

M.A. NO. 205/2021 IN O.A. ST. NO. 2467/2019
(Vijaykumar B. Rathi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant.

Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his counsel, S.O. to 19.11.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

**M.A. NO. 16/2022 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1505/2021
(Ramesh R. Kulthe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. NO. 125/2022 IN O.A. ST. NO. 120/2022
(Gunaji Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant.

Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his
counsel, S.O. to 20.11.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393/2024
(Dr. Shaikh Wahab Ayub Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent nos.01 to 03. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been given to the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to submit on record bunch of documents containing copies of applications submitted by the applicant to the respondent no. 3 and copy of order dated 2.8.2024 passed by the Division Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 507/2024. Leave granted. The said documents are taken on record and copies thereof are given to learned P.O.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that present O.A. is covered by the ratio laid down by this

Tribunal in O.A. No. 507/2024. We request the learned P.O. to go through the said decision rendered by this Tribunal and make appropriate submissions.

5. It appears that by order dated 28.06.2024 passed in the present matters this Tribunal has directed the respondents that the applicant shall not be discontinued as an interim protection and the said protection is still in operation. Though the learned P.O. pointed out that by order dated 28.6.2024 (Exh. R-4 paper book page 83) the applicant's services are terminated, however, on perusal of the said order, it appears that, the contractual tenure of the applicant expires not on 28.06.2024, but on 29.06.2024. Therefore, the respondent no. 03 cannot take excuses by saying that the applicant is already removed on 28.06.2024.

6. We direct the learned P.O. to personally interact with respondent no. 03 since learned counsel for the applicant has repeatedly made submissions before us that under the orders of respondent no. 03 dated 28.06.2024 (Exh. R-4 paper book page 83), the applicant is not allowed to work and even the chamber, where the applicant sits, has been locked, and make appropriate submissions on the next date.

::-3-::

O.A. NO. 393/2024

7. S.O. to 10.09.2024 in **Urgent Admission Category.**

8. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 624/2013
(Yogesh P. Ghuge & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.C. Ghode, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. By filing this Original Application the applicants are seeking declaration that the candidates possessing Construction Supervisor qualification passed from I.T.I. run by the State of Maharashtra shall be regarded as equivalent qualification with that of Civil Engineering Assistant and be held to be eligible to apply for the post of Civil Engineering Assistant and issue appropriate directions to the respondents in this regard.

3. It further appears that one Shri Vitthal Damodhar Bonsode, on similar set of facts and circumstances, has filed Original Application No. 19/2009 before this Tribunal and the Division Bench of this Tribunal by an order passed on 11.08.2009

has allowed the said O.A. with a direction to the respondents therein that the said applicant, although qualified with qualification of Constructions Supervisor, is eligible for appointment as Civil Engineering Assistant and his candidature should not be rejected on the ground that he is not suitably qualified. This Tribunal has also given certain consequential directions in the said order.

4. It further appears that being aggrieved by the said order, the State of Maharashtra has challenged the said order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad by filing W.P. no. 113/2010 and Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 12.4.2010 dismissed the said Writ Petition filed by the State.

5. It further appears that being aggrieved by the aforesaid order of Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 113/2010, the State of Maharashtra has filed S.L.P. (Civil) No. 1281-1282/2013 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Hon'ble Supreme Court has granted stay to the effect and operation of the impugned order.

::-3-::

O.A. NO. 624/2013

6. By order dated 13.09.2023 the Division Bench of the Tribunal has directed the learned counsel for the applicants to bring the status of the S.L.P., which is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. However, it appears that applicant's counsel remained absent on last various dates.

7. We, therefore, direct the learned Presenting Officer to bring the current status of pending S.L.P. on the next date.

8. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556/2024
(Dr. Sachin B. Gumade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that on the last date the printout of affidavit in reply of respondent no. 3 was submitted on record. Learned P.O. thus seeks leave to replace it by original. Leave granted. Original reply of respondent no. 3 is taken on record. Learned P.O. has already supplied copy of reply of res. No. 3 to the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned P.O. at length.

4. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.09.2024. The matter be treated as part heard.

5. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 125/2024 WITH
M.A. 367/2024
(Jayashree K. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.C. Swami, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.A. Patil, learned counsel for applicant in M.A. no. 367/2024, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 01 and 02. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been given to other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 18.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 694/2013
(Bashir Khan Daulat Khan Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that in the order dated 15.11.2019 this Tribunal has recorded that the learned Presenting Officer need some time to take instructions from the concerned respondents regarding Annual Confidential Reports of the applicant and accordingly time was granted. Learned P.O. submits that those copies of ACRs are received on 03.10.2023 however, the same are remained to be submitted before this Tribunal. Learned P.O. thus seeks leave to place on record the copies of ACRs. Leave granted. The copies of ACRs are taken on record and copies thereof are given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 13.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250/2014
(Karyakari Adhikari Sanghatana, RTO, through its Joint
Secretary Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. In the order dated 22.6.2016 this Tribunal has recorded the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant that though the language of the prayers is slightly different, in spirit, the claim in the present OA is for an order in terms of direction given by this Tribunal in O.A. 768/2009 and so far as the order passed in the said O.A. is concerned, it is challenged by the State of Maharashtra before the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad and the said order has been stayed.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the State has preferred Writ Petition No. 3015/2012, which is still pending as on today before the Hon'ble High Court and stay granted therein is still operating.

4. S.O. to 04.12.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583/2014
(Bhausahab S. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.A. Mundhe, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 18.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

T.A. 23/2023 W.P. 13531/2023
(Kishor Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 24.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 652/2021
(Sadashiv Pohandulkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

**C.P. 37/2023 IN REV. 5/2022 IN OA 981/2019
(Dr. Ravindra Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri MB Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Though rejoinder affidavit was directed to be filed, yet it is not filed by the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 28.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 43/2019
(Sharad B. Tote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his counsel, S.O. to 18.11.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 128/2019
(Ganesh Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri VA Mundhe, learned counsel holding for Shri RD Khadap, learned counsel for respondent no. 6, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for respondent no. 6, S.O. to 29.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307/2019
(Rahul Sathe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicants. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his counsel, S.O. to 13.11.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 468/2022
(Smita Ingle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vishakha Patel, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri MB Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.09.2024. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 755/2022
(Sopan R. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 16.10.2024. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 828/2022
(Shailendra Sasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.10.2024. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641/2023
(Ranjeet Andhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 16.10.2024. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ARJ ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

MEMBER (J)

- 1. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 992 OF 2024**
(Archana S. Dhuri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- 2. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 993 OF 2024**
(Santosh K. Nilewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- 3. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 994 OF 2024**
(Balu S. Jamre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- 4. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1024 OF 2024**
(Nilesh R. Bhavsar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- 5. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1025 OF 2024**
(Shahanaz Maheboob Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- 6. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1026 OF 2024**
(Yogesh P. Pagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- 7. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1027 OF 2024**
(Ashish P. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- 8. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1028 OF 2024**
(Ayub Sultan Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- 9. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1029 OF 2024**
(Santosh V. Sonsale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As., Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer, S/Shri V.G. Pingle, V.R. Bhumkar, S.S. Dambe and

//2// O.A.No. 992/2024 & Ors.

Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, respective learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective O.As.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks leave to file the copy of representation submitted by the applicants in O.A.Nos. 993/2024 and 994/2024. Leave granted.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that all the applicants are working as a Police Head Constables in view of benefit granted to them under the Assured Career Progression Scheme. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are seeking permission to appear for the departmental examination for the post of Reserve Police Sub-Inspector (hereinafter called as 'RSI') which will be held on 20.09.2024. Learned counsel for the applicants has further made it clear that as per clause No.1 of circular dated 01.08.2024 issued by the Additional Director General of Police, Training and Special Squads, Maharashtra State, Mumbai the Police Head Constables who completed four years of service on the said post up to 30.04.2024 and Assistant Police Sub-Inspector would be entitled to appear for the said departmental examination. In view of same, since the applicants are eligible to be

//3// O.A.No. 992/2024 & Ors.

considered for the same, all the applicants have submitted the representations online /in person on the various dates to the Head of the Department as per the chart below:-

Sr. No.	Name of the applicants	Date of Representation
1.	Archana S. Dhuri – applicant in O.A.No. 992/2024	05.08.2024
2.	Santosh K. Nilewar- applicant in O.A.No. 993/2024	15.08.2024
3.	Balu S. Jamre- applicant in O.A.No. 994/2024	12.08.2024
4.	Nilesh R. Bhavsar- applicant in O.A.No. 1024/2024	08.08.2024
5.	Shahanaz Maheboob Shaikh- applicant in O.A.No. 1025/2024	08.08.2024
6.	Yogesh P. Pagar- applicant in O.A.No. 1026/2024	09.08.2024
7.	Ashish P. Chaudhari- applicant in O.A.No. 1027/2024	12.08.2024
8.	Ayub Sultan Shaikh- applicant in O.A.No. 1028/2024	07.08.2024
9.	Santosh V. Sonsale- applicant in O.A.No. 1029/2024	21.08.2024.

