

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.784 of 2023</p> <p>R.T. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri H. Bali, learned Advocate along with Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of the Respondent. It is also taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.11.2023 for Final Hearing (Part Heard).</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.326 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. TambeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant is working as 'Senior Grade Clerk' in the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' and for 'Personal Reasons' has sought 'Inter Region Transfer' on the establishment of 'Special I.G.P, Aurangabad Range'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO states that as per the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 12.06.2023 the Applicant is not eligible for 'Inter Region Transfer' as per 'Para 8(2)' of GAD GR dated 15.05.2019. Learned PO states that the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 12.06.2023 mentions that out of 'Total Posts' of '16 Senior Grade Clerks', 'NOC' has been already been given to '3 Senior Grade Clerks' for 'Inter Region Transfer'; while '12 Senior Grade Clerks' posts were filled by 'Order of Promotion' dated 17.03.2022 and '1 Senior Grade Clerk' post kept vacant due to demotion of incumbent to 'Junior Grade Clerk'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that it is not on ground of eligibility that the Applicant cannot be considered for 'Inter Zonal Transfer', but because exact number of 'Vacant Posts' of 'Senior Grade Clerk' on the establishment of 'Special I.G.P, Aurangabad Range' has not been correctly disclosed in the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 12.06.2023.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. therefore to seek instruction from 'Special I.G.P, Aurangabad Range' what were the exact number of 'Vacant Posts' of 'Senior Grade Clerk' in the establishment of 'Special I.G.P, Aurangabad Range' as on 05.04.2022, the date of request application submitted by the Applicant and why she was not given 'NOC' when (a) NOC's have been given to other '3 Senior Grade Clerks' for 'Inter Region Transfers' (b) the 'Junior Grade Clerk' to 'Senior Grade Clerk' promotion procedures which began on 13.06.2022 were completed belatedly and 'Order of Promotion' were issued on 17.03.2023.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- — (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1157/2021 (Mumbai) with O.A St. No. 859/2023-Aurangabad, M.A 627/2023-Mumbai (M.A St. No. 858/2023-Aurangabad</u></p> <p>P.N Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Harish S. Bali, holding for Ms Suchita Dhonge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel seek permission to amend the prayer clause of the Application as the it is not properly worded. Permission granted to amend the prayer clause forthwith.</p> <p>3. The present Misc Application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking to transfer O.A 1157/2023 from Mumbai to Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that similar issue was decided by this Tribunal by order dated 4.7.2022 in O.A 73/2020. Further he submits that O.A No. 75/2022 regarding similar issue is pending before the M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench. He, therefore, prays that O.A 1157/2023, pending at Mumbai Bench with similar issue be transferred to M.A.T, Aurangabad,</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>6. In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicants, O.A No. 1157/2023 is transferred from Mumbai Bench to Aurangabad Bench for final disposal. The Registry is directed to send the record and proceedings in O.A 1157/2023 to M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>7. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.880 of 2023 to O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.888 of 2023 with O.A. No.1033 of 2023 with O.A. No.1034 of 2023 with O.A. No.1131 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No. 983 of 2023 with O.A. No.887 of 2023 with O.A. No. 958 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.G. Kulkarni Dr. S.M.K. Borate A.H. Meshram Dr. U.N. Khemani Dr. J.T. Sankpal Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. M.S. Umate Dr. B.A. Verma Dr.U.Rajwade @ Dr.U.R. Badole Dr. R.M. Nimbalkar D.R. Katke C.D. Hathial Dr. R.V. Deshpande Dr. S.V. Mahadeshwar Dr. N.V. Suryawanshi S.D. TurbadkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 in O.A. Nos.881 to 885/2023, 1033/23, 1034/23, 1017/23, 983/23, 1131/23. Learned P.O. has also filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent in O.A. Nos.887/23, 888/23, 931/2023, 958/23. All the 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' filed be taken on record and placed with respective O.A. Nos.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. Learned P.O. to take information as to whether 'Commissioner Medical Education, Mumbai' had submitted proposal to the 'Medical Education & Drugs Department' within 'One Week' and what steps have taken thereupon by the 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' to issue orders for regularization of the period between 'Date of Interim Relief' and 'Date of Joining', so that the pending 'Salary & Allowance' of this period can be forthwith disbursed by 'Pay and Accounts Office, Mumbai</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 06.11.2023

