

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.15 of 2021 in O.A. No.83 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.C. Lilani & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files short affidavit dated 3.12.2021 of Kiran V. Wahul, Deputy Secretary, Pubic Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai wherein it is mentioned that the Hon`ble Minister has already approved proposal for regularization of services of the applicants on 25.11.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.1.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 6.12.2021 6.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.874 of 2021</p> <p>A. J. A. W. Kazi Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 30.08.2021 whereby he is transferred from the post of Assistant Chief Engineer (Mechanical) P.W.D. Aurangabad to Executive Engineer (Mechanical), Kokan-II, Dist. Ratnagiri on vacant post. 3. Since joint reply was filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2, it was decided to hear the O.A. on merit instead of considering interim relief and accordingly today, the matter is taken up for hearing on merit. 4. However, at the outset during the course of hearing, it is transpired that though the reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2, it is not so. Learned P.O. submits that reply which is at page Nos.40 to 56 of PB shall be treated as reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 namely Water Resources Department. She submits that mistakenly it is shown as joint reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2. She tendered apology for the same. 5. As such, reply at page nos.40 to 56 has to be considered as reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 only. 6. Insofar as Respondent No.2 is considered, learned P.O. sought short time to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. 7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant in view of above submits that interim relief be considered. He <i>inter-alia</i> contended that in similar situation, the Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad by interim order dated 07.09.2021 stayed the transfer order dated 30.08.2021 in the matter of Shri Kakad.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. Perusal of record reveals that initially by order dated 31.05.2018, Respondent No.1-Water Resources Department has transferred the Applicant from Nanded to Aurangabad and he was due for transfer in general transfer of 2021. That time he made representation dated 10.02.2021 for transfer at Mumbai on medical ground of father. Interestingly, Respondent No.2- P.W.D. by order dated 06.08.2021 transferred the Applicant on the post of Assistant Chief Engineer (Mechanical), P.W.D. Kokan, Mumbai in place of Shri Kakad. Accordingly, the Applicant joined at Mumbai and worked for four months.
9. However, later it is Respondent No.1- Water Resources Department by order dated 30.08.2021 transferred the Applicant, as if, he was posted at Aurangabad showing his previous posting at Aurangabad and transferred him to Ratnagiri in ignorance of the order passed by P.W.D. dated 06.08.2021 whereby Applicant was already transferred from Aurangabad to Mumbai and joined at Mumbai. The copy of transfer order dated 06.08.2021 was also marked to Water Resource Department.
10. Despite this position, the Applicant is shown transferred, as if, he is posted at Aurangabad and transferred to Ratnagiri.
11. Thus, Applicant is already transferred by P.W.D. department at Mumbai and hardly completed four months. In such situation one needs to see whether such transfer is covered under Section 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 which issue needs to be decided on merit since there is also challenge to the Notification dated 25.04.2016 whereby Water Resources Department has delegated powers to the Minister even for mid tenure transfers.
12. Needless to mention delegation of powers has to be by Hon'ble Chief Minister in whom power vest and there is no such delegation of power by Hon'ble Chief Minister. *Prima-facie*, it is Minister of Water Resources Department by order dated 25.04.2016 usurped the powers of Chief Minister which is *prima-facie* not legal.
13. Admittedly, till date the Applicant is serving in Mumbai and has not been relieved in view of stay to the transfer of Shri Kakad by Aurangabad Bench.
14. In view of above, I am inclined to stay impugned transfer order dated 30.08.2021.
15. Learned P.O. is directed to file separate reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 since separate reply of Respondent No.2 is essential in view of aforesaid facts.
16. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2.
