

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.661 of 2021</p> <p>S. G. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed for grant of direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2021 but his retiral benefits were not paid on the ground of initiation of D.E. According to Applicant there was no proper service of D.E. so as to withhold retiral benefits.</p> <p>3. During the pendency of this O.A., the Applicant has filed another O.A. No.1025/2021 to quash and set aside the charge sheet issued under Rule 8 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>4. In the said O.A., the Applicant contends that the charge sheet was served upon him on 03.09.2021 i.e. after retirement.</p> <p>5. As such, the legality of initiation of D.E. is already subjudice before the Division Bench in O.A.No.1025/2021.</p> <p>6. Insofar as present O.A. is concerned, it is filed for direction to release retiral benefits.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. During the pendency of O.A., it is noticed that the Respondents have initiated action for Provisional Pension and has also taken necessary steps to pay other retiral benefits except Gratuity. Since the D.E. is initiated, the Gratuity is withheld but Provisional Pension is being paid. Beside GPF, GIS is also paid.

8. Learned Counsel for the Applicant in view of above submits that O.A. be disposed of with direction to Respondents to pay Leave Encashment which is still not paid.

9. Learned P.O. submits that proposal for Leave Encashment is under process and it will be paid soon.

10. Thus, the grievances of non-payment of retiral benefits (except Gratuity and Regular Pension) are already redressed.

11. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to ensure payment of Leave Encashment within a month from today.

