

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.342 of 2020</u>
	<p>R.M. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO produces an order dated 26.10.2020 passed by Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural) by which the applicant is reinstated in service.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate prays that he is not paid salary of that period. Ld. CPO relies on Rule 70 of MCS (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981 and seeks time to take instructions regarding decision on payment of salary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.1.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.1.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <sup>132</sup> </p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.1.2021</p> </div> </div>
(sgj)	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>07.01.2021</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 315/2020 in O.A 717/2020 with M.A 316/2020 in O.A 718/2020 with M.A 255/2020 in O.A 588/2020 with M.A 276/2020 in O.A 610/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.D Lakade [O.A 717/2020] Shri V.L Jadhav [O.A 718/2020] Shri D.E Jadhav [O.A 588/2020] Shri P.R Jagtap [O.A 610/2020]...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of five to six months and 24 days.</p> <p>3. Learned Presenting Officer points out that other two matters O.A 588/2020 &amp; 610/2020 with the same relief is listed on 14.1.2021.</p> <p>4. Both O.A 588/2020 &amp; 610/2020 are taken suo moto on today's board. In all the matters, the petitioners pray that the punishment of stoppage of increment w.e.f 1.7.2019 with permanent effect is given by order dated 30.3.2019.</p> <p>5. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that the copy of the order dated 30.3.2019 was received by the applicant in April, 2019. Thereafter, period of one year expired on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2020. Learned advocate for the applicants prays that the period of five to six months delay in filing the Original Applications is to be excluded due to Covid-19 pandemic.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O states that she leaves it to the Court to pass appropriate order while condoning the delay.</p> <p>7. In view of the above, the delay in filing the Original Applications is condoned.</p> <p>8. All the Misc Applications seeking condonation of delay in filing the Original Applications are allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar J.)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.354 of 2020</u>
	K.R. Gupta & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri J.J. Carlos, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.
	2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record. Reply of private respondent No.5 is awaited.
	B. S.O. to 28.1.2021.
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.1.2021
	Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.1.2021
	(sgj)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>M.A. No.193 of 2020 in O.A. No.690 of 2018</u>
	P.S. Vetal & 211 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. CPO submits that today she will be receiving instructions to file reply. She prays more time by way of last chance. Time granted as last chance.
	3. S.O. to 19.1.2021. Copy of the reply is to be provided to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants on or before 16.1.2021.
	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.1.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">   <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.1.2021</p> </div> </div>
	(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.45 of 2020 in O.A. No.431 of 2014
	<p>S.R. Ghanekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents have prepared the seniority list on 10.5.2016 wherein the date of the order of this Tribunal i.e. 4.8.2015 passed in the above OA is mentioned. Hence, order of the Tribunal is complied with. As of today there is no cause of action for contempt. CA is dismissed.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman  7.1.2021  (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  7.1.2021 </p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.746 of 2030</u>
	<p>S.M. Bhagwat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p>
	<p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel i/b Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>
	<p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO taken on board.</p>
	<p>3. Ld. CPO submits that this matter pertains to enquiry against the applicant. The enquiry pertains to his tenure in Urban Development Department and also CIDCO. Hence, Urban Development Department is required to be made party respondent.</p>
	<p>4. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel is present. He is requested to inform the Ld. Advocate on record as the matter is already fixed on 14.1.2021.</p>
	<p>5. S.O. to 14.1.2021.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman  7.1.2021 </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/-  (Miridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  7.1.2021 </p>
	<p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**07.01.2021**

**O.A 717, 718, 588 & 610/2020**

Shri V.D Lakade [O.A 717/2020]  
Shri V.L Jadhav [O.A 718/2020]  
Shri D.E Jadhav [O.A 588/2020]  
Shri P.R Jagtap [O.A 610/2020]...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 11.2.2021.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. S.O to 11.2.2021.

Sd/-

(**P.N Dixit**)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.721 of 2020


J.M. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Pursuant to order dated 8.12.2020 passed in the above OA, the respondent no.1 – GAD is directed to submit their affidavit in reply within two weeks.

