IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.494 OF 2019

Shri Maula S. Sayyed.)...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.)...Respondents

Mrs. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 06.06.2019

ORDER

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri
 A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As per order passed by this Tribunal on 4th June, 2019, time was given to the learned P.O. to seek instructions from the Respondents about the posting order of the Applicant in pursuance of transfer order dated 29th May, 2019 whereby the Applicant has been transferred from Railway Police to C.I.D, Pune.

3. However, today again, the learned P.O. requested for grant of time on the ground that the concerned Officer is not available. Whereas the learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for interim relief.

Jun 2

4. The perusal of impugned order dated 29th February, 2019 reveals that the transfer orders have been issued on request of the Police Personnel though it has reference of Section 22-N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act. Except the reference of 22-N(2), no other reason is forthcoming in impugned transfer order. On the contrary, there is specific mention that the transfer orders are issued on requests of the Police Personnel. Whereas, the representation of the Applicant was for transfer at Pune Commissionerate or Pimpri-Chinchwad Commissionerate. However, he has been transferred to C.I.D, Pune which is not as per his request. Admittedly, he has not completed his normal tenure. As such, *prima-facie*, the transfer order seems not in consonance with Section 22-N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act.

5. In view of above, by way of interim relief, the implementation of impugned transfer order dated 29th May, 2019 qua the Applicant has been stayed till fling of the reply.

6. Issue notice returnable on 25th June, 2019.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 10. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 25th June, 2019. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR) Member-J

Mumbai Date: 06.06.2019 Dictation taken by: S.K. Wamanse. DISANIAY WAMANSE/UUDGIMENTS/2019/6 June, 2019/0.4.494.2019.doc

.

		[Spl. MAT-F-2 E. ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
Original App	lication No. o	f 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	The State) versus of Maharashtra and others
(Presenting)	Dfficer	Respondent/s
Office Note Appea	s, Office Memoranda of Coram, rance, Tribunal's orders or ons and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 06.06.2019
		O.A.No.918 of 2017 with M.A.No.432 of 2017 (Subject : Pay Scale)
		M.D. Jamunkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	•	1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
		2. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicant has produced the copy of letter dated 22.05.2019 issued by the Commissioner for Welfare for physically handicapped, Pune. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.
DATE : CORAM : Hon'ble Just Hon'ble Shr APPEARAD		3. Learned Advocate has submitted that since the grievance of the applicant has been redressed in view of the order passed by Commissioner for Welfare for physically handicapped, Pune, Applicant does not want to proceed with O.A.918/2017 and M.A.No.432/2017. Pence, learned Advocate prays to dispose of the O.A. as well as M.A.
Shri/Smt 1	R.M. Kdg Ld.	4. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents has prayed to dispose of the O.A. as well as M.A.
	For the Respondent's. GA. and MA. both 5/c	5. Since the Applicant does not want to proceed with the matter, O.A. and M.A. both are disposed of. No order as to costs.
	'EE	Sd/- Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman (A) Vice-Chairman (J) prk

.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) [Spl. M. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI Ì

Original	Application No.	of 20	DIST	
(Advocate	9)		Applicant/s
	·			
		versus		
	The State	e of Maharashtra a	nd others	
č			·	Respondent/s
(Presenti	ng Officer)	
Office	Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ap	pearance. Tribunal's orders or ections and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's ord	lers
		9	<u>0.A.1187/16</u>	
9		Shri M.A. Abha Vs.	ve & Ors.	Applicants
1		The State of Ma	ah. & ors.	Respondents
				nsel both are absent. d P.O. for Respondents.
		in the morning absent, and t session. Howe Applicant and h	, but the Applica herefore, it was ever, in afterno his Counsel both a crested in the ma	earing and was called out nt and his Counsel were s kept back in second oon session also, the are absent. As such, they tter. Hence, the O.A. is
				Sd/-
				P. Kurhekar)
				Member-J
		(skw)	C	06.06.2019

