

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.725 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. R. Gaud</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 12.11.2020 invoking jurisdiction of this Tribunal under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was serving as Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Haveli, Dist. Pune in between 01.06.2017 to 11.08.2020. By order dated 12.11.2020, she was kept under suspension on following charges :-</p> <p>(i) While the Applicant was serving at Tala, Dist. Raigad she had committed breach of Finance Rules while encashing cheque of Rs.4,65,740/- by pressuring the staff working under her. On that ground, D.E. was already initiated against her under Rule 8 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules 1979 on 10.05.2019.</p> <p>(ii) One more D.E. (joint D.E.) was initiated against the Applicant and others in the matter of grant of permission of land for non agricultural use and D.E. was already instituted on 11.03.2020.</p> <p>(iii) The Applicant was transferred by order dated 10.08.2020 from Haveli, Dist. Pune to Tala, Dist. Raigad. However, she did not join at Tala, Dist. Raigad and remained absent from 11.08.2020 to 08.11.2020 and thereby committed serious misconduct. Thus, the Applicant was subjected to suspension on account of pendency of two DEs and serious misconduct of non joining at the place where she was transferred.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that though the Enquiry Officer has been appointed, DEs are not progressing and the Applicant is subjected to prolong suspension.</p> <p>5. Whereas, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents submits that having regard to the serious misconduct, the suspension was justified and DEs will be expedited. He, therefore, suggested to dispose of the O.A. with suitable directions to which learned Counsel for the Applicant also concedes subject to rider that if D.E. is not completed within stipulated period then the Applicant be reinstated in service.</p> <p>6. Indeed, in terms of various Circulations and instructions contained in Departmental Enquiry Manual, DE ought to have been completed within six months. However, in the present case, though DEs were initiated in 2019 and 2020, those are still pending.</p> <p>7. In view of above, O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions for expeditious conclusion of all DEs initiated against the Applicant. The Applicant has already submitted her reply to the charge sheet and as per submission made by learned Counsel, the Applicant is ready to cooperate for expeditious completion of DE.</p> <p>8. O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to ensure conclusion of all pending DEs initiated against the Applicant including passing of final order therein in accordance to law within three months from today.</p> <p>9. The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. If the DEs are not completed within three months from today, the Applicant will be entitled for reinstatement in service and deemed to have been reinstated.</p> <p>11. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

**06.07.2021**

**O.A 576/202 with M.A 306/2020 with O.A 119/2019 with M.A 12/2020 in M.A 14/2020**

Smt S.P Sable ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Mr Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant/intervener.

2. The responsible officer from all the Departments is directed to remain present along with original record on the next date.

3. Shri Bandiwadekar files affidavit in rejoinder in O.A 576/2020. The same is taken on record.

4. Mr Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant/intervener submits that he has filed another O.A 705/2020 and prayed that the same be tagged along with the present Original Applications.

5. O.A 705/2020 to be tagged along with the present Original Applications.

6. S.O to 13.7.2021 at 2.30 pm.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center">Date: 06.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.123 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Pawar .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 03.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>✓<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;">Date : 06.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1121of 2016</p> <p>P. N. Shelke <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent in terms of the order passed by this Tribunal on 22.06.2021. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O., one week time is granted for final hearing.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 15.07.2021.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-<br/>(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : ~~06.07.2021~~ 07.07.2021's orders

**O.A.No.738 of 2020**

**S. R. Gaud** **....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** **...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 10.08.2020 whereby she was transferred from the post of Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Haveli, Dist. Pune to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Tala, District Raigad on the administrative ground. She has filed representation on 25.06.2021 but the same is not responded.

3. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 – Government to decide the representation made by the Applicant on 25.06.2021.

4. Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents fairly concedes that representation will be considered in appropriate manner and concedes for disposal of O.A.

5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2-Government to decide the representation made by the Applicant dated 25.06.2021 within a month from today in accordance to rules.

6. O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/- 

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member(J)**

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : Tribunal's orders

06/07/2021

O.A.No.202 of 2021

A. R. Jadhavar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. P. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 20.10.2020.

3. Learned P.O. however submits that the Government by order dated 20.04.2021 has revoked the suspension and thereafter by order dated 14.06.2021 posted the Applicant on non executive post in the office of District Cast Certificate Verification Committee, Pune.

4. Whereas, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client is not served with the posting order dated 14.06.2021. He further submits that on reinstatement, his client ought to have been reposted nearby Kolhapur since he is retiring within a year.

5. Perusal of suspension order reveals that the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E.. However, till date no step is taken for initiating D.E. though the period of more than nine months is over.

