M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	07.04.2022
· · · ·	<u>O.A 265/2022</u>
	Surekha C. Gaikwad Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
· · ·	1. Heard Ms Kanchan Phatak, holding for Shri Nitin P. Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant prays that the Respondents be directed to take a decision regarding applicant's representations and the proposal dated 29.3.2017 within four weeks from the date of order of this Hon'ble Tribunal.
	3. In view of the relief claimed by the applicant, the Respondents are directed to decide the representations and the proposal dated 29.3.2017 and treat her service from 29.6.2001, within four weeks from the date of the order.
	4. With the above directions, Original Application stands disposed of.
	Sd/- / Sd/-
	(Medha (Gadgii) Member (A) Akn
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

07.04.2022

O.A 249/2022

Shri S.S Kamble ... Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. In this Original Application, the learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant is a Member of Home Guard and is working as Home Guard since 27.5.2013. He has a valid Certificate to get 5% reservation being Home Guard for recruitment to the post of Police Constable.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that Respondent no. 2 Mr Samadhan T. Kamble, does not have the requisite certificate of Home Guard in pursuance to G.R dated 3.9.2019.

4. In view of this, we direct the Respondent No. 1, i.e. Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad Commissionerate, Pune, to verify the validity of the Home Guard Certificate of Respondent no. 2 and file reply to that effect before the next date.

5. S.O to 6.6.2022.

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 07.04.2022

M.A.No.12 of 2020 in O.A.No.119 of 2019 With M.A.No.14 of 2020 in O.A.No.119 of 2020 With M.A.No.306 of 2020 in O.A.No.576 of 2020

S.T. SakoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned advocate for the Applicants in M.A.12/2020 with M.A.No.14/2020 in O.A.No.119/2019, Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.306/2020 in O.A.No.576/2020 and for Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.119/2019 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A.No.12/2020 and M.A.No.14/2020 are filed for directing the Respondent to furnish the relevant documents on record. M.A.No.306/2020 is filed to intervene in O.A.No.576/2020.

3. During the course of arguments the necessary documents were furnished and more documents were not pressed. Hence, M.A.No.12 /2020 and M.A.No.14/2020 are disposed of. M.A.No.306/2020 seeking intervention in O.A.No. 576/2020 is disposed of without any order as the intervener has already filed two Original Applications i.e. O.A.No.119/2019 and O.A.No.705 /2020 and those same prayers are made.

4. In view of above, all M.A.s are disposed of. Sd/- Sd/-

(Medha)Gadgil) Member(A)

prk

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Trib	unal's orders	3	
	Dilip	<u>M.A. No.254 of</u> kumar D. Brande Vs.	2021 in O.A.	No.476 of 202 Appli	
	The S	vs. State of Maharashtr	a & Ors.	Respo	ondents
		Heard Ms. Savit , learned Advocat wad, learned Preser	e for the Ap	pplicant and S	Smt. K.S.
	the a appli benet 8.6.1 secon of se issue 9.12. of on 15.7. appli regar 3. that c 4. view expla relief	claimed, we cond	vocate for the a Sr. Pharma d on 5.6.197 bound promo 6. He was e motion after as per corrig 2010. The c rrigendum w 10.12.2017. ate for the a resentation to s rejected on a condonation eatisfactorily of e facts of the years has in all fairnes one the delay	e applicant sub acist on 31.5.20 6 and was gra- otion as per C ntitled for the l completion of endum dated 9 cause of action as issued and 1 The OA is applicant subm the Governme 8.2.2017. of delay on the explained. e matter, we a not been satis	omits that 012. The anted the GR dated benefit of 24 years 0.12.2016 arose on limitation filed on itted that ent in this we ground re of the sfactorily ering the
		sing cost of Rs.100			
	5.	MA is allowed a	nd delay is co		
		Sd/-	,	Sd/-	2
	(sgj)	(Medha Gaagil) Member (A) 7.4.2022	Cł	la Bhatkar, J.) nairperson 7.4.2022	
					[<i>P.T.O</i> .

