

Date : 07.05.2024

C. A. No.11 of 2024 in O.A.No.356 of 2022
(DB-I)

A. R. Kore ... Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO relied on Affidavit in Reply dated 05.04.2024 filed by Shri Tushar Chavan, Assistant Commissioner in office of Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai especially 'Annexure R1-C' which includes letter dated 21.03.2024 sent by Superintendent of State Excise, Nagpur to Applicant.
3. Learned PO stated that the Superintendent of State Excise, Nagpur had with letter dated 21.03.2024 enclosed copy of 'Authority Letter' dated 20.03.2024 for payment of 'Life Time Arrears (LTA)' of 'Family Pension' received from 'Accountant General -II, Nagpur.' The copy of 'Authority Letter' dated 20.03.2024 was forwarded to Applicant as 'Legal Heir' of late 'Shri Raosaheb Kore' to enable him to approach 'Treasury Office, Sangli'.
4. The learned PO then stated that amount of Rs.21,944/- i.e amount of 'Interest' on 'Family Pension' is already disbursed to Applicant. She further stated that as Original Respondent in OA No.356 of 2022 i.e. Home Department (Excise) had already cleared the file of 'Family Pension' and communicated it to 'Treasury Office, Sangli' therefore, it was now up to Applicant to do the needful by approaching 'Treasury Office, Sangli.'
5. Learned PO submits that compliance is thus entirely done on part of Respondents. Hence, this CA No.11 of 2024 may be disposed off.
6. The CA No.11 of 2024 is disposed off in view of above facts. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.05.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.659 of 2023 with O.A. No.660 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. Beldar & Ors., N.K. Zanke & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. presents copy of Deputy Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department letter dated 03.05.2024 which explains about the opinion that has been received from 'G.A.D.' regarding detailed observations recorded in (a) 'Para 3' and 'Para 4' of Order dated 12.12.2023 (b) 'Para 4' of Order dated 04.01.2024 (c) 'Para 3' of Order dated 29.02.2024.</p> <p>3. The opinion of 'G.A.D.' is mentioned in 'Para 2' of Deputy Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department letter dated 03.05.2024 whereas instructions given thereupon in 'Para 3' by 'Principal Secretary (Forest), Revenue & Forest Department' explains reasons for temporarily filling up of 'Vacant Posts' of 'Forest Guard' in areas under 'PESA ACT, 1996'. Hence, as it has now been clarified in 'Para 3' of 'Deputy Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department' letter dated 03.05.2023 that till such time as 'Special Leave Petition' about 'PESA ACT 1996' is decided by 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India'; the Applicants who are 'Forest Guards' can be temporarily transferred to 'Vacant Posts' of 'Forest Guards' in 'Shahapur Forest Division' which come under 'PESA ACT, 1996'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. The 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial Thane' is accordingly directed to implement within next 'Four Weeks' earlier direction given in (a) 'Para 6' of Order dated 12.12.2023 and (b) 'Para 6' of Order dated 04.01.2024'.

5. The 'Principal Secretary (Forest), Revenue & Forest Department' is informed to communicate contents of Deputy Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department letter dated 03.05.2024 to all other 'Chief Conservators of Forest (Territorial)' before completion of exercise for 'General Transfers : 2024' of 'Forest Guards'.

6. The P.O. informed to place on record today itself copy of Deputy Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department letter dated 03.05.2024 by way of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' to be filed on behalf of 'Principal Secretary (Forest), Revenue & Forest Department'.

7. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.05.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1438 of 2023</p> <p>P.P. BangosaviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant who was working on post of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Circle, Mumbai' has been posted on deputation to post of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai' by 'Transfer Order' of 'P.W.D.' dated 06.11.2023. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as Applicant was granted 'Interim Relief' on 08.11.2023 therefore Applicant continues to serve on post of 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Circle, Mumbai'. 4. Learned P.O. states on basis of instructions received from 'Shri. Datatray Karke, Under Secretary' & 'Shri Gangadhar Gaikwad, Desk Officer' of 'P.W.D.' that as 'Cadre Controlling Authority' they now seek liberty to modify or change 'Transfer Order' of 'P.W.D.' dated 06.11.2023 of Applicant by which he was posted on deputation as 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai'. 5. The 'P.W.D.' as 'Cadre Controlling Authority' was always at liberty to modify or change 'Transfer Order' of 'P.W.D.' dated 06.11.2023 of Applicant. However, in view of specific request made by 'P.W.D.' through learned P.O. it is hereby clarified that there was never any legal impediment to modify or change 'Transfer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Order' of 'P.W.D.' dated 06.11.2023 by which Applicant has been posted on deputation as 'Superintending Engineer, P.W.D.' in 'Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai' but caveat is that it must also be done in observance of provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' and policy guidelines in G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018.

6. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
7. S.O. to 24.06.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.


of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.871 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. M.P. BankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has since retired on 30.04.2024 from post of 'Associate Professor' in 'Department of Microbiology; B.J. Government Medical College, Pune'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that as nothing survives in O.A. No. 871/2023; therefore it may be disposed off. Learned PO concurs with the proposition of learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>4. The O.A. No. 871/2023 is accordingly disposed off. No Order as to Cost.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 07.05.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.297 of 2024</p> <p>J.M. TupatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant draws attention to 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.' dated 01.01.2024 which mentions that Applicant had been transferred from 'Traffic Branch, Vasai' to 'Uttan Police Station' based on 'Complaint'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant clarifies that the complaint was infact made by 'Wife' of Applicant on 07.11.2023 to 'Commissioner of Police; Mira Bhayandar & Vasai-Virar'.</p> <p>4. Learned PO states the they be given liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' within 'Two Weeks' and thereafter this 'O.A. No. 297 of 2024' may be kept for 'Final Hearing' (P.H.).</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.563 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>N.V. Chikane Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Shri Rajesh Tekale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1- MPSC.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1 has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. 'Status Quo' to be maintained till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i> <i>sd/-</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.292of 2024 in O.A.No.1047 of 2019 (DB-I)</p> <p>S. R. Kale through legal heirs S. S. Kale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.292/2024 is filed to bring legal heirs of the deceased applicant on record who died on 09.04.2024.</p> <p>3. During the pendency of O.A. the Applicant died leaving behind the widow and two children. They want to continue O.A. so as to challenge the impugned order of punishment of compulsory retirement imposed upon deceased Government servant by the Respondent No.1, so also the order in Appeal passed by Respondent No.2 dated 23.11.2017 and 12.10.2018 respectively since the right to sue survives for monetary benefits, if any. The legal heirs need to be brought on record in place of deceased Applicant.</p> <p>4. M.A. is filed on 30.04.2024 which is within limitation of 90 days. Hence, M.A.No.292/2024 is allowed. Names of legal heirs be substituted in place of deceased in O.A.No.1047/2019 forthwith.</p> <p>5. O.A.No.1047/2019 be listed for final hearing.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 18.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1479 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>B. P. GodageApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5. 2. The learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. 3. Three weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder. In between, copy of Rejoinder be served on Respondents. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date. 5. S.O. to 18.06.2024. (H.O.B.) <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i> <i>sd/-</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.383 of 2024 With O.A.No.493 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>K. N. TadviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri R. A. Tekale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3, Shri P. Chavan with Shri Valimbe, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5 are not present in O.A.383/2024, Shri R. Khot, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5 in O.A.493 and Ms S. R. Patil, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 in O.A.493/2024.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that in this matter learned Counsel for Respondent No.5 wanted to withdraw his appearance. However, it was not allowed till he has been informed with acknowledgment by Respondent No.5.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel submits that he has served the copy of O.A. to Respondent no.5. Affidavit of Service is filed.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsels for Respondent No.5 is directed to remain present on next date.</p> <p>5. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>6. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 18.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.157 of 2024 With O.A.No.388 of 2024 With O.A.No.474 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>B. S. Jadhav & Ors. V. B. Kamble R. S. RoundhalApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1275 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>K. K. SanghApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.07.2024.</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.05.2024

M.A.No.252of 2024 in O.A.No.625 of 2021
(DB-I)

Principal Secretary, Public Health
Department, MumbaiApplicant
(Ori. Respondent No.4)

Versus

K. D. Binner & Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)

And

The State of Maharashtra & Ors
...(Ori. Respondents 1, 2, 3 & 5)

1. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent No.4) and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).

2. This M.A. is filed by Respondent No.4 for extension of time to comply the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.625/2021 on 15.03.2024.

3. M.A. is filed for extension of time contending that Government proposes to file W.P. against the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.625/2021 and the file is in process.

5. In the interest of justice, we grant time till 15.06.2024 as a last chance for the compliance of order dated 15.03.2024 passed in O.A. No. 625/2021.

