Tribunal's orders

Date:07.06.2019

2

O.A.No.517 of 2019

P.S. Jilevad...... ApplicantVersus...... RespondentsThe State of Maharashtra & Ors...... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 09.07.2019.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil)

Vice-Chairman(J)

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)

prk

		2
Appeara	Office Memorenda of Coram, nce, Tribunal's orders or	
direction	s and Registrar's orders	
	,	
	,	
<u>DATE : 7</u>	<u>[20]9</u>	
Hore	ri B.P. Patil (VC-)	
Hou -	1. A. Dixinter (Member) A)	
APPERA		
search and the second	lone for the cpy).	
Advocate for 3		
Shri Paala - s	\$. P. Mancheller	
C.P.C.	- Respondent/s	
1. m T	suc notice bet re	
	n volumable on	
1		
9 7 2	90'	
	the	
	9.0	

Tribunal's orders te : 07.06.2019

O.A.No.516 of 2019

A.D. Channe Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.07.2019.

 Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 09.07.2019.

Sd/-(P.N[Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J) (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

-

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		A	pplicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or ters M.A. No.283 of 2019 in O.A. No.445 of 2019		
	M.T. Metake & Ors. Vs.	Applicants	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	Applicants as well as Adv absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchek Officer for Respondents No.1 to learned Advocate holding for Advocate for Respondent no.4-M	3 and Ms. Ankita Nishad Shri A.A. Desai, learned	
	2. Ld. Advocate for Respon- as per the directions given by respondent no.4 has complied we email addresses of respondents Advocate for the applicants. Sho affidavit regarding the same before	with the same and provided s no.5 to 826 to the Ld e also proposes to file short	
DATE: 7/6/2019	3. S.O. to 10.6.2019.		
<u>CORAM</u> : Her'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		Sd/-	
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkuhar (Member) A		(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)	
Spri/Sint: Applicant a well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent	(sgj)	7.6.2019	
Shrt/Smt : <u>C. P. Mangheller</u> C.P.O / <u>P.O.</u> for the Respondent's 1 to 3: Ms. Ankit Distant balding Day			
Ms. Ankita Hishad holding for Adj. To. Shri A.A. Desai Ld. Adv. Por the appl.		· · ·	
S. o. to 10/6/2019			
HP2			

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) [Spl. MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TEIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

٠

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s	
(Advocate)			
	,			
	ver	sus		
	The State of Maha	arashti	a and others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda Appearance, Tribunal's orde directions and Registrar's o	ers or	Tribunal's orders O.A. Nc.115 of 2019		<u>f 2019</u>
		A.M.	Sawarkar Vs.	Applicant
		The S	tate of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Gaikv	Applicant is present in pervad, learned Presenting; Office	
		2. and th	Ld. PO files reply on beha e same is taken on record.	lf of the respondent no.2
		3.	S.O. to 10.6.2019.	
• I.,				Sd/-
				(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
DATE: 7/6/2016		(sgj)		
COBAM : Hon 'ble Jastice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chai Hon 'ble Shri M Ramesukumar (Memi	man) ber) A	•		
APPRIA INCE: Sori/Stat.: Applicant 15 Advocate for the Applicant	present		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
She dent K.S. Bikw C.L. Korbe Respondent/s	<u></u>		* .	
Adj. 30 1016 2019			÷	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	revier			