(2959(B) (50,000-3-2017) HE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

./R.A./C.A. No.

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

06.10.2020

O.A.No.354 of 2020

K.R. Gupta & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Talkute, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Carlos, learned Senior Counsel for the Respondent in M.A.

2. The order dated 24.08.2020 of the Hon'ble High Court in WP-ASDB-LD-VC-.306/2020 is placed before us. In the said Writ Petition the order passed by this Tribunal on 13.08.2020 was challenged. As the Tribunal did not grant interim relief but has expressed that the petition will be disposed of at the stage of admission. The 13.08.2020 was the first date of the application and so the time was granted to the learned C.P.O. for instruction.

3. Learned C.P.O. submits that she needs one week time as the officers are not available due to COVID-19 pandemic situation.

4. On perusal of the order of the Hon'ble High Court it is found that a request is made to the Tribunal to finally decide this O.A.354/2020 on 06.10.2020 or on any date which may be fixed by the Tribunal. In the said order of Hon'ble High Court, the Hon'ble Division Bench has stated that any promotion made to the post of Executive Engineer, in the meanwhile, shall be subject to outcome of O.A.No.354/2020. Thus, to certain extend a protection is granted to the applicant by the Hon'ble High Court.

2

5. The learned Counsel Shri Carlos today appeared for a private party submits that he is going to be effected if relief as prayed in prayer clause B is granted. Learned Counsel submits that he is the candidate from A.E.-I cadre. Therefore, the applicants are directed to make him as party Respondent.

6. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. The details are to be furnished to the applicants. The copy of the Original Application to be served today itself to the proposed respondents.

7. It is made clear that the applicants in the prayer seek to challenge the eligibility criterion which are incorporated in the rules Recruitment rules, 1983. These rules are not challenged in the Original Application. The proposed Respondent to file reply.

8. Learned Counsel for the proposed respondent submits that in the said cadre, as on today, there are 48 + 68 candidates in the A.E-I cadre. Learned Counsel seeks permission to amend the application and prayer clause as now he wants to challenge the rules. The same is allowed.

9. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks two weeks time for amendment of the O.A. and also to serve the copy of the amended application to all the respondents.

10. In view of this the applicant shall complete all these formalities of amendment and serve copy on all the Respondents till 20.10.2020. Thereafter, the Respondents to file the reply, if any, by next date.

11. S.O. to 19.11.2020.

Sd/-(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson

prk

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M,A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

of 20

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 06.10.2020 C.A 07/2020 in O.A 259/2019 Shri D.R Rathod ... Applicant

> The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

Vs.

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

In this matter affidavit in reply is filed today by Ms 2. Prajkata Verma, then Commissioner, State Excise. She has tendered the apology for delay and it is further mentioned that though the Tribunal has passed order on 9.8.2010 for transfer of the applicant from Aurthur Road Jail to State Excise Department, the Respondents took time in seeking legal opinion from the office of Chief Presenting Officer, Home Department, and also from Law & Judiciary Department. The Writ Petition challenging order of this Tribunal is filed on 17.1.2020. However, in between, the time of one month given by this Tribunal for compliance of the order was over. Respondents however, did not inadvertently file the application seeking extension of time for compliance and for that unconditional apology is tendered by the Commissioner.

3. Learned P.O submits that they have moved application for amendment of the Writ Petition and by way of last chance 10 days' time be granted.

S.O to 22.10.2020.

Sd/-

(PAN DixIt) Vice-Chairman (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson

Sd

Akn

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

-

of 20

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
:	06.10.2020		
	<u>0.A 471/2020</u>		
	Shri S.N Sambargikar Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. Heard K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
	2. The applicant prays that directions be issued to the Respondents to promote him to the post of Head Clerk with deemed date of promotion after four years of his promotion as a Senior Clerk. The applicant was promoted to the post of Senior Clerk on 20.5.2015.		
	3. Issue notice made returnable on 1.12.2020.		
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.		
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper		

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O to 1.12.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)

Chairperson

(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	06.10.2020		
	O.A.No.939 of 2018		
	P.G. Kolapte Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Learned Counsel Shri Abhijeet Pawar submits that he has received instructions from learned Counsel Shri D.B. Khaire and written application from the applicant to withdraw the matter. Application is taken on record and marked as 'A'.		
	3. Statement is accepted. O.A. is allowed to be withdrawn.		
	Sd/- A Sd/- A (P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar J.)		
	Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson		

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	06.10.2020			
	O.A.No.218 of 2020			
	K.B. Vaidya Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 Heard Ms. Shraddha Vavhal, learned Advocate i/b Shri Pauditrao Anerao, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 			
	 Learned P.O submits that Respondents no.1 to 3 are not yet served. 			
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to serve Respondent Nos.1 to 3 as the selection list is challenged.			
	4 S.O. to 30.10.2020.			
	(P.N Dixit) (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson			
	prk			

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20 M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>06.10.2020</u>		
	M.A 37/2020 in O.A 42/2020		
	Shri K.N Dixit Applicant Vs.		
_ *	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
- -	2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. Four weeks' time is granted by way of last chance.		
	3. S.O to 3.11.2020.		
	Sd/- Dr Sd/-" the		
	(P.NDixit) (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson		
,			
•			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 494/2020 with M.A 214/2020 in O.A 99/2020

DISTRIC

Shri B.G Phatangare & Others)...Applicants ; Versus ; The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...Respondents ;

Shri Vagish Mishra i/b Law Counsellors, learned counsel for the applicants.

