

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.393 of 2013 with O.A.No.394 of 2013 with O.A.No.395 of 2013</p> <p>K.R. Shelke (O.A.393/2013) D.S. Salve (O.A.394/2013) R.V. Bansode (O.A.395/2013)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None appeared for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to ¹⁸15.06.2022 at 12.30 p.m. for Final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 685/2016 with O.A 703/2016</u></p> <p>Smt Hemlata B Gavhane [O.A 685/2016] C.D Pawar [O.A 703/2016] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter, the Tribunal passed order dated 13.7.2016, which reads as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“I have perused the record and proceedings and heard the rival submissions. The interim relief is sought in the context of a few other aspects of the matter, but I can concentrate only on one aspect of the matter, viz. keeping one post vacant for open female, although it appears that the Applicant is suffering from Locomotor Disability as well. In that connection, Mr Deshmukh submits that the relief should be considered for two posts, inasmuch as two candidates are likely to be affected. Considering the case of the Applicant such as it is, in my opinion the interest of both the sides shall be adequately safe-guarded by giving directions to the Respondents that in case, the appointments are made, then to the appointed candidate, it must be made clear that it will be strictly subject to the outcome of this OA, not necessarily at the time of the final disposal but even at the interim stage and if the Applicant was found entitled to the relief, then the one who would have to make way for her will have to do so. With this interim measure issue notice made returnable on 27th July, 2016.”</p> <p>3. The said order of the Tribunal dated 13.7.2016 was stayed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court by order dated 10th March, 2017, in W.P 2931/2017, the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“Counsel for the petitioner points out that the petitioner has not been impleaded as respondent in O.A No. 685/2016 and O.A No. 703/2016 and the order directing re-examination of the petitioner's case has been directed through the competent board for assessment to the extent of the percentage of disability. It is further directed to take steps within a period of one week from</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>report of action is directed to be furnished before the next date prescribed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>2. The order impugned has been issued without extending an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. The order therefore, shall stand stayed until further order."</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant points out that by order dated 18.3.2021 the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court allowed Interim Application No. 1908/2020 in W.P 11644/2017 of the present applicants Hemlata B. Gawhane & Ors.</p> <p>5. In the present Original Application the applicants have challenged the appointment of Mr Narayan S. Khambayat,</p> <p>6. This Original Application is fixed for hearing on 4.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.136 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.M. Khutale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghatge holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.244 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri Ajay Aswodkar i/b. Kshitija Wadatkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files rely on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record. Reply of respondent no.3 is already on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2022

O.A.No.848 of 2021

M.D. Chopde & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Chief Secretary of the State of Maharashtra, Mr. Manukumar Srivastava to take note that pursuant to the minutes of the meeting dated 08.04.2022, in order to facilitate the convenience of the Departments of Mantralaya the work of verification and bifurcation of all the matters was done during the summer vacation by this Tribunal. In response to the said minutes the circular dated 11.05.2022 was issued by O.S.D. (Legal) of the Tribunal. The copies of the same are sent to all the Departments and the said circular is annexed herewith for your reference. Thus, it is expected that the officer from the concerned Department shall remain present on the assigned days in the Tribunal to give instructions for the purpose of speedy disposal of the matters. However on the first date except from Public Health Department, no officer from the any other Departments is present till 11.00 a.m.

