

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference, and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant that yet again points out the final decision is to be taken by next 'Superior Transferring Authority' with regard to the proposal of Applicant to be transferred on the vacant post of 'Food Safety Officer, Sangli'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO on instructions states that the 'Deputy Secretary, Medical and Drugs Education' had personally followed up about the proposal, of Applicant which is still under consideration of next 'Superior Transferring Authority' who is 'Hon'ble Chief Minister, Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>4. Learned PO further states that because many such proposals of request transfers are under consideration in respect of the cadre of 'Food Safety Officer, Group-B, it has taken much longer than it was expected to decide about the case of Applicant.</p> <p>5. The Principal Secretary 'Medical Education & Drugs Department' therefore informed to submit 'Brief Note' to office of next 'Superior Transferring Authority' who is 'Hon'ble Chief Minister, Maharashtra State' to</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>separately consider and take expeditious decision with regard to the proposal of Applicant for transfer to vacant post of 'Food Safety Officer, Sangli'.</p> <p>6. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 14.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.02.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.72 of 2024 in O.A. No.1245 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states she want to amend 'Facts', 'Grounds' and 'Prayer clauses' of O.A. No.1245/2023 as mentioned in 'Annexure M1' of M.A. No.72/2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that M.A. No.72/2024 in O.A. No.1245/2023 be allowed because subsequent 'Transfer Order' dated 19.12.2023 of 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' has been issued to post Applicant back again on the post to which he was transferred by the earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 30.06.2023 which is 'Assistant Professor (Obstetrics and Gynecology), B.J. Government Medical College, Pune'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that she will ascertain the reasons why earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 30.06.2023 of the Applicant was not cancelled when the earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 30.06.2023 of Dr. Smt. Swati Gurav to the post of 'Assistant Professor (Obstetrics and Gynecology), Government Medical College, Miraj' was cancelled by 'Transfer Order' dated 19.12.2023 subject to the judgment in O.A. No.1171/2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. states she will also ascertain for what were the specific reasons that made it necessary for 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' to issue fresh 'Transfer Order' dated 19.12.2023 to again re-post the Applicant as 'Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology' in 'B.J. Government Medical College, Pune'.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.02.2024.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 16.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.98 of 2024</p> <p>S.D. SatputeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant was serving as 'Medical Officer – Group A' in 'Sub-District Hospital Kodali; District Kolhapur. However, the 'District Civil Surgeon, Kolhapur' subsequently attached his services to 'Sub District Hospital, Gadhingalaj, District Kolhapur' on the ground that there were some complaints against the Applicant. Thereupon on the request of Applicant; his services came to be attached by 'District Civil Surgeon, Kolhapur' to 'Rural Hospital, Kupire, District Kolhapur' but now his services have been attached by 'Office Order' dated 08.08.2023 of 'District Civil Surgeon, Kolhapur' to 'Seva Hospital, Kolhapur, District Kolhapur'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant is being shifted around from one place to another by the 'District Civil Surgeon' Kolhapur' in contravention of the guidelines of 'Public Health Department' Circular dated 28.02.2011 which permits the 'District Civil Surgeons / District Health Officers to temporarily attach services of 'Medical Officers – Group 'A' but only for maximum period of 7 days in cases of urgency like 'Spread of Epidemic Diseases' or 'Major Accidents' etc. and these graded executive powers can also be exercised at the level of (i) 'Deputy Director of Health Services' and (ii) 'Director of Health Services'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant also states that the Applicant is suffering from 'Locomotor Disability' to the extent of 40% as recorded in his 'Disability Certificate' dated 09.03.2020.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2024.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.162/2024</u></p> <p>M.S. Radye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned PO for Respondents. 2. The Applicant Police Constable has participated in 'Police Sub-Inspector Limited Departmental Examination-2016' and has secured 245 marks out of 400. So, he is sent for training of PSI. 3. The learned Advocate for Applicant relies on order of Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.13227 of 2018 dated 25th September, 2023 wherein Mr. Santosh B. Rathod & Ors. were the Applicants who have appeared for Limited Competitive Department Examination for post of PSI in 2016. In this order, Hon'ble High Court directed Government to send these candidates who have secured between 245 to 249 marks out of 400 for training to Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits pursuant to this, the State Government issued Home Department GR dated 20.10.2023 in which Applicant is shown at Serial No.106 and stands at Serial No.970 in 'Merit List' from OBC category. 4. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant was supposed to be sent for training on 24.01.2024 to Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik. However, the name of Applicant is excluded as per Office Order of CP, Greater Mumbai dated 24.01.2024 and thus Applicant was not sent for training of PSI to Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik. 5. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that as per his knowledge, one FIR is registered as CR No.76/2022 with Nahte Police Station, District Ratnagiri against him on 04.10.2022 for the offences punishable under Section 309, 389 of Indian Penal Code and Section 117 and Section 117 of Maharashtra Police Act, 1951.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 83/2023 in O.A 166/2018</u></p> <p>S.P Jedhe ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1189 of 2023</p> <p>S.K. BholeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is yet to be filed on behalf of the Respondent, and thereupon seeks continuation of 'Interim Relief'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO seeks further 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date: 07.02.2024

