

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.494 OF 2019

Shri Maula S. Sayyed. )...Applicant

**Versus**

1. The State of Maharashtra & Anr. )...Respondents

**Mrs. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for Applicant.**

**Mr. A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for Respondents.**

**CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J**

**DATE : 06.06.2019**

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. As per order passed by this Tribunal on 4<sup>th</sup> June, 2019, time was given to the learned P.O. to seek instructions from the Respondents about the posting order of the Applicant in pursuance of transfer order dated 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2019 whereby the Applicant has been transferred from Railway Police to C.I.D, Pune.
3. However, today again, the learned P.O. requested for grant of time on the ground that the concerned Officer is not available. Whereas the learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for interim relief.

*W. D. James*

4. The perusal of impugned order dated 29<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 reveals that the transfer orders have been issued on request of the Police Personnel though it has reference of Section 22-N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act. Except the reference of 22-N(2), no other reason is forthcoming in impugned transfer order. On the contrary, there is specific mention that the transfer orders are issued on requests of the Police Personnel. Whereas, the representation of the Applicant was for transfer at Pune Commissionerate or Pimpri-Chinchwad Commissionerate. However, he has been transferred to C.I.D, Pune which is not as per his request. Admittedly, he has not completed his normal tenure. As such, *prima-facie*, the transfer order seems not in consonance with Section 22-N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act.

5. In view of above, by way of interim relief, the implementation of impugned transfer order dated 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2019 qua the Applicant has been stayed till filing of the reply.

6. Issue notice returnable on 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2019.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2019. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

<sup>W</sup>  
**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**Member-J**

Mumbai  
Date : 06.06.2019  
Dictation taken by :  
S.K. Wamanse.

D:\SANJAY WAMANSE\JUDGMENTS\2019\6 June, 2019\O.A.494.2019.doc

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 06.06.2019
<p>DATE: <u>6/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri B.P. Patil (SVK-J)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>P.N. Dixit (SVK-A)</u> Hon'ble Shri <u>M. Rameshwar (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>R.M. Kolge Id.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar Id.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>OA and MA both are</u> <u>disposed of.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p>	<p><b>O.A.No.918 of 2017 with M.A.No.432 of 2017 (Subject : Pay Scale)</b></p> <p><b>M.D. Jamunkar ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicant has produced the copy of letter dated 22.05.2019 issued by the Commissioner for Welfare for physically handicapped, Pune. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that since the grievance of the applicant has been redressed in view of the order passed by Commissioner for Welfare for physically handicapped, Pune, Applicant does not want to proceed with O.A.918/2017 and M.A.No.432/2017. Hence, learned Advocate prays to dispose of the O.A. as well as M.A.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents has prayed to dispose of the O.A. as well as M.A.</p> <p>5. Since the Applicant does not want to proceed with the matter, O.A. and M.A. both are disposed of. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman (A) Vice-Chairman(J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1187/16</u></p> <p><b>Shri M.A. Abhave &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is for final hearing and was called out in the morning, but the Applicant and his Counsel were absent, and therefore, it was kept back in second session. However, in afternoon session also, the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. As such, they seems not interested in the matter. Hence, the O.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- vvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 06.06.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 06.06.2019 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.1013 of 2018
	<p>S. A. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered short Affidavit of the Respondent No.3 along with true copies of log book. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The Applicant insists for production of original log book for verification.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to produce original log book for perusal of the applicant on the next date of hearing.</li> <li>5. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 21.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.562/17</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri B.D. Gavali</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A, the Applicant has sought direction to the Respondents to grant medical leave from 16.09.2014 to 22.10.2014 and from 16.11.2014 to 13.10.2015. This is the only relief claimed by the Applicant in the present O.A.</p> <p>3. The perusal of the record reveals that the Applicant was absent on duty for a longer period without getting the leave sanctioned and later he had submitted application for grant of leave along with Medical Certificate. However, the Department had issued a Memo/Show Cause Notice under Rule 10 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979 (hereinafter referred to 'Rules of 1979'). The Applicant had already submitted his reply stating that due to illness, he was absent on duty. However, no further orders are passed on his explanation submitted to the Department. It is on this background, the present O.A. has been filed for direction to grant Medical Leave.</p> <p>4. Needless to mention that it is for the Department i.e. Respondent No.3 to consider the application of the Applicant for grant of Medical Leave in accordance to</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Apparaanca, Tribunal's ordars or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Rules and also to take appropriate decision about Show Cause Notice issued to the Applicant under Rule 10 of 'Rules of 1979'. The Applicant has already filed his reply, but no further orders are passed.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the present O.A. is premature and deserves to be disposed of with suitable directions.</p> <p>6. The O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.3 to pass appropriate order on the application made by the Applicant for grant of Medical Leave as well as his reply to the Show Cause Notice issued to him under Rule 10 of 'Rules of 1979'. The appropriate decision be taken in accordance to Rules within a month and it be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, ne may avail remedy in accordance to law. No orders as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 06.06.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O.A.No.497 of 2019