Shri R.S Apte, learned Special Counsel with Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2,

Shri B.S Shinde, learned advocate for Respondent no. 11

Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondents no. 4 to 10.

Ms Mayuri Karekar, learned advocate for the Respondents no 5 to 7.

CORAM	:	Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson) Shri P.N Dixit (Vice-Chairman) (A)
DATE	:	06.10.2020
PER	•	Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Vagish Mishra i/b Law Counsellors, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri R.S Apte, learned Special Counsel with Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2, Shri B.S Shinde, learned advocate for Respondent no. 11 submits that he is filing intervention application for one Mr Upendra Tamore, who is at serial no. 16 of Annexure-B. Intervention application is taken on record and. Learned counsel Mrs Punam Mahajan, appearing for Suhas Mahapari, who is at serial no. 9 submits that these two interveners are directly affected due to relief prayed by the applicant and hence they be allowed to intervene in the matter. Ms Mayuri Karekar, learned advocate for the Respondents no 5 to 7.

2

2. Party in person Mr Jagannath Virkar, whose name is appearing at serial no. 3 of Annexure-B of M.A 214/2020 submits that none of the persons from list 1 to 18 in Annexure-B is made party to this petition, who will be directly affected if relief is granted as per the prayer in the Original Application made by the applicants.

3. The parties are allowed to file the intervention application. Copy to be served on the applicant. Intervenor in person is given time to engage the counsel and file regular application.

4. Applicants are directed to make all the persons whose names are appearing in Annexure-B as party Respondents. So also the intervention applications which are filed are allowed and to be numbered.

5. Learned counsel for the Respondents and the Respondent-Party in person submit that the order issued by the Respondent-State dated 2.9.2020 is already implemented and accordingly the notings are taken in the service book of the respective employees listed in Annexure-B. 6. The name of Respondent no. 3 is also to be made as Respondent. Amendment is allowed in the O.A and M.A to be carried out within 15 days and the copy of O.A to be served on all the Respondents within one month.

7. Learned counsel for the applicants prays for interim relief in O.A that the orders of further promotion to the post of Addl. Collector are not to be issued by the Respondents. He submits that the Respondents have not prepared the seniority list till today, though it is stated earlier on affidavit dated 20.2.2020 filed on behalf of Respondent no. 1 that the 'final seniority list' will be prepared by 31.3.2020. Learned coufisel for the applicants submits that no final seniority list is prepared since 2006 and time to time Respondents are preparing only draft seniority list. He submits that the juniors to the applicants are promoted to the post of Deputy Collector, Deputy Collector (Selection Grade) as well as Additional Collector.

8. Learned Senior Counsel Mr Apte submits that the Government could not prepare the final seniority list as some of the employees had taken resort to the Courts and due to certain orders, the Respondents could not prepare final seniority list for a long time. However, the posts cannot be kept vacant and so the promotions are required to be given to the officers waiting in que and to meet the needs of the time, ad hoc seniority list as well as final draft seniority list was prepared from time to time till 3.3.2018. He submits that till 31.12.2020 such list will be prepared. He submits that due to Covid-19 staff in Mantralaya was not available and the list could not be finalized.

9. Considered submissions of both the parties. This issue was taken before Ho. High in W.P 11368/2019, wherein the D.B of the

High Court by order dated 18.12.2019 has given liberty to the State to take an independent decision whether to make promotions on ad hoc basis pending finalization of seniority list. In the operative order the statement made by Senior Counsel Mr Apte, on behalf of the State that the State would finalize the seniority list by end of January, 2020 on the basis of provisional seniority list dated 3.3.2018 is appearing.

4

10. Pursuant to the order of the Hon. High Court dated 18.12.2019, this Tribunal by order dated 12.2.2020, of which my brother Mr P.N Dixit was a party, has after taking the note of the said order of the Hon. High Court has observed as follows:-

"11. In view of the above, in our considered opinion, it will not be appropriate to stay posting orders of the officers promoted on the post of Additional Collector, promoted in terms of order dated 30.1.2020. However, some protection needs to be given to the applicants to take care of their apprehension. Therefore, the posting which would be issued in terms of order dated 30.1.2020 would be subject to outcome of this O.A."

11. However, in the said order the Respondents were directed to file affidavit about process of finalizing the seniority list pursuant to which affidavit dated 20.2.2020 filed by Dr Madhav V. Veer, Deputy Secretary, Revenue and Forest is filed, in which at the end he gave the progress of the seniority list in a tabular form, wherein he has mentioned the final seniority list will be published by 31.3.2020.

12. Needless to say that the said list is not yet prepared, we do consider the situation due to Covid-19 Pandemic and the scarcity of staff in Mantralaya. But there is a delay and there should not be further delay in publishing the final seniority list based on the last provisional seniority list dated 3.3.2018. Thus we accept the statement made by learned Senior Counsel on the basis of instructions from Dr Veer, Deputy Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department, who is present in the Court, that the Respondents shall prepared the said list on or before 31.12.2020. Respondents to take note that it is serious issue and adhere to the time schedule

13. S.O to 22.12.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)

R Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Place : Mumbai Date : 06.10.2020 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

as-si

D:\Anil Nair\Judgme.nts\2020\1.10.2020\O.A 494.2020 with M.A 214.2020 in O.A 99.2020 Int order.doc

Sec.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 537 OF 2020 DISTRICT : SOLAPUR

Mrs Sharada S. Powar)Applicant
Versus	į.
The State of Maharashtra & Others)Respondents
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the Applicant. Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM	:	Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)
DATE	:	06.10.2020

ORDER

1. The applicant, Sub Divisional Officer, Alibaug, challenges the order of transfer of Respondent no. 3, dated 1.10.2020 in her place at Alibaug, Dist-Raigad.