M.A. No.71 of 2024 in O.A. No.1113 of 2023

Dr. S.A. RokadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed M.A. No.71/2024 in O.A. No.1113/2023 to amend 'Facts, 'Grounds' and 'Prayer clauses' as mentioned in 'Annexure M1' of M.A. No.71/2024.

3. Learned PO has no objection regarding allowing of M.A. No.71/2024 filed in O.A. No.1113/2023.

4. The M.A. No.71/2024 filed in O.A. No.1113/2023 is allowed with directions that amendments as per 'Annexure M1' of M.A. No.71/2024 be carried out within 'One Week' and copies of the amended O.A. No.1113 of 2023 be served forthwith to all Respondents.

5. The O.A. No.1113/2023 stands adjourned to 14.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 605/2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Koske ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant who is present in the Court files application dated 7.2.2024 seeking permission to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>3. The said application is taken on record and marked as Exh. I.</p> <p>4. In view of the above Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1438 of 2023</p> <p>P.P. BangosaviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shr B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time of atleast 'Four Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant again mentions that Respondent No. 2 though served, has preferred not to to remain present for the hearings and is also not represented till date by any learned Advocate.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.36/2024</u></p> <p>R.S. Nagpure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.K. Bhangoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that Respondent-State has taken decision on representation submitted by Applicant on 15.01.2024 and copy is given to Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21st February, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i> <i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 07.02.2024 07.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.07/2024

Dr. Girish Thakur

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Manish Kelkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. We direct concerned Officer from Medical Education Department to remain present on next date.

3. Liberty is given to the Applicant to move Tribunal, if any appointment is made in between as apprehended by him.

4. S.O. to 16th February, 2024.

SD/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (*SD/-* Mridula Bhatkar, J)

Member-A
07.02.2024

Chairperson
07.02.2024

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.89/2024</u></p> <p>L.B. Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents. 2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that this matter can be disposed of. 3. The learned PO submits that she has received instructions from Respondent-Department that DE is going to be concluded and final order will be passed on Enquiry Report which was submitted on 17.10.2022. 3. The learned PO further informs that as per instructions given by Smt. Farande, Under Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department that as and when they will receive back the file of Applicant with opinion from Revenue Department, then the final decision will be taken about the Enquiry Report submitted on 17.10.2022.. 4. We therefore direct Smt. Farande, Under Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department to remain present on 08th February, 2024. 5. S.O. to 8th February, 2024. <p style="text-align: center;"> sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A 07.02.2024 sd/- Chairperson 07.02.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.820/2023 with O.A.1395/2023

S.K. Doifode & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Shantanu Taktate, learned Advocate i/b Shri Saurav Katkar, learned Advocate and Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. We are informed by learned Advocate for Applicants that the verification process will be over till 13.02.2024. In view of this, as we have reserved the order, we direct Respondents to verify the documents of Applicants in both OAs on or before 12.02.2024 subject to outcome of these OAs. All the parties are made it clear.

3. The verification of documents will not create any right in favour of Applicants.

4. The learned PO submits that she is filing Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder after verification and counting of the dates of all the Applicants where they have completed 240 days for 5 years. It is taken on record.

5. S.O. to 12th February, 2024.

Sd
(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Member-A Chairperson
07.02.2024 07.02.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.65/2024

A.S. Gangle



... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.M. Bhome, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that subject-matter of this OA is regarding 'Minor Punishment' which is assigned to Single Bench. Hence, matter may be placed before Single Bench.
3. Issue notice returnable on 06.03.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 6th March, 2024.