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.476 of 2021</u>		
	Dilipkumar D. Brande Vs.		Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Ms. Savita Joshi, learned Advocate Gaikwad, learned Presenti	for the Applicar	it and Smt. K.S.
	2. The office objection court-fees to be paid, if no	ons, if any, are to t already paid.	be removed and
	3. Issue notice be 16.6.2022. The responder	fore admission at a contract a	
	4. Applicant is auth Respondents intimation/r authenticated by Registry, of O.A. Private service COVID-19 Pandemic sit notice that the case would the stage of admission hea	notice of date of along with com is allowed in view tuation. Respond l be taken up for	of hearing duly plete paper book w of this present ents are put to
	5. This intimation/no the Maharashtra Administ 1988, and the questions remedy are kept open.	rative Tribunal (F	rocedure) Rules,
	6. The service may be post/courier and ackno produced along with affida within one week. Applicate compliance and notice.	wledgement be avit of compliance	obtained and e in the Registry
	Sd/-	Sd/-	~
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 7.4.2022 (sgj)) (Mridula Bhatl Chairpers 7.4.2022	on

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	ers
	<u>07.04.2022</u>	
	<u>0.A 1066/2</u>	021
	Shri V.D Salok & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, le applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwa Respondents.	arned advocate for the ad, learned P.O for the
	2. Learned P.O is directed private Respondents are s Commissioner.	to verify whether the served through the
	3. S.O to 6.6.2022.	e 11
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgif) (I	Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member (A)	Chairperson

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	****
	07.04.2022	
	<u>O.A 622/2021</u>	
	Shri P.R Koli Applicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	1. Mrs Punam Mahajan, has filed leave note. He Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.	eard
	2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 14.6.2022.	
	Sd/- Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, . Member (A) Chairperson	J.)
	Akn	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	rs
	07.04.2022	
	<u>0.A 96/202</u>	21
	Dr M.M Mahind & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, le applicants and Shri A.J Chougule Respondents.	earned advocate for the e, learned P.O for the
	2. Learned P.O states that af	fidavit in reply is filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the a to file affidavit in rejoinder.	applicants is permitted
	4. S.O to 27.4.2022.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
		Iridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	πu	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribur	nal's orders
	<u>07.04.2022</u>	
	<u>O.A</u>	1057/2019
	 Shri R.H Nikumbhe Vs. The State of Maharashtr 1. Heard Shri M.D the applicant and Ms S for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O instructions from the R Home Department, Man 3. We express that 	Applicant ra & Ors Respondents D Lonkar, learned advocate for Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O submits that she has to take Respondent-State, i.e. Secretary, tralaya, Mumbai. Secretary, Home Department to d accordingly give appropriate ed C.P.O.
		[<i>PT.O.</i>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	unal's orders
	<u>07.04.2022</u>	
	<u>o</u>	A 243/2022
	Shri D.P. Pathare Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharash	tra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Pra the applicant and Mrs Respondents.	sad Warkar, learned advocate for K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the
	filed affidavit in reply	e fact that select list of 720 old as the Respondents have not , we direct the Respondents to 19 posts. The result of one post the next date.
	3. S.O to 18.4.202	2.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Ĝadgil) Member (A) Akn	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	07.04.2022
	M.A 154/2022 in O.A 161/2022
	Shri S.H More & Ors Applicants Vs.
	Shri V.G Sude & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 161/2022 and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicants has filed the present Misc Application seeking to intervene in the Original Application No. 161/2022.
	3. Learned P.O states that affidavit in reply has been filed on behalf of the Respondents.
	4. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar for the original applicants states that he has no objection for the applicants in the Misc Application to intervene in the Original Application.
	5. Hence, M.A seeking to intervene in the Original Application is allowed. Applicants no. 1 to 8 may be added as Party Respondents no 4 to 12. Amendment to be carried out within one week.
	6. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar for the original applicants states that notice has already been served hence affidavit of service of notice be dispensed with.
	7. M.A is accordingly disposed of.
	8. O.A 161/2022 to be placed on Board on 6.6.2022.
• •	Sd/- Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	07.04.2022
	O.A 207/2022 with O.A 909/2021 & 141/2022
	D.N Gutte & Ors A.M Chandanshiv V.S Tengale Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants, Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A Nos 909/2021 and 141/2022 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. In view of the judgment and order dated 3.3.2020 in O.A 1025/2018 passed by M.A.T, Nagpur Bench, Respondent no. 1, Commissioner-cum-Director, Municipal Administration Directorate, Mumbai, the present applicants, who were appointed on 3.1.2019 will be out of service and now the Respondents have issued order dated 5.7.2021 to appoint 39 persons as per the revised list. This is going to lead a serious situation for the present applicants.
	3. We, therefore, direct the Respondents to furnish the vacancy as on today available to the post of Accountant and Auditor, Class-III.
	4. Learned counsel for the applicants wants to add Urban Development Department as Party Respondent No. 2. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.
	5. Interim relief to continue till next date.
	6. S.O to 11.4.2022.
	Sd/
	(Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tril	bunal's orders
	<u>07.04.2022</u>	
	<u>(</u>	D.A 304/2022
	Shri S.D Koli Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharasl	ntra & Ors 🛛 Respondents
	1. Heard Shri G the applicant and Ms Respondents.	.B Solanke, learned advocate for Archana B.K, learned P.O for the
	that his Certificate	the candidature of the applicant constable is rejected on the ground of belonging to Project Affected nped and it is handwritten.
	Selection Committee-2 the certificate issued b	rect Respondent no. 3, Deputy e, Member of the Police Constable 2019, Mumbai, to verify whether y the Deputy Collector, Relief and t, to the applicant is genuine.
	4. S.O to 18.4.202	2.
	Sd/-	Sd/
	(Medha Gadgir) Member (A) ^{Akn}	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	LATER ON Date : 07.04.2022
	O.A.No.304 of 2022
	S.D. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 The learned P.O. for the Respondents on instructions informs that other person is already appointed on 21.03.2022 and he is sent for training. Under such circumstances, we cannot grant any interim relief.
	4. The learned Advocate submits that newly appointed candidate namely Mr. Harshal Kanhaiya Patil is to be made Party Respondent and Respondent be ordered to furnish the address.
	5. We allow this amendment. Respondent is directed to give address of the newly added Respondent, Mr. Harshal Kanhaiya Patil to the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Party Respondent is allowed to be added in the array of Respondents.
	6 Matter is fixed for filing affidavit-in-reply on 18.04.2022. Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson
	prk