6. Learned P.O., therefore, directed to take instructions as to why DE is not initiated though the period of nine months is over from the date of suspension and also to file reply in support of suspension order which is subject matter of O.A.

7. Two weeks time is granted.

8. S.O. to 23.07.2021.

Sd/-

v  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><b>Date: 06.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.260 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>M.M. Mahale</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Adjourned to 29.07.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> </ol> <p align="right">           ^<br/>           Sd/-<br/> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p> |




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><b>Date: 06.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.305 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Wagh</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is suspended for more than four years and still D.E. is not concluded therefore the Applicant has challenged suspension order on the ground of prolong and discrimination since co-delinquent is already reinstated in service. Already enough time is availed by Respondents. However, on request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.07.2021.</p> <p align="right"> <br/> Sd/-<br/> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><b>Date: 06.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.336 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.H. Bhole</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. was filed for direction to the Respondents to take decision and representation made by the Applicant No.1 for appointment on compassionate ground for the Applicant No.2.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that after filing of O.A. representation is decided by order dated 30.06.2021 and claim for appointment is rejected.</p> <p>4. In view of this development learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. so to challenge order dated 30.06.2021.</p> <p>5. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. challenging order dated 30.06.2021 in accordance to law.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center">Date: 06.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.121 of 2019 with O.A. No.240 of 2019</p> <p>S.K. Chormale .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file statements of the witnesses alongwith <del>support of</del> Additional Affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date: 06.07.2021</p> <p>M.A. No.196 of 2021 in O.A. No.41 of 2021</p> <p>E.B. Sayyed .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply for M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 06.07.2021</p> <p>M.A. No.178 of 2021 in O.A. No.490 of 2020</p> <p>S.R. Patil .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date: 06.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.437 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.A. Chavan</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 08.07.2019, whereby claim for appointment on compassionate ground is rejected.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.08.2021.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 03.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p> |


# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 06.07.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A. No.184 of 2021 in O.A. No.574 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>L.D. Veer</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Reply for M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <br/>           Sd/-<br/> <b>(A.Ā. Kurhekar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center"><b>Date: 06.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.50 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.N. Bhalchandra</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she has received instructions recently and seeks one week time to file Reply.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Reply as last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/><br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center">Date: 06.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.100 of 2021</p> <p>Y.K. Potekar .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted for filing Reply as last chance.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 09.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-<br/>w<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center">Date: 06.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.171 of 2021</p> <p>R.S. Gaikwad .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Learned P.O. submits that issue of consideration of Deemed Date of Promotion of the Applicant is under consideration and decision will be taken within a week. She <del>has</del> <sup>has</sup> sought one week time to appraise the Tribunal about the same or to file Reply if necessary.</li> <li>S.O. to 15.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right"> <br/>           Sd/-<br/>           (A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>           Member (J)         </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><b>Date: 06.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.225 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.D. Gawade</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">^<br/>Sd/-<br/><br/>v<br/><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.661/2020 with O.A.No.663/2020 with O.A.No.682/2020 with O.A.No.683/2020 with O.A.No.684/2020 with O.A.No.685/2020 with O.A.No.703/2020</b></p> <p>P.R. Ninave (O.A.661/2020)<br/>R.S. Yadav (O.A.663/2020)<br/>S.G. Koli (O.A.682/2020)<br/>S.G. Koli (O.A.683/2020)<br/>V.B. Koli (O.A.684/2020)<br/>P.R. Arage (O.A.685/2020)<br/>P.R. Waikar (O.A.703/2020) ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.685/2020 with O.A.No.703/2020 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar submits that the interim order which was passed on 20.11.2020 was continued till 02.07.2021 and the same be continued till next date.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted to be continued till next date. Adjourned to 03.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N. Dixit)</b><br/><b>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.136 of 2012</b></p> <p>P.D. Dorkar &amp; Ors. ... Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents. No.6 &amp; 7.</p> <p>2. Matter will be heard on 13.09.2021. The learned Counsel of both the sides are directed to remain present.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.09.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.428 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.A. Kamble ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel submits that the applicant had appeared for the selection for the post of Assistant Commissioner for Drugs in response to the advertisement published on 17.02.2012. Thereafter the examination was conducted by M.P.S.C. which published the select list and one Mr. Tirpude who was recommended from the waiting list did not join. As per communication on 18.03.2021 the applicant is praying that he is the next in the waiting list from the same category and as Mr. Tirukude did not join his case should be recommended.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.08.2021 .</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. Respondents No.1 and 2 to file affidavit-in-reply within a period of six weeks.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 17.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span><br/>Vice-Chairman (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**06.07.2021**

**O.A 355/2021**

Mrs Supriya S. Bhoir ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant produces chart of posting and total length of service rendered by the applicant. Copy of the same is taken on record and marked as Exh. 'A'.