O.A. No.868 of 2023

N.N. ChavanApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.P. Kharkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents along with Under Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department.
2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
3. Learned P.O. informed in the presence of 'Under Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department' to provide information relating to prospective vacancies in the cadre of 'Deputy Collector' in 'PMC' and 'PCMC' areas of 'Pune District' arising from 31.12.2023 upto 28.02.2024 which are not notified as (i) 'Electoral Registration Officer' under 'The Representation of People's Act, 1950' and (ii) 'Returning Officer' for 'Legislative Assembly Elections' under 'The Representation of People's Act, 1951'.
4. Learned P.O. to take information from 'Joint Secretary & Deputy Secretary of G.A.D.' by next date as to what progress has been made so far with regard to formulation of 'Transfer Policy' in respect of Government Servants who are afflicted by disabilities or are 'Care Givers' under 'Section 2(d)' of 'The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016'.
5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
6. S.O. to 21.11.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakraborty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023 O.A.No.1340 of 2023</p> <p>K. N. TadviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. produces the list of 15 candidates only with their names who played at international level and eligible for Open Sports Category, Group-A and for 5 posts, the ratio of 1:3 is applied and 15 candidates are short listed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023 O.A.No.651 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V. P. WahaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned C.P.O., the matter is adjourned to 21.11.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Registrar's orders

Date : 06.11.2023

O.A.No.1376 of 2023

Savita Barku Chavan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt Savita B. Chavan, the Applicant in person and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant is challenging Orphan Reservation G.R. of Maharashtra State Government Women and Child Development Department, dated 06.04.2023.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.12.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 04.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

...s orders

Date : 06.11.2023

O.A.No.1280 of 2023

M. M. Khadtare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Neha Kachi holding for Shri Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant challenges the seniority list published on 23.05.2022.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.12.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benche under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 04.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1167 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. KharatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that it has been mentioned in 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 27.10.2023 on behalf of 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, West Nashik, Division Nashik' that there is no 'Vacant Post' of 'Social Forestry' at Chandwad. Learned Advocate further stated that as per information of the Applicant the post of 'Social Forestry' at Chandwad is infact still vacant and the Applicant has therefore sought information under 'R.T.I., Act, 2005'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thus seeks 'Three Weeks' time to obtain this requisite information under 'R.T.I., Act, 2005'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.959 of 2023</p> <p>M.U. WaghmareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that directions given on 26.10.2023 are yet to be complied with and seeks time of 'Three Weeks' to take instructions and thereupon file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' about the 472 Vacant Posts of Sr. Clerks & Jr. Clerks in the establishment of the 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' and how many Sr. Clerks & Jr. Clerks have requested transfers for 'Personal Reasons' to other establishments of Commissioner of Police or Superintendent of Police and about availability of Vacant Posts in the establishments of (i) Superintendent of Police, Wardha & Amravati Districts & (ii) Commissioner of Police, Nagpur City.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.597/2023 in O.A.No.1141/2023</p> <p>S.C. ChouguleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Ashok Misal has submitted that he is filing Vakalatnama today on behalf of private Respondent No.4.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Misal has submitted that the Applicant is appointed on 06.01.2021 and the said order is under challenge.</p> <p>5. We directed learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan to furnish copy of M.A. to learned Counsel Mr. Misal.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 04.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.647/2023 in O.A.No.291/2023</p> <p>N.P. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of one year in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in M.A.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 04.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1060/2022</p> <p>J.V. SalukheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. N.S. Ayubi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.354/2023 to O.A.No.359/2023</p> <p>A.B. Bahirwal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. time granted to file reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.12.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.499/2023</p> <p>V.P. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S. Katkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. time granted by way of last chance to file reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.979/2023</p> <p>S.M. Kubal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. time granted by way of last chance to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.991/2023</p> <p>Y.M. BhurkudApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre has submitted that the concern officer from the Department be directed to remain present and state why the applicant is not given promotion and posting.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks permission to file vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. Permission granted.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 08.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.837/2023 with O.A.No.857/2023</p> <p>A.S. Waghmode & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 08.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.680/2023</p> <p>S.J. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.819/2023</p> <p>Dr. M.S. Mete.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 20.11.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.23/2023 in O.A.No.487/2020</p> <p>Dr. R. RautApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Amey Tawde i/b. Mr. Yashodeep Deshmukh & Mr. V. Sangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the order passed by the Tribunal is complied with.</p> <p>3. In view of above, C.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.591/2023 in O.A.No.731/2023</p> <p>K.B. Chandel & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. In view that affidavit-in-reply is not filed till date even though last chance was granted on previous date, matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.11.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1349, 1237, 1184/2023</u></p> <p>K.N Sangale M.T Ligade D.S Mengane ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 1349/2023 & 1237/2023, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. None present for the applicant in O.A 1184/2023.</p> <p>2. S.O to 22.11.2023. Interim relief granted to continue till then.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023 O.A.No.216 of 2023</p> <p>N. V. BhamareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 28.11.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 28.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023 O.A.No.815 of 2023</p> <p>B.R. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to file Affidavit in Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

