

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.73 of 2022 in M.A.No.225 of 2022 in O.A.No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C. V.PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.641 of 2022</p> <p>T. T. Wangade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In present O.A., the Applicants have challenged order dated 05.02.2020 whereby their request for deemed date of promotion is rejected. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 03.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.395 of 2022 in O.A.No.641 of 2022</p> <p>T. T. Wargoade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay of four months caused in filing O.A. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged the order dated 05.02.2022 whereby their claim for deemed date of promotion stands rejected.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant prayed for condonation of delay of four months placing reliance on the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo-motu W.P. No.03/2020, dated 10.01.2022. As per clause no.3 of the order where limitation expired during the period between 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.</p> <p>4. In present case, limitation is expired in between 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022. Therefore, O.A. ought to have been filed within three months from 28.02.2022 i.e. up to the end of May, 2020. Whereas, present O.A. is filed on 01.07.2022. As such, there is delay of one month only.</p> <p>5. Since the Applicants are retired Government servants, I think just an appropriate to condone the delay so as to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p>6. For the aforesaid reason, M.A. for condonation of delay is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.394 of 2022 in O.A.No.641 of 2022</p> <p>T. T. Wari^gade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A.No.394 /2022 is allowed. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C. A. No.36 of 2022 in O.A.No.623 of 2021 With C.A. No.37 of 2022 in O.A. No.624 of 2021</p> <p>P. T. Bhoir V. V. Jagdale ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This C.A.s are filed contending that the Respondents have committed contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal on 26.04.2022.</p> <p>3. Indeed, perusal of order dated 26.04.2022 reveals that the Applicant was given liberty to make fresh representation giving ten options inclusive of Executive and Non-Executive post to be considered ensuing general transfer of 2022. Accordingly, the Applicants have made representation which is turned down by order dated 10.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Having gone through the order passed by the Tribunal, we have of the considered opinion that there is no case of willful contempt so as to initiate contempt proceeding. By order dated 26.04.2022, all that those directions were given to consider the representation. If the representation is considered and rejected by order dated 10.06.2022, it is independent cause of action to challenge the same order and cannot be treated as contempt of the order of Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In view of above, both the Contempt Applications are disposed of. The Applicants are at liberty to challenge the order dated 10.06.2022 in accordance to law.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ✓ Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.541 of 2020</p> <p>J. C. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is noticed that the relevant document namely copy of charge sheet filed in the court, file noting on the basis of which decision of summary dismissal is taken and transcript of the alleged conversation is not on record which is essential for adjudication of the issue.</p> <p>3. O.A. is, therefore, 'de part-heard' and it be kept for final hearing on production of necessary documents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.347/2022

Mr. A.S. Bhoir	... Applicant
Vs.	
The State of Mah. & Ors.	... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.
2. The matter is listed today for Speaking to Minutes to correct some words/phrases used in the order passed in O.A.No.347/2022 on 04.07.2022. In Clause No.(iv) of Para No.2 in 3rd sentence, it is stated "Commissioner of Police, Mumbai made reference by his letter dated 17.09.2019 to the Government for revision of decision dated 16.09.2019". Here word "revision" be replaced by word "reconsideration".
3. On Page No.4 in sentence No.1, it is stated "reinstating in service and later also, dismissed revision under Section 27-A of Maharashtra Police Act", here word "revision" be replaced by word "review".
4. In sentence No.4 from bottom of Para No.8 at Page No.6, the word "revisional jurisdiction" be replaced by "review jurisdiction".
5. The above corrections be carried out in the original Judgment.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member-A
06.07.2022

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
06.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.867 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. R. M. HaridasApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per directions given by this Tribunal by order dated 13.06.2022 Shri Saurabh Vijay Age, Secretary, Medical Education & Drugs Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai has filed affidavit and tried to explain the delay caused in conclusion of D.E. The D.E. was initiated on 02.01.2016 and the Enquiry Officer submitted its report on 20.07.2017. However, thereafter no such expedite steps were taken for passing final order though the period of five years is over. In Affidavit, all that it is stated that file was moved from one table to another table to comply certain queries and now lastly the proposal is sent to M.P.S.C. on 20.06.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that M.P.S.C. has raised certain query and now those queries are complied with. Thus at present, the proposal is pending with M.P.S.C. for its concurrence to propose punishment.</p> <p>4. In view of above, it would be appropriate to dispose of the O.A. with direction to Respondents to get the proposal expedited from M.P.S.C. and shall pass final order in D.E. in accordance to law within six weeks from today without fail.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.611 of 2022</p> <p>J. V. Lilke ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 11.02.2022 whereby he is suspended in contemplation of D.E. On the same day, the charge-sheet is also served upon the Applicant. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 20.07.2022.</p> <p>9. Learned P.O. is further directed to apprise the Tribunal about the status of D.E. on next date since the period of more than five months from the date of initiation of D.E. is over and D.E. by this time ought to have completed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 06.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.384 of 2022 in O.A.No.630 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A.P. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.07.2022.