3. The Resident Deputy Collector, Thane, Shri Vikas Patil, then Tahsildar, who has handled the file of the applicant in the year 2017 and Mr Bangar, the present Tahsildar, Thane, should remain present along with the necessary record before this Tribunal on the next date.

4. We are constrained to ask the Resident Deputy Collector, Thane to remain present only because unsatisfactory information is furnished to this Tribunal by his subordinate and we also came across inordinate delay and inaction on the part of the Department.

5. S.O to 9.7.2021.

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)**  
**Vice-Chairman (A)**

Akn

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

**06.07.2021**

**O.A 338/2020**

Shri K.B Kakade ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that no decision has been taken by the Respondents.

3. As no decision is taken by the Respondent no. 1, Revenue & Forest Department, we direct that concern responsible officer should remain present before this Tribunal and explain why decision has not been taken.

4. S.O to 13.7.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)**  
**Vice-Chairman (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p align="center">✓</p>   | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.448 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.R. Mane ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Dilip Bodke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant who is working as Naib Tahsildar seeks promotion to the post of Tahsildar. The orders of promotion in the rank of Tahsildar have been issued on 16.6.2021. According to him even though he was in the seniority list he has been superseded. He submits that the applicant has submitted a representation to reconsider the seniority list dated 25.2.2020 and 16.6.2021. He further submits that no reply is received to the said representation. The respondents are directed to file their reply within three weeks.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add three persons <sup>as</sup> party respondents. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.7.2021 after amendment is carried out.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)<br/>Vice-Chairman<br/>6.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson<br/>6.7.2021</p> </div> </div> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="right">322</p> <p align="center"><u>C.A. No.37 of 2019 in O.A. No. of 2017</u></p> <p>Dr. P.W. Khandezod ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time for confirmation of implementation as the matter is in Treasury of Palghar.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 27.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span><br/>Vice-Chairman <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span><br/>6.7.2021 <span style="float: right;">6.7.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

/C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
objections and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

O.A. No.151 of 2021

V.B. Taskhedkar

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for  
the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply dated 29.6.2021 on behalf of  
respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.

3. Admit.

4. S.O. to 20.7.2021 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

6.7.2021

(sgj)

A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.224 of 2021

B.N. Sathe

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for  
the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit in reply dated 15.6.2021 on behalf of  
respondent no.1 is already filed on record.

3. Admit.

4. S.O. to 27.7.2021 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

6.7.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><b>Date : 06.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.325 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>G. P. Rekulwad</b> <span style="float:right"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</span> <span>Sd/-</span> </p> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b></span> <span><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span> </p> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;"><b>Member (A)</b></span> <span><b>Member(J)</b></span> </p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center">Date : 06.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.202 of 2016</p> <p>S. S. Ketkar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 &amp; 2 is present. None for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel, the matter is adjourned to 13.07.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span><br/>Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center">Date : 06.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.617 of 2015</p> <p>P. M. Mulay &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant No.1 is unwell and Applicant No.2 is already stands retired from service. <del>Since</del> He needs to amend O.A. but for want of presence of Applicants, he is unable to do so. He, therefore, requested for time to take necessary steps to amend O.A. so as to challenge the final seniority list prepared during pendency of O.A..</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted as a last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Medha Gadgil)<br/>Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 06.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.301 of 2016</p> <p>J. A. M. H. Momin &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant Nos.2 and 4 do not want to continue O.A. and their names be deleted from O.A.. He has also tendered letters from Applicant No.2 and 4 to that effect. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X1' and 'X2'.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the names of Respondent Nos.2 – Shri Mallinath C. Lakade and Respondent No.4 –Shri Revansiddha P. Patil be deleted from O.A.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is directed to make necessary correction/endorsement in O.A. in respect of Applicant Nos.2 and 4. O.A. to continue for Applicant No.1 and 3 only.</p> <p>5. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply in view of final seniority list which is necessary for the adjudication of O.A.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span></p> <p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.36 of 2020 in O.A.No.1086 of 2016</b></p> <p>S.R. Sumbe ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this C.A. for non-compliance of the order issued by this Tribunal on 07.06.2019. According to the said order the Respondents were directed to consider the experience certificate of Indian Navy of the applicant and consider his claim for appointment accordingly.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. referred to the communication by the respondents to the applicant on 12.11.2021 (Exhibit-R-I).</p> <p>4. On examination of the same we notice that the orders of the Tribunal have been complied with.</p> <p>5. The learned Counsel for the Applicant argues that the compliance is not after considering his experience.</p> <p>6. It is, in our opinion, the separate grievance for which the applicant may consider separate necessary legal action.</p> <p>7. In view of this, C.A. is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit)<br/>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.07.2021.