//4// O.A.No. 992/2024 & Ors.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the representations are still not decided and the purpose of filing of these Original Applications will be fulfilled if the directions are given to the respondents to decide the said representations in the time bound manner. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that in the identical cases bearing Original Application Nos. 971, 972 and 973 all of 2024 this Tribunal has disposed of the said Original Applications by giving directions to the respondents to decide the said representations in time bound manner.

5. Learned C.P.O. and P.Os. submit that in case if such directions are given to the respondents, the liberty may be given to the respondents to decide said representations on its own merits. Learned C.P.O. and P.Os. further submit that in some of the matters there is no endorsement of the office about the receipt of said representations and if those are not traced out it would be difficult to decide the said representations, if any, in time bound manner. In the event of said contingency, we may direct the applicants to file the representations afresh till 09.09.2024.

//5// O.A.No. 992/2024 & Ors.

6. In view of above submissions, we are inclined to dispose of these Original Applications with the directions to the respondents to decide the said representations filed by the respective applicants on its own merits. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) The Original Application No. 992/2024 (Archana S. Dhuri Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.), Original Application No. 993/2024 (Santosh K. Nilewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.), Original Application No. 994/2024 (Balu S. Jamre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.), Original Application No. 1024/2024 (Nilesh R. Bhavsar Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.), Original Application No. 1025/2024 (Shahanaz M. Shaikh Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.), Original Application No. 1026/2024 (Yogesh P. Pagar Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.), Original Application No. 1027/2024 (Ashish P. Chaudhari Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.), Original Application No. 1028/2024 (Ayub Sultan Shaikh Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.) and Original

//6// O.A.No. 992/2024 & Ors.

Application No. 1029/2024 (Devji N. Barse Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.) are hereby partly allowed.

- (ii) The respondent No.2 i.e. the Director General of Police (M.S.), Mumbai in almost all the matters shall decide the representations submitted by the applicants as per the chart detailed in this order as expeditiously as possible preferably within the period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order on its own merits.
- (iii) In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.
- (iv) The Original Applications are accordingly disposed of.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1036 OF 2024
(Devji N. Barse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.U. Pawar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicant was appointed as a Agriculture Assistant in the office of Taluka Agriculture Officer, Kinwat on 14.09.2007 as a nominee of Freedom Fighter and he was granted permanency from 21.09.2010 and presently he is working as a permanent employee. Applicant was initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04-03-1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28-08-2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04-03-1991. By G.R. dated 28-08-2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the

prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28-08-2024 is reproduced as below:

“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become outdated, it is cancelled.

(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.

(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”

4. The applicant apprehends that he may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicant’s concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

=3= O.A.NO.1036/2024

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1037 OF 2024
(Vinaykumar A. Pande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.U. Pawar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicant was appointed as a Agriculture Assistant in the office of Taluka Agriculture Officer, Himayatnagar on 14.09.2007 as a nominee of Freedom Fighter and he was granted permanency from 17.10.2013 and presently he is working as a permanent employee. Applicant was initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04-03-1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28-08-2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04-03-1991. By G.R. dated 28-08-2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the

=2= O.A.NO.1037/2024

prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28-08-2024 is reproduced as below:

“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become outdated, it is cancelled.

(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.

(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”

4. The applicant apprehends that he may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicant’s concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वसैक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1008 OF 2024
(Pundlik R. Katkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Dheple, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 30.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A. 1008/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 30.09.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1042 OF 2024
(Vijay A. Cheke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.S. Mutalik, learned Counsel for applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is at present working as a Deputy Executive Engineer (Civil), Zilla Parishad, Jalna. The applicant is State Government employee. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the initial date of appointment of the applicant is 17.10.1995 as a Assistant Engineer, Grade-II and further promoted as Deputy Engineer by order dated 29.10.2012 and he joined the promotional post on 31.10.2012. However, in the proposed seniority/promotion list the name of applicant is not mentioned. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in view of the initial date of appointment, the applicant should be placed in the proposed seniority/promotion list after the Government employee at Sr. No. 5.