...s orders

Date : 06.11.2023

O.A.No.1414 of 2023

Ms A. S. Shirsat
Versus

...Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant wants to annex the list of names to the O.A. She is directed to serve the copy of the same to the Respondents.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.11.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 08.11.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023 O.A.No.543 of 2023 With O.A.No.546 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V. N. Wahul & Ors. N. R. Takey & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Smt. A. Kapadnis, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 6 & 8.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 13.12.2023. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.675 of 2023 in O.A.No.1391 of 2023</p> <p>P. B. Mane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neha Kachi holding for Shri Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.675 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 06.11.2023

's orders
O.A.No.1391 of 2023

P. B. Mane & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Neha Kachi holding for Shri Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicants are working as 'Craft Instructor' on the contract basis prays for regularization in service.
3. The Applicants rely on the order passed by this Tribunal in M.A.No.507/2023 in O.A.No.481/2023 on 17.08.2023 in which the Applicants are also working as 'Craft Inspector' only. Learned Advocate prays that the same order is to be passed in present O.A.
4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.11.2023.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 08.11.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.345 of 2023 With O.A.No.363 of 2023 With O.A.365 of 2023</p> <p>R. D. Akrupe Dr. R. D. Munde V. D. SuryavanshiApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned to 15.01.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 06.11.2023

Applicant's orders
O.A.No.1415 of 2023

S. P. Itape

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri Sunil Sarode, Assistant Commandant, SRPF, Daund is present before the court.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Affidavit in Service is served on E-mail to the concerned departments. Today, she served the copy of O.A. to the officer present before the court.
4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.11.2023.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
10. S.O. to 08.11.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023 O.A.No.1358 of 2023</p> <p>J. V. Madane ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply is under preparation and same will be filed tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2023 for Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2023 O.A.No.565 of 2023</p> <p>P. B. SakateApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. A. Chaware, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant produces on record, the hierarchy of officers i.e. 'Junior Accounts Officer' to 'Senior Accounts Officer' as per 'PMGSY Accounts Manual'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.633 of 2023 in O.A. No.273 of 2023</u></p> <p>Bhimraj R. Ghadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files short affidavit dated 2.11.2023 of Shekhar B. Dombé, ACP (Admn.), office of Commissioner of Police, Thane and opposes the MA for adding prayer clause d(i) in the OA by way of amendment. Ld. PO submits that by way of amendment the applicant wants to challenge the suspension order dated 23.8.2015 after undergoing suspension which was already revoked on 28.11.2018.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not want to press the MA for amendment.</p> <p>4. Hence, the MA is disposed off as such.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 133/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.S Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 14.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medhā Gaḍgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A 1139/2023 with M.A 533/2023 in O.A 570/2023</p> <p>Dr S.K Phule Ms R.H Metkar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for the applicant in respective O.A and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matters were already adjourned to 20.11.2023. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, matter taken on Board.</p> <p>3. We are unable to take the matters for want of time. The matters are fixed on the first day of opening as the issue involved is challenge to clause 5 of the G.R 4.5.2023.</p> <p>4. We have already passed order that if any appointment is made by the Respondents, that will be subject to the outcome of these Original Applications. We direct the Respondents to take note of this order.</p> <p>5. S.O to 20.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.651 of 2023

Dr. V. P. Wahane

)..... Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)...Respondents

Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, Counsel for the Applicant

Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar, (Chairperson)
Shri Debashish Chakarbarty, Member (A)

DATE : 02.11.2023

PER : Justice Mridula Bhatkar, (Chairperson)

ORDER

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In this matter, the Applicant, Dr. V. P. Wahane working as Assistant Director (Archaeology), Ratnagiri wants promotion to the post of 'Deputy Director (Group-A) within the Directorate of Archaeology and Museums as per M.P.S.C. Advertisement No.029/2023 dated 19.05.2023.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant was promoted to the post of 'Assistant Director Archaeology, Class-III vide order dated 20.08.2019.
4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he completed requisite three years' experience on 20.08.2019. Learned Counsel further submits that vide order dated 22.01.2019 issued by the Respondent No.1, that one Shri B.V. Kulkarni, the then Assistant Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-B) to be promoted as 'Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group A). Based on the said order, the Respondent No.2 passed an order dated 23.01.2019 thereby relieving Shri B.V. Kulkarni from the post of 'Assistant Director' Archaeology and Museum (Group B) and issued his posting order as Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group A). Accordingly, since then till

30.09.2022, Shri B.V. Kulkarni worked on the said post till the date of his retirement. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant was eligible and available candidate for the said post.