O.A.No.630 of 2022

Dr. A.P. Patil & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.682 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. K.J. Kuwar & Ors.Applicants. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 7.</p> <p>2. Admit. Adjourned to 10.08.2022. H.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.07.2022

O.A.No.640 of 2022

R.S. RathodApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.07.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 27.07.2022.

12/ U

Sd/-
(Medha/Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.755 of 2019</p> <p>G.P. TitarApplicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Leaned Advocate submits that the Government has not made any framed policy.</p> <p>3. As the cause of action does not survive matter stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.07.2022

O.A.No.586 of 2022

R.A. SawantApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Krishna Agarwal, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Asso, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.08.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 03.08.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

/ . /
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 06.07.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.987 of 2018</p> <p>T.S. Mulla & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G. Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As the cause of action does not survive, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.327 of 2022 in O.A.No.405 of 2022</p> <p>The State of MaharashtraApplicant (Org. Respd.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>C.M. JadhavRespondents (Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) and Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate by way of last chance matter is adjourned to tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 06.07.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.111 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. SatputeApplicant. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant files affidavit-in-rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 03.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.457 of 2022</p> <p>D.A. DeokarApplicant. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar submits that he will be filing vakalatnama during the course of the day and will appear on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) /(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 06.07.2022
	<p>C.A.No.41 of 2022 in O.A.No.1190 of 2019</p> <p>C.V. NairApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks four weeks time for compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 20.07.2022</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.508 of 2022</p> <p>S.V. Borhade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she will be filing affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.07.2022 for hearing on interim relief.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha/Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.328 of 2022 in O.A.No.448 of 2022 WITH M.A.No.329 of 2022 in O.A.No.449 of 2022</p> <p>The State of MaharashtraApplicant (Org. Respd.) Vs. N.K. Gaikwad, & Ors. S.D. Sutar & Ors.Respondents (Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) and Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants).</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate by way of last chance matter is adjourned to tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.07.2022

O.A.No.262 of 2019

D.B. Dhawale

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A. dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.07.2022

O.A.No.458 of 2022

D.S. Mane & Ors.Applicants.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wish to bring on record order. He submits that in case of Applicant No.1, Mr. Dnyaneshwar Shivling Mane and Applicant No.5, Mr. Pandurang Tukaram Shinde their grievance is redressed. In case of Applicant No.3, Mr. Anil Ramrao Madana and Applicant No.4, Mr. Govardhan Janardhan Datal, they are declared ineligible and there is no communication received from Applicant No.2, Mr. Pravin Ramesh Chavan.
3. Learned Advocate submits that as the cause of action does not survive in case of Applicants No.1 and 5 he requests for amendment to delete the names of Applicants No.1 and 5 from the array of Applicants.
4. Amendment allowed. Amended copy to be served upon the concerned.
5. Learned C.P.O. submits that the copy of O.A. is not served to their office.
6. As the O.A. is filed on 17.05.2022 the copy of O.A. be served in the office of learned C.P.O. forthwith.
7. Adjourned to 03.08.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 06.07.2022
	C.A.No.33 of 2022 in O.A.No.22 of 2022
	V.R. ShindeApplicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents
	1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Till today no compliance is done on the part of the Respondent-State. Learned P.O. today sought four weeks time to comply the order. This is last chance given to the Respondent to comply the order of this Tribunal. If the order is not complied with the Tribunal will issue notice of Contempt against the concerned officer.
	3. Adjourned to 03.08.2022.
	Sd/-
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 06.07.2022
	<p align="center">C.A.No.33 of 2022 in O.A.No.22 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks four weeks time for compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 03.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.513/2022

Mr. S.P. Kadam ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. The learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 20.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
06.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.581 of 2022</p> <p>Shri Neetin P. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 10.06.2022 whereby on promotion he is transferred and posted as Professor at Government Medical College and Hospital, Baramati, Dist. Pune. In view of interim order dated 22.06.2022, the Applicant was allowed to continue on the same post as Associate Professor at J. J. Hospital, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant's elder brother is suffering from various serious ailments like Chronic Neurological Conditions, Hearing Impairment, Locomotor Disability and Mental Illness. The Applicant is the only legal guardian for taking care of his elder brother. As per certificate of disability, the Applicant's brother has 90% permanent disability. According to learned Counsel, except Applicant there is nobody to look after his brother and for taking treatment, Applicant's stay at Mumbai is essential.</p> <p>4. The Applicant made representation on 15.06.2022 and on 17.06.2022 requesting the Government to convert one vacant post at J. J. Hospital, Mumbai as a regular Professor and to accommodate him at Mumbai so that he could take care of his elder brother who is suffering from serious ailments and disability.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further pointed out that as per office memorandum issued by the Central Government, Department of Personnel and Training, dated 06.06.2014 certain guidelines were issued in the matter of transfer of a Government servants who have responsibility to take care of their disabled child. As per this memorandum, such Government servant has to be exempted from transfer subject to administrative constrain.</p> <p>6. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of with direction to the Respondents to decide the representations made by the Applicant.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. submits that reasonable time be given to decide the representations.</p> <p>8. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with directions to the Respondents to consider the representations dated 15.06.2022 and 17.06.2022 in the light of office memorandum of Central Government dated 06.06.2014 and appropriate decision be taken within two weeks from today.</p> <p>9. Interim relief to continue till the decision of representations.</p> <p>10. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.396/2022

Mr. R.S. Kajale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. This O.A. is filed by retired Government servant for direction to the Respondents to release remaining retiral benefits which are withheld for a long time on account of pendency of DE.

