

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.363 of 2016 To

O.A. 377/2016

Shri T.T. Bankar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 11.7.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 11.7.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
7.6.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 7/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice M. Ramasubramanian (Member)-A

APPEARANCES:

Applicant: None for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 11/7/16

B.T.K.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.352 of 2016

Shri H.S. Bhapkar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO prays for time to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend for incorporating appropriate grounds by substituting the memo of OA. Leave granted.

3. S.O. to 15.7.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date if amendment is carried out.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman
7.6.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 7/6/16
 C.C.P. No. _____
 Heard by: Shri A.R. Joshi (Chairman)
Shri N.K. Rajpurohit (Member) A
 Sd/- A.R. Joshi
 Sd/- N.K. Rajpurohit
 C.C.P. No. _____
 Dtd. 15/6/16

sgj

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.06.2016.

O.A.No.21 of 2015

L.R. Khade & Ors.

.... Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 12.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, Chairman)

prk

DATE: 7/6/16

CERAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri V.V. Joshi (None for the app.)

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 12/7/16

142

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.254 of 2016

Shri N.S. Deshmukh ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO tenders a copy of order dated 19.5.2016 passed by the S.P., Pune Rural. Copy is given to Ld. Advocate for the applicant. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate prays for time to consider the developments.

3. S.O. to 13.6.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.W. Joshi)

Chairman

7.6.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 7/6/16

COPIES

1 (Chairman)

1 (Registrar)

ADVOCATE

A. v. Bandiwadekar

ADVOCATE

K.S. Gaikwad

CHAIRMAN

Adj. To: 13/6/16

HTK

Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/6/16</u></p> <p>BY: <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>For the Applicant</p> <p><u>N. S. Gohad</u></p> <p>For the Respondent/s</p> <p>DATE: <u>14/7/16</u></p> <p><u>BTE</u></p>	<p><u>07.06.2016</u></p> <p><u>C.A 60/2006 in O.A No 1083/2003</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Sankhe ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Shri R.L Fulmali ... Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the order passed in the Original Application is not complied with and Contempt Application survives. He prays for listing the case for hearing before Division Bench.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.7.2016 at 3.00 pm. It is hoped that ^{notice} personal hearing intimation will be given by the Applicant to the Respondent intimating the date of hearing along with copy of the order. Hamdast and steno copy allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) <i>(Signature)</i> Chairman.</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.139/2013

Shri J.B. Sathe & Ors. ... Applicants
V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. Shri Chougule is being instructed by Dr. Rama Bhosale, Principal. This OA is moved by the heirs and LR's of a deceased employee who was working as a Lecturer. His name was Shri Bhujangrao D. Sathe. It seems that he was under suspension from 2004 till his death on 28.8.2011. In the midst of the arguments of Mr. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, it transpires that the issue of pensionary benefits and retiral benefits apart for which there is a fierce dispute, the point still remains about the Provident Fund. The deceased apparently was employed on 28.8.1987 and then on 28.7.1997, he came to be transferred to Panvel. On 20th January, 2004, he came to be suspended which state of affairs prevailed till his demise. Now, it is extremely intriguing and even serious that the Applicant has not even been given the Provident Fund of their deceased ascendant. It is not disputed that the said deceased was having his Provident Fund Account. As of now, the learned P.O. informs that the issue of Provident Fund being not the subject matter of this OA, the record thereabout has not been brought. Regardless of whether this kind of a technicality should at all have been resorted to, I make it very clear that on the face of it, it is extremely serious matter. I would like to know the recent position about the Provident Fund of the said deceased and this would be irrespective of whatever happens to

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

this OA which is being contested fiercely, it is possible that the interest which could even be very prohibitive and liable to be claimed from the concerned defaulting Officers is one possibility which is potent unless at least a reasonable explanation is offered other than that the Applicants did not see the Officers of the Respondents. That is because, as per Page 37, it would appear that the Respondents knew the address of the Applicant. Even as the further hearing continues, this OA will stand adjourned for the compliance in respect of Provident Fund. Arguments to resume.

It is made clear that if that aspect of the matter can still be attended to, the mere pendency of this OA would not come in the way thereof. A steno-copy hereof be furnished to both the sides during the course of the day.

S.O. to 10th June, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 01
Member (J)
07.06.2016

(skw)

DATE: 7/6/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri A. U. Patil~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri / Shri A. J. Chougale

~~CRO/TO. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To

S.O. to 10/6/16.

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.527 OF 2016****DISTRICT: PUNE****S.D. Shelke****.... Applicant.****Versus****The Divisional Commissioner, Pune & Ors.****....Respondents.**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 07.06.2016.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 18.08.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. By the impugned order, Applicant is transferred from Haveli to Kolhapur. Applicant's stay in Pune District is of six years. However, his stay in Haveli is from June, 2015.

2
8. In this background namely Applicant's stay at Haveli is only for one year, Applicant has made a ^{sub} ~~case~~ case for grant of interim stay. Hence, by way of ad interim order relief in terms of prayer clause 10(a) is granted.

9. Consequential directions, if any, required for enabling the applicant to discharge duties at Haveli be passed by the Respondents.

10. Respondents shall be free to modify the order without waiting for decision unless they decide to contest to the O.A..

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O..

12. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

13. S.O. to 18.08.2016, with liberty to circulate before due date after one week on filing the affidavit.


Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

v. yach

prk

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.528 OF 2016****DISTRICT: PUNE****S.A.U. Shaikh****.... Applicant.****Versus****The Divisional Commissioner, Pune & Ors.****....Respondents.**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 07.06.2016.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 18.08.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. By the impugned order, Applicant is transferred from Shirur, Pune to Satara. Applicant's stay in Pune District is of six years. Applicant's stay in Pune District is from June, 2011, however, he is at Shirur only since 19.09.2014.
8. In this background namely Applicant's stay at Shirur is only for one year, Applicant has made out a case for grant of interim stay. Hence, by way of ad interim order relief in terms of prayer clause 10(a) is granted.
9. Consequential directions, if any, required for enabling the applicant to discharge duties at Pune be passed by the Respondents.
10. Respondents shall be free to modify the order without waiting for decision unless they decide to contest to the O.A..
11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O..
12. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
13. S.O. to 18.08.2016, with liberty to circulate before due date after one week on filing the affidavit.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

(7)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION 453 OF 2016**

DISTRICT : THANE

Shri D.D Shivthare

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice A.H. JOSHI (CHAIRMAN)

DATE : 7.6.2016

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This case was listed on yesterday's Board and was kept on today's Board. This was done for enabling the Respondents to state whether a reliever was posted to take charge of the post of the Applicant.
3. Learned Presenting Officer has taken instructions and states that no reliever is posted to take charge of the assignment from the Applicant. However, the charge is ordered to be taken by another officer available at the Police Station.
4. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time to file reply and call for the record.
5. Learned Presenting Officer states that the transfer had become necessary because of adverse report received against the Applicant, which was made by the Addl. D.G (Planning & Coordination) Shri V.V. Laxminarayan, who was formerly working as Joint Commissioner of Police, Thane. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered a sealed envelope containing original report aforesaid.



6. The envelope was opened and perused the report. After perusal, Presenting Officer was called to explain as to what was the emergency of immediate movement or displacement of the Applicant from his present posting. Learned Presenting Officer, after taking instructions from Law Officer Shri Upasni and Desk Officer Shri Sawant, who stated on oral instructions from the D.G.P, states that if the Applicant is continued at his present posting at Narpoli Police Station, applicant's presence will result in creating "law and order problem".

7. The text of the report of Shri V.V Laxminarayan the then Addl. C.P, Thane is not non-congruent with the statement expressing apprehension as regards law and order.

8. Moreover, as observed by the Hon'ble Judicial Member in order dated 1.6.2016, the material whatsoever, it appears, was not placed before the PEB-II. In the aforesaid premises, all that can be inferred at this stage is that the competent Transferring Authority was satisfied subjectively in favour of decision to transfer, than objectively.

9. Therefore, the case comes under serious cloud of lack of compliance of mandatory requirements prescribed under Section 25N of Bombay Police Act. Hence, the Applicant has made out case for grant of ad-interim relief.

10. Therefore, there shall ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause 10(a).

11. S.O to 30.6.2016. Hamdast and steno copy allowed.

Sd/-
(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/6/16</u></p> <p>_____ (Chairman)</p> <p>_____ (Member) A</p> <p>— Punam Mahajan</p> <p>— A.S. Wable</p> <p>_____ 9/6/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.521 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.V. Pandhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Mahajan, Ld. Advocate undertakes to cause private service on the respondents and prays that OA be taken for hearing on 9.6.2016.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Dur. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Dur. <u>H.F. Fotedar</u> C.R.O./A.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>29/6/16</u></p>	<p><u>07.06.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 518/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Khapale ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondent</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent. 2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 29.6.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O to 29.6.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/6/16</u></p> <p>ORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Smt A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice _____ (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Adv. for Applicant</p> <p>Shri. <u>A. G. Gohad</u></p> <p>Adv. for Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>07.06.2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 517/2016</u></p> <p>Shri B.S Suryavanshi ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondent</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent. 2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 28.6.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O to 28.6.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.H Joshi, <i>[Signature]</i>) Chairman [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Member) A</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent/s: <u>A.S. Wable</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>14/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.531 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Sunil P. Pandharkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO prays for time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.267/2016

**Dr. S.M. Nilange & 20 ors. ... Applicants
V/s.**

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 is taken on record. The Affidavits-in-reply for Respondents 2, 3 & 4 not filed. The OA proceeds without the Affidavits-in-reply of Respondents 2, 3 & 4. Adjourned for Rejoinder to 21st June, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
07.06.2016

(skw)

DATE: 7/6/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Reply filed by A.No.1

Adj. To

Adj. to 21/6/16.
for Rejoinder.

[P.T.O.]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.203/2016 in O.A.780/2015

Shri C.T. Kamble & Ors. ... Applicants
V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

L.O.

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, the learned Advocate for the Applicants. The time is extended by two weeks from today to comply with the orders earlier made subject to the payment of additional Court fee, if not already paid.

Sd/-

07.6.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
07.06.2016

(skw)

DATE: 7/6/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.G. Kulkarni

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.S. Wable

~~C.POTFO. for the Respondents~~

order passed in the Tribunal's

~~Adj. To~~

column.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1047/2015

Shri R.Y. Tanpure ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the
learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J.
Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 07.06.16
Member (J)
07.06.2016

DATE: 7/6/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt:

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondents

O.A. is Admit.

Adj.:

Liberty to mention
granted.

(skw)

[Handwritten signature]