

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.619 of 2020</p> <p>P.U. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant on merit at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. However, learned P.O. submits that she is not felling well and requested to keep the matter for tomorrow for her arguments.</p> <p>4. Matter be kept tomorrow i.e. 07.09.2021 at 11.00 a.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.266 of 2018</p> <p>K.S. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that he has instructions from the Applicant to withdraw O.A. He has also tendered letter of the Applicant which is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 06.09.2021

O.A. No.1049 of 2019

A.R. ShaikhApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 27.07.2019 in the present O.A.

3. However, perusal of order dated 27.07.2019 reveals that Respondent infact directed Dean, Sassoon Hospital, Pune to take decision at his level in respect of absence of the Applicant from 01.06.2018 to 27.02.2019.

4. Period of near about two years is over but no further orders are passed by Dean.

5. In first place it was Dean, Sassoon Hospital, Pune to pass appropriate order and thereafter only legality of the said order can be considered.

6. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from Dean, Sassoon Hospital, Pune above the passing of any such final order in pursuance of communication dated 27.07.2019 issued by Respondent.

7. Two weeks time is granted to appraise the Tribunal about the same.

8. S.O. to 23.09.2021.

A
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.672 of 2021</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 11.08.2021 on the ground that he being serving in Armoury Department is not subjected to transfer in view of notification dated 20.08.2015.</p> <p>3. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that the Applicant is transferred by P.E.B. in view of some compliant and requested for one day time to produce record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.09.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.722 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. R.A. SinghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Gauri Godse, learned Advocate, holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it has been pointed out by learned Advocate for the Applicant that she has already filed M.A. No.141/2021 for amendment of O.A. but the same is not listed before the Tribunal for long time.</p> <p>3. In view of above, today in morning session direction were given to Office to find out whether any such M.A. is filed in the Office. It is in pursuance the said direction only in afternoon session M.A. No.141/2021 is listed.</p> <p>4. Thus, it is obvious that though M.A. No.141/2021 was filed on 05.04.2021, it was neither scrutinized by Office nor listed by the Office, it was simply lying unattended somewhere.</p> <p>5. Registrar is therefore directed to inquire as to why M.A. was not scrutinized and listed before the Tribunal within reasonable time. Report be submitted on next date.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. sought two weeks time to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.90 of 2021 in O.A. No.164 of 2021</p> <p>R.B. PingleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for Condonation of Delay caused for O.A. challenging order dated 05.03.2018, which pertain to fixation of pay on promotion from the post of Police Naik to the post of Police Hawaldar. The perusal of impugned order reveals that the Applicant's pay of promotion was fixed in terms of Rule 11 (2) of M.C.S. (Pay) Rules, 1981. Whereas, the Applicant claims that there being enhancement in duties and responsibilities as pay ought to have been fixed in terms of Rule 11 (1) of M.C.S. (Pay) Rules, 1981.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that there is material development in the form of ^{letter} order dated 08.06.2021, written by the Office of Director General of Police addressed to the Government whereby, request was made to the Government to issue guidelines in this behalf so that there should be uniformity in the matter of fixation of pay of promotion to the post of Police Hawaldar. In letter it is further stated that at same place pay if fixed in terms of Rules 11 (1) but at other palaces it is fixed in terms of Rules 11 (2) of Pay Rules and Department is facing difficulties while fixing pension ^{of} their retirement.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has further pointed out that till date no decision is taken up by the Government on the proposal send by the Office of Director General of Police.</p> <p>5. In view of above, it would be appropriate to know decision taken by Government, if any, in this behalf.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions from Respondent No.1 and to appraise the Tribunal about the same on next date.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 21.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[^] Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 136/2012</u></p> <p>P.D Dorkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Surbhi Agrawal, i/b Shri A.A Desai, learned counsel for the applicant, Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondents no 6 & 7.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve all the Respondents within one week and file affidavit of service on the Respondents and also to serve all the private Respondents through email.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Bandiwadekar, for Respondents no 6 & 7 files affidavit in reply. Same is taken record. Learned counsel Mr Bandiwadekar furnishes copy of the affidavit in reply to the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 755/2012</u></p> <p>D.S Mujgond ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 & 4.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Bandiwadekar for the Respondents 3 & 4 points out to order dated 14.1.2020. The order discloses that M.A 619/2019 was filed for restoration of O.A 755/2012, which was dismissed on 17.10.2019 for non-compliance of conditional order. The Division Bench saddled cost of Rs. 2000/- on the learned counsel for the applicant. The matter was adjourned to 30.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Thereafter, the matter appeared on 9.1.2021. Learned counsel for the applicant was absent. Thereafter matter was adjourned to 12.2.2021. On that day at the request of junior Advocate to learned counsel Mr N.Y Chavan for the applicant, matter was adjourned to 25.2.2021. Thereafter, the matter was shown on 18.3.2021. Thereafter on 22.4.2021 this matter appeared. This matter again appeared on 29.7.2021 and it was adjourned to 5.8.2021. Thereafter, it was fixed on 27.8.2021.</p> <p>4. Today, Mr N.Y Chavan, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. We make it clear that the matter is of the year 2012 and it is shown on the Warn List and therefore, we want the learned counsel for the applicant to appear before us on 8.9.2021 at 2.30 pm.</p> <p>5. S.O to 8.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- /</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 742/2009 with O.A 1180/2010</u></p> <p>S.P Dhane & Ors [O.A 742/2009] R.M Ingale & Ors [O.A 1180/2010] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the earlier order dated 16.3.2021 it was informed that Writ Petitions no 7270/2010 and 7281/2010 are filed by the State, which are admitted and they are pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O informs that the office of the learned C.P.O has sent letter on 30.3.2021 to the Assistant Government Pleader, High Court, Mumbai and The Director General and Inspector General of Police, M.S, Mumbai. However, she has not received further communication from the office of either the A.G.P, High Court or from the office of D.G.P, M.S, Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Mr Lonkar, learned counsel for the applicants submits that in O.A 1180/2010 he represents direct recruits from the Police Department and the private Respondents are from Limited Departmental Competitive Examination quota. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that all the private Respondents are now retired from service on superannuation, subject to correction. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the matter can be disposed of with liberty to the applicants to approach the Tribunal, if the Government passes adverse orders by giving seniority to the private Respondents, that is from the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination quota.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>6. S.O to 8.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 5/2021 in T.A 01/2020</u></p> <p>Smt K.C Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files corrigendum. Same is taken on record and copy given to learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.670 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A.S. ChandanwaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pradnya Talekar with Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Assoc. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. produces letter dated 03.09.2021 that the applicant is already promoted on the post of Joint Director, Medical Education and Research.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that though now this order is served on him, the applicant still wants to contest the O.A. because this promotion is given to him because of pending S.L.P.No.28306 of 2017, State of Maharashtra & Anr. Versus Vijay Ghogre & Ors.</p> <p>4. The learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply on the following points :-</p> <p>(a) Apart from the 5 instances mentioned in 34 (c) of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred at 'Disabilities Act of 2016' for brevity) is there any other instance or disability which is covered under locomotor disability ?</p> <p>(b) The Applicant claims that he has locomotor disability pertaining to one left arm and one right leg. The Respondent to state whether this disability can be called as locomotor disability covered under Section 34(c) of Disabilities Act of 2016 ?</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(c) The Applicant was appointed under locomotor disability by appointment order dated 12.05.2011 issued by Medical Education and Research as Dean of B.J. Government Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital, Pune under the Disabilities Act of 2016. The Respondent to comment on this particular order.</p> <p>(d) Whether for one post the reservation policy for differently abled persons is applicable.</p> <p>(e) How many vacancies on the impugned post is / are available.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 29.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.09.2021