3. We direct the person from Home Department to remain present in the Tribunal at 10.30 a.m. sharp on 09.06.2022.

4. Adjourned to 09.06.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 06.06.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.337 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 28.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 288/2022</u></p> <p>Shri M.V Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is given promotion by order dated 31.5.2022 and he is promoted after opening the Sealed Cover to the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police.</p> <p>3. Copy of the said order dated 31.5.2022 is taken on record and marked as Exh.I. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the sealed cover of the applicant was opened and he was found exonerated from the D.E and he was eligible for promotion much earlier and therefore he is to be deemed to be promoted on the date when his next junior was promoted.</p> <p>4. In support of this, she relied on the Government Resolution dated 15.12.2017 and especially clause 12(c) which is reproduced below:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">१२) शिस्तभंगविषयक/न्यायालयीन कार्यवाही चालू असलेल्या प्रकरणी संबंधित अधिकारी/कर्मचारी दोषमुक्त ठरल्यास किंवा फौजदारी खटल्यात निर्दोष सुटल्यास, खालीलप्रमाणे कार्यवाही करावी:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">अ) तदर्थ पदोन्नती दिलेली नसल्यास त्याचे मोहोरबंद पाकीट उघडून त्यातील निष्कर्षानुसार तो पदोन्नतीसाठी पात्र ठरत असल्यास, त्याला नियमित पदोन्नती दयावी.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ब) अधिकारी/कर्मचा-यास तदर्थ पदोन्नती दिलेली असल्यास, दिलेली तदर्थ पदोन्नती नियमित करण्यात यावी.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">क) मोहोरबंद पाकीटातील शिफारशीनुसार तो पदोन्नतीसाठी पात्र असल्यास, संबंधीत अधिकारी/कर्मचा-यास कनिष्ठ असलेल्या अधिकारी/कर्मचा-याला ज्या निवडसूचीत स्थान देण्यात येवून नियमित पदोन्नती देण्यात आली असेल, अशा कनिष्ठ अधिकारी/कर्मचा-याच्या पदोन्नतीचा दिनांक हा त्यांना पदोन्नतीचा मानीव दिनांक देण्यात यावा.”</p> <p>5. Further she relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of UNION OF INDIA & ORS Vs. K.V JANKIRAMAN & ORS (1991) 4 SCC 109.</p> <p>6. We call upon Mr Swapnil Borse, Deputy Secretary, Home Department and Ms Geeta Kulkarni, Deputy Secretary, G.A.D to remain present before this Tribunal on 9.6.2022.</p> <p>7. S.O to 9. 6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">A/cn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.460 of 2020</p> <p>D. J. AmbilwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard the Applicant in person and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged initiation of Departmental Enquiry by charge sheet dated 21.09.2017 as well as second D.E. initiated by charge sheet dated 21.02.2018 (Page 29 and 42 respectively). 3. Learned C.P.O. sought time to clarify the present status of both Departmental Enquires. Whereas, the Applicant contends that in one D.E. he is exonerated. It is necessary to confirm what are the orders passed by the Disciplinary Authority in Departmental Enquires and its present status so as to dispose of this O.A. expeditiously. 4. The Applicant stands retired on 31.10.2018 and raised grievance of withholding of retiral benefits due to pendency of D.E. 5. Learned C.P.O. is directed to take accurate and complete instructions from the department and to apprise the Tribunal on 08.06.2022. 6. S.O. to 08.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A) vsm</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.579 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. M. A.WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- ✓ (Bijay Kumar) Member (A) vsm</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.178 of 2018</p> <p>M.B. ChennigundApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri V.P. Potbhare submits that the Applicant passed away on 10.03.2022 during the pendency of this O.A.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant has challenged initiation of D.E. and during the pendency of D.E. he died. Therefore D.E. abates in terms of Rule 13 (iv) of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>4. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.96 of 2017</p> <p>A.B. Dalvi & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant at the very outset tendered letter dated 09.03.2022 issued by the Additional Commissioner of Police, Mumbai stating that D.E. proposed against the Applicant is dropped. Letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'A'. 3. In this O.A. challenge was to the initiation of D.E. which is later dropped as seen from the letter dated 09.03.2022. As such, O.A. has become infructuous and accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.187 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was lying undated in the office and today for the first time, it is listed for final hearing. It further reveals that lastly the matter was adjourned for filing Rejoinder as seen from order dated 19.09.2018.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for filing Rejoinder, if any as well as for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A) vsm</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.867/2016</u>
	<p>Dr. R.M. Haridas ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant had challenged initiation of DE by Charge-sheet dated 02.01.2016. Since there was no stay to the DE proceeding, DE was continued. However, till date, no final order is passed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that Applicant has filed another O.A.No.110/2021 for direction to decide his representation and pass appropriate order in DE. O.A.No.110/2021 whereby ^{whereby} directions were given to the Respondents to decide the representation filed by the Applicant and to pass final order in DE within two months. ^{by order D-7/19/21}</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that till date, no final order is passed in DE. It is really disgusting that despite specific direction by the Tribunal, final decision is not taken in DE. The Applicant stands retired during the pendency of DE but because of non-passing of final order in DE, his retiral benefits are withheld due to sheer inaction and delay on the part of Respondents in taking final decision in DE.</p> <p>5. On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted for passing final order in DE which is without prejudice to the right of the Applicant to proceed in Contempt Petition in view of non-compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.110/2021 dated 07.09.2021.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 13th June, 2022. Steno-copy granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 06.06.2022 06.06.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.446/2018

Dr. A.A. Mane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. is present.
