

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.56 OF 2017****A.S. Chavan****....Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman

DATE : 06.06.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This Tribunal had passed order on 03.04.2017 which is eloquent as regards its contents.
3. Even today learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit-in-reply on the ground that earlier Commissioner of Police, Shri Ravindra Shengaokar is transferred.
4. This Tribunal was curious to know as to whether the order passed by this Tribunal on 03.04.2017 is seen by Shri Ravindra Shengaokar.
5. Therefore, learned P.O. was called to state as to whether the office file pertaining to the present case, of the office of Commissioner of Police is brought by the representative.
6. Learned P.O. has tendered one file for perusal.

7. It contains many papers and notings till the impugned action was taken against the Applicant. However, it does not contain other notings / documents as to how the case is processed after receipt of notice of this Tribunal, though many other related documents are very well there, except notings of approval of para-wise remarks.
8. Learned P.O. prays for time and states that she will produce file for perusal.
9. Time as prayed for is granted.
10. The purpose of calling files is now is to know and understand as to whether the order passed by this Tribunal on 03.04.2017 was brought to the notice of Commissioner of Police, Shri Ravindra Shengaokar.
11. Therefore, other file / files, apart from one which is produced today, be produced before the Tribunal for perusal on 12.06.2017.
12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
13. S.O. to 12.06.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

06.06.2017**O.A No 380/2017**

Shri S.P Balekundri ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer states that he may be granted time to take instructions as to the time frame within which appeal would be decided.

3. To find out whether the Applicant's appeal is registered and whether it could be heard.

4. It is hoped that in case the appeal is found competent and is registered, the authority, competent to decide the appeal be identified and a date of hearing be fixed and a statement to that effect be made on the next date.

5. For enabling status and stage of appeal, longer time is granted.

6. S.O to 17.7.2017. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 6/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 17/7/2017. Steno

copy & Hamdast is allowed.

RJE

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.213 of 2017**Smt A.S. Gitay****... Applicant****V/s.****The State of Mah. & ors.****... Respondents**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Ms S. Surywanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. makes a statement that the Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.1 shall be filed during the course of the day.

The OA stands adjourned to **13.06.2017** for Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.2.

S.O. to **13.06.2017**.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
06.06.2017

6.17

DATE: 6/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Sd/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Sd/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

P.O. for the Respondents

holding for S. Surywanshi

Adj. To:

S.O. to 13/06/17

Reply filed by R. NO.2.

(vsm)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 6/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./T.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> S.O. to 14/6/17 PH </p>	<p><u>O.A.1094/2016</u></p> <p>Mr.G.V. Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Applicant with Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>It is informed at the Bar that the administrative appeal against the order of suspension preferred by the Applicant was heard on 9th May, 2017 and the order is awaited. In any case, the learned PO seeks an adjournment because the learned Senior Counsel who appears in this matter is unable to attend this Tribunal today. I make it very clear that, regard being had to the fact that it is a Part Heard matter and relates to the issue of suspension, last chance is being granted and the matter will be finally heard on 14th June, 2017.</p> <p>S.O. to 14th June, 2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 06.06.17 Member (J) 06.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.457/2017

Mr. Sunil S. Teli ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. ... Respondent

Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondent.

The Applicant, who is working as Office Superintendent has been transferred from Pune to Kolhapur which order of transfer, is being disputed herein. Although the learned PO says that the Applicant had been at Pune for about 25 years, that does not seem to be so because as per Annexure 'A-2' (Page 12 of the Paper Book), he came to be transferred from Mumbai to Pune on 9.1.2013 and if that be so, then he has not completed his normal tenure as a Group 'C' employee. Therefore, till the date next to the filing of the reply, the order in so far as the Applicant is concerned is stayed and even if technically he has been relieved, he be reposted in that post within three days from today.