17. S.O. to 20.12.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.724 of 2021</p> <p>M. B. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is seeking transfer to Pune city on the ground that she has already completed near about 5 ½ years in the present post of Police Sub Inspector in Mumbai Commissionerate. She is claiming transfer in Pune on the ground that her husband is staying in Pune. 3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that recently by letter dated 10.11.2021, the office of Director General of Police has called for certain information from the concerned who have requested for transfer and in pursuance of it, the matter is under consideration for transfer of Applicant to Pune. 4. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that matter is under consideration and requested for three weeks time stating that in the meantime appropriate decision will be taken on the request made by the Applicant. 5. Thus, it seems that issue of request transfer of Applicant is under consideration and hopefully Applicant's grievance can be redressed by the administration. 6. In view of above, O.A. is adjourned for two weeks. 7. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Tribunal about the decision, if any, by next date. 8. S.O. to 21.12.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.724 of 2020</p> <p>M. B. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is seeking transfer to Pune city on the ground that she has already completed near about 5 ½ years in the present post of Police Sub Inspector in Mumbai Commissionerate. She is claiming transfer in Pune on the ground that her husband is staying in Pune. 3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that recently by letter dated 10.11.2021, the office of Director General of Police has called for certain information from the concerned who have requested for transfer and in pursuance of it, the matter is under consideration for transfer of Applicant to Pune. 4. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that matter is under consideration and requested for three weeks time stating that in the meantime appropriate decision will be taken on the request made by the Applicant. 5. Thus, it seems that issue of request transfer of Applicant is under consideration and hopefully Applicant's grievance can be redressed by the administration. 6. In view of above, O.A. is adjourned for two weeks. 7. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Tribunal about the decision, if any, by next date. 8. S.O. to 31.12.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.549 of 2021 in O.A.No.874 of 2021</p> <p>A. G. PanhaleApplicant/Intervener</p> <p>In the matter between</p> <p>A. J. A. W. KaziOri. Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Intervener, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. V Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicant.</p> <p>2. This M.A. No.549/2021 is filed for intervention by the Respondents on the ground that Applicant has given option of Kokan –II and he likely to be posted at Konkan-II as per his option.</p> <p>3. Admittedly, the Applicant is presently serving in the cadre of Deputy Engineer and serving at Mazalgaon, Dist. Beed.</p> <p>4. In O.A. the Ori. Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 30.08.2021 in which was transferred from the post of Assistant Chief Engineer (Mechanical), P.W.D. Aurangabad to Ratnagiri.</p> <p>5. However, the Applicant's contention is that earlier by order dated 06.08.2021, he was transferred to the post of Assistant Chief Engineer, Mechanical, P.W.D. Kokan. This is the post for which this intervener has given option.</p> <p>6. As such, there is no order of promotional posting of the intervener. All that intervener is claiming the post of Mumbai on the basis of option given by him. Only because he has given option that does not itself gives him right to be posted as per his option. As such, intervener has no locus to intervene in this O.A. M.A. is premature.</p> <p>7. M.A. is, therefore, dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.450 of 2021 in O.A.No.780 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. BhagwatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate with Mr. M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. In the course of arguments while going through the correspondence between the State of Maharashtra and the office of Election Commission of India, we came across the reference of the letter dated 28.02.2019, therefore we call upon the learned C.P.O. to produce the said letter which is not part of the record. We also called upon the learned C.P.O. to state on affidavit when the State of Maharashtra informed the Election Commission of India that they have dropped the institution of enquiry on 02.11.2018. The State is directed to produce other relevant documents also if any, on affidavit and the copies of the same are to be furnished to the other side on 08.12.2021.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.42 of 2021 in O.A.No.678 of 2020 with O.A.No.679 of 2020 with O.A.No.680 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Till today the State has not produced any order of the stayed granted by the Hon'ble High Court. It is very difficult to grant further time. The attitude shown and the approach of the Respondent of disobeying the order of the Tribunal are taken very seriously. We are informed by learned P.O. that tomorrow the matter is placed the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. If the stay is not granted by the Hon'ble High Court, we direct the concerned officer to remain present before this Tribunal on <u>08.12.2021 at 10.30 a.m</u> for not implementing and disobeying the orders of the Tribunal which were passed on 10.08.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.806 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.U. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders a letter dated 6.12.2021 of the applicant, who is present in the Court, and seeks permission to withdraw the above OA with liberty to challenge the final seniority list.</p> <p>3. The said letter dated 6.12.2021 is taken on record and marked Exhibit A for identification.</p> <p>4. The OA is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as sought for and disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.12.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.12.