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-8-2017)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	20 DISTRICT
Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Date : 06.06.2019 _{Tribunal's orders}
directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.No.1013 of 2018
	S. A. PatilApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti
	Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered short
	Affidavit of the Respondent No.3 along with true
	coples of log book. It is taken on record.
	3. The Applicant Insists for production of original
	log book for verification.
	4. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to
	produce original log book for perusal of the applicant
	on the next date of hearing.
	5. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.
	6. S.O. to 21.06.2019.
	N Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
vsm	Member(J)

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (57,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

iginal Application No. of	20 DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
lvocate)
	·
	Uersub
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
esenting Officer	······································
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>0.A.562/17</u>
	Shri.B.D. Gavati Applicant
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	 Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents. In the present O.A, the Applicant has sought direction to the Respondents to grant medical leave
	from 16.09.2014 to 22.10.2014 and from 16.11.2014 to 13.10.2015. This is the only relief claimed by the Applicant in the present O.A.
	3. The perusal of the record reveals that the Applicant was absent on duty for a longer period without getting the leave sanctioned and later he had submitted application for grant of leave along with Medical Certificate. However, the Department had issued a Memo/Show Cause Notice under Rule 10 of
	Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 (hereinafter referred to 'Rules of 1979'). The Applicant had already submitted his reply stating that due to illness, he was absent on duty. However, no further orders are passed on his explanation submitted to the Department. It is on this background, the present O.A. has been filed for direction to grant Medical Leave.
	4. Needless to mention that it is for the Department i.e. Respondent No.3 to consider the application of the Applicant for grant of Medical Leave in accordance to

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Tribunal's orders
Rules and also to take appropriate decision about Show Cause Notice issued to the Applicant under Rule 10 of 'Rules of 1979'. The Applicant has already filed his reply but no further orders are passed.
5. In view of above, the present O.A. is prematur and deserves to be disposed of with suitable directions.
6. The O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.3 to pass appropriate order on th application made by the Applicant for grant of Medica Leave as well as his reply to the Show Cause Notic issued to him under Rule 10 of 'Rules of 1979'. Th appropriate decision be taken in accordance to Rule within a month and it be communicated to the Applican within two weeks thereafter.
7. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, n may avail remedy in accordance to law. No orders as to costs.
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J 06.06.2019
(skw)
:
•

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O.A.No.497 of 2019

R. S. Khartode & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out 2. that the present matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by Nagapur Bench in O.A. Nos.636, 733 & 599/2013, decided on 26.02.2015 as well as the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.737/2014 decided on 22.07.2015 and, therefore, prayed for interim relief to stay the recovery.

3. Whereas, the learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to take instructions and to file reply.

Prima-facie, the present issue seems to have been 4. covered by the decision rendered by this Tribunal referred to above. Hence, I am inclined to grant interim relief as prayed in Paragraph No.10(a) of the O.A.

5. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.

Issue notice before admission returnable on 6. 03.07.2019.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

In case notice is not collected within seven days or 11. service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(G.C.P.)	J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

Spl. MAT-F-2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

·····

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

1	ce Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance. Tribunal's orders or lirections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
i		Date : 06.06.2019.	
		M. A. No.288 of 2019 in O.A.No.497 of 2019	
		R. S. Khartode & OrsApplicants	
		Versus	
		The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
		1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
-		2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.	
		3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.	
•		 In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 	
		5. M.A. is allowed.	
		Sd/-	
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O.A.No.496 of 2019

S. P. Kale

 $\mathbf{2}$

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N. P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. *Prima-facie*, there is no compliance of Transfer Act, 2005 as admittedly it is a case of mid-tenure transfer of the Applicant. Hence, till filing of the reply, status quo is granted.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.06.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. to 11.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

h.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

2

O.A.No.669, 670, 671, 672 & 673 of 2017

A. D. Manchekar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. The Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This matter was taken up for hearing before vacation and at that time it was pointed out to the learned Advocate for the Applicants that as per submission made by the learned P.O., the benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion was already given to the Applicants from the date of their initial appointments from 1995 to 1996. As such, it was brought to the notice of the learned Advocate for the Applicants that the benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion has already given to the Applicants considering their earlier temporary service from 1995 to 1996 and he was asked to take instructions from his clients to apprise the Tribunal as to how the grievance of the Applicants consideres.