Issue notice returnable on 27.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry

within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 27th June, 2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 06.06.17
Member (J)
06.06.2017

DATE: 6/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri. Sunil S. Teli~~ *Punam Mahajan*

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri. A. J. Chougule~~

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

~~S.O. to 27/6/17~~

Hamdast.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.451/2017</u></p> <p>Dr. S.D. Wathore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. ... Respondent</p> <p>Heard Mr. G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondent.</p> <p>The Applicant, a Medical Officer questions in this OA his transfer from Rajawadi Hospital, Ghatkopar, Mumbai to Malegaon. According to him, he retires next year, and therefore, he seeks the benefit of the GR of 2006 which is referred to in the relief clause Para 9(c) of the OA. His wife also retires shortly. Now, although the learned PO refers to some alleged complaints against him, but one has to go by the impugned order of transfer such as it is and in my opinion, till such time as the representation made by the Applicant on 3rd June, 2017 wherein he seeks the cancellation of his order of transfer and further, he relies upon the said GR. is decided, the order of transfer should not be effectuated. I, therefore, direct the Respondent to decide the representation of the Applicant dated 3rd June, 2017 (Exh. 'D', Page 21 of the Paper Book (PB)) within a period of three weeks from today and communicate its outcome to the Applicant within one week thereafter and in case, the decision is against the Applicant to hold it in abeyance for a further period of two weeks.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 18.07.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE</u> : 6/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM</u> :</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> :</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Dr. G. Sadavate</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To S.O. to 18/7/17. Hamdast</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 18th July, 2017. Hamdast.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 6.6.17 Member (J) 06.06.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>6/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>18/7/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>AKN</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>06.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 288/2017</u></p> <p>Shri B.B Bade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for four weeks' time to file reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.7.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>(Rajiv Agarwal)</i> Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>(A.H Joshi, J.)</i> Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 6/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Nagesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. R.G. Gohad C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>As to OA is admitted. Liberty to move for early hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.K.</p>	<p><u>06.06.2017</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 390/2016</u></p> <p>Shri M.K Survase ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. One month's time to file rejoinder is granted.</p> <p>4. Original Application is admitted. Liberty to move for early hearing as and when occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ? (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>01/01/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S. D. Dhongade</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>H. K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>As to OA be transferred to A'bad bench for hearing & disposal according to Law.</u></p> <p><i>M.R.E.</i></p>	<p>06.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A no 142/2016 (Mumbai)</u> <u>O.A 27/2016 (Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>Dr M.M.F.G Dastagir ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Dhongade, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for transferring the case to Aurangabad as the case pertains to Aurangabad Bench and was transferred suo moto by the Chairman so that it can be heard as and when Division Bench will be available.</p> <p>3. Original Application be transferred to Aurangabad Bench for hearing and disposal according to law.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>06/06/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</u> Hon'ble Smt. <u>M. Rameshkumar (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>15/6/2017</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 06.06.2017:</p> <p>O.A.No.368 of 2017</p> <p>R.H. AangreApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>She wants time to study and find out as to whether present O.A., which is in nature of petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, which are the that points really need reply.</p> <p>3. For enabling Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents to make statement on necessity of reply, adjourned to 15.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Ghod</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To <u>3/7/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>06.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 211/2017 in O.A No 512/2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.A Gawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer on instructions from Shri G.M Gurav, Under Secretary, G.A.D , Desk-14 and Shri S.A. Deshmukh, Under Secretary, Home Department, states as follows:-</p> <p>(i) The D.E which was initiated furtherance to charge sheet dated 7.5.2012, which was quashed by this Tribunal by order passed in O.A 324/2013 on 24.7.2014 is acquiesced by the Government and order of closing the enquiry is passed on 5.6.2017.</p> <p>(ii) In so far as Tribunal's order in O.A 642/2016 decided on 2.2.2017 is concerned, the Department is in the process of carrying it before the Hon'ble High Court by filing Writ Petition.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O further prays for two weeks' time for making statement about steps taken in the direction of filing Writ Petition.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.7.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H Joshi, J) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) <i>LY</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.D. Dhongade</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>11/8/2017</u></p> <p><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>06.06.2017</p> <p><u>O.A No 178/2016 with O.A 1017/2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Bagul & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Dhongade, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states that two weeks time may be granted for filing reply to the amended Original Application.</p> <p>3. Reply to the amended O.A be filed within one month and copy be sent to the learned Advocate for the Applicant by Speed Post.</p> <p>4. S.O to 11.8.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.225 of 2017

Shri B. K. Deshmukh & Ors. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
06.06.2017

DATE: 6/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To. S.O. to 04/7/17.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.116 of 2017
In
O.A. No.225 of 2017

Shri B. K. Deshmukh & Ors. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

~~Date~~ 5/6/17

~~Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.V. JOSHI

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To M.A. is allowed.