6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1043 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>M. U. PandagaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.07.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1006 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>S. B. Yewale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.07.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

Date : 07.05.2024
versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
O.A.No.941 of 2023
(DB-I) Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) **Dr. G. K. M. A. Ansari**Applicant

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Versus</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any. 3. S.O. to 18.06.2024. (H.O.B.) <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p> <p style="margin-top: 40px;">Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p style="margin-top: 10px; text-align: center;">M.A.No.292of 2024 in O.A.No.1047 of 2019 (DB-I)</p> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">S. R. Kale through legal heirs</p>
--	---

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1186 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>Dr. S. P. MirgundeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. Satale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned CPO has also filed Reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.06.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Date : 07.05.2024

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>O.A. No. 345 of 2018 (DB-I)</p>
	<p>S. M. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 08.07.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>C. A. No.42 of 2024 in O.A.No.1036 of 2021 (DB-I)</p> <p>S. M. Katkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 11.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> <p>Date : 07.05.2024</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p style="text-align: center;">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 07.05.2024 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1619 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>R. S. TakateApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that the concerned officer who was supposed to be heard not present today to give necessary information. She, therefore, seeks time to file reply and take instructions to assist the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicant presses for 'Interim Relief'.</p> <p>4. We direct the Respondents to consider the Applicant, if DPC is conducted on or before 14.06.2024 in view of GR dated 15.12.2017.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.171 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>S. A. Rasankar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned PO has filed reply. It is taken on record. 3. S.O. to 02.07.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.367 of 2023</p> <p>N.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for Applicant and his Applicant both are present.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No. 1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1173 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. RenusheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.M. Misal holding for Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 07.05.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1550 of 2023</p> <p>U. B. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.A. Sathe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.187 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>M. S. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. B. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.3. Last chance granted to file reply.4. S.O. to 11.06.2024. <p>sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1349 of 2023 with O.A.No.1237 of 2023 with O.A.No.1184 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>K. N. Sangale M. T. Ligade V. B. SutarApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, Shri O. M. Lonkar and Shri M. Y. Dongare, learned Counsels for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. B. Deore a/w Ms. Suchita Pawar, learned Counsels for Respondent Nos. 4 to 8 in O.A.1349/2023 and O.A.1185/2023 and for Respondent Nos.5 to 9 in O.A.No.1237/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that reply in all OAs has already filed.</p> <p>3. The matters are admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.06.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1519 of 2023 (DB-I)</p> <p>D. S. Kamtekar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O. M. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 10.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.613 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>A. P. AndhereApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.06.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 18.06.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 07.05.2024</p>
	<p>O.A.No.473 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>K. S. BhopaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.349 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>S. C. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S. O. to 09.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.537 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>T. K. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. M. Bangale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.612 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>A. P. AndhereApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.06.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 18.06.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.580 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>S. R. Shinde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 10.05.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.95 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>R. S. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.06.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.232 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>A. S. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms S. R. Patil, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ms S. R. Patil, learned Counsel submits that she wants to file 'Vakalatnama' on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. S. O. to 11.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.307 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>R. V. KothmireApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.352, 368, 370 & 566 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>V. V. Teli & Ors. R. Y. Bhosale P. D. Rathod S. N. SableApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.469 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>R.R. NalawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.494 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>K.S. LanjekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.443 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>S. M. Bhujbal Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO submits that on account of Election and Code of Conduct, it is difficult to get instructions from concerned department. She seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 11.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.564 of 2024 (DB-II)</p> <p>R. R. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Shri Rajesh Tekale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1-MPSC.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1 has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. 'Status Quo' to be maintained till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.05.2024

O.A. No.282 of 2024

Dr. R.S. SatputeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 5 – Intervener
2. Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.5' filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 07.05.2024 to amended O.A. No. 282 of 2024.
3. Learned PO is informed to refer to contents of 'Para 6.39' of amended O.A. No. 282 of 2024 which mentions that 'Hon'ble Minister of Rural Development Department' had not proposed transfer of 'Respondent No. 5' in place of Applicant on post of 'Additional CEO Zilla Parishad Thane'; but instead had recommended name of another officer Shri. A.R. Pawar who was serving as 'Additional CEO, Zilla Parishad, Parbhani'.
4. Learned PO therefore informed to produce the 'File Notings' about recommendation made by 'Hon'ble Minister of Rural Development Department' about transfer Shri A.R. Pawar who was serving as 'Additional CEO, Zilla Parishad, Parbhani' on post 'Additional CEO Zilla Parishad, Thane'.
5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
6. S.O. to 08.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.05.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.231 of 2024</p> <p>D.S. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.1' - 'Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department'. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he would like to go through 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by 'Respondent No.1' and if required he will 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by 'Respondent No.1'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 5. S.O. to 25.06.2024. <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 07.05.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.98 of 2024</p> <p>S.D. SatputeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks further time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

07.05.2024

O.A 595/2024

A.S Bankar & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicants challenge the transfer order dated 26.2.2024 transferring the applicants from Pune City and Pimpri Chinchwad to any other part of Maharashtra.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

8. S.O to 13.6.2024. To be kept along with O.A 280/2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.05.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 603/2024</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>Vasantrao D. Babar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. The applicant working as Police Inspector challenges the transfer order dated 26.2.2024 transferring the applicant from Pimpri Chinchwad Police Commissionerate to Police Commissionerate, Thane. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.6.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed." 8. S.O to 12.6.2024. To be kept along with O.A 279/2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.05.2024