12. The Applicant is at liberty to redress the grievance of interest on belated payment independently.

13. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.262 of 2020 With O.A.No.263 of 2020</p> <p>L. J. Thakur K. J. MhatreApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of the leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 80 of 2022</p> <p>S. J. Nandgaonkar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of the leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>06.05.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 447/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Sharma ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 7.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>06.05.2022</p> <p><u>M.A 277/2022 in O.A 429/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Venkatesh Narayan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application seeking to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">// Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 06.05.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 429/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Venkatesh Narayan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 6.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.05.2022</u></p>
	<p><u>O.A 427/2022</u></p>
	<p>Shri N.G Khatake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p>
	<p>1. Heard Shri P.S Bhavake, learned advocate for the applicant and Mr A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p>
	<p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.6.2022.</p>
	<p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>
	<p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>
	<p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>
	<p>6. S.O to 6.6.2022.</p>
	<p>Sd/- _____ / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">06.05.2022</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 430/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Ramsing L. Phulmali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 21.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	06.05.2022
	<u>O.A 448/2022 & 449/2022</u>
	<p>Shri N.K Gaikwad Shri S. D Sutar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants seek interim relief by way of directions to Respondent no. 2, MPSC to issue call letter for oral interview for the post of Deputy Education Officer, Group-B, (Administration).</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned P.O that this Tribunal has granted interim relief by order dated 13.7.2017 in O.A 634/2017, allowing the candidates who are not falling either District Technical Services cadre or Maharashtra Education Service cadre to appear for the written examination for the post of Deputy Education Officer.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the applicant appeared in the said examination and secured 148 marks. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that for D.T.S cadre the cut-off marks is 150 and for M.E.S cadre the cut-off marks is 74. The applicants do not fall in either of the category.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 4.10.2018 in O.A 634/2017 is challenged before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P 4885/2018 and the same is pending.</p> <p>6. In view of the order dated 4.10.2018 in O.A 634/2017, passed by this Tribunal, we allow the applicants to be called for interview, subject to the outcome of the Original Application.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>8. S.O 7.7.2022. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>06.05.2022</u> Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A 297/2022</u>
	<p>Shri S.R Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B Dhage, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that one post of Police Constable should be kept vacant because fraud was played by other candidates who have filled up the forms at different places.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O wants to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. Earlier in other matters, this Tribunal has taken a view that candidates aspiring to become Police Constable (Driver), have filled up the Forms at more than one place, their candidature cannot be cancelled.</p> <p>5. Under such circumstances, we need time to hear the Respondent-State before passing further orders.</p> <p>6. S.O to 17.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>06.05.2022</u> Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 938/2021</u>
	<p>Shri D.B Vaishnav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is working as Deputy Commissioner of Sales Tax. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on the order of this Tribunal dated 9.12.2021, directing the learned C.P.O to take instructions on the grievance of the applicant that he should be given promotion to the post of Joint Commissioner, Sales Tax from 30.3.2016 as his punishment of two years demotion was set aside by the Appellate Authority.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seek interim relief and presses that he should be given promotion from 30.3.2016. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 30th November, 2019 in Krishna P. Shrikhande VS. High Court of Judicature at Bombay through its Registrar General, Mumbai and Ors, in support of his contention.</p> <p>4. Learned C,P.O submits that she has received instructions in the matter, however, she needs time to go through the same and file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. The Respondents should file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he would like to argue on the point of interim relief on the next date.</p> <p>7. I do not find that as of today there is any grave urgency that without giving time, ex-parte order can be passed.</p> <p>8. S.O to 7.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.726 of 2021</p> <p>S. P. NalavdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.P. Yadav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 20.07.2021 whereby the Respondent No.3 -SDO had cancelled his appointment on the post of Police Patil on the ground of having more than ³ three children in the family in contravention of Maharashtra Civil Services (Declaration of Small Family) Rules, 2005.</p> <p>3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant stated that the Applicant has made representation on 25.02.2022 to the Government to relax the provisions of 'Rules 2005'.</p> <p>4. The Applicant is present in the Court. On instructions from the Applicant, learned Counsel submits that since the Applicant has already made representation to the Government, he does not want to proceed with the O.A. and sought liberty to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>5. Allowed to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>6. Original Application is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 651 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. S. ShintreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of the leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 822 of 2021</p> <p>R. N. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of the leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 710 of 2021</p> <p>V. A. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of the leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.779 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the order dated 27.08.2021 whereby he is posted in Flying Squad. Indeed, it is posting on promotion and not transfer order.</p> <p>3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of with liberty to Applicant to make representation to Respondent No.2 – the Commissioner, State Excise Dept., Mumbai seeking transfer from Ratnagiri on the ground of family difficulties.</p> <p>4. In view of the statement made by learned Counsel for the Applicant, the O.A. is disposed of with liberty to Applicant to make representation and if representation is made, the Respondent No.2 – Commissioner, State Excise shall decide it on its own merit preferably at the time of general transfer.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.736 of 2021</p> <p>V. D. WakhareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has sought permission to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 stating that earlier short affidavit was filed and now wants to file detailed affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant raised objection that once the reply is filed, no further reply should be permitted.</p> <p>4. The matter pertains to interest on belated payment of retiral benefits. A perusal of record reveals that initially short reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.3 and now detailed reply along with annexures is filed.</p> <p>5. In the interest of justice, allowed to file additional affidavit in reply. It be taken on record.</p> <p>6. The matter is adjourned for hearing on 14.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.263 of 2022 in M.A.No.220 of 2022 in O.A.No.918 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. G. SukumaranApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. R. Sadasivan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Misc. Applications are filed for condonation of delay of 12 days and for restoration of O.A.No.918/2018 which was dismissed in default on 25.02.2022.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is retired Government servant and has filed O.A.918/2018 in respect of some retiral benefits.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. was to be heard by the Division Bench in view of the challenge to G.R. but it was wrongly listed before the Single Bench and due to absence of Applicant and his Counsel it came to be dismissed in default.</p> <p>5. Since the O.A. pertains to retiral benefits, I am inclined to allow Misc. Applications so as to decide the O.A. on merit. The delay of 12 days caused in filing restoration application is condoned and O.A. is restored.</p> <p>6. Both the Misc. Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.918 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. G. SukumaranApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. R. Sadasivan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was dismissed in default on 25.02.2022. However, it is now restored as per order passed in M.A.Nos.263/2022 & M.A.220/2022, today.</p> <p>3. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged condition nos.5 and 7 of G.R. dated 22.10.2016 for claiming pension. O.A. is thus required to be heard by the Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that he had already submitted second set to the office.</p> <p>5. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before the Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.446 of 2021 in O.A.No. 821 of 2021</p> <p>P. J. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of the leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.829 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. KuwarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing affidavit in reply. On request of learned P.O., the most last chance is granted to file reply with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.328 of 2022</p> <p>M. Venkatesh & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. R. Shinde holding for Shri S. N. Biradar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.05.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.325 of 2022</p> <p>R. N. Chaudhari ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarang Gundajwar holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O, time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Vvvv</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>