5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that as per Circular dated 26.03.1970, the posts are to be filled up with the ration of 50:50. Learned Counsel points out that in Affidavit in Reply filed by Smt. Geeta Kulkarni, Deputy Secretary, GAD, Mantralaya, dated 07.07.2023, the Government has taken stand that this being a only one post of 'Deputy Director Archeology and Museum which is isolated post. The ratio of 50:50 for promotion and nomination cannot be made applicable to the post of Deputy Director, Archaeology because the sanctioned number of post of Deputy Director, Archaeology is only one (isolated post). If 50:50 ratio to this single post is to be applied, then 05 post would be required to be filled in by promotion and 0.5 post by nomination, which is practically impossible. Therefore, it should preferable be filled in by promotion from the persons belonging to the feeder cadre of Assistant Director, Archaeology.

6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that this admission of G.A.D. makes the case of the Applicant stronger. The Applicant has every legitimate claim on this post by way of promotion. Learned Counsel further submits that despite in Affidavit in Reply reference is made of G.R. dated 09.09.2021 regarding model specimen of Recruitment Rules and as per the said Rules again it is reiterated that in case of single post as person by promotion appointed by feeder cadre in absence of ratio. The Respondents made requisition to M.P.S.C. on 05.12.2022 for the post of 'Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-A). Accordingly, the M.P.S.C. issued advertisement on 19.05.2023. Thus, the Respondent is going to fill up the post by nomination. He submits that the decision of not appointing the Applicant by promotion to this post, is illegal. At present, Shri B. V. Kulkarni who as Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group A) has given appointment on contract basis for the period of one year dated 28.10.2022. Learned Counsel submits that specific experience is required to work on this post regarding Archaeology and Museum. It needs special knowledge and Applicant is holding a Doctorate Degree in Archaeology.

7. Learned C.P.O. relies on Affidavit in Reply of Shri Vilas Thorat, Deputy Secretary, in the office of Principal Secretary, Tourism and Cultural Affairs Department, Mantralaya, dated 20.07.2023.

8. We gone through the Affidavit in Reply of Respondent No.1 and Respondent No.2. The Recruitment Rules dated 14th July, 1967 is annexed with Affidavit in Reply. As per this Rules, we would like to reproduce the relevant paragraph of Rules 14.07.1967 :-

“उपसंचालक पुरातत्व या पदासाठी सेवाप्रवेश नियम

या पदावरील नेमणूक खालीलपैकी कोणत्याही एका पध्दतीने करावी.

अ. पुरातत्व विभागातील योग्य अशा राजपत्रित अधिका-याच्या पदोन्नतीने किंवा

ब. खालील अर्हता धारण करणा-या व महाराष्ट्र शासनाच्या सेवेत नसल्यास. त्यांचे वया ४० वर्षांपेक्षा जास्त नाही अशा उमेदवारांच्या नामनिर्देशनाने

१) अ. भारतीय संस्कृती (Indology) या विषयातील मान्यताप्राप्त विद्यापीठाची मास्टरची पदवी किंवा

ब. प्राचीन किंवा मध्ययुगीन भारतीय इतिहास, किंवा प्राचीन अगर मध्ययुगीन भारतीय संस्कृती ह्या विषयावरील एक किंवा एका पेक्षा जास्त पेपर देऊन मान्य विद्यापीठाची इतिहास विषयातील मास्टरची पदवी.
किंवा

क. इतिहास विषयातील किंवा इतिहास विषयासह स्नातक पदवी उत्तीर्ण झाल्यानंतर घेतलेली, प्राचीन किंवा मध्ययुगीन भारतीय इतिहास किंवा प्राचीन अगर मध्ययुगीन भारतीय संस्कृती ह्या विषयातील, मान्य विद्यापीठाची संशोधनोत्तर मास्टरची पदवी.
किंवा

ड. मान्य विद्यापीठाची भारतीय इतिहास ह्या विषयातील “डॉक्टरेट”

इ. मान्यताप्राप्त विख्यात विदेशी विद्यापीठाची इतिहास विषयातील “डॉक्टरेट” भारतीय इतिहास या विषयातील एक किंवा एकापेक्षा जास्त पेपर देऊन घेतलेली “डॉक्टरेट” असल्यास अधिक पसंती देण्यात येईल.