//2//

O.A. 1042/2024

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant along with one other employee in the identical facts of the case has filed the O.A.No. 1002/2021 before Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Nagpur seeking direction to the respondent No.1 to fix the seniority of the applicant from the date of his initial appointment i.e. 13.10.1995 and 17.10.1995 respectively and further directs the respondents to show the name of the applicant in the seniority list after Sr. No. 655. The applicant has also sought direction to the respondent No.1 in the said O.A. that after considering the seniority from the date of initial appointment to grant promotion according to his seniority and also grant consequential benefits arising therefrom.

4. By order dated 04.01.2024, the Division Bench of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Nagpur has allowed the said Original Application and quashed the impugned order dated 27.09.2017 and directed the respondent to carry out correction in the seniority list of Assistant Engineer (Grade-II) and place the name of the applicant after Sr. No. 655 on the date on which the applicant was initially appointed.

//3//

O.A. 1042/2024

5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that till this date no such correction has been carried out though directed by this Tribunal and the same is evident from the seniority list dated 02.07.2024 (Annexure 'A-1').

6. In view of above, issue notice to respondents, returnable on 04.10.2024. **Till then the respondents are hereby restrained from effecting the promotions to the post of Executive Engineer (Civil) for the year 2023-2024, as per published list dated 02.07.2024.**

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//4//

O.A. 1042/2024

10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. S.O. to 04.10.2024.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 950 OF 2023
(Amarsing C. Kawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.09.2024 for hearing. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

O.A.NOS. 324 TO 330 ALL OF 2024
(Dr. Manohar T. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.S. Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., are present.

Shri R.S. Pawar, learned counsel for respondent No.5 in O.A.No. 326/2024, is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 13.09.2024 for hearing. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 635 OF 2024
(Shaikh Farah Shaikh Gafoor & Ors. Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present

2. Await service of notice.
3. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.2 along with spare copy for the other side. The same is taken on record.
4. S.O. to 04.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 751 OF 2024
(Janardhan D. Rathod & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Joslyan A. Menezes, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. S.O. to 27.09.2024 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 752 OF 2024
(Bharat L. Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Joslyan A. Menezes, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. S.O. to 27.09.2024 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (A)

M.A.NO. 312/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1121/2022
(Dr. Balasaheb M. Kalegore & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra
& Ors.)

WITH

M.A.NO. 238/2023 IN O.A.NO. 438/2023
(Dr. Dilip C. Godse & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

M.A.473/2023 IN M.A. 474/2023 IN O.A.St. 2140/2022
(Dr. Gajanan G. Mohalle & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)

CORAM : Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks leave to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 6 in M.A.No. 473/2023. Leave granted. The reply is accepted along with spare copy for the other side.

3. S.O. to 24.09.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1022 OF 2024
(Dnyaneshwar B. Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is working as Police Inspector attached to Police Control Room, Ahmednagar at present. In view of the directives issued by the Election Commission of India on account of ensuing Assembly Elections, the impugned order of transfer of the applicant came to be passed. Learned counsel submits that though the applicant has completed three years in the district during the last four years, however, he will be retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.12.2024. Learned counsel submits that by order dated 12.08.2024, the applicant came to be transferred from Shrigonda Police Station to Police Control Room, Ahmednagar. However, by impugned order dated 13.08.2024 the applicant came to be transferred from Ahmednagar to Dhule.

//2//

O.A. NO. 1022/2024

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in terms of the directives issued by the Election Commission of India by communication dated 31.07.2024 particularly para No. 6, wherein the categories of Officers are mentioned not covered under transfer policy. Learned counsel submits that para No. 6(vi) is relevant in this regard. It has been made clear in the said para that such officer falling in the said category if holding an election related post, shall be relieved of that charge and not be associated with any election related duty. However, such retiring officer need not be transferred out of the district. Learned counsel submits that the impugned order of transfer of the applicant is contrary to the directives issued by the Election Commission of India.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has strongly resisted the Original Application by making submissions that the impugned transfer order is legal, proper and correct and the applicant has not made out any case for interim protection.