2. The Applicant had challenged Show Cause Notice dated 04.05.2018 whereby he was called upon to submit his explanation upon enquiry report.
3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 12.10.2018 passed by Government which shows that after considering the reply of Applicant, the Government has closed the DE. Furthermore, the Applicant expired on 26.10.2018. The order dated 12.10.2018 closing the enquiry is taken on record and marked by letter 'A'.
4. In view of above, O.A. has become infructuous and is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-	Sd/-
(Bijay Kumar)	(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-A	Member-J
06.06.2022	06.06.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.937 of 2016</p> <p>R.K. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The perusal of record reveals that matter was lying in the office undated and for the first time it is listed before this Bench for Final Hearing therefore in the interest of justice adjourned for Final Hearing. S.O. to 23.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.66 of 2017</p> <p>V.S. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.368 of 2017</p> <p>R.H. AangreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.949 of 2017</p> <p>A.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The perusal of order dated 18.03.2019 reveals that amendment was allowed and a fresh notice was issued returnable on 08.04.2019 with condition that if notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal. However, no such steps were taken to issue notice meaning thereby O.A. deemed to have been dismissed for non compliance of the order dated 18.03.2019. In view of above, O.A. stands dismissed with no order as to costs. <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.14 of 2018</p> <p>R.S. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. The perusal of record reveals that the matter was lying in the office undated and today for the first time it is listed before this bench therefore in the interest of justice adjourned for Final Hearing. S.O. to 29.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.113 of 2018</p> <p>S.P. BalekundriApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that initially Tribunal has allowed O.A. by order dated 24.09.2018 but later in R.A. No.23/2018 in O.A. No.113/2018 by order dated 11.04.2019 Tribunal set aside the order dated 24.09.2018 since it was ex-parte order and restored O.A. for Final Hearing. Thereafter, Respondent No.1 & 2 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply. However, no separate Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 is filed though enough time is already availed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has received copy of Reply today only and sought two weeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.890 of 2018</p> <p>B.N. NagareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was lying undated in the office and today for the first time, it is listed for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Therefore, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.741 of 2018</p> <p>M. L. BramhaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 09.06.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.501 of 2018</p> <p>V. K. MeherApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter is for final hearing but learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to take instructions from his client and to study the matter. 3. One week time is granted for final hearing. 4. S.O. to 16.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.663 of 2018</p> <p>A. S. KurundkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.445 of 2022</u></p> <p>Shri Prakash M. Karne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order of recovery dated 20.2.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO, on instructions from the officers present in the Court, submits that, no recovery will be made.</p> <p>4. Hence, no recovery is to be made.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.104 of 2022 in O.A. No. ¹373 of 2021</p> <p>A.K. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The perusal of record reveals that matter is already kept on 09.06.2022 for compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 04.05.2022 whereby direction were given to the Department that subject to outcome of this O.A. promotion is to be granted to the Applicant. 3. Learned P.O. sought time to take instruction from the Department about the compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 04.05.2022. 4. Matter be kept on fixed dated i.e. 09.06.2022 for compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 04.05.2022. 5. S.O. to 09.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 516/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.S Sawant, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, who retired on 31.10.2016, challenges the order dated 22.4.2021 and 4.6.2021 passed by Respondents No 1 & 2 where under the period of 5 years 1 month and 23 days from 10.4.2012 to 9.6.2017 was treated as dies-non and accordingly the applicant is denied the pay and allowances of the said period.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 4.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>06.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 493/2022</u></p> <p>Shri U.M Sasave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the transfer order dated 18.2.2022.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 4.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.848 of 2021</p> <p>M.D. Chopde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Chief Secretary of the State of Maharashtra, Mr. Manukumar Srivastava to take note that pursuant to the minutes of the meeting dated 08.04.2022, in order to facilitate the convenience of the Departments of Mantralaya the work of verification and bifurcation of all the matters was done during the summer vacation by this Tribunal. In response to the said minutes the circular dated 11.05.2022 was issued by O.S.D. (Legal) of the Tribunal. The copies of the same are sent to all the Departments and the said circular is annexed herewith for your reference. Thus, it is expected that the officer from the concerned Department shall remain present on the assigned days in the Tribunal to give instructions for the purpose of speedy disposal of the matters. However on the first date except from Public Health Department, no officer from the any other Departments is present till 11.00 a.m.</p> <p>3. We direct the person from Home Department to remain present in the Tribunal at 10.30 a.m. sharp on 09.