ई. भारतीय इतिहास या विषयातील एक किंवा एकापेक्षा जास्त पेपर देऊन घेतलेल्या स्नातक किंवा मास्टरच्या पदवीनंतर मिळालेली मान्य विद्यापीठाची पुराणवस्तु शास्त्रातील (Archaeology) “डॉक्टरेट”.

9. Thus, it appears that there are two channels available for filling up the post of Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-A), one is through Promotion and the other by Nomination. We are of the view that there is no issue of ratio involved in this case as the Rules are very clear.

10. In view of above, we call upon the Respondents to furnish the details whether from the publication of Recruitment Rules dated 14th July, 1967 till today, the post of Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-A) on which occasions it was filled by ‘Promotion’ or by ‘Nomination’.

11. We note that when the Applicant had not completed Three Years in December, 2019. The Director of Archeology and Museum had sent proposals on 08.08.2019 and 02.12.2019 to the Secretary Tourism and Cultural Affairs Department to fill up the post of Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-A). The Applicant completed Three Years on 26.08.2022. Surprisingly even though the proposal was sent on 08.08.2019 and 02.12.2019 by Director of Archaeology and Museum, the requisition to MP.S.C. was sent only on 05.12.2022 i.e. Three Years after proposal was received by Secretary, Tourism and Cultural Affairs Department. While sending requisition to M.P.S.C. on 05.12.2022 why the Secretary, Tourism and Cultural Affairs Department did not take into account the Applicant’s eligibility as he was eligible on completion of Three Years for appointment to the post of ‘Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group A) by ‘Promotion’ as per the Recruitment Rules, dated 14th July, 1967.

12. The Respondents are, therefore, directed to give instructions on the above mentioned points to learned C.P.O.

13. S.O. to 06.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1250 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. was informed on 04.10.2023 to take instructions from Respondent Nos.3 & 4 as to whether the Applicant was permitted to join back on the post of 'Talathi' after having being found fit by 'Standing Medical Board' of 'Sassoon General Hospital, Pune' on 22.08.2019 and if so the Applicant had joined on which post of 'Talathi'. Subsequently, when was it known that he has been absent from work since August 2020 for which 'Show Cause Notice' was issued to Applicant on 30.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that some more time is required to take instructions in this regard from Respondent Nos.3 to 4.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereupon states that the Applicant is being unnecessarily been kept waiting even after he was found fit by the 'Standing Medical Board' of 'Sassoon General Hospital, Pune' on 22.08.2019. Subsequently even 'Tahsildar Haveli, District Pune' on 07.09.2019 had informed the 'S.D.O. Haveli, District Pune' to take appropriate decision about the Applicant. However, no decision was taken promptly as expected by 'S.D.O. Haveli, District Pune'.</p> <p>5. The 'District Collectors' have been entrusted with the subject of establishment of 'Talathi Cadre' by 'Revenue and Forest Department' by G.R. dated 17.09.2023. The Applicant has long history of not being paid 'Salary & Allowances' as mentioned in the detailed letter was submitted by then Advocate Shri V.V. Joshi on 24.04.2022 to District Collector, Pune.' Therefore, against this background 'District Collector, Pune' is informed to personally examine the case of the Applicant and take decision without further delay to permit Applicant to join back on the post of Talathi.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1159 of 2023 (Speaking to Minutes)</p> <p>R. K. KawaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. T. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on Board. Mentioned and taken on Board.</p> <p>3. In order dated 30.10.2023, in paragraph no.2 at line 4, the date of Advertisement was wrongly typed as "03.11.2023" whereas it should be read as dated "03.11.2022".</p> <p>4. Again, in Paragraph No.2 at line 4 "The Applicant cleared the Written Examination", the word "Written Examination" is wrongly typed whereas it should be read as "The Applicant cleared the interview".</p> <p>5. The learned C.P.O. has no objection.</p> <p>6. The above mentioned corrections be corrected accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1256 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that as per direction given on 09.10.2023 to the 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli'; the Applicant has been permitted to retain till 19.11.2023 the 'Police Quarter' which he was occupying when posted in the office of 'SDPO, Vita, District Sangli'. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that in this regard 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli' has issued office order dated 26.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.673 of 2023 in O.A. No.1229 of 2023</u></p> <p>Ramchandra B. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Mahenoor Khan holding for Ms. Vrushali Maindad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 7.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA & OA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1400 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.V. Thombre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1394 of 2023</u></p> <p>H.N. Wagela ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.667 of 2023 in O.A. No.1380 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.K. Mharse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1380 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.K. Mharse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1373 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1365 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Pujari Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1408 of 2023