3. S.O. to 28.1.2021.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
7.1.2021

Sd/-   
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.1.2021

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.472 of 2020</u></p> <p>K.S. Bhalerao ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2021 for final hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.1.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.1.2021</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.91 of 2015</u>
	<p>A.G. Shendge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p>
	<p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>
	<p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he has been instructed by WhatsApp message of the applicant to withdraw the above OA. He undertakes to produce the print of WhatsApp message during the course of the day. The print to be taken on record and marked 'X-1' for identification.</p>
	<p>3. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 7.1.2021 7.1.2021</p>
	(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>MA.281/2020 in OA.1192/2019 with</u> <u>MA.280/2020 in OA.483/2020</u>
	<p>H.S. Sonawane &amp; Ors. ..Interveners And The Association of the Subordinate Services of Engineers &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Interveners and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of these two MAs the Ld. Advocate for the Interveners seek permission to intervene in the above OAs by adding them as party respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Interveners state that notice of these MAs is served on the Ld. Advocates for the Applicants in the above OAs viz. Ms. Vaidehi P. Deshmukh and Shri A.R. Kapadia respectively.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO points out that both these matters are fixed on 21.1.2021. Hence, both the MAs are kept along with OAs on 21.1.2021.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.1.2021 (sgj)</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 7.1.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.16 of 2021

M.M. Dawane & Ors.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are challenging the Govt. Circular dated 4.4.2018 and prays to quash and set aside the same and also seek other reliefs.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.2.2021.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
7.1.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.1.2021

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.6 of 2021 in O.A. No.16 of 2021

M.M. Dawane & Ors.	..Applicants
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	..Respondents

Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
7.1.2021

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
7.1.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 07.01.2021**

**O. A. No. 273 of 2019**

N.N. Thombre .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned Counsel Shri Bandiwadekar submits that the copy of the reply is already furnished to him and today he is filing rejoinder. The same is taken on record.
3. Affidavit-in-reply and affidavit-in-rejoinder both are on record.
4. Adjourned to 25.01.2021.

 Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 07.01.2021**

**O. A.No.477 of 2020**

M.S. Markand .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time granted. Adjourned to 18.01.2021.

 Sd/- 

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 07.01.2021**

**O. A.No.8 of 2021**

D.M. Bansode .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The speaking to minutes is taken on record.
3. In paragraph 5(a) in line 3, the date '28.02.2021' should be read as '28.01.2021'.
4. The said precipe is accordingly allowed.

  
Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 07.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.818 of 2019</b></p> <p>J.L. Mondakar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The order dated 03.09.2012 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Criminal Appeal no.1083/2012 in Criminal Application 566/2012 is produced by the applicant. By this order the Criminal Appeal is admitted. No stay is granted.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was prosecuted for the offence of corruption under section 7, 13(1) (d) r/w. 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act and was acquitted by judgment and order dated 20.7.2011 of the Special Judge Sindhudurg at Oros, prays that the order dated 14.11.2014 issued by Respondent no.2 regarding his promotion and treatment of period of suspension be quashed. It is an admitted fact that the applicant was suspended due to the criminal prosecution against him under the Prevention of Corruption Act. However, he is acquitted from all the offences. He was suspended from the service from 07.12.2009 to 10.05.2012. Thereafter he was reinstated in the service.</p> <p>4. At present he is working as Supervisor in Land records at Kudal. At the time of consideration of his promotion his case was not taken into account because the State has filed appeal challenging the order of acquittal in the Special Case no 15 of 2010. By letter dated 14.11.2014, Deputy Director Land record Konkan Division has informed that as the appeal is pending before the Hon'ble High Court we cannot consider his name for the promotion and only after the decision of the appeal his case for promotion can be considered.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In the affidavit-in-reply filed by Respondent No.2, through Smt. Geeta N. Deshpande, Superintendent of Land Record, in the office Deputy Director of Land Records, Konkan Division in paragraph 23 .has reproduced the relevant policy decision. The clause no.16.A2 of that G.R. is as follows :-</p> <p>“न्यायालयीन कार्यवाही चालू असलेल्या प्रकरणात एखाद्या अधिकारी/कर्मचा-या सबळ पुराव्याअभावी किंवा तांत्रिक मुद्यावर निर्दोष ठरविल्यास व कनिष्ठ न्यायालयाच्या निर्णयाच्या विरोधात वरिष्ठ न्यायालयांत अपील दाखल केल्यानंतर वरिष्ठ न्यायालयाने कनिष्ठ न्यायालयाच निर्णयाला स्थगिती दिल्यास २. तदर्थ पदोन्नती दिली नसल्यास मोहोरबंद पाकीट उघडून त्याला पदोन्नती देण्यात येऊ नये.”</p> <p>6. Perused the order dated 03.09.2012 of the High Court in Criminal Appeal no.1083/2012 in Criminal Application 566/2012 (seeking leave). By the said order the Hon'ble High Court has admitted the appeal. However there is no order of stay and therefore clause 16.A2 cannot be attracted stating that name of the Applicant cannot be considered for promotion. It is made clear that if there is a conviction then the Government is justified in not considering the name of the Applicant, as he would be dismissed. However in the case of acquittal unless there is the stay given in appeal to the judgment, the Government servant cannot be denied of his opportunity of being considered for the promotion.</p> <p>7. In view of the above, we allow this application and the respondents are directed to consider the case of the applicant for promotion by applying usual criteria if otherwise he is found fit. Pendency of appeal against the order of acquittal is not impediment for considering the case of the Applicant for promotion, so also to take the decision about the treatment of the period of suspension.</p> <p>8. Respondent to take decision within 8 weeks from today and communicate the same to the Applicant forthwith.</p> <p>9. O.A. is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A. No.12 of 2017 in O.A. No.808 of 2016</u></p> <p>J.M. Gurav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.M. Gurav, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person is present. He files additional documents and serves a copy of the same on the Ld. PO. The same is taken on record. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.1.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 7.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 07.01.2021**