(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Member-A Chairperson
07.02.2024 07.02.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.820/2023 with O.A.1395/2023</u></p> <p>S.K. Doifode & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. ... Respondents The State of Mah. & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shantanu Taktate, learned Advocate i/b Shri Saurav Katkar, learned Advocate and Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. We are informed by learned Advocate for Applicants that the verification process will be over till 13.02.2024. In view of this, as we have reserved the order, we direct Respondents to verify the documents of Applicants in both OAs on or before 12.02.2024 subject to outcome of these OAs. All the parties are made it clear.</p> <p>3. The verification of documents will not create any right in favour of Applicants.</p> <p>4. The learned PO submits that she is filing Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder after verification and counting of the dates of all the Applicants where they have completed 240 days for 5 years. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12th February, 2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 07.02.2024 07.02.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1096/2017</u></p> <p>S.S Kerle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance time is granted to the applicant to argue the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.02.2024</p> <p><u>O.A 361/2022 with ors</u></p> <p>L.K Shinde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants that he wants to take instructions from the applicants, matter adjourned to 12.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 401/2022</u></p> <p>A.J Bavaskar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 35/2023</u></p> <p>K.R Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.T Yaseen, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 and Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1553/2023 with M.A 772/2023</u></p> <p>V.S Kalekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.2.2024.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption <u>'for Dismissal'</u> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 21.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>07.02.2024</u>
	<u>C.A 52/2022 in O.A 52/2021</u>
	A.D Nagane ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Writ Petition is filed before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is pending.
	3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 20.3.2024.
	Sd/-
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil)
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member (A)
	Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 10/2023 In O.A 367/2022</u></p> <p>P.B Umrani ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 28.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 45/2023 in O.A 614/2022</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>N.D Gangurde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant adjourned to 14.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 83/2023 in O.A 166/2018</u></p> <p>S.P Jedhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 84/2023 in O.A 168/2018</u></p> <p>A.V Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ansari F. Ahmed i/b A.A Gharte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 101/2023 in O.A 997/2017</u></p> <p>A.K Gharat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order of this Tribunal ha been complied with and nothing remains in the Contempt Application.</p> <p>3. In view of the above Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.02.2024</p> <p><u>C.A 111/2023 in M.A 492/2023 in O.A 67/2021</u></p> <p>S.B Titar (Datir) ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. We direct that a responsible officer from the office of the Respondents should remain present before this Tribunal on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 113/2023 in O.A 700/2022</u></p> <p>R.R Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 115/2023 in O.A 974/2021</u></p> <p>S.B Zaware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.s Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Contempt Application is not served on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel is directed to serve copy of the Contempt Application on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 04/2024 in O.A 893/2022</u></p> <p>N.S Khandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order of this Tribunal has not been complied with.</p> <p>3. We are of the view that special D.P.C meeting is to be held and the case of the applicant should be considered and pendency of the new Recruitment Rules cannot be a ground for not considering the case of the applicant by the D.P.C.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 499/2024 in O.A 31/2022</u></p> <p>Y.B Wagh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed written note of arguments. Same is taken on record. He seeks time to argue the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.2.2024 at 10.30 am.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 337/2023 in O.A 971/2019 with M.A 338/2023 in O.A 973/2019 with M.a 339/2023 in O.A 1022/2019</u></p> <p>R.P Gaikwad M.V Sontake D.N Lone</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 676/2023 in O.A 1311/2023</u></p> <p>R.M Jadhav ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.02.2024</p> <p><u>M.A 704/2023 in M.A 340/2023 in O.A 1072/2022</u></p> <p>... Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 21.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	07.02.2024
	<u>M.A 712/2023 in O.A 1425/2023</u>
	G.D Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 1 year, 1 month and 15 days.
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Original Application is filed on 11.11.2023 wherein the order dated 24.12.2019 is challenged. Learned counsel submitted that the Covid-19 Pandemic period is to be excluded and the period is to be reduced. Learned counsel submitted that the delay is caused due to family responsibilities and death of two brothers of the applicant.
	4. Learned P.O opposed the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay and submitted that there is a delay of 4 years and 4 months.
	5. In view of the reasons submitted for delay in filing the Original Application and as the matter relates to termination of service of the applicant, we condone the delay by imposing cost of Rs. 1000/- on the applicant which should be deposited in the account of People for the Ethical treatment of the Animals (PETA).
	6. Accordingly, Misc Application stands disposed of.
	7. O.A No. 145/2023 to be placed on Board on 21.2.2023.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.02.2024</p> <p><u>M.A 760/2023 in O.A 1521/2023</u></p> <p>S.D Rajguru ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>07.02.2024</p> <p><u>O.A 861/2023</u></p> <p>D.L Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 20.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1035 of 2023</p> <p>J.P. DholeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference, and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO has filed 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">AGR</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Final Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.902 of 2023</p> <p>B.B. AunseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit in compliance of order dated 02.01.2024' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>