**O.A.No.340 of 2011 to O.A.No.344 of 2011 with
O.A.No.687 of 2011 with O.A.No.875 of 2011
with O.A.No.757 of 2011**

K.P. Shah (O.A.340/2011)
G.C. Lalka (O.A.341/2011)
J.D. Mehta (O.A.342/2011)
A.D. Mehta (O.A.343/2011)
A.S. Dalvi (O.A.344/2011)
M. Mehta (O.A.687/2011)
U.G. Parikh (O.A.875/2011)
R.G. Kukreja (O.A.757/2011)Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.340/2011 to O.A.No.344/2011, O.A.687/2011 & O.A.875/2011, Mr. S.P. Lahane, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.757/2011, Mr. A. Khandekar, learned Counsel with Ms. Archana B.K., Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Pursuant to the submissions which are recorded in the order dated 01.09.2021 the learned Advocate for the Respondent, Mr. A. Khandekar submits as follows :-

- (a) The Government has taken a policy decision that there is no post in Sydenham College of permanent part time lecturers.
- (b) Applicants are temporary part timers.
- (c) The Government has taken the policy decision not to regularize these part timers.

3. The Principal of the Sydenham College is directed to state on oath why the Sydenham College did not move the proposal of pay fixation of part time lecturers i.e. the Applicants for implementation of the 6th Pay Commission since 2006 till today.