3. Applicant stands retired on 30.12.2019 from the post of Warden. Before his retirement, DE was initiated on 15.07.2019 to which Applicant has already submitted his reply on 16.09.2019. However, thereafter, no further steps were taken to complete DE and some of the retiral benefits of the Applicant were withheld. After waiting for long time, the Applicant has, therefore, approached this Tribunal.

4. In Affidavit-in-reply, all that it is stated that enquiry is initiated and it was decided to hold joint enquiry. Indeed, there is no such order produced on record about joint enquiry. The charge-sheet issued to the Applicant is individual charge-sheet. Even if Government thought it fit to have joint enquiry, till now period of three years is over, and therefore, enquiry whether it is individual or joint ought to have been finished and completed.

5. Indeed, enquiry was required to be completed maximum within one year in terms of Circular dated 07.04.2008 issued by Government of Maharashtra. However, regret to note that no such expeditious steps were taken to complete the DE. Needless to mention that pension and other retiral benefits is right of Government employee and such right cannot be kept in abeyance under the garb of DE for such a long time. As such, there is inordinate delay in completion of DE.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Since DE is pending, all that this Tribunal can direct the Respondents to expedite the DE within stipulated period, so that matter is taken to its logical conclusion and retired Government servant would get his legitimate dues. Hence, the order.

ORDER

- (a) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to complete DE including passing of final order in accordance to law within three months from today without fail.
- (b) The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter and retiral benefits accordingly be released in terms of final order in DE within two weeks from the date of final order in DE.
- (c) Respondents are further directed to release other monetary benefits which were not required to be withheld on account of pendency of DE.
- (d) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
06.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.397/2022</u></p> <p>Mr. A.B. Gangurde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by retired Government servant for direction to the Respondents to release remaining retiral benefits which are withheld for a long time on account of pendency of DE.</p> <p>3. Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2020 from the post of Office Superintendent. Before his retirement, DE was initiated on 15.07.2019 to which Applicant has already submitted his reply on 13.09.2019. However, thereafter, no further steps were taken to complete DE and some of the retiral benefits of the Applicant were withheld. After waiting for long time, the Applicant has, therefore, approached this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. In Affidavit-in-reply, all that it is stated that enquiry is initiated and it was decided to hold joint enquiry. Indeed, there is no such order produced on record about joint enquiry. The charge-sheet issued to the Applicant is individual charge-sheet. Even if Government thought it fit to have joint enquiry, till now period of three years is over, and therefore, enquiry whether it is individual or joint ought to have been finished and completed.</p> <p>5. Indeed, enquiry was required to be completed maximum within one year in terms of Circular dated 07.04.2008 issued by Government of Maharashtra. However, regret to note that no such expeditious steps were taken to complete the DE. Needless to mention that pension and other retiral benefits is right of Government employee and such right cannot be kept in abeyance under the garb of DE for such a long time. As such, there is inordinate delay in completion of DE.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Since DE is pending, all that this Tribunal can direct the Respondents to expedite the DE within stipulated period, so that matter is taken to its logical conclusion and retired Government servant would get his legitimate dues. Hence, the order.

ORDER

- (a) The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to complete DE including passing of final order in accordance to law within three months from today without fail.
- (b) The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter and retiral benefits be accordingly released in terms of final order in DE within two weeks from the date of final order in DE.
- (c) Respondents are further directed to release other monetary benefits which were not required to be withheld on account of pendency of DE.
- (d) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
06.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1021/2021

Mr. M.D. Bhamare ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
06.07.2022**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.194 of 2022</p> <p>S.A.ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.637 of 2022</p> <p>Ashwini B. SonakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged ^{the} the order dated 27.05.2022. She has already completed three years tenure. However, grievance of the Applicant is that her options were not considered. Admittedly, she is relieved from the present post. Hence, the question of interim relief does not survive. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 03.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;"> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.420 of 2021</p> <p>M. S. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit along with copy of deposition and enquiry papers. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1071 of 2021</p> <p>S. P. ParsekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. V. Magam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1037 of 2019 with O.A. No.1039 of 2019 with 1040 of 2019 with O.A. No.1041 of 2019 with O.A. No.1042 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. G.B. Taware Dr. V.A. Nadimath Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar Dr. N.B. Masaram Dr. V.P. Doijad</p> <p align="right">.....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.461 of 2022</p> <p>K. N.Mavchi ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.07.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>