P.M. Raut

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant who was working as Assistant Commissioner, Food prays for stay to the impugned order dated 23.5.2023 cancelling the second benefit of Time Bound Promotion which was given to him by order dated 16.12.2015 w.e.f. 20.7.2013. The applicant retired in September, 2023. Ld. Advocate prays for similar orders passed in identical matters by this Tribunal on 25.7.2023 in OA No.898/2023 and on 2.8.2023 in OAs No.914, 921, 922, 947 & 956/2023.

3. Ld. PO states that applicant is Class I officer and therefore not entitled to get the same relief.

4. However, in view of the facts of the case interim relief of stay to the impugned order is granted till filing of the reply.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

2.11.2023

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Sairuchita Chowdhary, learned Advocate holding for Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date'</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1215 of 2023</p> <p>A.G. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023</p> <p>S.N. Kurule S.R. PhulpagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1368/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Bharat Lad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C holding for Shri S. Chinnappa, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submit sealed cover envelope. We have opened the same and it is seen that the applicant has secured 70 out of 100 marks. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the higher mark obtained by the candidate is 75 marks.</p> <p>3. In this matter the issue is whether a Doctor who has worked for 7 years on a contractual basis as Dental Surgeon, his experience is to be accepted as requisite 7 years' experience of eligibility and whether such person working on a contract basis for more years like the applicant can be considered as a Government servant for the purpose of waiver of age.</p> <p>4. As the issue requires deliberation, we direct the Respondents to keep one post of Dental Surgeon vacant till filing of the reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1239/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.D Khobargade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the last promotion was granted in the year 2014 and thereafter no promotion is given.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.563/2023 in O.A.No.1018/2023</p> <p>T.J. PardhiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.R. Kapadia, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. In view that reply is not filed till date, matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.587/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents in M.A.No.587/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021. Ms. S.P. Manchekar learned C.P.O. for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) in O.A.No.625/2021.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit with regard to paragraph 8 of order dated 11.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.594/2023 in O.A.No.574/2023</p> <p>S.I. BhosaleApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhonsle holding for Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.680/2023 in O.A.No.1169/2022 with M.A.No.681/2023 in O.A.No.483/2023 with O.A.No.716/2023 with O.A.No.726/2023 with O.A.No.894/2023 with O.A.No.895/2023 with O.A.No.896/2023</p> <p>K.A. Devkate & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.680/2023 and M.A.No.681/2023 is filed seeking amendment in O.A.No.1169/2022 and O.A.No.483/2023 respectively.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment be carried out within two weeks. Amended copy to be served upon the concerned forthwith.</p> <p>4. O.A.No.716/2023 is already disposed of, Registry to take note of the same.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel seeks permission for extension of time by one week to carry out amendment in O.A.No.726/2023.</p> <p>6. Permission granted. Time to carry out amendment in O.A.No.726/2023 is extended by one week.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 29.11.2023. To be tagged along with O.A.No.612/2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue. HOB. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.90/2023 in O.A.No.13/2017</p> <p>N.S. NavaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Kaningdhwaj, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.92/2023 in O.A.No.639/2022</p> <p>R.C. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed reply that the order has been complied with.</p> <p>3. In view of above, C.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 901/2023</u></p> <p>Shri N.J Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that in view of communication dated 9.2.2023, issued by Respondent No. 2, the Respondents may be directed to decide the issue of the applicant for granting promotion and deemed date to the post of Dy. S.P /A.C.P.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant retired from the post of Sr. Police Inspector, Armed Forces on 31.10.2014. Learned counsel submits that his name was in the select list dated 29.1.2013 for promotion to the post of Dy S.P/A.C.P. Since he was facing criminal case under the Prevention of Corruption Act, he was not considered at that time. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant was acquitted from the Criminal case on 14.5.2015 and thereafter, he made representation dated 8.3.2017, 12.4.2018 and 7.12.2020 to the Respondents to consider him for promotion to the post of Dy. S.P /A.C.P, when his juniors were promoted in January, 2013. The said representations are still pending.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, we direct Respondents No 1 & 2 to decide the representations made by the applicant within two months from the date of this order.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1369/2023</u></p> <p>Dr A.M Naik & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file reply before the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.11.2023. To be tagged along with O.A 395/2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 337/2023 in O.A 971/2019 with M.A 338/2023 in O.A 973/20129 and M.A 339/2023 in O.A 1022/2019</u></p> <p>R.P Gaikwad & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 12.10.2023, no hearing was taken by the Commissioner, Tribal Development.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to comply with the order of this Tribunal before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.310 of 2023 With O.A.No.311 of 2023</p> <p>N. B. Rathod A. Y. MadaleApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned to 03.11.2023 at 10.15 A.M. to see the footage.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.11.2023 at 10.15 am.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>R.A.No.3/2023 in O.A.No.280/2022</p> <p>G.B. JagtapApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in R.A.No.3/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.T. Yaseen, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.280/2022 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that in view of the pendency of the Writ Petition wherein the order of the Tribunal is challenged, matter be adjourned.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.504/2023 in O.A.No.348/2023</p> <p>N.B. BhondeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant <u>through video conferencing</u> and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has submitted Applicant has been permanently debarred from the recruitment in all the examinations conducted by the M.P.S.C. from 30.07.2023. In view that order of the M.P.S.C. dated 10.02.2023 is based on CCTV footage therefore learned Counsel prays that the said footage is to be supplied to her till 08.11.2023 so has to build up her case.</p> <p>3. Respondent is directed to provide copy of the said footage to learned Counsel by 08.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.542/2023 in O.A.No.255/2023</p> <p>A.S. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Concerned Officers from the office of Respondents are directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.11.2023 at 11.30. a.m. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.52/2019</p> <p>P.V. Shelke – Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Y.P. Narvankar and Mr. A.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.6 and 4 are absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has stated that today during the course of the day she will file affidavit-in-day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere seeks time to enable him to go through the said reply and file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>5. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.11.2023 with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