R. S. Khartode & Ors. ....Applicants  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the present matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by Nagapur Bench in O.A. Nos.636, 733 & 599/2013, decided on 26.02.2015 as well as the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.737/2014 decided on 22.07.2015 and, therefore, prayed for interim relief to stay the recovery.
3. Whereas, the learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to take instructions and to file reply.
4. *Prima-facie*, the present issue seems to have been covered by the decision rendered by this Tribunal referred to above. Hence, I am inclined to grant interim relief as prayed in Paragraph No.10(a) of the O.A.
5. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.
7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
12. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2019.</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.288 of 2019 in O.A.No.497 of 2019</p> <p>R. S. Khartode &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li> <li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</li> <li>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. M.A. is allowed.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.496 of 2019</p> <p>S. P. Kale <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri N. P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. <i>Prima-facie</i>, there is no compliance of Transfer Act, 2005 as admittedly it is a case of mid-tenure transfer of the Applicant. Hence, till filing of the reply, status quo is granted.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.06.2019.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 11.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O.A.No.669, 670, 671, 672 & 673 of 2017

A. D. Manchekar & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This matter was taken up for hearing before vacation and at that time it was pointed out to the learned Advocate for the Applicants that as per submission made by the learned P.O., the benefit of 1<sup>st</sup> Time Bound Promotion was already given to the Applicants from the date of their initial appointments from 1995 to 1996. As such, it was brought to the notice of the learned Advocate for the Applicants that the benefit of 1<sup>st</sup> Time Bound Promotion has already given to the Applicants considering their earlier temporary service from 1995 to 1996 and he was asked to take instructions from his clients to apprise the Tribunal as to how the grievance of the Applicants <sup>SUBVIVE S.</sup> services.
3. However, today learned Advocate and his clients are absent.
4. Learned P.O. is directed to produce the orders of grant of 1<sup>st</sup> Time Bound Promotion to the Applicants considering their services from 1995 to 1996 along with Affidavit so that the Original Application can be disposed of expeditiously.
5. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.
6. S.O. to 13.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.511 of 2019</p> <p>J. H. Patil <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 10.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders nr  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.06.2019.

