

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.214 of 2022 to O.A. No.216 of 2022</p> <p>S.T. Motkari B.K. Kanoji S.G. ShirsatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf the Applicant in all these O.As. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.07 of 2022 with O.A. No.08 of 2022 with O.A. No.09 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. Hankare N.B. Patil V.V. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwdekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in all these O.As on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 09.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.10.2022

O.A.No.250 of 2021

R.Y. Chandramore

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Indrayani M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. When the matter is heard it was noticed that the deceased Government servant was serving on the establishment of Superintendent of Police, Nashik Rural, but Applicant has joined Commissioner of Police, Nashik City as Respondent No.1. It needs to be corrected as Superintendent of Police, Nashik Rural. Correction in the name of Respondent No.1 be made immediately.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.26 of 2022 with connected O.As.</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicants, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai, Shri Vivek V. Phansalkar. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matters pertain to Inter District Transfer particularly from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Nashik and others parts in the State of Maharashtra. On previous date Affidavit was filed by Shri Sham B. Ghuge, Deputy Commissioner of Police stating that 1817 Police Personnel were transferred in Inter District Transfer policy in 2022. It was pointed out by learned Advocate for the Applicant that 1817 figure is inclusive of 112 Police Personnel who have applied for Inter District Transfer but later declined to go out of Mumbai and continued on the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. The Tribunal therefore directed the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to file Affidavit having found that the Applicants are <i>prima-facie</i> entitle for Inter District Transfer being within 5% limit of Inter District Transfer per year.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. However, in today's Affidavit the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai has taken totally different stand stating that 5% limit is the upper limit and it is not binding on the establishment, which apparently incorrect in view of notification dated 26.10.2017 issued by Government in which it is stated that the "एका वर्षात त्या घटकातील एकूण मंजूर पदांच्या ५% पेक्षा जास्त कर्मचारी आंतरघटक बदलीवर सोडण्यात येणार नाहीत." As such, due weight is to be given for policy of Inter District Transfer otherwise the very purpose of notification dated 26.10.2017 allowing Inter District Transfer would be defeated. The Applicants are seeking Inter District Transfer on various ground as permissible in notification dated 26.10.2017 and their request for absorption in other district is also accepted by concerned head of the said district. However, the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai seems not ready to relieve the Applicant stating crunch of the Staff.

5. The Tribunal tried to find out solution asking learned P.O. to take instruction as to how much period the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai requires for relieving the Applicants so that by giving reasonable time the Applicant could be relieved. However, learned P.O. on instruction submits that she has instruction not to make any such statement and she was informed that let the Tribunal pass the order. Thus the approach of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai seems to be totally in-different. Be that as it may, now matter is required to be decided on merit by judicial order.

6. Adjourned for Final Hearing.

7. S.O. to 03.11.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.994 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. KolekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.470 of 2022</p> <p>C.D. AnbhuleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A. for condonation of delay which is allowed today. Therefore this O.A. requires to be decided on merit.</p> <p>3. Three weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.296 of 2022 in O.A. No.470 of 2022</p> <p>C.D. AnbhuleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay shown as three years and two months in M.A. caused in filing O.A. claiming benefits of promotional post of P.S.I. for pension purposes.</p> <p>3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2019 as A.S.I., he was given temporary promotion to the post of P.S.I. by order dated 12.07.2017 for 60 days and again was given temporary promotion for the post of P.S.I. by order dated 26.10.20217 for next 60 days. Thereafter, he stands retired on 31.05.2019 from the post of A.S.I. He contends that he was entitled to the promotion to the post of P.S.I., and therefore claims retiral benefits for the post of P.S.I. considering Deemed Date of Promotion to the post of P.S.I.</p> <p>4. Whether the Applicant is entitled to the pensionary benefits to the post of P.S.I. will be looked into on merit but at this stage question is whether delay can be condoned. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2019 from the post of A.S.I. This O.A. ought to have been filed within one year i.e. 31.05.2020 for claiming benefit of promotional post for pension purposes. However, O.A. is filed on 20.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5 No Reply is filed to M.A. filed for condonation of delay.

6. Indeed, in view of order of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 10.01.2022 passed in Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No.03/2020 directed that where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all person shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022. As such, in view of this order, O.A. ought to have been filed within 90 days from 01.03.2022. Whereas, O.A. is filed on 20.05.2022, this being so, delay caused in filing O.A. deserves to be condoned.

7. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's crders
	<p data-bbox="755 322 990 360">Date : 06.10.2022</p> <p data-bbox="1015 386 1266 425" style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.515 of 2022</p> <p data-bbox="755 450 1437 553">V.S. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="755 592 1526 747">1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="755 785 1526 1442">2. This O.A. has been filed along with O.A.No.516 of 2022 which is decided today and the matter is remitted back to the Respondent No.1 to take decision afresh about applicant's claim for pay and allowances from the date of compulsory retirement till reinstatement in service. Directions are further issued to Respondent No.1 to take decision afresh within two months from today and to communicated the decision to the applicant within two weeks thereafter. Whereas this O.A.No.515/2022 is filed for retiral benefits and out of it some of the benefits are already paid. The Applicant has also claimed interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits.</p> <p data-bbox="755 1494 1526 1764">3. In view of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.516/2022, learned Counsel for the applicant submits that he be permitted to withdraw this O.A.No.515/2022 with liberty to make representation that would be filed after decision afresh as directed in O.A.No.516/2022.</p> <p data-bbox="755 1816 1526 2125">4. In view of above, the applicant is allowed to withdraw this O.A. with liberty to make representation to the Respondents which should be filed within two weeks from the communication of afresh order, and if such representation is made it shall be decided within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.</p> <p data-bbox="755 2176 1104 2215">5. No order as to costs.</p> <p data-bbox="1144 2279 1347 2408" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="755 2408 795 2447">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.925 of 2022</p> <p>S.J. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order passed by the disciplinary authority dated 14.01.2021 and confirmed by appellate authority by order dated 15.09.2021 whereby he is subjected to punishment of withholding of increment for two years without cumulative effect.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record further reveals that the Applicant has filed revision before the Director General of Police, Mumbai on 13.12.2021.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his client has no communication about the decision in revision.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction from the office of the Director General of Police, Mumbai about the status of revision dated 13.12.2021 filed by the Applicant.</p> <p>6. Matter be kept in afternoon session.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">P.T.O. [P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

IN AFTERNOON SESSION

7. Permission is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to add The Director General of Police, Mumbai as Respondent No.3.

8. On instruction learned P.O. submits that revision filed by the Applicant will be decided within reasonable time.

9. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore submits that O.A. be disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.3 – The Director General of Police, Mumbai to decide revision at the earliest.

10. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.3 – The Director General of Police, Mumbai to decide revision dated 13.12.2021 filed by the Applicant within three months from today in accordance to law and decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.

11. If the Applicant felt aggrieved he may avail further legal remedy.

12. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.940 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. KashidApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.927 of 2022</p> <p>B.A.K. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.V. Gutte, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for Respondent No.2, one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.876 of 2022</p> <p>R.V. MukaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.856 of 2022</p> <p>E.V. NaikApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that matter is in process to consider the representation made by the Applicant dated 18.07.2022 to give him posting of Naib Tahasildar (Election), Tal. Panvel, Dist. Raigad and sought seven days time for issuance of order.</p> <p>3. Since, proposal is already moved with the recommendation of C.S.B., I am inclined to grant three days time for passing appropriate order.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept on Monday i.e. on 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.694 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. On previous date last chance was granted. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply within seven days in the office with copy to other side. 6. If Reply is not filed within seven days it shall not be taken on record. 7. S.O. to 09.11.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.164 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. KheratkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.987 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. NatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 28.06.2021 (Page 16 of P.B.) whereby he is repatriated to his parent Department. On the same day he was relieved and he joined his parent Department.</p> <p>3. Thus O.A. ought to have been filed within one year from the date of impugned order but filed belatedly on 03.10.2022. Thus obviously O.A. is not within limitation.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file M.A. for condonation of delay. If M.A. is filed within a week, O.A. be listed for admission failing to which O.A. would stands disposed of without further reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.46 of 2022 in O.A. No.72 of 2022</u> With <u>C.A. No.47 of 2022 in O.A. No.73 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.H. Patil R.H. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Sunil, Dnyaneshwar Nikam, Assistant Conservator of Forest, Kolhapur who is present in the Court, submits that department is in the process of complying the order of the Tribunal. However, as it concerns 75 employees the Government is taking policy decision. Revenue & Forest Department is in the process of taking policy decision in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.708 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.U. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Siddiquie, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed a Praecipe dated 6.10.2022 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 25.7.2022 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate submits that in para 4 line no.3 of the order it is mentioned as, 'decide the said Appeal within three weeks i.e. by 30.10.2022', whereas it should be corrected and read as 'decide the said Appeal within fourteen weeks i.e. by 30.10.2022'.</p> <p>5. Order be corrected accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.432 of 2022</u></p> <p>T.R. Shere ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.10.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.25 of 2022 in O.A. No.503 of 2020</u></p> <p>V.S. Pandhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the order dated 6.12.2021 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA has been partly complied with. As per clause (b) of the order suspension order dated 23.1.2019 has been set aside and the applicant has been reinstated and posted at Thane by order dated 11.8.2022. However, the matter of consequential service benefits of the suspension period still remains to be complied with.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.54 of 2021 in O.A. No.313 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.L. Thade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sumit Chavan holding for Shri Sushant Prabhune, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of letter dated 24.8.2022 issued by the office of Accountant General and submits that CA may be disposed off as the order dated 11.10.2021 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA is complied with. Copy of letter dated 24.8.2022 is taken on record and marked Court Exh.1 for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, CA is disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.781 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.S. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.10.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.596 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.C. Pillay ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.416 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. Jage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.391 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.C. Ghoderao & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.488, 660, 677 & 740 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.C. Patil & 154 Ors. S.R. Katkade & Ors. S.U. Ahire & Ors. N.L. Dhawal & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OAs No.677 & 740/2021 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.970 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Kalel ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.43 of 2022 in O.A. No.245 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.M. Gund & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the Hon'ble High Court has allowed the W.P. No.8832/2022 by order dated 4.10.2022 filed by the MPSC challenging the order dated 1.4.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.245 of 2022. Therefore, this CA has become infructuous.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, CA has become infructuous and the same is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>