pk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.386/2022 with O.A.No.552/2023</p> <p>R.P. Satpute & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.386/2022 <u>through video conferencing</u>, Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.552/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan seeks one week time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 08.11.2023 for filing reply. Thereafter matters to be adjourned to 22.11.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1163/2023 with O.A.No.1029/2023 with O.A.No.1348/2023 with O.A.No.968/2023 with O.A.No.985/2023</p> <p>P.B. Adake & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply today by 2.30 p.m. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Matters be adjourned to 03.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.325/2018 with O.A.No.13/2020</p> <p>A.M. Jadhao & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.1 is absent.</p> <p>3. The Respondent-State is directed to comply the order dated 16.09.2022. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.2, Skilled Development and Entrepreneurship Department. If reply is not file on or before next date, we will be constrained to call Respondent No.2 to remain present.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.664/2018</p> <p>A @ P.B. LoharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bandewadekar adjourned to 06.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.814/2021 with O.A.No.860/2021 with O.A.No.1033/2021</p> <p>A.R. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.S. Pawar / Mr. S.D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. This group belongs to 'Selection Process'. In view that learned Counsel were absent even on the previous occasions, matters to be removed from the caption of HOB.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1299/2022</p> <p>U.H. BhosaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.4/2020 in O.A.No.1119/2015</p> <p>Dr. B.M. LadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that Applicant is age barred.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to state the same by filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.36/2021 in O.A.No.1029/2014 with C.A.No.37/2021 in O.A.No.1030/2014 with C.A.No.38/2021 in O.A.No.1031/2014 with C.A.No.39/2021 in O.A.No.1032/2014 with C.A.No.40/2021 in O.A.No.1033/2014 with C.A.No.5/2021 in T.A.No.1/2020</p> <p>R.S. BidkarApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.G. Panchal holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Special for the Respondent and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar has submitted that in view of the pendency of the Writ Petition wherein the orders of the Tribunal are challenged, matter be adjourned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.73/2023 in O.A.No.733/2022</p> <p>R.H. ArsidhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Khaire has submitted that in view of the pendency of the Writ Petition wherein the order of the Tribunal is challenged, matter be adjourned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 395/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Yogita Shah & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief, if any to continue.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center">02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders</p> <p align="center">M.A 683/2023 in O.A 395/2023</p>
	<p>Dr Yogita Shah & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking to amend the Original Application 395/2023 in terms of amendment schedule Annexure-A.</p> <p>3. Permission to amend O.A is granted. Amendment to be carried out within one week and copy to be served on the other side within one week thereafter.</p> <p>4. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>02.11.2023</u>
	<u>C.A 67/2023 in O.A 993/2019 with C.A 68/2023 in O.A 995/2019 with C.A 85/2023 in O.A 996/2019 (Through Video Conference)</u>
	V.D Kalsait & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O submits copy of communication dated 1.11.2023, whereby the order of this Tribunal has been complied with and necessary orders are given to the Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department by G.A.D.
	3. The said communication dated 1.11.2023 is taken on record. We direct the learned C.P.O to serve the copy of communication dated 1.11.2023 on Whatsapp to the learned counsel for the applicants.
	4. S.O to 3.11.2023.
	Sd/-  Sd/- 
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>02.11.2023</u>
	<u>M.A 688/2023 in O.A 289/2016</u>
	Shrikant Laxman Pawar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The Misc Application is moved for recalling the order dated 11.7.2023 in the interest of justice.
	3. In view of para 2 of the Misc Application, we are of the opinion that lenient view is required to be taken in this matter. Accordingly, we withdraw para 4 of our earlier order dated 11.7.2023, which is reproduced below:-
	“4. The affidavit/verification dated 5.5.2022 is a forged document filed in the judicial proceedings. We direct the O.S.D (Legal) to lodge a complaint with Senior Police Inspector, Cuffe Parade Police Station, Mumbai for investigation in this matter.”
	4. Hence, the O.S.D (Legal) is hereby directed to act accordingly and take necessary steps by communicating this order to the concerned Police Station.
	5. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 908/2019</u></p> <p>A.K Gogavle & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 353/2023</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>Smt B.B Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent No. 1.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 612/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Khade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 29.11.2023. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Aka</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 495/2023</u></p> <p>N.B Ghade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonam Pal holding for Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>02.11.2023</p> <p><u>O.A 850, 851 & 876/2023</u></p> <p>J.J Gaikwad & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 24.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.82/2023 in O.A.No.44/2023</p> <p>P.B. SureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.G. Panchal holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Panchal has submitted that he has filed rejoinder on 30.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time till tomorrow.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.89/2023 in O.A.No.967/2022</p> <p>M.G. SatheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. on instructions from Mr. Sunil Thorat, Warden has submitted that interest on regular pension and gratuity has been approved by the office of Accountant General and thereafter it will be sent for approval of the Government. Further it will be sent to the office of Pay and Accounts and thereafter the amount will be disbursed to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Social Welfare Department to take note that in view that the matter is under Contempt, the order of the Tribunal is to be complied with on or before next date.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant has received only 1/4th of his entitlement with regard to interest on Leave Encashment.</p> <p>5. Respondent is directed to give his statement for the same.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.988 of 2023