2. Learned counsel Dr Sadavarte, submits that all the Respondents are served. He submits that the applicant was transferred from Thane to the present post on 6.9.2018 and has not completed normal tenure of 3 years and she is transferred mid-term without any valid reason, not following due procedure. She is transferred out of vengeance, because she has fined one Mrs Mansi Mahindra Dalvi wife of M.L.A of Rs. 15 crore approximately for unauthorized stone quarry at Alibaug in June, 2019. The same M.L.A has sent a complaint against her by instigating some other person that the applicant has indulged into malpractices Learned counsel has further submitted that though the applicant is shown as transferred and Respondent no. 3 from Sindhudurg is posted in her place, the applicant is not given any posting till today. 3. He prays for interim relief. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Civil Services Board has not recommended the transfer of the applicant as applicant has not completed normal tenure of three years.

2

5. Learned P.O in reply submits that the Government has followed the proper procedure while transferring the applicant. She submits that the approval of concerned Minister from Revenue & Forest Department and also the Hon'ble the Chief Minister is taken by the Respondents. Learned P.O further submits that the competent authority has every power and authority to transfer any Government servant mid-term/ midtenure under the special reasons and in the present case the applicant is transferred in public interest and on administrative grounds.

The applicant has taken charge as Sub Divisional Officer, Alibaug 6. on 6.9.2018. So admittedly she has not completed her normal tenure of 3 years. It is a mid-term/mid-tenure transfer. Under Sec 4(4)(ii) & 4(5) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROT Act 2005' for brevity) the authority competent to transfer has power to transfer mid-term any Government servant for special reasons, exceptional circumstances and if special case is made out. The present applicant is transferred in the public interest and on administrative grounds for which the Government has every power to transfer the Government servant. It is true that exceptional circumstances is also to be understood in context with service jurisprudence. However, when the transfer order is challenged under specific ground and malice prima facie is demonstrated, the Government has to make out a case of special reasons under Section (4) of ROT Act, 2005.

7. After going through the records from the original file, it is found that the case of the applicant was placed before the Civil Services Board at serial no. 76. On perusal of the said record, it is found that the Civil Services Board had specifically mentioned that Mr Dhage has not completed one year at Sindhdurg and also applicant has not completed 3 years at the present place of posting and therefore both of them were not recommended of transfer.

8. The submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant of malice has to be taken into account as in the application itself and also the documents annexed to the application disclose that the applicant has taken action against the wife of MLA Mr Dalvi, in June, 2019 and same M.L.A has demanded her transfer on the ground of malpractices. No transfer should be a punishment and similarly no transfer should be made out of malice.

9. Under such circumstances it is all the more necessary for the Respondents to make out exceptional case with reasons to transfer the applicant on the ground of competency or with a view to improve the administration or for other specific reasons.

10. The reason of public interest or for administrative reasons if made clear then it helps the Government servant to understand where he/she went wrong in performing the duty.

11. Thus case for interim relief is made out. Under the circumstances, the impugned order of transfer is stayed for three weeks till the filing of the reply. The applicant to continue at Alibaug till next date.

12. S.O to 29.10.2020. Hamdast allowed.

120 Sd/· (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

Place : Mumbai Date : 06.10.2020 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

D:\Anil Nair\Judgments\20201.10.2020OA 531.2020, Transfer order challenged, SB. 06.10.2020.doc

Tribunal's orders

. 06.10.2020

 $\mathbf{2}$

O.A.No.525 of 2020 with O.A.No.526 of 2020 with O.A.No.528 of 2020 with O.A.No.529 of 2020 with O.A.No.536 of 2020 with O.A.No.539 of 2020

G.S. Biradar (O.A.525/2020) S.P. Gurav (O.A.526/2020) K.M. Kale (OA.528/2020) B.B. Mahamuni (O.A.529/2020) S.B. Kashid (O.A.536/2020) P.S. Patil (O.A.539/2020) ... Applicants Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.V. Ugle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. O.A.No.536/2020 and O.A.No.539/2020 is taken on today's board at the request of learned Counsel.

3. On instructions, learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that O.A.No.536/2020 and O.A.No.539/2020 along with O.A.No.525 of 2020 with O.A.No.526 of 2020 with O.A.No.528 of 2020 with O.A.No.529 of 2020 be allowed to be withdrawn.

4. Statement is accepted. O.A.No.525 of 2020 with O.A.No.526 of 2020 with O.A.No.528 of 2020 with O.A.No.529 of 2020 with O.A.No.536/2020 and O.A.No.539 of 2020 are allowed to withdrawn.

Sd/-

1

(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson

prk

Tribunal's orders

06.10.2020

<u>O.A 530/2020</u>

Gopinath S. Kolekar

Vs.

 $\mathbf{2}$

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D Joshi, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, Joint- District Registrar, Class-I, who is posted at Pune City, Dist-Pune in Registration Department, challenges the order dated 30.9.2020, issued by Respondent no. 1, whereby transferring him to the post of Assistant Inspector General of Registration and Stamps, Desk No. 7, Pune, in the same Department.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant joined the present place of posting on 31.5.2018 and has not completed three years of his service but has completed only two years. No specific reason is given in the order dated 30.9.2020. However, one Shri Anil. P. Parkhe, is appointed in his place from Nasik. He submits that the request of transfer was put up by Mr Parkhe.