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.452 of 2017</p> <p>C.J. Gudewar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that this matter pertains to reservation in promotion and it is subject to the outcome of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of State of Maharashtra & Ors. Versus Vijay Ghogre in S.L.P. No.28306 of 2017.</p> <p>3. In view of above matter is adjourned to 27.07.2022</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 515/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.S Sawant, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, who retired on 31.10.2016, seeks directions to the Respondents to forthwith release his pre and post retirement dues.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 4.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.903 of 2016</p> <p>S.B. SasteApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate matter is fixed on 13.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.114 of 2017 in O.A. No.394 of 2016</p> <p>N.R. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present The perusal of record reveals that the matter was pending in the office as undated and for the first time it is listed before this Bench for hearing. Since, learned Advocate for the Applicant and his Advocate are absent, adjourned in the interest of justice, it be kept on 09.06.2022 for hearing. S.O. to 09.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.373 of 2021 in O.A. No.729 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. 3. Perusal of record reveals that matter is fixed on 08.06.2022 which is the date fixed for Irrigation department but it is listed today. 4. It be kept on 08.06.2022. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.591 of 2021 in O.A. No.1016 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KasarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. A.S. Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that matter is already kept on 08.06.2022. Hence, It be kept on fixed date i.e. 08.06.2022.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.163 of 2022 in O.A. No.286 of 2022</p> <p>M.H.I. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.114 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. DhondeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.347 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. BhoirApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.423 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. WaydandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 24.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.427 of 2022</p> <p>N.G. KhatakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 23.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 592/2015</u></p> <p>Shri J.S Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gailwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We have perused the record. The applicant challenging his reversion order seeks relief on the basis of the judgment passed by this Tribunal dated 4.7.2014 in O.A Nos 1215, 1128 & 1227/2013 and order dated 1.9.2014 in R.A 23/2014.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O informs that the Home Department had challenged the order of the Tribunal before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P 8926/2015 and the Hon'ble Bombay High Court by order dated 24.4.2018 has stayed the operation of the impugned order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. In view of this, the matter cannot proceed till the Writ Petition is finally decided by the Hon'ble High Court. It is upto the learned counsel for the applicant to take necessary steps.</p> <p>5. S.O to 5.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.27 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. KhadseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day and she will also serve upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.06.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1032 of 2021</p> <p>A.L. JagtapApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. by way of last chance adjourned to 01.08.2022 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.192 of 2022</p> <p>M.N. ShingadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. prays for time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 06.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) / Chairperson</p> <p>prk .</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.236 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. Yogesh Fegade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that she will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day and also serve the copy to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.06.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.186 of 2022</p> <p>A.A. KhedekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 04.07.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.378 of 2021</p> <p>S.V. TateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that she will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day and also serve the copy to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Matter is fixed for rejoinder, if any. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.167 of 2021</p> <p>A.A. Deshmukh & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 01.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 06.06.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.469 of 2021</p> <p>S.U. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 04.07.2022 for filing of affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 06.06.2022 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.803 of 2021</p>
	<p>M.D. Walunj & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that she has not received instructions from the office of Home Department.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 04.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 06.06.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.757 of 2020</p> <p>C.M. KabadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P.B. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that affidavit of service to the amended O.A. is not yet filed.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply is filed to the O.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 06.06.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.898 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. Salunkhe & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Avinash Gikhale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply of Respondent No.1 is already filed. However reply of Respondents No.2, 3 and 4 is not filed.</p> <p>3. In view that reply of Respondents No.2, 3 and 4 is not filed till today matter to proceed without reply of Respondents No.2, 3 and 4.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.07.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">prk</p>