4. Adjourned to 07.09.2021 at 3.30 p.m.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.09.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.273 of 2021</p> <p>D.B. NayakApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is Part Heard matter, wherein challenge is to the Transfer order dated 06.05.2021. Applicant was transferred from A.T.S., Mumbai to District Caste Scrutiny Committee, Gondia invoking Section 22 (N) 2 of Maharashtra Police Act. 3. Tribunal granted stay to the impugned transfer order by order dated 12.05.2021. 4. Learned C.P.O. has tendered order dated 01.09.2021, issued by Office of Director General of Police, Mumbai stating that the order dated 06.05.2021 is cancelled. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'. 5. As such, the impugned transfer order itself is cancelled by the Respondent No.2 namely Director General of Police, Mumbai meaning thereby he is restored to his earlier position in A.T.S., Mumbai. 6. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has instructions from his client to withdraw the O.A. Allowed to withdraw O.A. 7. Respondent No.2 is at liberty to take further steps as it deemed fit proper in the matter in accordance to law and the Applicant may avail legal course of law if he felt aggrieved with the same. 8. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.277 of 2019</p> <p>R.S. PhadtareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhay A. Jadhava, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D. Ranaware, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with specific direction that no further time will be granted on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 348/2021</u></p> <p>S.S Chandaliya ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges orders dated 17.8.2020 and 25.1.2021 passed by the Respondent no. 1, under which the applicant was not declared to having passing the Post Recruitment Training Examination (P.R.T) held in the year 2015 in first attempt as per the Exam Rules contained in G.R dated 15.4.1971.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply within four weeks by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 4.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 568/2021</u></p> <p>D.R Madane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges the impugned order dated 10th September, 2020 issued by Respondent no. 1 and order dated 29th July, 2020 issued by Respondent no. 3, by which the applicant was removed from service.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 18.10.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 18.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 322/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.P Dalvi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that suitable directions be issued to the Respondents to decide the appeal dated 6.7.2020 filed by the applicant against the order of punishment dated 11.6.2020 within a period of four weeks from the date of the order.</p> <p>3. The Original Application is disposed of with directions to the Respondents to decide the appeal dated 6.7.2020 filed by the applicant, before 6.11.2021 and communicate the same to the applicant.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 319/2021</u></p> <p>Shri D.L Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.L Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that she will file affidavit in reply within two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.9.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 150/2021</u></p> <p>V.B Wakchaure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that his name should be considered for the post of Assistant Director, Class-I in accordance with law and further the Respondents be directed to decide the representation dated 2.1.2021 submitted by the applicant within a reasonable period and communicate to him.</p> <p>3. Mrs M.R Gandhi, Under Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, present in this Tribunal files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1 dated 10.8.2021. In the affidavit in reply it is pointed out that as per Rules prescribed by General Administration Department by G.R dated 1.8.2019, the final seniority list of given officers is to be issued and roaster for vacant posts is to be prepared. It is further submitted that as per G.Rs dated 7.5.2021 and 5.7.2021 issued by General Administration Department, select list is to be prepared taking into account revised orders and instructions by the Government. The revised seniority list has been prepared in May, 2021.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that the entire process of holding meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee and issuance of final promotion orders will require a period of three months.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, Respondents are directed to convene meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee and issue final orders of promotion by 6.12.2021.</p> <p>6. With the above directions, Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 73, 74 & 75/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.M Rathod S.B Machale S.D Patil</p> <p align="right">... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p align="right">... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is fixed for filing affidavit in rejoinder. In view of the relief prayed, the applicants so also the Respondents to take note that the relevant rule in Maharashtra Civil Services Rules giving continuity of the Military Services in the Civil Services while deciding the seniority of the Government servant is to be shown to the Tribunal. The entire issue revolves around this point.</p> <p>3. The matter can be heard and disposed of at the admission stage.</p> <p>4. S.O to 27.9.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 327/2021 with O.A 286/2020</u></p> <p>A.A Dabhade R.Y Reddiyar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 Shri C.T Chandratre, learned counsel for Respondents no 2b, 2e and 2f, Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondents no 2g and 2h and Shri R.K Adsur, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 & 4 in O.A 327/2021.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order of this Tribunal dated 13.8.2021, the learned counsel for the private Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply within four weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.310 of 2021 in O.A. No.810 of 2016</u></p> <p>Nirmala P. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. OA is filed in 2016 for claiming promotion and deemed date of promotion. Applicant retired in 2017 during the pendency of OA. The present MA is filed for amending the OA.</p> <p>3. The cause of action arose in the year 2007 as per Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. Now the applicant is directed to file MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.9.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.488 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.C. Patil & 153 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 6.9.2021 6.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.3 of 2020 in O.A. No.707 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.C. Pillay ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V. Chandrashekhar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.9.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.549 of 2021 with O.A.No.550 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. KhadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder during the course of the day. It be taken on record. 3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 27.09.2021. <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.572 of 2019</p> <p>A.Y. ShringiriwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record. 3. Leaned P.O. has also filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2 on the Affidavit-in-Rejoinder filed by the Applicant and it is taken on record. 4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 27.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No. 646 of 2021</p> <p>T. A. SayyadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nagesh Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.619 of 2021</p> <p>A. S. ChaudhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 06.08.2021. 3. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was taken up for admission before the Hon'ble Chairperson on 24.08.2021 and notices were issued returnable on 02.09.2021. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks interim relief to stay the impugned transfer order dated 06.08.2021. 5. Learned P.O. is directed to produce record so as to consider interim relief claimed by the Applicant. 6. On his request, the matter is adjourned to 07.09.2021. 7. S.O. to 07.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.196 of 2021 in O.A.No.41 of 2021</p> <p>E. B. SayyedApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought short time to file M.A. for amendment to O.A. to substantiate that the Applicant has rendered pensionable service. 3. Two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps. 4. S.O. to 20.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>