Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 06.11.2018.

M.A.No.586 of 2018 with M.A.No.472 of 2018 in O.A.No.394 of 2018

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Applicants (Ors. Respondents).

Versus

S.B. Rathod & Ors.Respondents.(Org. Applicants)

- 1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents), Shri M. Bhandari, instructed by Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants). Dr. Jaishri Patil, with Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocates for Pvt. Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.69, 88 and 161 (M.A.No.586/2018).
- 2. Shri M. Bhandari, instructed by Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents Dr. Jaishri Patil with Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocates for the Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, the Advocate for the Respondents No.69, 88 and 161 (M.A.No.472/2018).
- 3. In view of the judgment and order passed in O.A.No.394/2018, M.A.586/2018 and M.A.472/2018 does not survive and is disposed.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi (A.) Chairman Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 06.11.2018.

O.A.No.394 of 2018

S.B. Rathod & Drs.

...Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri M. Bhandari, instructed by Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Dr. Jaishri Patil with Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocates for the Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, the Advocate for the Respondents No.69, 88 and 161.
- 2. After pronouncement of Judgment on 06.11.2018, the learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M. Bhandari holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale orally prays for staying the Judgment and Order passed in O.A.No.394 of 2018.
- 3. Ordinarily considering the prejudice to respective parties, such request can be considered, however on the facts of the matter it is evident that earlier order passed in M.A.No.472/2018 directs the Government to follow the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.2797/2015, with further reference to the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Jarnail Singh's case.
- 4. The order passed in M.A.No.472/2018 is already in the field. What this Tribunal has done while deciding the O.A. is to reaffirm what was already the part of interim order after detail hearing and full discussion.
- 5. Therefore, this Tribunal sees no reasons to grant any order of stay to the judgment / order passed in O.A.No.394/2018.
- 6. Hence, oral prayer for stay of Judgment is rejected.

ી Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)