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.326 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.S. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri Suhan Deokar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Smt. K.S. Gaikiwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.2 to 9.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to file affidavit as to when the applicant was transferred along with date as well as date of relieving from the said post and the date when she joined and whether she is getting her salary.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.1 states that applicant was transferred on deputation to Kolhapur on 21.11.2020. He further states that applicant is already given LPC and will get her pay.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 6.12.2021 6.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.557 of 2021</p> <p>S. M. Mutekar & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, O.A. is for filing reply but learned P.O. sought time. 3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that Applicants appeared for the post of PSI in 2008 by way of Limited Departmental Competitive Examination and selected but they have not appointed due to applicability of reservation policy. 4. Later in 2009 to 2013, they again appeared for the examination and got selected and accordingly appointed. 5. Now, this O.A. is filed for assignment of deemed date of appointment of Batch of 2008. 6. As such, this is not a case of deemed date of promotion but it is a case of claim of appointment in 2008 Batch with retrospective effect. The Applicants also claiming all consequential service benefits of the promotion post from 2008. Suffice to say, this is not a case of deemed date of promotion but Applicants are claiming deemed date of appointment retrospectively. 7. Indeed, in similar situation in O.A.No.676/2021 this Tribunal has passed an order that such O.A. pertains to Division Bench and accordingly the said O.A. was placed before D.B. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants concedes this position since he was also the Counsel in O.A.No.676/2021. 8. In view of above, the Registrar is directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate bench. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.878 of 2021</p> <p>R.C. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dudhane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.121 of 2021</p> <p>D. D. Jadhav ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poorva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.840 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. G. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.380 of 2019</p> <p>B. M. Chodankar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.392 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. Sali & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.12.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.221 of 2021</p> <p>K. M. GundApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Bhavke holding for Shri P. S. Bhavake, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On 01.12.2021, learned P.O. made statement that reply is under preparation and same will be filed within three days.</p> <p>3. Despite above statement, Affidavit-in-Reply is not filed and matter was adjourned for today.</p> <p>4. Today also Affidavit-in-Reply is not filed.</p> <p>5. O.A. therefore, be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.548 of 2021 in O.A. No.967 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Kale & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>6.12.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>6.12.2021</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.967 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Kale & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays for interim relief. He prays for promotion to the post of Education Officer. However, since MA No.554 of 2021 is filed for intervention by Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, Ld. Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, we are not inclined to grant stay. However, promotions will be subject to outcome of this OA.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.12.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.12.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.159 of 2021 in O.A. No.114 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.S. Jikare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply will be filed in MA during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.12.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.12.2021</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.832 of 2021</u></p> <p>G.H. Giramkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to fill up the form and appear in the Maharashtra Civil Engineering Services Examination 2020 (Main).</p> <p>3. By way of interim relief he is permitted to appear in the said examination. However, result is not to be published. It is subject to outcome of this OA.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.12.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.12.2021</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.431 of 2021 in O.A. No.783 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.R. Maule & 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shankar Katkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants have filed the present MA for amending the OA as per schedule annexed to the application.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out during the course of the day and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.12.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.670 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. Ajay S. Chandanwale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is some confusion about service. Ld. CPO however submits that it was served on the CPO and handed over to Clerk. We do not want to go deeper as the main issue is important than this service.</p> <p>3. Additional affidavit in reply of the respondents is awaited.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 6.12.2021 6.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.193 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.D. Jaybhanye ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file further additional affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.12.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.12.2021</p>