4. Learned C.P.O seeks time for instructions in the matter.

5. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 13,10.2020.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O to 13.10.2020.

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

21

Sd/-

11

Akn

Tribunal's orders

06 10.2020

<u>0.A 534/2020</u>

Shri Mahesh V. Patil

Vs.

 $\mathbf{2}$

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, Deputy Collector, challenges transfer order dated 1.10.2020 transferring him from Rainagiri to Deputy District Election Officer, Bhandara.

3. Learned counsel submits that the applicant was posted at Ratnagiri on 20.9.2019. He has not completed his tenure of 3 years and hence it is a mid-term transfer.

4. Learned C.P.O while assailing the submissions of learned counsel for the applicant, submits that the applicant was promoted to the post of Deputy Collector, on 14.3.2019 and was given posting at Gadchiroli in Nagpur Division. Learned C.P.O submits that as per Divisional Cadre Allotment Rules, if an officer is promoted and posted at a particular Division, then under the said Rules, he is required to complete 3 years in the same Division. However, the applicant, after only completing 9 months at Gadchiroli, was transferred from Gadchiroli to Ratnagiri by order dated 20.9.2019. Learned C.P.O submits that the said order dated 20.9.2019 was issued by Food and Civil Supplies Department, which is not the correct department having authority to transfer the applicant. After having pointed out these illegalities, the applicant's case was put up before the Civil Services Board and on obtaining the recommendations from the Civil Services Board, the submission for mid-tenure transfer was placed before the Hon'ble the Chief Minister, who approved present transfer of the applicant from Ramagiri to Bhandara. She produced the extract of the recommendations of the Civil Services Board.

5. Perused the original record of the Civil Services Board and approval given by the Hon'ble the Chief Minister. The learned C.P.O is directed to file reply and produce the Divisional Cadre Allotment Rules on the next date.

6. Under the circumstances, no relief can be granted. Inspection of the record be given to the learned counsel for the applicant.

7. S.O to 3.11.2020

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

. t., Sd/-

Akr

• (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAL $s^{-1} t$

 $1 \le 1$

. .

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

of 20

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	06.10.2020
	O.A.No.466/2019 with O.A.No.467/2019
	·
	Dr. R.D. Katke (O.A.466/2019) Dr. M.S. Rewadkar (O.A.467/2019) Applicants
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learne
	Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Mancheka
	earned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Counsel submits that he be allowed t
	withdrawn the Original Applications as per th
	nstructions of the Applicants.
:	
	3. Original Applications are allowed to withdraw.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
	Chairperson
	prk -
•	
	•

Tribunal's orders

06.10.2020

2

O.A.No.498/2020

R.R. Salkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Application is made for the appointment on compassionate ground in place of her husband.

8. S.O. to 15.11.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson

prk

Tribunal's orders

06.10.2020

2

O.A.No.491/2020

A.H. Shinde Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.11.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Prayer is made for directing the Respondent to sanction the leave of the Applicant for the period from 10.12.2018 to 29.04.2019.

8. S.O. to 26.11.2020.

prl

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

d. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or ŝ directions and Registrar's orders 14 ŝ 06.10.2020 O.A.No.215/2020 ... Applicant /.N. Dixit Vs. ... Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for he Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. . 2.... At the request of learned P.O. time is granted for iling reply by way of last chance. S.O. to 27.10.2020. Sd/l (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson

(G.C.P.)	J	2959(B)	(50,000-	-3-2017)
----------	---	---------	----------	----------

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

		en de la seconda d				
					a service and the	
M.A./R.A./C.A. No.	of 20		•	to the second	and the	
IN		1				
Original Application No.	of 20			۲ <u>۲</u>	• •	
FARAD C	ONTINUATI	ON S	HEE	T No.	<i></i>	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	06.10.2020	
		<u>O.A.No.332/2020</u>
	A.B. Patil	Applicant
	The State of M	Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
		for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, nting Officer for the Respondents.
		request of learned P.O. three weeks time is
	granted for fil 8. S.O. to	o 27.10.2020.
	94 - 1 ^{1 - 14}	.?
		/ (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson
:	prk	

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	 e al trata e	
M.A./R.A./C.A. No.		of 20
IN		

Criginal Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	06.10.2020	Tribunal's orders
	1. Heard Applicant an Respondents. 2. Learr withdrawn th	O.A.No.538/2020 Applicant Vs. Maharashtra & Ors Respondents Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the d Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the ned Counsel submits that he be allowed to the O.A. as per the written directions given by Application is taken on record marked as
ν	A'. Restrictions B. Origin	hal Application is allowed to withdraw.
	•	Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson
	prk	

- ŝ

Tribunal's orders

06.10.2020

O.A 540/2020

Stht B.S Mane

Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, working as Tahsildar, Man, Dist-Satara, is ousted, by order dated 1.10.2020 by which Respondent no. 2 is transferred in his place.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has joined at the present place on 23.8.2018 and hence not completed normal tenure of 3 years. This is a mid-term transfer and without any special reasons, the applicant is ousted from her present place of posting without any order.

4. Learned counsel places reliance on the order of the Single Bench of M.A.T, Aurangabad, in O.A 402/2020, dated 5.10.2020. Therefore he prays that the said order be cancelled and prays for interim relief.