5. On careful perusal of the directives issued by the Election Commission of India, particularly para No. 6(vi) though the applicant was rightly transferred

//3//

O.A. NO. 1022/2024

by order dated 12.08.2024 from Police Station Shrigonda to Police Control Room, Ahmednagar, however, there was no reason to transfer him out of district by impugned transfer order dated 13.08.2024. The same would be contrary to para No. 6 (vi) of the directives issued by the Election Commission of India.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant at this stage submits that the applicant is still working at Police Control Room, Ahmednagar and he has not been relieved yet.

7. In view of the same, **till the next date of hearing interim relief in terms of prayer clause 19-a is hereby granted, which is as under :-**

“19-a) INTERIM RELIEF PRAYED:

(A. Pending hearing and final disposal of this original Application, the execution, operation and implementation of the impugned transfer order dated 13.08.2024 (Annexure A-1) issued by the respondent no.1 transferring the applicant from the post of Police Inspector, Superintendent of Police Ahmednagar to Superintendent of Police, Dhule may kindly be stayed and to continue the applicant on the post of Police Inspector pursuant to the order dated 12.08.2024 (Annexure A-2) issued by the respondent no. 2 till his date of retirement i.e. 31.12.2024.”

//4//

O.A. NO. 1022/2024

8. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27.09.2024.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

//5//

O.A. NO. 1022/2024

13. S.O. to 27.09.2024.

14. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1039 OF 2024
(Sandhya Ramnath Adhane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
and
Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Leave to add Priti Baliram Choure and Kalyanai Hanumant Shinde as party respondents to the present Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in response to the advertisement No. 01/2024 published by respondent No. 2 on 29.02.2024, the applicant has applied for the post of Police Constable Lohamarg. In the said recruitment process, total 80 posts were advertised. As per the advertisement, 02 posts were reserved for SEBC (Female), 07 posts were reserved for Open (Female), 01 post was reserved for NT-B (Female) and 01 post was reserved for NT-D (Female). In terms of the advertisement, the maximum age limit for Open candidates was up to 28 years, whereas for reserved category was up to 33 years as on the last date prescribed i.e. 31.03.2024.

//2//

O.A. No. 1039/2024

The applicant has successfully completed the physical test / ground conducted on 12.07.2024 and secured 45 marks out of 50 marks. Further the applicant has also qualified for written examination and secured 71 marks out of 100 marks. As per the provisional select list published by respondents, the applicant's name is appeared in wait list No. 1 of SEBC (Female).

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that respondent No. 4-Sarika Baliram Andhale belongs to reserve category i.e. NT-D category and she has secured 127 marks and she has been selected against Open (Female) and Respondent No. 5-Sonali Datta Ubale also belongs to reserve category i.e. NT-B category having secured 122 marks and she has been selected against Open (Female), despite the fact that they have taken benefit of age relaxation at the time of filing of their online applications.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in terms of the view taken by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 778/2023, though the State has preferred W.P. and the same is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, the respondent Nos. 4 & 5 ought to have been selected from respective reserve categories, since

//3//

O.A. No. 1039/2024

they have availed the benefits of age relaxation for reserve category candidates and not in the Open category as per clause No. 5.3 of the advertisement.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there are two posts reserved for SEBC (Female) category and those are filled by the candidates namely Jayshree Raju Jadhav and Shivkanya Prameshwar Kadam, who have secured 120 and 116 marks respectively. The applicant had secured 116 marks. Learned counsel submits that in all probability the candidate Jayshree Raju Jadhav will be taken up from Open (Female) category and then there are only two candidates from SEBC category i.e. Shivkanya Kadam and the present applicant. Learned counsel submits that however, the same has not been considered properly and today only the appointment orders are likely to be issued.

7. Learned Presenting Officer on instructions submits that today itself the appointment orders will be issued.

8. In view of above, **till the next date of hearing we direct the respondents to keep one post vacant from SEBC (Female) category. The**

//4//

O.A. No. 1039/2024

consequential reshuffling of the select list would be subject to outcome of the present Original Application and the appointment orders should indicate the same.

9. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27.09.2024.

10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

//5//

O.A. No. 1039/2024

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. S.O. to 27.09.2024.

15. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

**M.A. No. 378/2024 in O.A. St. No. 1254/2024
(Daulat V. Tate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 22.11.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 22.11.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 427/2024 in O.A. No. 1019/2024
(Milind B. Sawwase & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 09.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

**MA 433/2024 in Review 8/2024 in OA 598/2024
(Archana B. Jagtap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 20.09.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 22.09.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 936 OF 2024
(Shelke E. Tukaram Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.E. Sarode, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Leave to correct and amend prayer clause 9(b). Applicant to remove the office objections till the next date of hearing.
3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.10.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 28.10.2024.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 979 OF 2024
(Subhash B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Sontakke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to remove the office objections till 09.09.2024. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1016 OF 2024
(Vishwanath K. Zunjare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the impugned transfer order of the applicant dated 26/31.08.2024 is mid-term and mid-tenure and the applicant is not relieved so far.