3. However, today learned Advocate and his clients are absent.

4. Learned P.O. is directed to produce the orders of grant of 1st Time Bound Promotion to the Applicants considering their services from 1995 to 1996 along with Affidavit so that the Original Application can be disposed of expeditiously.

5. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

6. S.O. to 13.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O. A. No.511 of 2019

J. H. Patil

 $\mathbf{2}$

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This Intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 10.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders nr directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O. A. No.510 of 2019

U. S. Waval

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

S. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O.A.Nos.498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506 507 & 508 of 2019

R. D. Nanaware & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougue, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In all these Original Applications, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 31.05.2019. The perusal of the record reveals that on the same day, conflicting orders have been issued by the Respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, thereby changing their place of new posting. There is absolutely no reference of cancellation or supersession of the first order which is also issued on the same date. It is, therefore, necessary to know which is the final order.

3. The Respondent No.2 needs to clarify the situation as to how these two inconsistent orders have been issued on the same day.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This Intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 10.06.2019

Sd/-

 $\mathbf{2}$

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O. A. No.509 of 2019

P. S. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O.A.No.497 of 2019

R. S. Khartode & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the present matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by Nagapur Bench in O.A. Nos.636, 733 & 599/2013, decided on 26.02.2015 as well as the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.737/2014 decided on 22.07.2015 and, therefore, prayed for interim relief to stay the recovery.

3. Whereas, the learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to take instructions and to file reply.

4. *Prima-facie*, the present issue seems to have been covered by the decision rendered by this Tribunal referred to above. Hence, I am inclined to grant interim relief as prayed in Paragraph No.10(a) of the O.A.

5. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)			

ISpl. MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

ffice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance. Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
· ;		
	Date : 06.06.2019.	
	M. A. No.288 of 2019 in O.A.No.497 of 2019	
i.	R. S. Khartode & DrsApplican	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learne Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougu learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.	
	3. Considering the cause of action pursued by th Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, th cases are not required to be decided separately.	
	4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.	
	5. M.A. is allowed.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

7

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The Stat	e of Maharasht	ra and others
(Presenting Officer		Respondent/s
		······································
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	Date : 06	5.06.201 9 .
		O.A.No.319 of 2016
	N. D. Pa	tilApplicant
	Versus	
	The Stat	e of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	the Appl	leard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for licant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief ng Officer for the Respondents.
	2. L	earned C.P.O. for the Respondents requested
	to take A	Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on record which was
		d to be filed on 22.04.2019 in terms of order by the Tribunal.
	3. L	earned C.P.O. further submitted that that
	inadvert	ently it was not filed on 22.04.2019 and
	requeste	ed to take it on record today.
	4. /	Allowed to file Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder. It is
	taken or	
		On the request of learned Advocate for the
	•	it, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.
	0. 2	5.0. to 13.06.2019.
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	v\$m	

ļ

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASH	TRA ADMI MUM		(Spl MAT)F-2 E. BUNAL
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRIC	T
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	ue rs	us	
${ m Tb}$	e State of Maha	rashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	·····	·····)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directioas and Registror's ord	or	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.2</u>	62 of 2019
		D.B. Dhawale Vs.	. Applicant
		The State of Maharashtra & Or	rs. Respondents
		Applicant as well as A absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manch Officer for the Respondents.	dvocate for the Applicant are ekar, learned Chief Presenting
		2. Ld. CPO seeks four we	eks time to file reply.
		3. S.O. to 28.6.2019.	
			Sd/-
			(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6. 2 019
DATE: <u>C[G[20]9</u> <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justi Hon'ble Ser <u>P.H. D'AF</u> (Member)	m Thy	(sgj)	
APPEnne Shri/S Advocate in AMI. O'C absent Shri/Sma S-P. Manchekgr C.P.O/P.O. in an despondent/s	·.	· · · ·	
Ady To. 28/6/2019	in the		
: :			