5. Learned coupsel submits that till yesterday she was working on the said post. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions as it is the first date.

6. This is mid-term transfer. The \Government has to make out special case or exceptional circumstances by giving special reasons. As this is first date, no proper instructions received by learned C.P.O.

7. However, in view of submissions which are also supported by the order of the Single Bench of M.A.T, Aurangabad, supra, wherein it is observed as under:-

"There is nothing on record to show that the applicant has been relieved from the present post. Prima facie, the Respondent no. 4 has been posted in place of the applicant without effecting the transfer of the applicant. Therefore, the impugned transfer order of the Respondent no. 4 is in violation of the provisions of the Transfer Act, 2005. Therefore, it requires to be stayed till filing of affidavit in reply by the Respondents."

8. The impugned order is hereby stayed for three weeks. The applicant to continue on the said post till next date.

9. S.O to 27.10.2020.

Akr

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Sd/-

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

of 20

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 14 í, 06.10.2020 O.A.No.523/2020 S.A. Govekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri V.A. Gangale, learned Senior Advocate a/w. Shri Abhijeet Desai a/w Shri Kulkarni, i/b. Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. This applicant is challenging the suspension order lated 28.09.2020 passed by Respondent no.1 and also prays all other consequential service benefits. 8. By way of interim relief the applicant prays that to stay the effect and operation of the suspension order and she be reinstated to the post Senior Research Officer, Scheduled Tribes Certificate Inspection Committee, Kokan Region, Thane. The applicant is prosecuted in a offence under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and the Criminal case under Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 is registered as C.R. no.88/2020 at

Learned Counsel submits that the name of the applicant was considered for the promotion and is appearing in the list issued by Respondent in 04.03.2020. The record of the applicant is very good. This is the first date hence issue notice to the respondent after amendment is carried out. No interim relief can be granted at this stage without hearing the Respondents.

Vartak Nagar, Police Station, Thane.

Amendment in the prayer as requested by learned Advocate is allowed.

Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.12.2020.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Tribunal's orders

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9 This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and a ternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. S.O. to 01.12.2020.

مسمعة مرديد

(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson

Sd/-

1h

ŧ

2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 532 OF 2020

DISTRICT : PUNE

Shri Ramchandra S. Jadhav

)...Applicant 🔬

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...Respondents

Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicant.

CORAM	:	Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (C	hairperson)
DATE	:	06.10.2020	<u>1</u>

ORDER

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, Assistant Commissioner of Police, who is working at Pimpri Chinchwad, Chakan Division, challenges the order dated 30.9.2020, transferring him to Police Training College, Jalna.

3. Learned counsel submits that the applicant has joined the present post on 26.11.2019 and earlier he was transferred from A.T.S, Aurangabad to Pimpri Chinchwad on 5.1.2019. It is a mid-tenure transfer and therefore, she seeks interim relief. Learned counsel further submits that till today the applicant is not relived from his post at Pimpri Chinchwad, Chakan Division.

4. Issue notice returnable on 8.10.2020.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter. Learned C.P.O is directed to produce the file / record of transfer of the applicant on 8.10.2020. Till then the applicant if not relieved, to continue on the same post.

10. S.O to 8.10.2020. Hamdast.

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Sd/-

Λ

2

Place: Mumbai Date: 06.10.2020 Dictation taken by: A.K. Nair.

D:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2020\1.10.2020\O.A 531.2020, Transfer order challenged, SB. 06.10.2020.doc

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 533 & 535 OF 2020

Shri Ravindra N. Dhumal Shri Sanjeev S. Shivale

)...Applicant

DISTRICT : PUNE

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...Respondents

Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson) DATE • 06.10.2020

ORDER

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2.Both the applicants who are working as Police Constable /Dog Handlers in Bomb Detection and Disposal Squad Pune are challenging transfer order dated 26.9.2020. In similar matter, following order was passed in O.A 512/2020 & others on 1.10.2020, relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-

"4. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that there are at present 8 dogs in the service and each dog has two Dog Handlers. She submitted that due to the transfer of these six Dog Handlers, five dogs in the Bomb Squad are facing psychological trauma and are therefore reluctant take commands from the new persons. She has submitted that these amounts to the cruelty to the dogs who cannot speak, but they are in the service. She further submits that all the five dogs are very much attached to the respective Dog Handlers and unable to function without them. In support of her submissions, she has relied on letter dated 7.6.2016 written by Superintendent of Police, CID, Pune and another letter dated 17.11.2016 by Special I.G., VIP Security, State Intelligence Department, Mumbai.

Learned C.P.O submits that today she has received the 5. papers and she seeks time to file reply.

6. Perused two letters referred above. In both the letters the importance and necessity of the service rendered by these trained Dogs in the Bomb Squad to the Police Department is highlighted. It is also stated specifically that the Dog Handlers are not to be transferred till a particular dog retires from the service. The officers sending the letters have referred to the Circular Memorandum No. 2/Police (Sports)/2008 (2)-1141, Intelligence Bureau (Ministry of Home affairs) government of India dated 17.6.2008, wherein it is stated as under:-

"Besides it is important that the handler of the dog is not changed during the operational life time of the Dog."

7. Thus the Dog and Dog Handler work as a team and they should not be separated in the interest of bringing about overall and significant improvement in the performance of shiffer dogs.