R. S. KanadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Suo. moto speaking to minutes. In order dated 31.10.2023 in last para it is mentioned as :-

"The matter is fixed on 09.11.2023 but for appearance of Under Secretary, it is fixed on 02.11.2023." It should be read as :-

"The matter is fixed on 08.11.2023 at 12.30 PM but for appearance of Under Secretary, it is fixed on 02.11.2023."

3. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.867 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. B.S. NagargojeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent and so is the Applicant. Learned Presenting Officer, Shri A.J. Chougule is present on behalf of the Respondents</p> <p>2. The issue involved in this O.A. No.867/2023 relates to several Transfer Orders issued by the Medical Education and Drugs Department in respect of Professors, Associate Professor & Assistant Professors working in Government Medical Colleges and Civil Surgeons posted in Civil Hospitals and therefore is similar to those raised in O.A. No.880/2023 & Others. Hence O.A. No.867/2023 be tagged along with O.A. No.880/2023 & Others.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.1397 of 2023

D. S. Khose

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. Gupta, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has filed 'Affidavit of Service'.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.12.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 07.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.682 of 2023 in O.A.No.1399 of 2023</p> <p>Ramakant G. Chikane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.682 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.1399 of 2023

Ramakant G. Chikane & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 14.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.1401 of 2023

P. P. Kamble

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Poonam Pal holding for Shri V. V. Gujar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.12.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 07.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.1402 of 2023

R. B. Kawale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Poonam Pal holding for Shri V. V. Gujar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.12.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 07.12.2023.

9. Learned Advocate is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to other side.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

J N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023 O.A.No.70 of 2023</p> <p>H. D. More & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 23.11.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023 O.A.No.1340 of 2023</p> <p>K. N. TadviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. is directed to produce the list of Open Sport Category, Group -A who had played international games.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>