**O. A.No.486 of 2020 with M.A.No.220 of 2020**

S.D. Tejane .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 22.02.2021.

 Sd/- 

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 07.01.2021**



**O. A.No.619 of 2020**

P.U. Rathod .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents time granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
3. Adjourned to 08.02.2021.


  
 Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 07.01.2021**

**O.A.No.622 of 2020 with O.A.No.623 of 2020**

M.R. Ahire (O.A.622/2020)

M.P. Shinde (O.A.623/2020) .....Applicants

Versus


The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the respondents time granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
3. Adjourned to 01.02.2021.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 07.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O. A. No.282 of 2020</b></p> <p>R.S. Naikode .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply is filed through Shri Deepak Eknath Shinde, Sub Divisional Officer, Solapur. The same is taken on record.</li><li>3. The respondents were specifically directed by order dated 09.07.2020 that the issue of his arrears and subsistence allowance as per the rule is to be considered. However it appears that the authority has not yet considered. The Respondents are directed to comply the order passed by this Tribunal and pay the said allowances as per the rules.</li><li>4. The learned P.O. submits that the review could not be taken as the Election Process of Gram Panchayat had commenced. Earlier thrice the date of review was scheduled and due to certain reasons the meeting review committee was postponed. There respondents are directed to conduct a review till 15.02.2021 and communicate the decision thereafter within a week to the applicant.</li><li>5. S.O. to 22.02.2021.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.638 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>D. U. Rathod</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that Smt. Kranti Gaikwad is in charge in the matter and she had left the office for some work. She, therefore, requested to keep the matter tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**07.01.2021**

**O.A 806/2020**

Shri G.B Pilgar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. The applicant who is Senior Tribal Development Inspector, at Nasik states that the representation made on 9.5.2019 be considered in the light of the order dated 17.12.2020 passed by the Division Bench, of this Tribunal, Aurangabad Bench in O.A 556/2020.
3. Learned P.O seeks time to obtain the instructions. Learned P.O to take instructions and consider the order dated 17.12.2020 of Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in O.A 556/2020 and file reply
4. S.O to 28.1.2021.

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)**

Akn

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>07.01.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 51/2014 in O.A 465/2008</u></b></p> <p>The Tracers Association ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Jagdale seeks permission to amend the names of the Respondents-Contemnors because the relief is sought against actually the State of Maharashtra and its Department, i.e. Agriculture Department and Finance Department. However, the erstwhile Principal Secretary was made party by name when the contempt was filed. As the real grievance is against the State of Maharashtra, learned advocate for the applicants wants to amend the Contempt Application by change of name of present Principal Secretary.</p> <p>3. Leave to amend granted forthwith. Copy of the Contempt Application to be served immediately today to the Respondents-Contemnors.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.1.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>07.01.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 44/2019 in O.A 1134/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.R Nalawade &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule holding for Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the SLP (Civil) Diary No (s) 45904/2019 was not posted after 24.1.2020 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.3.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>12/1/21</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**07.01.2021**

**C.A 42/2020 in O.A 615/2019**

Dr A.R Kamble ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, holding for Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply dated 6.1.2021 of Respondent no. 1, Shri Sachindra P. Singh, working as Commissioner, Animal Husbandry, Maharashtra State, Pune and Respondent no. 2, Shri Anoop Kumar, Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department. Same are taken on record.