3. Learned Presenting Officer on instructions submits that the applicant is not only relieved but the person transferred on his post has joined the post of the applicant.

4. In view of above, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.09.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete

//2//

O.A. No. 1016/2024

paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to produce original record, particularly the minutes of Police Establishment Board meeting.

10. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1038 OF 2024

(Dr. Dnyaneshwar M. Darandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri B.G. Sagade Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.09.2024. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remove the office objection till the next date of hearing.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned P.O. is directed to call relevant record.

8. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1040 OF 2024
(Balu G. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri B.R. Kedar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was due for transfer and even though the applicant submitted options, those options for place of transfer are not considered. Learned counsel submits that the applicant's daughter is mentally retarded girl and in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 of Statement-2 along with Annexure-1, the choice exercised by the applicant should have been considered. Learned counsel submits that no one is posted, where the applicant was working before his transfer.

3. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take specific instructions in this regard.

4. S.O. to 09.09.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773 OF 2018
(Shivaji B. Satote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 03.10.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 133 OF 2021
(Balasaheb A. Chivate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 04.10.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 133 OF 2023
(Ramesh S. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 23.09.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 990 OF 2024
(Dr. Rajendra N. Khandagale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in terms of amendment to the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) (amendment) Rules, 2022, the retirement age has been extended in respect of some Government servants, Group-A rendering in Health Services.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is presently working as Assistant Director of Leprosy, Ahmednagar. His date of retirement is 30.11.2024 on attaining the age of 58 years on superannuation. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in terms of last proviso to the said amended rules, it shall remain in force till 31st May, 2023 and not thereafter.

4. Learned counsel has pointed out that in identical matters Division Bench of this Tribunal has passed the interim order.

5. We have gone through the earlier orders passed in O.A. No. 835/2024 along with connected matters. In the said matters this Tribunal has granted interim protection in favour of the applicants therein and directed the respondents to continue the applicants in service till 31.05.2025 or till decision in the said OAs, whichever occurs earlier with a clarification that their continuation will be subject to outcome of the said OAs. We are thus, inclined to pass the similar order in the present OA also:-

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024.

(ii) The respondents shall continue the present applicant in service till 31.05.2025 or till decision in the present OA, whichever occurs earlier.

(iii) We make it clear that the continuation of the present applicant till 31.05.2025 will be subject to the outcome of the present O.A. The question of the Respondents' power, in case the applicant fails in his challenge, to pass necessary orders in respect of recovery/adjustment of the pay/wages paid to him for the services rendered under the interim order, is kept open. Similarly, the question of continuation of the applicant beyond 31.05.2025 till he attains the age of 60 years is also kept open.

(iv) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(v) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(vi) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vii) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date.

Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(viii) S.O. to 25.09.2024.

(ix) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A.NO. 1012/2024

(Balu @ Balasaheb Ashok Chavan & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks leave to delete the name of applicant No. 14 from the array of applicants with liberty to file an application before this Tribunal afresh. Leave granted as prayed for.

3. The Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn to the extent of applicant No. 14 only with liberty as prayed for.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 06.09.2024-HDD

M.A. NO. 423/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1012/2024
(Balu @ Balasaheb Ashok Chavan & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicants submitted that by way of present O.A. applicants are challenging G.R. dated 28.08.2024. They are having same cause of action and seeking similar relief.

3. In view thereof and for the reasons stated by the applicants, M.A. for sue jointly is allowed. Applicants are permitted to sue the respondents jointly. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1012 OF 2024
(Balu @ Balasaheb Ashok Chavan & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicant Nos.1 to 13 & 15 were appointed as Clerk, Development Officer, Superintendent, Peon, Senior Assistant & Time Keeper on the establishment of respondents' on 16.02.2009, 17.02.2009, 02.06.2009, 20.05.2009, 25.06.2009, 16.02.2009, 20.05.2009, 03.07.2009, 25.02.2021, 27.02.2009, 10.12.2008, 12.06.2009, 27.07.2009 and 26.12.2008 respectively as a nominee of Freedom Fighter. Subsequently, their services were confirmed and presently they are working as a permanent employee. Applicants were initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04.03.1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28.08.2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the

Circular dated 04.03.1991. By G.R. dated 28.08.2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is reproduced as below:

“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become out-dated, it is cancelled.