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	prs
	07.04.2022	
	<u>O.A 306/20</u>	15
	Shri R.D Kamble Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri R.D Kamble, a Mr A.J Chougule, learned P.O for	applicant in person and the Respondents.
	2. Applicant states that he l the Registrar, M.A.T. on 6.4.202 not intended in pressing the app is not going to be regularized. monetary claim against the Respo	2 informing that he is lication as his services Further, he has no
	3. Applicant therefore pray withdraw the Original Application	rs that he wants to
	 Permission granted. Origi disposed of as withdrawn. 	nal Application stands
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha/Gadgfl) / (M Member (A)	Iridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	АКІ	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribur	al's orders
	07.04.2022	
	<u>O.A No 297, 321, 300 146/2002</u>	<u>0, 301, 203, 167, 144, 145,</u>
	Shri S.R Jadhav & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra	a & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S applicant in O.A 167/2 learned C.P.O for the Res	Dere, learned advocate for the 021and Ms Swati Manchekar, pondents.
	applican	or the applicants has produced ts stating that at how many and at how many placed they mination.
	3. Copy of the said C.P.o.	letter is given to the learned
	4. S.O to 11.4.2022.	
		, Sd/-
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) ^{Akn}) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

5 ^(*)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	nal's orders	
	<u>O.A.</u>	No.423 of 2021	
	Vikas S. Mehta Vs.	Applicar	nt
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespond	ents
	Joshi, learned Advocate	Suryawanshi holding for Shr for the Applicant and Smt ng Officer for the Responden	. K.S
	2. The office objection court-fees to be paid, if no	ons, if any, are to be remove t already paid.	ed an
		fore admission returnable ts are directed to file reply.	e oi
	Respondents intimation/r authenticated by Registry of O.A. Private service i COVID-19 Pandemic sit	brized and directed to service of date of hearing along with complete paper s allowed in view of this prution. Respondents are prution.	duly book eseni ut to
	the Maharashtra Administr	ice is ordered under Rule ative Tribunal (Procedure) R such as limitation and alte	tules.
	post/courier and acknow produced along with affida	e done by hand delivery/ s wledgement be obtained vit of compliance in the Reg unt is directed to file affidav	and
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Medha Cadgil) Member (A) 7.4.2022 (sgj)	l (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.4.2022	
		,	