8. The dogs are employed in the Dog Squad who are salaried and their date of retirement is also fixed on the basis of their life span and performance. However, this is that class of employees, who cannot speak and take up their grievances, hence all the more those unspoken to be heard and also attended immediately. The transfer of the dog Handlers undoubtedly leads tremendous mental trauma to the dog and therefore, in the interest of Bomb Disposal Squad, I hereby stay all the transfer orders of these Dog Handlers.

9. If at all they are relieved and the transfer orders are now stayed; Dog Handlers are to be sent back to Bomb Detection and Disposal Squad and allow tohandle the same dogs with immediate effect.

10. The Commissioner of Police, Pune is directed to consider this issue of transfer of the Dog Handlers in view of Circular Memorandum dated 17.6.2008 and also the Maharashtra Police Dog Squad Manual, Chapter-I, 4 (5) (b) (c).

3. S.O to 20.11.2020. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Place : Mumbai Date : 06.10.2020 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

D:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2020\1.10.2020\O.A 531.2020, Transfer order challenged, SB. 06.10.2020.doc

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 531 OF 2020 DISTRICT : SOLAPUR

Dr Pradeep M. Dhele)...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...Respondents

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant. Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

C:

CORAM	:	Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)
DATE	:	06.10.2020
	1	'

<u>order</u>

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Solapur, challenges the transfer order dated 1.10.2020, passed by Respondent no. 1. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant after completing four years at Latur as Medical Superintendent, Women's Hospital, was posted as Civil Surgeon, Solapur by order dated 21.6.2019. He is not due for transfer as he has completed only one year and two months. He submits that he is transferred at the request of Respondent no. 2, Dr. Ashok Bolde and the present applicant is not given any posting as on today and therefore, the applicant is aggrieved by the said order of transfer. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that still the applicant is holding the charge of Civil Surgoen, Solapur, till yesterday. However, today he is present in the Tribunal for filing this application. He seeks interim relief. Learned advocate states that Respondent no. 2 is not served for want of time and he has not come to take charge at Solapur till yesterday.

3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions.

4. In view of submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant and no posting is given to the applicant, so also his transfer is midtenure and that he is in-charge of the post till yesterday, hence the impugned order qua Respondent no. 2, is stayed and applicant to continue to work as Civil Surgeon, Solapur till next date.

5. Issue notice returnable on 8.10.2020.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly^{ee} authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O to 8.10.2020.

Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

12

Place : Mumbai Date : 06.10.2020 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

D:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2020\1.10.2020\O.A 531.2020, Transfer order challenged, SB. 06.10.2020.doc

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Ŀ.

	i	
Original Application No.	of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)
(Advocate	İ	
		versus
	The State o	of Maharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memorand Appearance, Tribunal's or directions and Registrar's	ders or	Tribunal's orders
<u> </u>		Date : 06.10.2020
		O.A.No. 1179 of 2019
	:	C. P. PatilApplicant
		Versus
		The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for th Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Krar Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	· · ·	2. In this matter, notice was issued to the Responden
		on 27.01.2020 and enough time is availed for filing reply b
· · ·		in vain.
	й. -	3. On previous date, two weeks time was granted as
		last chance but no reply is filed.
		4. Thus, Respondents seem not interested in filing rep
	:	though enough time is availed.
		5. In view of above, matter be kept for hearing at the
		stage of admission.
		6. S.O. to 2 2 10.2020.
		Sd/-
	-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
ı		vsm
	н 1	
,		[PTO.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000–4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 2	0 DISTRICT
Oligian - PF		Applicant/s
		· · ·
(Advocate	••••••	······)
	· · ·	versus
	The State of	Maharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
		-
(Presenting Officer	:)
Office Notes, Office Memorands Appearance, Tribunal's ord directions and Registrar's	lers or	Tribunal's orders
<u> </u>	·,	
	a de la constante de la consta	Date : 06.10.2020
		O.A.No. 1199 of 2019
		Y. B. PhadApplicant
**		Versus
		The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
		2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply
		is ready but the officer is unable to come. He, therefore
		submits that reply will be filed within three days.
		3. Allowed to file reply within three days and the copy o
		the same be supplied to other side.
		4. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage o
		admission.
		5. S.O. to 22.10.2020.
		5. 5.0. (0 22.10.2020.
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
		vsm
٤		

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000–4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	••••••)
		versus
	The State o	of Maharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memorand Appearance, Tribunal's or directions and Registrar's	ders or	Tribunal's orders
·		Date : 06.10.2020
		O.A.No. 1125 of 2019
		S. V. Lolge & OrsApplicants
	1	Versus
		The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
		2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the
		Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.
		3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two
		weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.
		4. S.O. to 20.10.2020.
	:	Sd/-
	:	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
		vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of ['] 20	•	DISTRICT	, ,
				Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
				n N N
	vei	rsus	•*.	
The St	ate of Mah	arashtra and others		ζζ.
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		é
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders			al' s orders	
	Da	te: 06.10.2020 🍧		
		0.A	.No.79 of 2	020
	Dr	. M. M. Desarkhane		Applicant
	Vei	SUS		
	The	State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents.
•		Heard Shri C. T karni, learned Counse lugule, learned Present	l for the A	e holding for Shri M. R. pplicant and Shri A. J. for the Respondents.
	2.	Learned P.O. for	the Res	pondents submits that
	inst	ructions are received a	ind reply w	ill be filed within a week.
	3.	On request of le	arned P.C)., one week's time is
	grar	nted for filing reply as a	last chanc	e.
	4.	S.O. to 15.10.2020		
		· .		N Sd/-
	vsm			- Kurhekar) nber(J)
-				