O. A. No.510 of 2019

U. S. Waval

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506 507 &amp; 508 of 2019</p> <p>R. D. Nanaware &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougue, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In all these Original Applications, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 31.05.2019. The perusal of the record reveals that on the same day, conflicting orders have been issued by the Respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, thereby changing their place of new posting. There is absolutely no reference of cancellation or supersession of the first order which is also issued on the same date. It is, therefore, necessary to know which is the final order.</li> <li>3. The Respondent No.2 needs to clarify the situation as to how these two inconsistent orders have been issued on the same day.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.</li> <li>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>7. This Intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>10. S.O. to 10.06.2019</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="760 488 959 517">Date : 06.06.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="976 551 1203 580">O. A. No.509 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="760 595 1317 636">P. S. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="760 663 829 692">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="760 725 1341 766">The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="716 792 1414 1935" style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="716 1968 1003 1998">8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="1130 1991 1192 2020">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1052 2069 1224 2130">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.497 of 2019</b></p> <p>R. S. Khartode &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the present matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by Nagapur Bench in O.A. Nos.636, 733 &amp; 599/2013, decided on 26.02.2015 as well as the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.737/2014 decided on 22.07.2015 and, therefore, prayed for interim relief to stay the recovery.</li> <li>3. Whereas, the learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to take instructions and to file reply.</li> <li>4. <i>Prima-facie</i>, the present issue seems to have been covered by the decision rendered by this Tribunal referred to above. Hence, I am inclined to grant interim relief as prayed in Paragraph No.10(a) of the O.A.</li> <li>5. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.</li> <li>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.</li> <li>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>12. S.O. to 03.07.2019. Sd/-</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2019.</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.288 of 2019 in O.A.No.497 of 2019</p> <p>R. S. Khartode &amp; Drs. <span style="float:right">....Applicants</span></p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li> <li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</li> <li>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. M.A. is allowed.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.319 of 2016</p> <p>N. D. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents requested to take Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on record which was supposed to be filed on 22.04.2019 in terms of order passed by the Tribunal.</li> <li>3. Learned C.P.O. further submitted that that inadvertently it was not filed on 22.04.2019 and requested to take it on record today.</li> <li>4. Allowed to file Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</li> <li>5. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 13.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.262 of 2019</u>
<p><u>DATE:</u> 01/06/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice _____ (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Applicant as well as Advocate for the App. are absent. Advocate for the Respondent/s: S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>Adj. To: 28/06/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>D.B. Dhawale Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Applicant Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1141 of 2018 with M.A. No.670 of 2018
---	--

G.V. Naik Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant  Respondents
---	------------------------------

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO states that she proposes to file reply in OA well as MA and seeks two weeks time for the same.

3. S.O. to 27.6.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
6.6.2019

DATE: 6/6/2019

CORAM:

Mam'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Mam'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member)-A

(sgl)

APPEAR:

Shri R.M. Kolge

APPEAR:

Ms. S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O. for Respondents

Adj. To: 27/6/2019

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.776 of 2017</u>
<p><u>DATE:</u> 6/6/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-A) (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent Advocate Shri/Smt. S-P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. to the Tribunal</p> <p>Adj. To..... 8/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>N.A. Polani ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.7.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.123 of 2018
<p><u>DATE:</u> 6/6/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri: Applicant in person is absent. Advocate: S.P. Manchekar Shri/Shri: S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O.:</p> <p>Adj. To: Admit: To come up for final hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>M.T.</i></p>	<p>R.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant in person is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N./Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notas, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appaarence, Tribunal's ordars or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders O.A. No.234 of 2018</p>
<p>DATE: <u>6/6/2019</u>  CORAM:  Hon'ble Justice <del>S. H. Joshi (Chairman)</del>  <del>P. N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</del>  Hon'ble <del>.....</del>  MS. Sonali Humane Adv.  holding for MS. Rajana Todankar  S.P. Manchekar  C.P.O / P.O. for MS. Sonali Humane  Addn. <u>11/7/2019</u></p>	<p>P.R. Wagh &amp; Ors. .Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Humane, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Rajana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that she is still awaiting instructions from the respondents and seeks two weeks for the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.634 of 2018</u>
<p>Date: <u>6/6/2019</u></p> <p><del>Chairman</del>  <small>Chairman, P.N. Dixit (Chairman)</small>  <u>P.N. Dixit (SVC-A)</u>  <small>Member</small></p> <p><del>Member</del>  <u>U.V. Bhosale</u></p> <p><del>Member</del>  <u>S.P. Manchekar</u>  <small>C.P.O./P.O. to the Respondent's</small></p> <p>Ady. To: <u>21/6/2019</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>U.D. Kharat &amp; Ors. Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time till 21.6.2019 for filing rejoinder and providing necessary information in tabulated form.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No. 741 of 2018
---	---