.

t

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ADMINI MUMBA		(Spl MAT-F-2 E. NAL
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
The State	<i>versus</i> e of Mah a rasht	tra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appaarance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1141 of 2018 with M	4.A. No.670 of 2018
	G.V.	Naik	Applicant
	The	Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, 1 icant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, er for the Respondents.	
	2. well	Ld. CPO states that she pro as MA and seeks two weeks tin	poses to file reply in OA me for the same.
	3.	S.O. to 27.6.2019.	~
			Sd/-
DATE: CICISOIS			(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6. 2 019
Han ble Shri W. Ramesikumar (Member)	> (sgj)		
APPEAR R. M. Kelge			
A ANDER S-P-MENCHELKER CHUIBERT CHUIBERT			
Adj Ta 2-7/ 6/2019			
& Te			
· · · ·			

T

м	MUM	INISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL BAI	
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
Advocate	,	Apj	olicant/s
Advocate			
Th		sus arashtra and others	
		Respo	ndent/s
Presenting Officer			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.776 of 2017	
······································		N.A. Polani	Applicant
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	. Respondent
		Applicant as well as Advocate for t absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Officer for the Respondents.	
	:	2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to t of last chance.	file repiy by v
		3. S.O. to 8.7.2019 by way of last change	e.
			Sd/-
DATE: <u>CIGPOIS</u> <u>ORAM</u> : Hoe'ble Jestice Starr A. H. Joshi (Chainny	2-A>	Vice-Ch	. Dixit) airman (A) .2019
Hoa'ble St : + Killesikandt (Mendet)	Ă /		
sprivson Applicant as Well Nocet for the Applicant a			
Shri/Sha S-P-MGMCheller C.P.O/P.O. TC. 40 1990 - 2000000			
Adj. Ta			
ىك			i i

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)			(Spl MAI-F-2 E.
IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ADMIN MUMBA		BUNAL
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	ve rs us		
The State	of Mah a ras	ntra and others	
		,	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	·····) 	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.12</u>	<u>3 of 2018</u>
	R.S	. Pawar Vs.	Applicant
	The	State of Maharashtra & Ors	sRespondents
			is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Presenting Officer for the
	2.	Ld. CPO files reply and	the same is taken on record.
	3.	Admit. To come up for	final hearing in due course.
			Sd/-
			(P.N/Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019
DATE: <u>CIGI-UI9</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Stati Ar H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Stati Ar H. Joshi (Chairman) A Frie Ar H.	(sgj)	
Advocation of the state of the			
Adi Ta Admit: To come up for final hearing in due course.)		
"He			
· · · ·			

		AINISTRATIVE TRIBUN MBAI	
Original Application No.	of 20	District	
~		•••	Applicant/s
Advocate)	
	υ	ersus	
Th	e State of Ma	harashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Offica Notas, Offica Memoranda of Appaarance, Tribunal's ordars directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.234 of 20	<u>018</u>
		P.R. Wagh & Ors.	.Applicants
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondent
		Heard Ms. Sonali Humane, lea for Ms. Ranjana Todankar, learne Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, le Officer for the Respondents.	ed Advocate for
		2. Ld. CPO states that she is still from the respondents and seeks two w	
		3. S.O. to 1.7.2019.	~
			Sd/-
DATE: CIGI2019		Vi	(P.N. Dixit) ice-Chairman (A)
CORALE : Hos 'ble sustance star A . H. Joshi (Chairy Hos 'ble		(sgj)	6.6.2019
MS. Sonali Hyman halding for MS. Repain Fida Stational S. P. Manchell C. P. J. P. Manchell	e Ld Adv. NKor 87.		
C.P.O / H.C. N. AK RAGUMACHIE			
	y te		