(vsm)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
06.06.2017

00.06.17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.162 of 2017
In
O.A. No.327 of 2017

Shri A. S. Ambudkar ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-
 (R.B. Malik) 06.06.17
 Member (J)
 06.06.2017

DATE: 6/6/17

CORAM:
 Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL
 (Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 4/7/17.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.164 of 2017
In
O.A. No.329 of 2017

Shri A. J. Mane ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
06.06.2017

DATE: 6/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 4/7/17.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.163 of 2017
In
O.A. No.328 of 2017

Shri N. S. Yande ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
06.06.2017

DATE: 6/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 4/7/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.148 of 2017
In
O.A. No.289 of 2017

Shri S.G. Kamble ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
06.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 6/6/17

COEAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~P.O./F.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To: S.O. to 4/7/17

[Signature]

6.17

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.277 of 2017WithO.A. No.278 of 2017

Shri M.S. Shete & Anr.

... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 04.07.2017.

Learned P.O. informs that the Respondents do not want to file Sur-Rejoinder.

S.O. to 04.07.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
06.06.2017

DATE: 6/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

holding for N.K. Rajpurohit
(vsm)

Adj. To

Rejoinder filed by

Applicant.

D.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 4/7/17.

FH
Qu

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 6/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Mr. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents order passed in Adj. To the Tribunal's Codebook.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.218/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. U.V. Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. S.Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned PO seeks further time to file Affidavit-in-reply. The order of yesterday and the earlier orders may be perused. The OA proceeds with the final hearing rejecting the request of, for the grant of time to file the reply. However, the learned PO shall be allowed to address the Bench on merit of the matter such as it is.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 6.6.17 Member (J) 06.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 6/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: S.O. to 13/6/17</p> 	<p><u>O.A.639/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. H.J. Nazirkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. S.Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 & 2 and Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>Even as the matter is to be opened by Mrs. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. Bandiwadekar rises up with permission to submit that he has preliminary objections to the tenability of the OA. I have perused the Affidavit-in-reply. The OA is set down for hearing on the preliminary issues and it is made clear that, in the meanwhile, if a Rejoinder is to be filed, it must be filed just before the hearing commences. The copy of Rejoinder, if any, be furnished at least one day in advance. If some documents are to be produced, the parties will be free to do so.</p> <p>S.O. to 13th June, 2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 6.6.17 Member (J) 06.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A- <i>Rajiv Agarwal (Vice)</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>14/6/2017.</u> <i>H. J.</i></p>	<p>Date : 06.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.371 of 2017</p> <p>S.D. JadhavApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for further time.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.384 OF 2017

G.A. AgaleApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman

DATE : 06.06.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows :-
Notices to be served on the Respondents was put in the post office for delivery by speed post on 01.06.2017, though it was collected on 04.05.2017.
3. Applicant is directed to serve fresh notice returnable on 18.07.2017.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notices are not collected within three days and not delivered in the post office within one week from today and if service report is not filed on or before 27.06.2017, O.A. shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. Adjourned to 18.07.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

l

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>06/06/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>H.S. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>08/06/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>H.T.</i></p>	<p>06.06.2017</p> <p><u>C.A No 79/2014 in O.A 677/2012</u></p> <p>Dr V.R Tidke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer adjourned to 8.6.2017 for reporting steps taken.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> = Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H Joshi, J) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : None for the app. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K. C.P.O./E.S. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To 13/6/2017</p>	<p>Date : 06.06.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.30 of 2017 in O.A.No.864 of 2015</p> <p>A.V. LankeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that entire order is complied with.</p> <p>4. In view of absence of learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan, adjourned to 13.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>