M.L. Bramhane . Applicant  
Vs. . Respondents  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 14.6.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
6.6.2019

DATE: 6/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jochi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/s M.B. Kadam Ld. Adv.

holding for Shri J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/s S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 14/6/2019

(sgl)

*B.L.*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.836 of 2018
<p>DATE: <u>6/6/2019</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. DIXIT (Vice-Chairman)</u> Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>O.M. Kulkarni</u> Advocate Shri/Smt <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.L.</i></p>	<p>U.K. Survade &amp; Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Applicants Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks three weeks time to show that the applicants are similarly placed with others with whom they demand priority.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*VERSUS*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.871 of 2018	
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>6/6/2019</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b> Shri <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. / <del>for</del> for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>28/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p>S.R. Durape Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>	<p>Applicant</p> <p>Respondents</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.73 of 2019</u></p>
<p><u>DATE:</u> 6/6/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jesni (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Sent. U.V. Bhasale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sent. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 5/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.E.</p>	<p>V.R. Rathod . Applicant Vs. . Respondents The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.128 of 2019	
<p><u>DATE:</u> 1/6/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 13/6/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BK</i></p>	<p>S.L. Shete Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(sgj)</p>	<p>Applicant</p> <p>Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.85 of 2019</u>
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>6/6/2019</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Smt. <u>Sonali Humane</u> Ld. Adv. holding for <u>smt. Ranjana Todankar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt. To <u>12/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>g.e.</i></p>	<p>A.V. More Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Applicant Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Humane, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks one week's time to take instructions from the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1131 of 2018
<p>DATE: <u>6/6/2019</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><i>P.N. DIXIT VCA</i></p> <p><u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for Applicant</p> <p><u>A.J. Chougule</u> Sri / Shri C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/6/2019</u></p> <p><i>P.N. Dixit</i></p>	<p>B.D. Chavan Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Applicant Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time till 12.6.2019. The respondents are directed to ensure presence of responsible officer on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.6.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.1134 of 2018</u>
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>6/6/2019</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice <u>A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman) Hon'ble <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> H. Adv. holding for <u>Smt P Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>13/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>R.K. Bhosale Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Applicant Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.23 of 2019</u>
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>6/6/2019</u></p> <p><b>GORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE</b> <u>MS. D.I. Charlewar</u> <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>27/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>Dr. N.L. Chutke Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Applicant Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. D.I. Charlewar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>01/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri B.P. Patil (V/C)</u>  Hon'ble J: <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u>  Hon'ble J: <u>Shri P. N. Dixit (V/C A)</u>  <u>Shri A. S. Kulkarni (Member) A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Heard Applicant in person.</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u>  Advocate for the Respondent/s  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. Ta. <u>18/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 06.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.546 of 2018</p> <p>S.B. Khemnar ..... Applicants  Versus  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Applicant in person seeks time to amend the O.A. suitably.</li> <li>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 18.07.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (B.P. Patil)  Vice-Chairman(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 06.06.2019

O.A.No.907 of 2018 with ~~O.A.No.353 of 2018~~

S.N. Vasekar & Ors. (O.A.907/2018)

S.S. Dhumal & Ors. (O.A.353/2018) ..... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.907/2018 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondent No.1 states that affidavit-in-reply is filed in pursuant to the order dated 06.12.2016 and the same is be treated as reply for O.A.No.353 of 2018 as well. She further stated that reply of other Respondents is not necessary.

3. S.O. to 24.06.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman(A)

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil)  
Vice-Chairman(J)

DATE: 06/06/2019  
CORAM: Shri B.P. Patil (Vice-J)  
Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-A)  
AFFIDAVIT: M.B. Kadam, Ld. Adv.  
Sd/- S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O.  
Date: 24/06/2019

prk