O.A.No.1078/2017 (D.B. I)

S.A. Patil & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At this stage learned Counsel has submitted that by way of sur-rejoinder which was prepared in September, 2022, affirmed on 12.09.2023 and filed on 28.02.2024 by Ms. Urmila Dhandawad, Under Secretary in the office of Marathi Language Department, Mantralaya Notification dated 05.10.2021 thereby amending Rule 3 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Compulsory Marathi Shorthand and Marathi Typing Examinations for English Stenographer and English Typists) Rules, 1991 is annexed herewith. Learned Counsel has submitted that as it is filed and relied hence, being subsequent development, he wants to amend the prayer.

3. Amendment to be carried out by 09.05.2024 and Respondent may file reply to this amendment within two weeks thereafter i.e. on or before 30.05.2024 and serve copy to the other side.

4. O.A. adjourned to 13.06.2024 for hearing on this point only.

SD/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.05.2024

O.A.No.278/2018 (D.B. I)

R.B. JaidApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that D.E. which is challenged in this O.A. is already concluded. She has submitted that the Applicant was held guilty in the Appeal and the representation is also decided by the Appellate Authority and hence nothing remains in this O.A.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that he wants time to take instructions as the O.A. is of the year 2018.

4. In view of above, Adjourned to 09.06.2024 P.H.

SD/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.05.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.418/2019 (D.B. I)</p> <p>N.S. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant has challenged the Summary Dismissal order dated 18.04.2018 passed by the Respondent No.3 thereby invoking the powers under Article 311(2)(b) proviso of the Constitution of India.</p> <p>3. During the course of arguments learned C.P.O. has pointed out that the applicant has preferred appeal dated 02.05.2018 before Respondent No.1 against the impugned order of dismissal dated 18.04.2018. Under such circumstances the Applicant cannot prosecute before two fora as O.A. was filed on 23.04.2019. It is pointed out that Appeal was preferred earlier i.e. before filing of the present O.A. However, when the Appeal was pending Applicant should not have filed the O.A.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has pointed that at page 20, Applicant has submitted that copy of Appeal. He has pointed out that at paragraph 6.6 of the averments Applicant has mentioned that,</p> <p><i>"6.6 On 02.05.2018 the Applicant submitted appeal cum representation before Respondent No.1 against the order of dismissal dated 18.04.2018."</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. However, it is found that Applicant has made two contrary statements. Though at paragraph 6.6 in the averments he mentioned that the pending appeal, however, at paragraph 9 it is stated as below:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"The Applicant has not filed any other appeal or application in any other Court except this Honorable Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai."</p> <p>6. Learned Counsel on instructions has submitted that he would like to withdraw this O.A. as appeal is pending and the Applicant is pursuing the appeal.</p> <p>7. In view of above, we pass the following order:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ORDER</p> <p>(a) O.A. is allowed to be withdrawn.</p> <p>(b) Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the Appeal dated 02.05.2018 within a period of two months i.e. on or before 15.07.2024 and the decision as may be thereafter be communicated to the Applicant on or before 24.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1116 of 2019</u></p> <p>Ravindra U. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders intimation of the applicant for withdrawal of the above and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA. The said intimation is taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit-1 for identification.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 7.5.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.5.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1 & 2 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.N. Mane S.S. Kedar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Tanvi Phatak, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that his senior is unwell and seeks adjournment till 9.7.2024.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned till 9.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.5.2024 7.5.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.775 of 2017 with M.A. No.253 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.N. Raktate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.5.2024 7.5.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.270 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dattatray P. Nagare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 2.3.2018 issued by respondent no.3 of his reversion from the post of PSI to ASI. Earlier the applicant was promoted to the post of PSI on 22.9.2017.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that during pendency of OA the applicant was promoted to the post of PSI on 20.10.2020. Applicant retired on 23.7.2021. Therefore nothing remains in the OA and the same may be disposed off.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant confirms these two facts. However, he submits that order of reversion was passed on 2.3.2018 and thereafter he filed OA on 20.4.2018. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has prayed for other consequential service benefits and therefore he is entitled to get the deemed date of promotion of PSI since 22.9.2017.</p> <p>5. We make it clear that no application for amendment praying for deemed date is moved by the applicant after he got the promotion. Deemed date cannot be granted under the general relief praying for consequential service benefits. There should be specific prayer and no amendment is carried out.</p> <p>6. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant will make a representation for grant of deemed date of promotion to the post of PSI to the DGP.</p> <p>7. In view of the above since the applicant is already promoted on 20.10.2020, nothing remains in the OA and the same is disposed off accordingly.,.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.5.2024 7.5.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>