3. Learned P.O submits that as per para 5 of the order of this Tribunal dated 26.9.2019 is complied with and final order dated 25.11.2020 is passed by the Respondents. However, there is delay in complying with the order of this Tribunal dated 26.9.2019. Learned P.O further submits that the said delay is not intentional, but due to Covid-19 pandemic.

4. In view of the above, C.A stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 07.01.2021**

**O. A.No.562 of 2020**

A.V. Khandre .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned C.P.O. submits that the matter of Maratha reservation is now fixed before Hon'ble Supreme Court on 25.01.2021 so in between the State Government is not taking any decision on this point. Therefore, matter be adjourned thereafter to enable the respondent to file reply.
3. Respondents to consider that the issue of conducting MPSC examination is pending and the same is required to be conducted. However as the matter is before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, we adjourned to 05.02.2021.
4. Adjourned to 05.02.2021.



Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 07.01.2021

O. A. No.796 of 2020

R.R. Chougule .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant Tahsildar working at Latur, is promoted to the post of Deputy Collector by order dated 19.11.2020. She is relieved on 04.01.2021. She is now given posting at Nagpur Revenue Division.

3. The learned P.O. submits that at the outset he challenges the territorial jurisdiction of the applicant. This application ought to have filed either before Aurangabad or Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal.

4. The learned Counsel Shri Bandiwadekar on this query submits that the application is filed in Mumbai because the Applicant is a resident of Sangli and cause of action took place in Mantralaya.

5. The objection raised by learned P.O. on the ground of territorial jurisdiction is granted with direction that O.A. be filed at Nagpur or Aurangabad accordingly.

6. O.A. is disposed off.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**07.01.2021**

**O.A 710/2020**

Shri D.N Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, Assistant Inspector of Motor Vehicle, at Nasik, prays that the Respondent no. 1 be directed to declare result of Petitioners' Post Recruitment Training Departmental Examination of January, 2015, held on 10.9.2018 and 11.9.2018.

3. Learned counsel points out the result of other candidates who appeared in the said examination was declared on 14.5.2019 and accordingly the Petitioners be granted all consequential benefits. The Respondents had given show cause notice to the applicants on 23.8.2019 and the applicants submitted reply to the Respondent no. 1 on 23.12.2019.

4. Learned C.P.O informs that she seeks time to take instructions. Respondents are directed to file reply to the extent of prayer clause 9(b) in respect of pending proceedings in the form of show cause notice dated 23.8.2019 to which the applicants have filed reply dated 23.12.2019.

5. S.O to 11.2.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**07.01.2021**

**M.A 311/2020 in O.A 710/2020**

Shri D.N Patil & Ors ... Applicants  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Misc Application to sue jointly allowed subject to payment of court fees if not already paid.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 07.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No. 744 of 2020</b></p> <p>B.M. Gade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant a Jail Guard, Sangli District Prison, challenges the order dated 29.10.2020 to the extent of this posting at Sangli, he wants his retention at Yerwada Prison.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel submits that the applicant has joined Sangli jail. He further submits that his headquarters is changed to Kolhapur whereas it should have been in Pune and till today no charge is filed nor D.E is initiated against him. He further submits that his entire family is in Pune. According to him it is a mid-term transfer by way of reinstatement.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. to take instructions as to why D.E. is not initiated against the applicant till now and If initiated produce the papers and if not give reasons for the same.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 01.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/- </p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**07.01.2021**

**M.A 330/2020 in O.A 751/2020**

Shri S.P Lad & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. M.A to sue jointly is allowed subject to payment of court fees if not already paid.

Sd/-

*(P.N Dixit)*  
**(P.N Dixit)**  
**Vice-Chairman (A)**

Akn

*(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)*  
Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

07.01.2021

O.A 751/2020

Shri S.P Lad & Others ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. All these 7 applicants, Water Conservation Officer, i.e. Junior Engineer, challenge the final seniority list published on 28.7.2020 and prays that it is to be quashed and set aside and also seek declaration that the employees working in the office of Ex. Engineer, Zilla Parishad, under Rural Development Department are not employees of Soil and Water Conservation Department and otherwise working under the administrative control of Water Conservation Department and also prays that Respondent department be directed to publish seniority list of employees afresh as per the policy and G.R dated 31.5.2016.