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tril	ounal's orders	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	M.A. No.224 of Vikas S. Mehta Vs. The State of Maharashtu Heard Ms. Savit Joshi, learned Advocat Gaikwad, learned Preser 2. This MA is filed 10 months in filing the submits that the appl 30.4.2007. The applica was granted the benefit GR dated 8.6.1995 w.e. benefit of second time b 24 years of service on 9.12.2016 issued to GR arose on 9.12.2016, who limitation of one year en on 29.6.2021. Ld. Advo applicant had made repro- regard and the same werd 3. Ld. PO opposes of that delay has not been sa 4. Looking into the view that delay of 2 year	2021 in O.A. No.42 ra & Ors. a Suryawanshi holdi e for the Applicant nting Officer for the l for condoning dela OA. Ld. Advocate icant retired as a out was appointed or of first time bound p f. 1.7.1994. He was bound promotion afte 1.7.2006 as per cor dated 1.4.2010. The en the corrigendum ded on 10.12.2017. cate for the applican esentation to the Gov e rejected on 16.1.202 condonation of delay attisfactorily explained facts of the matter, ears and 10 months	Applicant Respondents ng for Shri V.V and Smt. K.S Respondents. y of 2 years and for the applican Pharmacist or 10.5.1977 and promotion as per- entitled for the er completion of rigendum dated cause of action was issued and The OA is filed t submitted that vernment in this 20 & 8.2.2021. y on the ground d. we are of the has not been
	considering the relief c filing the OA after impos	However, in all laimed, we condone ing cost of Rs.1000/-	fairness and the delay in
	Sd/-	d delay is condoned.	I
	(Medhæ)Gadgil) Member (A) 7.4.2022 (sgj)	¹ (Mridula Bhatka Chairpersor 7.4.2022	
		,	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

17 W.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

 $\left| \right|$

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A. No.118 of 2022 in O.A. No.</u>	127 of 2016
	Alka P. Avhad Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, le the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Officer for the Respondents.	earned Advocate for learned Presenting
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant praying that OA No.127 of 2016 be allo the decision of the Hon'ble High Court W.P. No.5397 of 2016 filed by Tejaswini	wed in the light of dated 23.1.2019 in
	3. Ld. PO submits that applican No.126/2016 & OA No.127/2016 and o Raghunath Galande had filed OA No No.119/2016 in this Tribunal and have p they are from reserved category, they be and considered from the Open Female Ca three Original Applications viz. OA 119/2016 and 126/2016 were dismissed b 9.3.2016 in view of the policy decision t 13.8.2014.	ne Smt. Tejaswini 0.118/2016 & OA prayed that though allowed to migrate ategory. However, As. No.118/2016, by this Tribunal on
	4. Smt. Tejaswini R. Galande No.5396/2016 & 5397/2016 in the Ho challenging the order dated 9.3.2016 passe The Hon'ble High Court by its order allowed to withdraw W.P. No.5396/2016 No.5397/2016 and directed to consider Galande in Open Female Category.	n'ble High Court d by this Tribunal. dated 23.1.2019 and allowed W.P.
	5. As the applicant did not approach Court challenging the order dated 9.3.20 No.126/2016, no relief was granted to the a Galande. Now, OA No.127/2016 filed b pending in this Tribunal.	16 passed in OA applicant like Smt.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	6. Ld. PO submits that the procedure of selection to post of Assistant Commissioner, Project Officer, Group A already completed on 8.1.2016 and appointment orders w also issued of selected candidates. Ld. PO submits that the the OA has become infructuous and therefore at this st applicant cannot ask for permission to appear for interview.
	7. Under such circumstances the Ld. Advocate for applicant submits that applicant has filed this No.126/2016 on 29.1.2016 and Hon'ble High Court passed the order on 23.1.2019 directing the authority to t interview of Smt. Galande. Therefore, same criteria applied in the present case and applicant be allowed to go interview.
	8. We have considered submissions of both the s and we found substance in the submissions of Ld. PO. old matter of selection. Already other persons are selec- and appointed. Under such circumstances, the appli- cannot be sent for interview. The respondents have acter the relevant time in view of the prevalent rules of reserva and hence the prayer for sending her for interview is her rejected.
	9. MA is dismissed.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gaugil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 7.4.2022 7.4.2022
	(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.04.2022

O.A. No.160 of 2022

S.S. ShegarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Sangram Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Somawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order of reinstatement in service dated 13.10.2021 to the extent of giving posting at Bhandara. The Applicant was suspended while serving in Mumbai and by order dated 13.10.2021 he is reinstated at Bhandara. The Applicant joined at Bhandara in pursuance of the said order. However, this O.A. is filed here instead of filing before Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Nagpur Bench. Admittedly, much before filing of O.A. the Applicant joined at Bhandara, in view of his posting on reinstatement.

3. As per Rule 6 of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, the application shall be filed by the applicant with the Registrar of the Bench within whose jurisdiction the applicant is posed for the time being.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore sought permission to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. before M.A.T., Bench at Nagpur.