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of ['] 20	`	DISTRICT	
				Applicant/s urhekar)
(Advocate	vsm		Mem	ber(J)
(114) 00400	vsm		an di Ma	,
	vers	sus		• •
The	State of Maha	rashtra and others	÷.,	
				<u> </u>
			;	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
			۹.	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's order		Tribun	al's orders	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date	: 06.10.2020		
		0.A.N	lo. 138 of 201	.7
	Н. В.	Suryawanshi		Applicant
		-		
	Vers	us		
~	The S	State of Maharashtra	& Ors	Respondents.
		<i>3</i>		
	Coun		and Smt. Kra	ndiwadekar, learned anti Gaikwad, learned
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.	On request of lear	ned P.O. for t	he Respondents, two
	week	s time is lastly grante	d for filing Sui	-Rejoinder.
	3.	S.O. to 20.10.2020.		
• •			S	d/-
			N.	
•			(A.P. Ku	
	vsm		Memb	er(J)
	· · ·			
	-			:

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	. Distric	T
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
			,
	versi	ıs	:
The	State of Mahar	ashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
			, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's order	r	Tribunal's order	S
	Date	e: 06.10.2020 ^{**}	
		0.A.No.09 o	f 2020
	S.L.	Gholap	Applicant
· · ·	Vers	sus	
~-	The	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
		Heard Shri Kishor Jagda licant and Shri A. J. Chougule, he Respondents.	le, learned Counsel for the , learned Presenting Officer
	2.	Learned P.O. submits that	t enough time is availed by
	the	Respondents to file reply but t	he same is not filed.
	3.	On request of learned	P.O., one week's time is
	gran	ted for filing reply as a last cha	ance.
	4.	S.O. to 13.10.2020.	
			N Sd/-
	vsm		.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	~		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 06.10.2020
	M.A. No.136 of 2 020 in O.A. No.201 o f 2020 I. R. ShahapurkarApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri R. A. Naik, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Para
	No.1 of Misc. Application is typed inadvertently and seeks
	permission to delete the same.
	3. Allowed to delete Para No.1 of M.A.
	4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.11.2020.
	5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	10. S.O. to 03.11.2020. Sd/-

-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

-

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.10.2020

2

O.A.No.213 of 2020

S. P. KhamkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Kandarkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

1

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.11.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to **0**3.11.2020.

9. Office objection that some of the documents are illegible be rectified and objection be removed within three days.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Sd/-

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 06.10.2020

O.A.No.209 of 2020

....Applicant

.Respondents.

P. R. Pawar

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms \$. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.11.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.11.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

2

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
(Presenting Officer	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	*
	Date : 06.10.2020
	O.A.No. 1184 of 2019
	D. J. SonawaneApplicant
	Versus
	 The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.
	3. S.O. to 20.10.2020.
	Sd/- V ^{rr} (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
~	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
Advocate) .	
	Ű	ersus	
	The State of Ma	harashtra and others	
(Presenting Officer)	
		······································	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda Appearance, Tribunal's ord directions and Registrar's	lers or	Tribunal	s orders
		¢.	
	Da	te: 06.10.2020	
		0.A.No.	1013 of 2019
	s.	B.Metkar	Applicant
	Ve	rsus	
. ,	Th	e State of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents.
	ج · ب ^{رمع}	n and a second sec	ala.
			ndiwadekar, learned Counsel for J. Chougule, learned Presenting
	2.	This matter is Part-H	leard but today learned P.O. has
	te	ndered additional affida	vit in reply on behalf of the
	Re	spondent Nos.1 to 3 and	requested to take it on record so
	as	to deal with certain grou	nds raised by the Applicant in his
	Af	fidavit-in-Rejoinder.	
	3.	Indeed, the Applicar	nt has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder
			ore, Respondents ought to have
	file	ed additional Affidavit with	
	4.		erest of justice and to decide the
			ned to allow the Respondents to
	5.		ordingly, it is taken on record. hri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned
			o deal with contentions raised in
			ay and to file short Rejoinder, if
		cessary.	
	6.	S.O. to 15.10.2020.	Sd/-
			Su/-
		•	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019) [Spl- M. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

riginal Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
Advocate)	
	versus	×
The	State of Maharash	tra and others
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	••••••) ÷
0.00 NT (0.00 - NE		<u> </u>
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's orde	or rs	Tribunal's orders
	Date: (06.10.2020 🦽
		O.A.No. 792 of 2019
	Dr. D. B	. BamaneApplicant
	Versus	
	Versus	: · ·
	The State	e of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
, ,	the Appl	Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel fo licant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presentir or the Respondents.
	2. T	oday, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Su
		r on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken o
	record.	
.0 2	3. 5	5.O. to 27.10.2020.
		N
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.10.2020

O.A.No.1202 of 2019

S. B. Khalekar	Applicant	
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents.	

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is second round of ilitigation challenging suspension order dated 14.04.2017. In the first round of litigation i.e. O.A.1075/2018 directions were given to Commissioner, Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune to take review of suspension in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011.

3. It appears that the Commissioner, Social Welfare, M.S. Pune by order dated 28.12.2019 declined to reinstate the Applicant in service till completion of D.E. Therefore, the Applicant again filed this O.A.