G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA		ISpl- MAT-F-2 E. MINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MBAI
Original Application No.	of 20	District Applicant/s
Advocate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The Sta		ersus harashtra and others
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders O.A. No.634 of 2018
		U.D. Kharat & Ors
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors
·		 Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time till 21.6.2019 for filing rejoinder and providing necessary information in tabulated form.
		3. S.O. to 21.6.2019.
Drie: <u>C[G]20]9</u> CCALL: CONTRACTOR For A H. Josefi (Chairpon) inter 25 - Contractive (Alember) A inter 25 - U-V. Bhosalc St _ U-V. Bhosalc	>	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019
C.P.O. / P.C. R. MS Ross Incontro		
Acts To 21/6/2019 BR		

N THE MAHARASHTRA		MBA		·		
Priginal Application No.	of 20			DISTRIC		
					Арр	olicant/s
Advocate))				
	ve	e rs us				
The Sta	te of Mal	harasht	rá and othe	rs		
					Respo	ndent/s
Presenting Officer)			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	k 9		Trib	unal's orders O.A. No.7	41 of 2018	
	-	M.L.	Bramhane Vs.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applicant
		The S	vs. State of Maha	urashtra & Or	·S.	Responden
		and M	hri J.N. Kar	nble, learneo nchekar, lea	im, learned A l Advocate for med Chief Pr	of the Appli
		2. behal	Ld. CPO f of the respo		week's time	to file reply
		3.	S.O. to 14.	6.2019.		
					ç	Sd/-
DATE: GG 2-019 CORAM:					(P.N. Vice-Cha	. Dixit) airman (A) 2019
Hou'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hou'ble Shri M. Ramoshkundar (Member) A		(sgj)			0.0	.2019
APPEndant M						
Strija M.B.Kadim Ld. Adv. Selding for shr. J.A. Kander	-				·	
Shet /Sine: S. P. Mg n Chaker C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s						
ад Та. 14/6/2019						
B.L.	-					
			:			
		·				

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017) IN THE MAHARÀSHTR	A ADMI	INISTRATIVE TRIBUNA	MAT-F-2 E. L
	MUM		
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
The St	vers	rashtra and others	
	ate of Malia		spondent/s
(Presenting Officer			
		······································	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coras Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m,	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.836 of 2018</u>	
		U.K. Survade & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
		The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri O.M. Kulkarni, learn Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchek Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
		2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants time to show that the applicants are si others with whom they demand priority.	
		3. S.O. to 12.7.2019.	Sd/-
DATE: <u>GC2019</u> <u>COBAM</u> : <u>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jogni (Chaiman)</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkohtar (Membor) A</u> <u>APPEAL and C</u> <u>Shri / Shri C. P.O. M. Kutkerni</u> <u>Abocha</u> <u>Shri / Shri C. P.O. for the respondent/s</u> <u>A. J. 2019</u> <u>A. J. 2019</u> <u>A. J. 2019</u> <u>A. J. 2019</u> <u>A. J. 2019</u> <u>A. J. 2019</u>	k		P.N. Dixit) -Chairman (A) 6.6.2019
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

.

	of 20		DISTRICT		
Original Application No.	01 20			Applica	nt/s
Advocate)			
	ש	e rs us			
The	State of Ma	h a rashtra	and others		
				Responde	nt/s
(Presenting Officer		·····)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orde	or		Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.871</u>	<u>of 2018</u>	
		S.R. Dı	urape Vs.	. Aj	oplicant
			te of Maharashtra & Ors.	.Re	espondents
		Applica	Heard Shri M.D. Lonka ant and Ms. S.P. Manchek for the Respondents.		
		2.	Ld. CPO seeks two week	s time to filerer	biy.
		3.	S.O. to 28.6.2019.		
				Sc	J/-
				(P.N. Di Vice-Chairm	
DATE: GG2019 CORAM:		(sgj)		6.6.201	
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairm Hon'ble Shri M. Ramcstikumar (Member	-A)				
Airvine for the Applicant					
Shri 75mi S. P. MGMUNU C.P.O / BO. for the Respondent/s	<u>er</u>				
Adj. To 2-2/6/2019	4178				
b	Dite .				
	-				