**C.A.No.34 of 2020 in O.A.No.404 of 2018 with  
M.A.No.95 of 2021**

V.P. Pawar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.L. Dhone, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. During the hearing today learned C.P.O. produces the Government Resolution (G.R.) dated 24.05.2021 which mentions that the post for which the applicant is seeking promotion has been identified where specially abled person can be promoted. He further produces G.R. dated 05.07.2021, wherein paragraph 8 reads as under :-

“८. पदोन्नतीसाठी कमीत कमी ७ पदे उपलब्ध असल्यास १ पद दिव्यांगांसाठी आरक्षित करण्यात यावे. तसेच ७ पेक्षा जास्त पदे पदोन्नतीसाठी उपलब्ध होत असल्यास ४% विहित आरक्षणानुसार पदाची गणना करून दिव्यांगांसाठी पदे निश्चित करण्यात यावीत.”

3. The learned Counsel for the applicant mentions that he will make representation to the concerned accordingly.

4. As nothing further remains in the matter, C.A. is disposed off accordingly.

5. In M.A.No.95/2021, applicant has prayed that Respondents No.2 and 3 be directed to promote the applicant to the post of Deputy Controller (Legal Metrology) Group A/ Joint Controller (Legal Metrology) Group A and also adjust his promotional candidature for Deputy Controller (Legal Metrology) Group A/ Joint Controller (Legal Metrology) Group A on formation of policy of promotion of PWD for Group A & B post by General Administration Department.

6. These prayers in M.A. are beyond the ambit of C.A., as C.A. is restricted only to the extent of implementation of the order of the O.A. Hence, M.A. is disposed off.

7. C.A.No.34/2020 and M.A.No.95/2021 are disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

**Date : 06.07.2021.**

**C.A.No.5 of 2021 in T.A.No.1 of 2020**

K.C. Pawar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter is fixed on 06.08.2021. The applicant, as submitted by the learned P.O., is reinstated by the order dated 18.06.2021 and the order is placed on record. It is marked as Exhibit-A.

3. On perusal of the order we found the last sentence in Clause-7 of the said order is deviation from the order passed by this Tribunal and therefore we direct the learned P.O. to get the clarification on this point from the State and the modified order consistent with the orders' of the Tribunal be issued and to be placed on record on 06.08.2021.