4. Perusal of Minutes of Review Committee (Page Nos.156 to 158) dated 14.02.2019 reveals that the Committee had decided to forward the proposal to the Government for reinstatement of the Applicant. Therefore, it is necessary to know, whether any such proposal has been forwarded by the Commissioner, Social Welfare, M.S. Pune to Government.

5. Besides, perusal of record reveals that three departmental enquires are forwarded against the Applicant. Wherein, Enquiry Officer was appointed in 2018.

6. When quarry was raised to learned P.O. about the status of D.E., she sought time to take instructions.

7. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions on following two aspects:-

- (A) Whether the Commissioner has forwarded any proposal to the Government for reinstatement of the Applicant as proposed in the meeting of Review Committee dated 14.02.2019 and if yes, what is the status of the proposal at the level of Government.
- (B) What is the progress in three departmental enquires initiated against the Applicant in 2018 and present status of enquiry.

8. Respondent No.2-Commissioner, Social Welfare, M.S. Pune is directed to file short affidavit on above aspect within a week.

9. S.O. to 13.10.2020.

****~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Sd/-

Tribunal's orders Date : 06.10.2020 M.A. No.102 of 2019 in R.A. No.04 of 2020 in O.A.No.697 of 2019 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Applicants (Ori. Respondents) Versus V. G. Suryawanshi ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant) Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer Counsel for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant). 14 2. O.A.No.697/2019 was allowed by this Tribunal by order dated 18.02.20019 whereby transfer order dated 31.05.2019 was quashed. The Respondents have filed Review Application along with application for condonation of delay. Review ought to have been filed with 30 days from 18.11.2019 but the same has been filed on 14.02.2020. 3. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. submits that delay occurred due to certain procedural difficulties and prayed to condone the delay so as to decide the Review on merit. In the application for condonation of delay, 4. Applicants (Ori. Respondents) sought to contend that the order dated 18.11.2019 was uploaded quite belatedly and it was one of the reason for not filing R.A. within time. In view of these contentions, the Tribunal has passed 5. the order directing Registrar to submit the reply about any delay in uploading the order. Registrar has submitted the report that order was uploaded immediately. 6. As such, contention made in M.A. that order was uploaded lately is apparently incorrect and Applicant ought to have vigilant and careful before making any such contention attributing delay to the office. Shri Suresh Reddy, City Survey Officer, Sangli who has 7. filed affidavit in M.A. is present before the Tribunal and he sought apology for the same. Apology accepted. Now, turning to the aspect of delay, having regard to 8. short delay of less than two months, it would be appropriate to condone the delay and to decide R.A. on merit. 9. I am, therefore, inclined to condone the delay. The delay is condoned. M.A. No.102/2020 is accordingly disposed of.

10. Matter be kept for hearing for Review on 20.10.2020.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

1 de 1

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

ginal Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
lvocate	
	versus
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
esenting Officer	·····)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	€ 1
	Date: 06.10.2020
	O.A.No. 718 of 2019
	D. R. PawarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.
	 Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply fo Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken o record. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, th matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 27.10.2020.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000---4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ŧ

÷

of 20

I N

.

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 06.10.2020 M.A. No.408 of 2019 in O.A.No.722 of 2019 With O.A. No.766 of 2019 M.A. No.408 of 2019 in O.A.No.722 of 2019 S. M. DeoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. With O.A. No.766 of 2019 R. S. RaundalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant appearing in O.A.722/2019 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant appearing in O.A.766/2019 and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant Shri R.S. Raundal was serving a: Deputy Engineer, P.W.D. Satana in view of his posting by order dated 17.06.2019. However, by order dated 12.07.2019, his posting was modified on administrative ground and he was transferred at Yeola. He, therefore, filed O.A.No.722/2019 wherein this Tribunal has granted interim relief having found <i>prima-facie</i> there is no compliance of Section 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Dificial Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005).

[PTO

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Simultaneously, by order dated 12.07.2019 Shri Suresh Deore was transferred at Satana in place of Shri R.S. Raundal. Shri Deore has also filed O.A.No.766/2019 and has also filed M.A.No.408/2019 in O.A.722/2019 to vacate interim relief granted in favour of Shri Raundal.

4. Shri Raundal is retiring at the end of October, 2020. In view of interim relief granted by this Tribunal, he is continued at Satana.

5. In view of above, learned Counsel for the parties as well as learned P.O. on instructions submit that O.A.s be disposed of as no cause of action would survive after retirement of Shri Raundal as retirement of Shri Raundal, Shri Deore will be posted at Satana by virtue of order dated 22.07.2019.

6. In view of above, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Shri Deore in O.A.766/2019 seeks permission to withdraw the same as well as M.A.No.408/2019 filed in O.A.722/2019.

Allowed to withdraw O.A.No.766/2019 as well as M.A.408/2019.

8. As Shri Raundal who is Applicant in O.A.No.722/2019 is retiring at the end of this month, it would be appropriate to allow him to retire at the same place till his retirement. As Shri Deore will join in place of Shri Raundal, there is no need to decide the matter on merit.

9. For the aforesaid reasons, interim relief granted in O.A.722/2019 is made absolute on the statement made by Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. on instructions that he will be allowed to continue at the same place till his retirement.

10. After retirement of Shri Raundal, transfer order of Shri Deore dated 12.07.2019 for posting him at Satana shall be implemented.

10. Original Application No.722/2019 and O.A.No.766/2019 are accordingly disposed of with no order das to costs.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)