(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.

(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”

4. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024. **Learned counsel for the applicants undertakes to remove the office objection/s till the next date.**

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 948 OF 2024
(Kishor Sukhdeo Dandge Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.10.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 802 OF 2024
(Sandu Y. Dongare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Swaraj S. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 27.09.2024. **Learned Presenting Officer is directed to call enquiry papers.**

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. NO. 435/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1044/2024

(Seema Prakashrao Doiphode & Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicants submitted that by way of present O.A. applicants are challenging G.R. dated 28.08.2024. They are having same cause of action and seeking similar relief.

3. In view thereof and for the reasons stated by the applicants, M.A. for sue jointly is allowed. Applicants are permitted to sue the respondents jointly. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1044 OF 2024

(Seema Prakashrao Doiphode & Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Both the applicants were appointed as Lab Technician on the establishment of respondents' on 07.02.2000 & 16.06.2007 respectively as a nominee of Freedom Fighter. Subsequently, their services were confirmed and presently they are working as a permanent employee. Applicants were initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04.03.1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28.08.2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. By G.R. dated 28.08.2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of

Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is reproduced as below:

“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become out-dated, it is cancelled.

(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.

(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”

4. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in**

light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-१ dated 28.08.2024.

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

:: - 4 - ::

O.A. NO. 1044/2024

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024. **Learned counsel for the applicant undertakes to remove the office objection/s till the next date.**

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

M.A. NO. 432/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1041/2024
(Asha T. Thorat & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicants submitted that by way of present O.A. applicants are challenging G.R. dated 28.08.2024. They are having same cause of action and seeking similar relief.

3. In view thereof and for the reasons stated by the applicants, M.A. for sue jointly is allowed. Applicants are permitted to sue the respondents jointly. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1041 OF 2024
(Asha T. Thorat & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant No. 1 was appointed as Jr. Clerk and applicant Nos. 2 & 3 were appointed as Lab Technician on the establishment of respondents' on 20.05.1994, 03.06.1997 & 18.08.1997 respectively as a nominee of Freedom Fighter. Subsequently, their services were confirmed and presently they are working as a permanent employee. Applicants were initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04.03.1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28.08.2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. By G.R. dated 28.08.2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been

appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is reproduced as below:

“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become out-dated, it is cancelled.

(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.

(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”

4. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution**

bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-९ dated 28.08.2024.

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

:: - 4 - ::

O.A. NO. 1041/2024

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

M.A. NO. 431/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1034/2024
(Govind Maroti Shinde & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vijay B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 09.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

M.A. NO. 429/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1023/2024
(Sunil H. Khandve & Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ram B. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 09.09.2024 for orders.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

M.A. NO. 434/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1043/2024
(Subhash Appaji More & Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicants submitted that by way of present O.A. applicants are challenging G.R. dated 28.08.2024. They are having same cause of action and seeking similar relief.

3. In view thereof and for the reasons stated by the applicants, M.A. for sue jointly is allowed. Applicants are permitted to sue the respondents jointly. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1043 OF 2024
(Subhash Appaji More & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicant No.1 was appointed as Laboratory Scientific Officer & applicant No. 2 was appointed as Health Assistant on the establishment of respondents' on 05.05.1994 & 06.01.2000 respectively as a nominee of Freedom Fighter. Subsequently, their services were confirmed and presently they are working as a permanent employee. Applicants were initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04.03.1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28.08.2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. By G.R. dated 28.08.2024, Government has also issued directions

to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is reproduced as below:

“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become out-dated, it is cancelled.

(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.

(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”

4. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants**

in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासैक-१ dated 28.08.2024.

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

:: - 4 - ::

O.A. NO. 1043/2024

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024. **Learned counsel for the applicants undertakes to remove the office objection/s till the next date.**

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

O.A.NO. 1043/2024

(Subhash Appaji More & Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants, on instructions, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application to the extent of applicant No. 3 only with liberty to file O.A. before the appropriate Bench.