-1-

A.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) N THE MAHARASHI	RA ADM MUM	INISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL BAI	-F-2 E.
Priginal Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		Appl	icant/s
Advocate)		
	ver	sus	
The	State of Maha	arashtra and others	
		Respon	ident/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's orde	r	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.73 of 2019	
difections and registrar s orde		V.R. Rathod	Applicant
		Vs.	Respondents
		Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Ad Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C Officer for the Respondents.	
		2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file	reply.
		3. S.O. to 5.7.2019.	
		S	 6d/-
		(P.N.	
			rman (A)
DATE: 662-019		(sgj)	
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shrit A. H. Joshi (Chairan P. T. D. XIT V/C-J	g)		
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)			
APPEARANCE: Shri/Sont: U.V. Bhosale		·	
Advacate for the Applicant Shri/Sau. S-P. ManChell	~		
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	7.		
Ad To 517/2019	h		
AG. 1			
	J. k		
	-		
	I		1

· ··· ··

N THE MAHARASH		IBAI		
Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	
				Applicant/s
Advocate)			
	ve	rsus		
Tr	ne State of Mab	arashtra and o	thers	
			 .	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	j	Fribunal's orders O.A. No.128 of 2	2019
		S.L. Shete		Applicant
		Vs. The State of M	Aaharashtra & Ors.	.Respondents
		Applicant and		learned Advocate for th learned Chief Presentin
		2. Ld. CI	O seeks one week's ti	me to file repty.
		3. S.O. to	0 13.6.2019.	
				Sd/-
				(P.N. Dixit)
CI612019			١	/ice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019
DRAM : Iou"ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman Iou"ble Shri M. RaniesiRamar (Member).	p A-	(sgj)		0.0.2019
Brite C.T. Chandraty	e			
dween of the Applicant Shri /Smr. <u>S. P. MGNCKULEY</u> S.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s				
Adj. To. 13/6/2-019.	**			
	BR			
				;

- ---- - **-**-- --

riginal Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	
				. Applicant/s
Advocate		I		
	U	2 r 8u8		
Tł	e State of Ma	harashtra and other		
		,		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	······) 		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's or	or	Tribu	inal's orders <u>O.A. No.85 of 20</u>	<u>19</u>
		A.V. More Vs.		Applicant
		The State of Maha	rashtra & Ors.	Responden
		for Ms. Ranjana	Sonali Humane, lea Todankar, learne mt. K.S. Gaikwae spondents.	ed Advocate for
			ate for the applicant s from the applicant	
		3. S.O. to 12.	6.2019.	
				Sd/-
DATE: 662019 CORAM: How "ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chajm	en)		Vi	(P.N. Dixit)* ice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairm Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member	\\\	(sgj)		
AFPEARANCE: StarSint Son ali Human - 1 adar for Snel Rasna Too Advocate for the Applicant Shri-/Sint: KS Ga Kulcol C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	d-nati. landor			
Adı Ta 1246/2019				
ý	y.a			

ſ

IN THE MAHARASHTRA		MBAI			
Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRIC		appt/s
				Appl	leanus
(Advocate)				
	υ	e r sus			
The Sta	te of Mal	harashtra an	d others		
				Respor	dent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	19		Tribunal's order <u>O.A. No.1</u>	<u>131 of 2018</u>	
		B.D. Chav Vs			.Applicant
			of Maharashtra & C	Drs.	.Respondents
		Applicant	ard Shri K.R. Jag and Shri A.J. the Respondents.		
		2. Ld are directe next date.	. PO seeks time ti ed to ensure presen	ll 12.6.2019. ce of responsib	The respondents le officer on the
		3. S.C), to 12.6.2019.		
					Sd/-
DATE: CICIZOIQ CORAM:				Vice-Ch	Dixit) airman (A) 2019
Hou'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hou'ble Shri M. Kameshkumur (Monfeer) A		(sgj)			
Airester areligent					
Advocation A.J. Chougul C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s					
AG TO 12+ 4 2-019. By Te		·			
		:			
	-				
:					