4. Adjourned to 06.08.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(P.N/ Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.159 of 2021 in O.A.No.114 of 2020</b></p> <p>R.S. Jikare ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel submits that it is the second round of litigation and there is delay of 7 years and 2 months.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. prays for time.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 03.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span><br/><b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.254 of 2020</b></p> <p>R.S. Kadam ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate files affidavit-in-reply. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 05.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N.) Dixit</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span><br/><b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1115 of 2019</b></p> <p>S.B. More ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 is absent.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks to ensure that affidavit-in-reply is filed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.983 of 2019</b></p> <p>J.W. Patil ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply dated 10.11.2020 on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 4 is already filed.</p> <p>3. Matter is therefore admitted and kept final hearing with liberty to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 05.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.969 of 2018</b></p> <p>T.A. Diwan _____ ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We make it clear that is necessary to decide the proposal dated 07.12.2020 sent by the Home Department to Finance Department.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale seeks permission to make Finance Department as Party Respondent.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Amendment to carry out forthwith. Copy to be served on the Finance Department who is proposed Respondent No.4.</p> <p>5. Finance Department <del>to</del> decide the proposal at the earliest.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 03.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b><br/><b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p align="center"><u>C.A. No.17 of 2017 in O.A. No586. of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Chappalwar ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 16.7.2021 for hearing at 12.00 noon. Applicant to remain present.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(P.N. Dixit)<br/>Vice-Chairman<br/>6.7.2021<br/>(sg)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Chairperson<br/>6.7.2021</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><u>C.A. No.37 of 2019 in O.A. No. of 2017</u></p> <p>Dr. P.W. Khandezod ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time for confirmation of implementation as the matter is in Treasury of Palghar.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 27.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"> <br/> (P.N. Dixit)<br/> Vice-Chairman<br/> 6.7.2021 </p> <p align="center"> <br/> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)<br/> Chairperson<br/> 6.7.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.149 of 2020 in M.A.No.506 of 2019 in M.A.No.410 of 2019 in O.A.No.733 of 2019</b></p> <p>M.R. Rathod ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.A. Valimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.149/2020, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.8 &amp; 9.</p> <p>2. Mr. M.S. Bhandari, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.733/2019 and Mr. M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.410/2019 are absent.</p> <p>3. The M.A.No.149/2020 is preferred by the learned Advocate Mr. Valimbe to make 636 persons as party respondents as they are going to be affected if the O.A. is allowed.</p> <p>4. Permission granted to add 636 persons as party respondents in the array of Respondents in O.A. Two weeks time granted to make them party Respondents and served them through e-mail.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A.No.149/2020 is allowed.</p> <p>6. The State to file affidavit-in-reply by next date.</p> <p>7. M.A.No.410/2019 is intervention application. The learned Advocate Mr. Valimbe appearing for Applicant in M.A.No.149/2020 submits that the name of Mr. Vishnu Gangadhar Giri, Applicant in M.A.No.410/2019, appears at serial No.99 in M.A.No.149/2020 and therefore the M.A.No.410/2019 be also disposed off.</p> <p>8. In view of this M.A.No.410/2019 and M.A.No.149/2020 are disposed off.</p> <p>Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span><br/>Vice-Chairman (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b>Date : 06.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.204 of 2021 with M.A.No.176 of 2021<br/>with<br/>O.A.No.429 of 2021<br/>with<br/>O.A.No.205 of 2021 with M.A.No.199 of 2021</b></p> <p>B.B. Nimgire (O.A.204/21 with M.A.176/21)<br/>A.R. Ghemud &amp; Ors. (O.A.429/2021)<br/>Smt. S.J. Katkar (O.A.205/21 with M.A.199/21)<br/>... Applicants</p> <p><b>Vs.</b><br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. points out letter dated 31.05.2021 issued by the Joint Director Accounts and Treasury, Mumbai informing the Joint Secretary, Finance Department, Maharashtra State, that the earlier proposal dated 10.05.2021 which was mooted for seeking permission to fill up the posts of Junior Accountant and Accountant Clerk by out sourcing is now withdrawn. The copy is marked at Exhibit-A.</p> <p>3. In view of this nothing remains in M.A.No. 176/2021 &amp; M.A.No.199/2021 and therefore the same are disposed off.</p> <p>4. In Original Applications learned P.O. submits that in view of this development of letter dated 31.05.2021 the Respondents seek three weeks time to fill-up the posts by selection process considering the case of the Applicants.</p> <p>5. Time granted. Adjourned to 29.07.2021. All three Original Applications be clubbed together.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span><br/>Vice-Chairman (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.124 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.V. Ghuge ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard S.S. Solwat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter was on yesterday's board and is adjourned to 12.8.2021. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant the matter is taken on board today.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that she has received para wise remarks and she seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO to file reply by 12.8.2021 and copy of the same be served at least four days well in advance to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman Chairperson<br/>6.7.2021 6.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_


I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.779 of 2020</u></p> <p>K.P. Dhumale ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant the matter is taken on board though it is adjourned to 14.9.2021. The applicant is going to retire on 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to take specific instructions as to what stand is taken by the Government in case of the applicant pertaining to his promotion as it a second round of litigation for the same cause.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____<br/>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman Chairperson<br/>6.7.2021 6.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |



| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.431 of 2021</u></p> <p>N.B. More ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is working as Police Naik and he was denied Time Bound Promotion which was due to him in January, 2021. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant was under suspension for a period of seven years and he has completed the necessary period.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.8.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <sup>11</sup> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman Chairperson<br/>6.7.2021 6.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.448 of 2032</u></p> <p>V.R. Mane ..Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Dilip Bodke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant who is working as Naib Tahsildar seeks promotion to the post of Tahsildar. The orders of promotion in the rank of Tahsildar have been issued on 16.6.2021. According to him even though he was in the seniority list he has been superseded. He submits that the applicant has submitted a representation to reconsider the seniority list dated 25.2.2020 and 16.6.2021. He further submits that no reply is received to the said representation. The respondents are directed to file their reply within three weeks.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add three persons as party respondents. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.7.2021 after amendment is carried out.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)<br/> Vice-Chairman Chairperson<br/> 6.7.2021 6.7.2021</p> <p>(sgl)</p> |