3. Learned C.P.O at the outset raises objection for non-joinder of parties as the relief which is sought against the persons are not made party to this O.A.

4. Issue notice returnable 22.2.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O to 22.2.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 07.01.2021

O. A.No.805 of 2020

A.P. Shinde .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri ~~Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar~~ <sup>V.P. Potbhare</sup>, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, Thalathi, Kondi village, Taluka Upalai (BK), Tal. Madha, Solapur who was suspended by order dated 31.03.2020 is reinstated as per order dated 10.12.2020. He prays for subsistence allowance of the said period and also prays that he should be paid a regular salary from 01.01.2019 till the issuance of suspension order.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.02.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. The learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.

9. S.O. to 15.02.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 07.01.2021</p> <p>O. A.No.697 of 2019</p> <p>A.J.A.R. Shaikh .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant, Assistant Sub Inspector Police challenges the order dated 14.08.2018 and modified punishment of dismissal dated 18.03.2018 and also challenges the order dated 20.07.2020 in revision.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.02.2021.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. The learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 15.02.2021.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 07.01.2021**

**O.A.No.138 of 2020**

**S. B. Kumbhar**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri K. K. Mishra, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
5. S.O. to 08.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 07.01.2021**

**O.A.No.203 of 2018**

**S. K. Devkar**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.3. None for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder on behalf of the P.O. but no Rejoinder is filed.

3. Original Application be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 21.01.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 07.01.2021**

**O.A.No.583, 584, 585, 586 & 587 of 2020**

**B. R. Lokhande & Ors. ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri S. B. Thorat holding for Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has pointed out that only short affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.3 is filed but she wants to file detailed Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.3, and therefore, seeks one week's time.

3. S.O. to 15.01.2021 for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)**

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 07.01.2021**

**O.A.No.545 of 2020**

**B. A. Waghmare ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri Arvind Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 21.01.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.518 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A. V. Bhise</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Original Application be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No. 384 of 2020</p> <p>Shaikh A. Khalil A. Gani .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S.B. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. None for the Respondent No.4.</li><li>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</li><li>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li><li>4. S.O. to 24.01.2021.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.864 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>A. D. Daundkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 18.01.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>  Sd/-  <sup>v</sup>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 07.01.2021**

**R.A. No.12 of 2020 in O.A.No.525 of 2019**

**R. B. Nirmale ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. The matter is adjourned for hearing on 22.01.2021.

^  
Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)**

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.01.2021

O.A.No.740 of 2020

S. A. Annapure

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.02.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 03.02.2021.
9. The question of jurisdiction of this Tribunal needs to be looked into since the Applicant is serving at Amaravati but instead of filing O.A. at Nagpur bench, he has filed the O.A. before this Tribunal at Mumbai.
10. The question of jurisdiction, therefore, needs to be decided at the stage of admission. Accordingly, learned Counsel for the Applicant and learned P.O. are directed to make their submissions on the part of jurisdiction on next date.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.01.2021

O.A.No.655 of 2020

Dr. K. S. Deshpande

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.02.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 03.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.01.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.138 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>H. B. Suryawanshi &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional Affidavit of Reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is at the stage of final hearing which was adjourned on last date for filing additional affidavit.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing on 21.01.2021.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.475 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. D. A. Patil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent<sup>5</sup>. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaiwkad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 07.08.2020 whereby he was transferred from Veterinary Dispensary, Veet, Tal. Karmala, Dist. Solapur to Veterinary Dispensary, Kavatemahakal, Dist. Sangali.</li> <li>3. However, during the pendency of Original Application, on representation made by the Applicant dated 16.09.2020, his posting has been changed and he is posted at Vateriaary Dispensary, Phisare, Tal. Karmala, Dist. Solapur as requested in his representation.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. has tendered the copy of modified order dated 18.12.2020 along with his representation dated 16.09.2020. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</li> <li>5. Thus, the Applicant has got modified. positing as per his choice and his grievance is redressed.</li> <li>6. Original Application is, therefore, become infructuous and disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 07.01.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.Nos.689, 690, 691, 692 with 693 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A. M. Kharade &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad with Smt Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.1.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>