	MON	IBAI	
Original Application No.	of 20	District	icant/s
Advocate	``````````````````````````````````````		
Advocate			
η		arashtra and others	
		Respon	dent∕s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda	of Coram,		
Appearance, Tribunal's orde directions and Registrar's o	rs or	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.1134 of 2018</u>	* *
		R.K. Bhosale Vs.	Applicant
		The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, lea holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned A Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Officer for the Respondents.	dvocate for the
		2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to fil	e repiy.
		3. S.O. to 13.6.2019.	
			Sd/-
		Vice-Cha	Dixit) irman (A) 2019
DATE: <u>C C 2019</u> CDRAM: Hou'ble Justice <u>i.a. H. Jochi (Chai</u> Hou'ble <u>Pit Plant (Man</u> AFPEAN Shri/smi <u>C-T</u> <u>Chandra</u> Advocate i.a the Applicant Shri /Smi <u>S-P-ManChal</u> C.P.O / P.O. for via Respondent/s Adj Ta <u>13/6/2-019</u>	fre H. mahajay	(sgj)	

		MBAI			ļ
Original Application No.	of 20		Di	ISTRICT	Applicant/s
Advocate		I			
	Ue	e rs us			
The	e State of Mal	h a rashtra	and others		
					Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	······) 		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's order	or		Tribunal's <u>O.</u> A	orders . No.23 of 2	2019
			. Chutke Vs.		Applicant
		The Sta	ate of Maharashtra		Respondents
				Manchekar,	earned Advocate for the learned Chief Presenting
		2. to file 1	Ld. Advocate for rejoinder.	the applica	nt seeks two weeks tin
		3.	S.O. to 27.6.2019).	
DATE: 66209					Sd/-
DATE:C G 2-e g CORAM: Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman Hen'ble Shri M. Lan Soall All (Member)	n)			•	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019
		(sgj)			
NS. D. Z. Charleysy	to the t				
C.RO/ P.O. Ki Me Kangebrashing		•			
Ady To 27/6/2019					
Ë	Fe.				
		·			

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

x.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,				
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's c	orders		
	Date : 06.06.2019			
	O.A.No.546 o	f 2018		
	S.B. Khemnar Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Applicants Respondents		
	1. Heard Applicant in person a	and Ms. S.P. Manchekar,		
	learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.			
	2. Applicant in person seeks suitably.	time to amend the O.A,		
	3. Time as prayed for is granted.			
CORANE: Shri B.P. Patil (V/C-J) Hoe'ble J= Shri B.P. Patil (V/C-J) Shri P. Charles (Charling) Shri P. Charles (V/C-J)	4. S.O. to 18.07.2019.			
Hon'ble s	Sd/-	Sd/-		
surver Heard Applicalt in puson.	(P.ฟ. Dixit) Vice-Cḥairman(A)	(B.P. Patil) vice-Chairman(J)		
Advocate a second state Shri/Sine : S. J. MGN UNLEY C.P.O./ P.O. was the Responsivelys	prk			
Adj. Ta 19/7/2019 .				
21-le				
· · ·				

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000–10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20 `

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 06.06.2019		
	O.A.No.907 of 2018 with O.A.No.353 of 2018		
	S.N. Vasekar & Ors. (O.A.907/2018) S.S. Dhumal & Ors. (O.A.353/2018) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advoçate for the		
	Applicants in O.A.No.907/2018 and Ms. S.P. Mancnekar,		
	learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.		
	2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the		
	Respondent No.1 states that affidavit-in-reply is filed in		
DATE: <u>CIGI2015</u>	pursuant to the order dated 06.12.2016 and the same is be treated as reply for O.A.No.353 of 2018 as well. She further stated that reply of other Respondents is not		
PAPPINT PAPPINT			
N 20 127, /	necessary.		
m.B. Kodem Ld. Adv.	3. S.O. to 24.06.2019.		
Sur S.P. Mancheller			
C 5 O 1 (c)	Sd/- Sd/-		
24161201	(P.N. Dixit) (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(A) Vice-Chairman(J)		
y.E	prk		