3. Leave granted as prayed for.

4. The Original Application stands disposed of to the extent of applicant No. 3 only with liberty to file O.A. before the appropriate Bench. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 437/2024 IN O.A.NO. 1047/2024
(Santosh D. Aundhekar & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.C. Ghode, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It appears from the proceedings that the office has raised several objections.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 09.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

TRANSFER APPLICATION NO. 35 OF 2024
(Kalidas Ajay Deshpande Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024
ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Chetan T. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicant was appointed as Agricultural Assistant on the establishment of respondents' on in the year 2007 as a nominee of Freedom Fighter. Subsequently, his services were confirmed and presently he is working as a permanent employee. Applicant was initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04.03.1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28.08.2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. By G.R. dated 28.08.2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the

prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is reproduced as below:

“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become out-dated, it is cancelled.

(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.

(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”

4. The applicant apprehends that he may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicant's concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution**

bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-९ dated 28.08.2024.

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

:: - 4 - ::

T.A.NO. 35/2024

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024. **Learned counsel for the applicant undertakes to remove the office objection/s till the next date.**

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

C.P.NO. 05/2024 IN O.A.NO. 739/2023
(Prashant Narayan Kedar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. List the matter for hearing on 13.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 995 OF 2023
(Khanderao V. Pandhare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 24.09.2024 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 623 OF 2024
(Dhenu Vasantrya Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.F. Shingare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the applicants have been duly served and the affidavit to that effect will be filed in the office during the course of the day.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 07.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 235 OF 2024
(Nitesh Devkumar Mahajan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 25.09.2024 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334 OF 2024
(Shubhangi Maroti Burfule Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 09.10.2024 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 357 OF 2024
(Bhimsantosh P. Chenalwad Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Vishakha Patel, learned holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 10.10.2024 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 672 OF 2024
(Smt. Priyanka Deelip Wani Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed additional affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply will be filed. If it is so filed the same be taken on record and copy thereof be served on the other side.

4. S.O. to 09.09.2024 in urgent category.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 811 OF 2024
(Vivekshil R. Harbare & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.V. Gujar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present case is not on board. It is taken on board at the request of learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that inadvertently notices were not collected from the office.

4. In view of the above, returnable date is extended till 27.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO. 49/2023 IN O.A.NO. 315/2019
(Jeevan Govindrao Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 09.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

T.A.NO. 29/2024 (W.P.NO. 9485/2024)
(Nandkishor K. Paydhan & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is motion for speaking to minutes. It appears that in order passed by this Tribunal on 05.09.2024 in the present T.A. the name of applicant No. 27 has been inadvertently mentioned as 'Suhas' instead of Suresh. The registrar of this Tribunal is directed to correct the said mistake and corrected copy be served on all concerned.

3. The motion for speaking to minutes stands disposed of. No costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO. 34/2022 IN O.A.NO. 78/2021
(Shubhangi Y. Pawale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. On instructions, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 78/2021 on 10.03.2022, a contempt of which is alleged in the present petition has been fully complied with and nothing survives in contempt petition for consideration.

3. In view of above, the contempt petition stands disposed of, however, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. NO. 997/2023 WITH M.A.NO. 379/2023
(Dipak Vijay More Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dipak Vijay More, party in person, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri G.J. Karne, learned counsel for the intervenor, are present.

2. In compliance with the order passed by this Tribunal on 30.08.2024 the Registrar of this office has satisfied that the applicant is having legal acumen and he can make appropriate submissions before this Tribunal.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks two weeks' time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 20.09.2024

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2024
(Dinesh Ramesh Mangale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vishal S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 5 and the same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

T.A.NO. 26/2024 (W.P.NO. 7052/2024)
(Kiran M. Salve Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In compliance with the order passed by this Tribunal on 05.09.2024 the Registrar of this office has satisfied that the applicant is having legal acumen and he can make appropriate submissions before this Tribunal. Party in person is absent.

3. List the matter for hearing on 13.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 144 OF 2022
(Dr. Dayanand Prakash Jagtap Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 17.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556 OF 2014
(Sanjay Ramrao Patange Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 24.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

M.A.NO. 366/2024 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1795/2023
(Dr. Sudhatai Karadkhedkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for proposed respondent No.5, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for proposed respondent No. 5, S.O. to 21.10.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

M.A.NO. 391/2023 IN O.A.NO. 139/2021
(Sunil Ramrao Barse Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 880 OF 2024
(Gaurav Govinda Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.09.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.09.2024.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 03.09.2024-HDD