6. Allowed to withdraw as prayed for with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ŧ

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	s orders
	Date: 07.04.2022	
	O.A. No	o.97 of 2022
	A.D. Nagare Versus The State of Maharashtra	Applicant & OrsRespondents.
	holding for Shri A.A. Des	ndiwadekar, learned Advocate sai, learned Advocate for the Chougule, learned Presenting ts.
	2. On request of lea granted to file Affidavit-in	rned P.O. two weeks time is -Reply as last chance.
	3. S.O. to 21.04.2022.	
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Tribunal's orders
Date: 07.04.2022
O.A. No.726 of 2021
S.P. NalavdeApplicant Versus
The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
 Heard Shri C.P. Yadav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 06.05.2022.
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
NMN
[PT.O.

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 07.04.2022	
	O.A. No.69 of 202	2
	S.S. Thakre Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, I the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule Officer for the Respondents.	
	 Enough time is granted to f but the same is not filed. 	ile Affidavit-in-Reply
	 However, on request of lea time is granted to file Affidavit-in-f chance. 	
	4. S.O. to 12.04.2022.	
	S	d/-
	-	Kurhekar) mber (J)
	NMN	
	LATER ON	
	5. Learned P.O. has filed behalf of Respondent No.4. It is ta	
	S	d/-
		Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

ł

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Tribunal's orders	
Date: 07.04.2022	
O.A. No.906 of 20	21
P.K. Mokashi & Ors. Versus	Applicant
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadeka for the Applicant and Smt. Arc Presenting Officer for the Responde 	hana B.K., learned
 Today learned Advocate fo filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behal is taken on record. 	
3. This O.A. be kept along v No.907/2021 for hearing.	vith connected O.A.
4. S.O. to 04.05.2022.	
	/
	Sd/-
	Kurhekar) mber (J)
NMN	
	Date: 07.04.2022 O.A. No.906 of 20 P.K. Mokashi & Ors. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadek for the Applicant and Smt. Arc Presenting Officer for the Responde 2. Today learned Advocate for filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behal is taken on record. 3. This O.A. be kept along v No.907/2021 for hearing. 4. S.O. to 04.05.2022.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 07.04.2022
	O.A.No.1048 of 2019
	J. M. LihitkarApplicant
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	L. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	This matter was heard and judgment was reserved.
	lowever, today learned Counsel for the Applicant mentioned
1	he matter for permission to file one document namely reply
	of the Applicant given to charge sheet. He wants to place it
	n record along with additional affidavit and sought short
1	ime.
:	S.O. to 13.04.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
,	Member(J)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 07.04.2022 O.A.No.741 of 2021 C. P. YelveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for
	 the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur- Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ŧ

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 07.04.2022	
	O.A. No.278 of 2022	
	C.S. BhosaleApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Junior Advocate holding for Smt. Shraddha Raut, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.	
	3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙΝ

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 07.04.2022	
	O.A. No.206 of 2022	
	R.T. KadamApplicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent	
	 Heard Shri Sarang Gundajwar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Today learned P.O. has filed Short Affidavit-in- Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. 	
	3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behand of Respondent Nos.1 & 2, if any.	
	4. Interim relief to continue till next date.	
	5. S.O. to 29.04.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 07.04. 2022		
	O.A. No.188 of 2022		
	S.P. KalgutkarApplicant Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri S.P. Kalgutkar, Applicant-in-person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.		
	3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		
	NMN		

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

t

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 07.04.2022 O.A. No.892 of 2017		
	D.G. BasutkarApplicant Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	 Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition is still pending before Hon'ble High Court. The decision in the Writ Petition have important bearing over the matter in issue. 		
	4. O.A. is therefore adjourned to 29.04.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		
	NMN		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

t

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 07.04.2022 O.A. No.784 of 2019 with O.A. No.100 of 2021 with O.A. No.101 of 2021 with O.A. No.113 of 2021 with O.A. No.111 of 2021	
	J.R. Kumbhar Y.K. Potekar A.Y. Sakpal V.R. Raskar V.V. Sarwankar Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. In these O.As. reliance is placed on the decision rendered by this Tribunal which is under challenge before Hon'ble High Court and in one of the matter Hon'ble High Court has granted stay.	
	3. Learned P.O. submits that m before Hon'ble High Court.	atter is still pending
	4. In view of above, it would be appropriate to wait for the decision of Hon'ble High Court.	
	5. S.O. to 29.04.2022.	
	Sd/-	
		(urhekar) nber (J)
	NMN	